



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(6): 501-506  
© 2022 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 07-03-2022  
Accepted: 20-04-2022

**Khamkar MB**  
Department of Horticulture,  
PGI, MPKV., Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Ranpise SA**  
Department of Horticulture,  
PGI, MPKV., Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Gaikwad SD**  
Department of Horticulture,  
PGI, MPKV., Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Wagh RS**  
Department of Horticulture,  
PGI, MPKV., Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Choudhary SM**  
Department of Horticulture,  
PGI, MPKV., Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Khamkar MB**  
Department of Horticulture,  
PGI, MPKV., Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

## Effect of salicylic acid on growth and yield of late *kharif* Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

**Khamkar MB, Ranpise SA, Gaikwad SD, Wagh RS and Choudhary SM**

### Abstract

The field experiment was conducted at the instruction cum research field of Scheme for Research on onion Storage, Department of Horticulture, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist. Ahmednagar; during late *kharif* season of 2016-17. Design of experiment is Factorial Randomized Block Design. Variety Phule Samarth, Plot Size and Spacing 3 X 2 m<sup>2</sup>, 15 x 10 cm.

Present investigation late *kharif* season same treatment A<sub>7</sub> recorded significantly highest plant height (73.19 cm), number of leaves (13.12), polar diameter (5.09 cm), equatorial diameter (5.05 cm) and lowest neck thickness (1.06 cm). The treatment B<sub>4</sub> among the concentration levels of SA recorded significantly highest plant height (71.10 cm), number of leaves (12.27), polar diameter (5.16 cm), equatorial diameter (5.11 cm). The interaction found non-significant effect on above growth parameters during late *kharif* the seasons. whereas during late *kharif* season highest significant average bulb weight (105.90 g), total bulb yield (32.84 t/ha), marketable bulb yield (30.19 t/ha). during late *kharif* season.

In overall the present investigation concluded that, foliar application of SA as 1<sup>st</sup> spray of 250 mg/lit at 30 days after sowing in nursery stage, 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, 3<sup>rd</sup> spray and 4<sup>th</sup> spray SA @ 250 mg /lit at 30,45 and 60 DAT was found beneficial for obtaining maximum yield improving quality and increasing yield of onion during late *kharif* season.

**Keywords:** Onion, salicylic, acid, *kharif*, *Allium cepa* L.

### Introduction

In India, onion is predominantly cultivated during winter (60%) followed by 20% each in *kharif* and late *kharif* season. The lower productivity of Indian onion is primarily due to cultivation of low yield potential varieties having susceptibility to both biotic (pests, diseases and weeds) as well as abiotic factors (i.e. moisture stress, high temperature, imbalance nutrition etc.). Commercial crops are exposed to a wide array of damaging agents, including biotic (viroids, viruses, bacteria, fungi, insects) and abiotic (drought, salinity, heat, cold and soil toxicity) environmental aggressions. To cope with these continuous challenges under field conditions, plants have evolved broad and efficient mechanisms to obtain an adequate defence. One prominent defensive response of plants against pathogen attack is the synthesis of a remarkably vast array of low molecular weight compounds with disparate functions in plant-pathogen interactions (Dixon, 2001) [4].

It has already established that, many phenolic compounds play an essential role in the regulation of different physiological processes, including plant growth and development, ion uptake and photosynthesis (Popova *et al.*, 1997; Singh and Usha, 2003) [25, 33]. Phenolic molecules produced by plant roots are essential for generation and plant development (Lynn and Charg, 1991) [19]. The first induction for the physiological effect of SA was the discovery of flower inducing action and bud formation in tobacco cell culture (Eberhard *et al.*, 1989) [6]. Subsequently, the beneficial effect of SA was demonstrated in other plant species and this was the basis for suggestions SA functions as an endogenous growth regulator for induction of flowering. Salicylic Acid (SA) recently included in the class of phytohormones for proper plant growth development and induction of tolerance to both biotic as well as abiotic stresses. The word "Salicylic Acid" (SA) was derived from Latin word "Salix" meaning willow tree, distributed in the whole plant kingdom and is classified under the group of plant hormone (Raskin *et al.*, 1990) [29]. In general, SA is an important defensive signal in plants that is essential for elicitor triggered immunity and the establishment of Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR) (Mur *et al.*, 1997 and Carr *et al.*, 2010) [22, 3]. Thus, SA is an endogenous growth regulator with phenolic nature, which participates in regulation of several physiological processes in crop plants such as stomata closure, ion uptake, inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis and transpiration (Khan *et al.*, 2003 and Shakirova *et al.*, 2003) [16, 31].

Salicylic acid a natural molecule has been play an important role in regulating a number of physiological processes in plants. Its exogenous application has promoted plant performance under biotic and abiotic stresses (Senaratna, *et al.*, 2000) [30]. Foliar spray of low concentration of salicylic acid promote and influence the growth, development, differentiation of cells, and tissues of plants and enhanced the plant's growth parameters (Helgi and Rolfe 2005) [12].

Salicylic acid is recently included in the class of phytohormones for proper plant growth and development and induction of tolerance to both biotic as well as abiotic stresses. In general, salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic group which plays an important role in plant defence. In the plant tissue, salicylic acid is a transduction signal molecule in plant resistance mechanisms (Malamy and Klessig, 1992; Vlot *et al.*, 2009) [20, 36]. To study the effect of salicylic acid on growth and yield of late *kharif* season of onion.

### Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at the instruction cum research field of Scheme for Research on onion Storage, Department of Horticulture, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist. Ahmednagar; during late *kharif* season of 2016-17. Design of experiment is Factorial Randomized Block Design. Variety Phule Samarth, Plot Size and Spacing 3 X 2 m<sup>2</sup>, 15 x 10 cm.

### Treatment details

#### Factor A- Growth stages

- A<sub>1</sub>- 30 days after transplanting (DAT)
- A<sub>2</sub>- 45 days after transplanting (DAT)
- A<sub>3</sub>- 60 days after transplanting (DAT)
- A<sub>4</sub>- 30 & 45 days after transplanting (DAT)
- A<sub>5</sub>- 30 & 60 days after transplanting (DAT)
- A<sub>6</sub>- 45 & 60 days after transplanting (DAT)
- A<sub>7</sub>- 30, 45 & 60 days after transplanting (DAT)

#### Factor – B – Spray Concentration of Salicylic acid

- B<sub>1</sub>- 100 mg/lit of water
- B<sub>2</sub>- 150 mg/lit of water
- B<sub>3</sub>- 200 mg/lit of water
- B<sub>4</sub>- 250 mg/lit of water

#### With Additional treatment

- C<sub>1</sub>- Recommended dose for plant protection
- C<sub>2</sub>- Control (water spray)

Note

1. Common treatment 30 days of sowing foliar application 250 mg/lit salicylic acid after nursery stage.
2. Recommended dose of NPK and FYM was apply to all treatments
3. Recommended dose of plant protection measures was apply to C<sub>1</sub> treatments only. All others treatments have no plant protection measures.

### Results and Discussion

#### Growth parameters

The data pertaining to plant height as influenced by different concentration levels of salicylic acid, time of application, treatments and combination of treatments were significantly differed during late *kharif* season of 2016 and are presented in Table 1.1.

The plant height recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 was statistically significant due to effect of time of application and

recorded highest for treatment A<sub>7</sub> (73.19 cm). It was also significant due to concentration levels of SA and recorded highest significant in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (71.10 cm). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also recorded significant (71.97 cm) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (51.26 cm). The interactions effect was non-significant and treatment A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded the maximum (75.40 cm) followed by A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> (73.74 cm).

The plant height was significantly influenced by time of application, concentrations and interactions except late *kharif* 2016. The application of different concentration of salicylic acid exerted a significantly increase in plant height. It might be due to balancing of internal level of natural auxins through salicylic acid which is responsible for proper physiological activities in the plant system which response significantly better growth and ultimately improve plant height.

The high concentration of salicylic acid (SA), A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded maximum plant height which was significantly superior. These findings are close relevant with the result of Nangare *et al.* (2017) [23], Amin *et al.* (2007) [1] Pradhan *et al.* (2014) [26] and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) [28] in onion; Kopad *et al.* (2017) [18]; Jeyakumar *et al.* (2008) [14] in black gram. (13.42). It was significant due to concentration levels of SA and recorded significant in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (12.64). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also found significant (13.56) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (9.03). The interactions effects were non-significant. The combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded the maximum (13.98) followed by combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> (13.84), A<sub>6</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (13.75) and A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (13.71).

The number of leaves recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 was statistically significant due to effect of time of application and recorded highest in treatment A<sub>7</sub> (13.12). The number of leaves found significant due to concentration levels of SA in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (12.27). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also found significant number of leaves (12.92) over the control. The interactions effect were non-significant and combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (13.86) recorded the maximum number of leaves per plant followed by A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (13.68).

The number of leaves per plant increased up to 100 days and stopped thereafter due to maturity. Onion leaves possesses a uniseriate epidermis with thickened outer wall adcrusted with the cuticular layer. Peridinal division and enlargement of central cell in leaf axis in combination with adaxial meristematic activity contributes to the increase in thickness in the central portion of the leaf leads to increase in number of leaves (Jones and Mann, 1963) [15]. The exogenous application of SA had effect on increased photosynthetic activity and cell division which enhances the number of leaves per plant. (Gharib, 2006) [10].

Neck thickness rapidly reduced 90 days after transplanting in onion due to maturity (Shashikumar and Shashidhar, 2015) [32].

The concentration of salicylic acid in treatment A<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> spray @ 250 mg/l and 2<sup>nd</sup> spray @100 mg/l) recorded maximum which was significantly higher than all the SA treatments. These finding are close agreement with Prajapati *et al.* (2016) [28], Kopad *et al.* (2017) [18], Nangare *et al.* (2017) [23] and Dixit *et al.*, (2018) [5] in onion.

#### Neck thickness (cm)

The neck thickness recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 was statistically significant due to effect of time of application and recorded lowest for treatment A<sub>7</sub> (1.06 cm). It was significant due to concentration levels of SA and recorded minimum in

treatment B<sub>4</sub> (1.09 cm). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also found significant lowest (1.22 cm) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (1.36 cm). The interactions effect were non-significant and treatment A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> recorded the minimum (1.01 cm) followed by A<sub>6</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (1.03 cm).

Further, SA at more times of spraying showed significant effect than less number of spraying. The present study clearly also indicated the beneficial impact of SA on crop growth, which might be due to the involvement SA in regulation of several physiological processes in plants such as stomata closure, ion uptake, inhibition of biosynthesis and transpiration (Khan *et al.*, 2003 and Shakirova *et al.*, 2003) [31].

SA had also prolific effects on both morphology and physiology of plants (Piperpoint, 1996). Increased plant height of onion with exogenous application of SA might be due to directly or indirectly influences on the activity of certain enzymes such as Sucrose -P- Synthase, Sucrose Synthase and amylases. These metabolic affect such as changing carbohydrate metabolism in plants, so that soluble sugars, especially non- reducing sugars accumulated to function on osmotic regulators. Additionally, the accumulated reducing sugars (glucose and fructose) could be used the initial precursors for the synthesis of other osmolytes such as proline and polyamines (Tari *et al.*, 2004; Szepesi *et al.*, 2009) [35]. Exogenous application of SA had effect on increased photosynthetic activity which enhances the number of leaves plant-1 and chlorophyll content, there by plant height (Gharib, 2006) [10]. Similar report on beneficial effects of SA on vegetative growth in form of plant height, number of leaves per plant, chlorophyll content of leaves have also been reported by Amin *et al.* (2007) [1] and Dixit *et al.*, (2018) [5] in onion; and in several crops by El- Tayeb (2005) [8] in chilli; Elshraiy and Hegazi (2009) [7] in pea; Gawade and Sirohi (2011) [9] in brinjal.

### Yield and quality parameters

#### Equatorial diameter of bulb (cm)

The equatorial diameter of bulb recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 and recorded highest for treatment A<sub>7</sub> (5.05 cm). The equatorial diameter of bulb found significant due to concentration levels of SA (B) and recorded highest in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (5.11 cm). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also found significant (4.77 cm) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (4.20 cm). The interactions effect were non-significant and treatment A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest (5.32 cm) followed by A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (5.18 cm).

The interactions effect were non-significant and highest equatorial diameter of bulb recorded in combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> (5.64 cm) followed by treatment A<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (5.43 cm) and A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> (5.16 cm). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> found non-significant equatorial diameter of bulb (4.32cm) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (3.93 cm).

#### Polar diameter of bulb (cm)

The polar diameter of bulb recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 was statistically significant due to effect of time of

application and recorded highest for treatment A<sub>7</sub> (5.09 cm). The polar diameter of bulb found significant due to concentration levels of SA and recorded highest in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (5.16 cm) The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also found significant polar diameter of bulb (4.32 cm) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (3.80 cm). The interactions effect were non-significant in treatment combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest (5.77 cm).

The results of significant increased in polar and equatorial diameter may be due to better photosynthesis efficacy with SA application and increased chlorophyll content of leaves (Amin *et al.* 2007) [1]. The better efficiency of SA increasing the effective photosynthetic area in terms of plant height, number of leaves per plant significantly enhance the assimilation of photosynthates in the bulb. Due to increased deposition of photosynthes in the scales of each bulb, the diameter was found increased due to application of SA as compare to recommended dose and control. It resulted higher values of polar and equatorial diameter in onion bulbs.

The comparatively significant increase in height, number of leaves and photosynthetic pigments due to effect salicylic acid at different time of application might have helped to accumulate more carbohydrates resulting in increased bulb diameter because bulb is storage organ in onion Salicylic acid application drastically increases bulb diameter in case of onion. The SA application increased diameter in onion was due to increased deposition of photosynthtes in scale of onion. This might be due to external application of SA as foliar spray and that leads to more thickness of scales in bulbs and thereby increasing the diameter of onion bulb as compare to control. These findings are close agreement with Ibrahim and Sanna (2005) [13], Amin *et al.* (2007) [1], Prajapati *et al.* (2016) [28], Pradhan *et al.* (2016) [27], Nangare *et al.* (2017) [23], Kopad *et al.* (2017) [18] and Dixit *et al.*, (2018) [5] in onion. Bideshki and Arvin (2010) [2], Meena *et al.* (2016) [21] in garlic where the clove diameters was increased due to SA application was reported.

#### Average weight of bulb (g)

The weight of bulb found significant due to time of application for late *kharif* season 2016 and recorded highest in treatment A<sub>7</sub> (105.90 g). The weight of bulb found significant due to concentration levels of SA and recorded highest in treatment B<sub>4</sub>(103.82 g). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also recorded significant (87.56 g) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (63.78 g). The interactions effect were non-significant in treatment A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded (115.50 g) followed by A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> (111.25 g).

The increased bulb weight in the present study by application of salicylic acid might be due to the better utilization of photosynthetic pigment and increased allocation of photosynthetic pigment towards the bulb. The average of bulb might be increased due to increased polar diameters of bulb and that leaves to increased weight of bulb as compare to control due application of SA to onion. These results are in close agreement with Amin *et al.* (2007) [1], Pradhan *et al.* (2016) [27] and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) [28], Nangare *et al.* (2017) [23], Kopad *et al.* (2017) [18] and Dixit *et al.*, (2018) [5] in onion.

**Table 1:** Effect of salicylic acid on plant height (cm), Neck thickness (cm), Equatorial diameter of bulb (cm), Polar diameter of bulb (cm), Average weight of bulb (g), Total bulb yield (kg/plot), Total bulb yield (t/ha) and Marketable bulb yield (t/ha) late *kharif* 2016.

| Treatment                     | PH    | NOL   | NT   | ED   | PD   | AWB    | TBYP  | TBY   | MBY   |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| A <sub>1</sub>                | 64.49 | 11.10 | 1.16 | 4.82 | 4.77 | 86.23  | 14.51 | 24.18 | 21.63 |
| A <sub>2</sub>                | 66.21 | 11.01 | 1.24 | 4.87 | 4.72 | 85.83  | 14.64 | 24.39 | 21.73 |
| A <sub>3</sub>                | 64.46 | 10.54 | 1.32 | 4.69 | 4.63 | 81.83  | 13.24 | 22.06 | 19.31 |
| A <sub>4</sub>                | 67.96 | 12.98 | 1.06 | 4.84 | 4.84 | 103.93 | 18.01 | 30.00 | 27.76 |
| A <sub>5</sub>                | 67.35 | 12.27 | 1.17 | 4.73 | 4.76 | 97.44  | 16.56 | 27.59 | 25.32 |
| A <sub>6</sub>                | 71.89 | 12.44 | 1.16 | 4.71 | 4.69 | 101.91 | 17.62 | 29.35 | 26.72 |
| A <sub>7</sub>                | 73.19 | 13.12 | 1.06 | 5.05 | 5.09 | 105.90 | 19.71 | 32.84 | 30.19 |
| S.E. (±)                      | 0.90  | 0.28  | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 1.44   | 0.19  | 0.31  | 0.31  |
| CD at 5%                      | 2.60  | 0.81  | 0.07 | NS   | 0.20 | 4.16   | 0.55  | 0.91  | 0.90  |
| B <sub>1</sub>                | 63.48 | 11.56 | 1.24 | 4.50 | 4.39 | 86.55  | 15.52 | 25.85 | 22.97 |
| B <sub>2</sub>                | 67.34 | 11.61 | 1.20 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 89.50  | 16.03 | 26.71 | 23.99 |
| B <sub>3</sub>                | 69.82 | 12.25 | 1.14 | 4.97 | 4.93 | 99.02  | 16.40 | 27.32 | 24.96 |
| B <sub>4</sub>                | 71.10 | 12.27 | 1.09 | 5.11 | 5.16 | 103.82 | 17.36 | 28.92 | 26.73 |
| S.E. (±)                      | 0.68  | 0.21  | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 1.09   | 0.14  | 0.24  | 0.24  |
| CD at 5%                      | 1.97  | 0.61  | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 3.14   | 0.41  | 0.69  | 0.68  |
| A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 56.10 | 10.75 | 1.25 | 4.60 | 4.31 | 74.33  | 14.11 | 23.50 | 20.54 |
| A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 64.03 | 10.86 | 1.16 | 4.59 | 4.59 | 78.00  | 14.80 | 24.65 | 21.73 |
| A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 68.48 | 11.76 | 1.17 | 4.99 | 4.99 | 94.48  | 14.57 | 24.28 | 21.92 |
| A <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 69.37 | 11.05 | 1.05 | 5.09 | 5.20 | 98.10  | 14.57 | 24.28 | 22.32 |
| A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 62.40 | 10.81 | 1.30 | 4.65 | 4.29 | 72.89  | 13.84 | 23.06 | 20.07 |
| A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 65.86 | 10.85 | 1.26 | 4.67 | 4.57 | 83.21  | 14.62 | 24.35 | 21.53 |
| A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 67.82 | 10.99 | 1.23 | 5.02 | 4.94 | 89.32  | 14.94 | 24.89 | 22.31 |
| A <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 68.79 | 11.39 | 1.20 | 5.15 | 5.09 | 97.93  | 15.17 | 25.28 | 23.01 |
| A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 58.67 | 10.00 | 1.35 | 4.43 | 4.20 | 78.58  | 12.45 | 20.75 | 17.60 |
| A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 62.40 | 10.74 | 1.33 | 4.50 | 4.78 | 79.85  | 13.09 | 21.80 | 18.84 |
| A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 66.94 | 10.87 | 1.31 | 4.78 | 4.50 | 82.75  | 13.47 | 22.44 | 19.80 |
| A <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 69.82 | 10.55 | 1.29 | 5.05 | 5.05 | 86.15  | 13.95 | 23.24 | 20.99 |
| A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 58.67 | 13.02 | 1.17 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 95.33  | 16.27 | 27.11 | 24.52 |
| A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 68.35 | 12.14 | 1.12 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 103.50 | 17.78 | 29.62 | 27.22 |
| A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 71.89 | 13.10 | 1.03 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 106.63 | 17.82 | 29.68 | 27.68 |
| A <sub>4</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 72.95 | 13.68 | 0.92 | 5.16 | 5.16 | 110.25 | 20.16 | 33.59 | 31.60 |
| A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 65.86 | 11.60 | 1.31 | 4.49 | 4.56 | 85.35  | 15.97 | 26.61 | 24.11 |
| A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 66.94 | 12.07 | 1.20 | 4.54 | 4.49 | 92.77  | 16.11 | 26.84 | 24.56 |
| A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 67.82 | 13.13 | 1.11 | 4.83 | 5.16 | 101.64 | 16.65 | 27.73 | 25.59 |
| A <sub>5</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 68.79 | 12.26 | 1.07 | 5.06 | 4.84 | 110.00 | 17.51 | 29.16 | 27.01 |
| A <sub>6</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 71.11 | 12.44 | 1.24 | 4.06 | 4.06 | 97.38  | 17.43 | 29.04 | 26.13 |
| A <sub>6</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 71.77 | 11.72 | 1.26 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 94.35  | 17.18 | 28.62 | 25.89 |
| A <sub>6</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 72.10 | 12.47 | 1.11 | 5.08 | 5.03 | 107.11 | 17.31 | 28.83 | 26.30 |
| A <sub>6</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 72.59 | 13.13 | 1.03 | 5.08 | 5.05 | 108.82 | 18.56 | 30.93 | 28.56 |
| A <sub>7</sub> B <sub>1</sub> | 71.58 | 12.33 | 1.10 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 102.00 | 18.55 | 30.90 | 27.81 |
| A <sub>7</sub> B <sub>2</sub> | 72.04 | 12.88 | 1.06 | 5.09 | 4.91 | 94.85  | 18.68 | 31.11 | 28.18 |
| A <sub>7</sub> B <sub>3</sub> | 73.74 | 13.44 | 1.01 | 5.32 | 5.08 | 111.25 | 20.06 | 33.42 | 31.15 |
| A <sub>7</sub> B <sub>4</sub> | 75.40 | 13.86 | 1.07 | 5.18 | 5.77 | 115.50 | 21.57 | 35.94 | 33.61 |
| S.E. (±)                      | 1.80  | 0.56  | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 2.88   | 0.38  | 0.63  | 0.63  |
| CD at 5%                      | NS    | NS    | NS   | NS   | NS   | NS     | 1.09  | 1.82  | 1.81  |
| C <sub>1</sub>                | 71.97 | 12.92 | 1.22 | 4.77 | 4.32 | 87.56  | 18.36 | 30.59 | 27.66 |
| C <sub>2</sub>                | 51.26 | 10.10 | 1.36 | 4.20 | 3.80 | 63.78  | 12.32 | 20.52 | 17.23 |
| S.E. (±)                      | 1.80  | 0.56  | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 2.88   | 0.38  | 0.63  | 0.63  |
| CD at 5%                      | 5.20  | 1.62  | 0.14 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 8.32   | 1.09  | 1.82  | 1.81  |

**Total bulb yield (kg/plot)**

The data regarding to total bulb yield as influenced by time of application, different concentration levels of salicylic acid and recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> treatments were recorded for late *kharif* season of 2016 was presented in Table 4.1.

The total bulb yield recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 and recorded highest in treatment A<sub>7</sub> (19.71 kg/plot). The total bulb yield found significant due to concentration levels of SA (B) and recorded highest in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (17.36 kg/plot). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also recorded significant (18.36 kg/plot) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (12.32 kg/plot). The interactions effects were also found significant and treatment A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest (21.57 kg/plot).

Better efficacy of SA in garlic for increasing yield was also reported by Bideshki and Arvin (2010) [2]. Report on application of SA with increased final yield in Safflower also been reported by Zeid *et al.* (2009) [38], Hayat and Ahmad, (2007) [11], which might be due to accumulation of more chlorophyll content in SA treated plants, responsible for the improved fresh and dry matter accumulation there by final bulb yield (Bideshki and Arvin, 2010) [2].

**Total bulb yield (t/ha)**

The total bulb yield recorded for late *kharif* season 2016 and recorded highest in treatment A<sub>7</sub> (32.84 t/ha). The total bulb yield found significant due to concentration levels of SA (B)

and recorded highest in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (28.92 t/ha). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also recorded significant (30.59 t/ha) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (20.52 t/ha). The interactions effect were also found significant in combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded (35.94 t/ha) at par with combination A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>3</sub> (33.42 t/ha).

#### Marketable bulb yield (t/ha)

The marketable bulb yield found significant for late *kharif* season 2016 and recorded highest for treatment A<sub>7</sub> (30.19 t/ha). The marketable bulb yield found significant due to concentration levels of SA (B) and recorded highest in treatment B<sub>4</sub> (26.73 t/ha). The treatment recommended dose C<sub>1</sub> also recorded significant (27.66 t/ha) over the control C<sub>2</sub> (17.23 t/ha). The interactions effect were also found significant and treatment A<sub>7</sub>B<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest (33.61 t/ha).

The increase in yield could be due to enhanced assimilation of nutrient uptake, better photosynthetic pigments and increased cell integrity which may contribute to increased plant height, number of leaves, bulb diameter and weight of bulb ultimately enhance the yield. The total yield in onion increased as compared to control due to application of SA as foliar spray on leaves of onion. The deposited photosynthetic products were responsible for increased average weight of bulb and also polar and equatorial diameter of bulb that leads to be increased total yield in onion as compared to control. Thus the SA application is beneficial to onion crop. These findings were also supported by various scientists *viz.*, Ibrahim and Sanna (2005) [13], Amin *et al.*, (2007) [1], Prajapati *et al.* (2016) [28], Pradhan *et al.* (2016) [27] and Nangare (2017) [23] and Dixit *et al.*, (2018) [5] in onion. Similar results were also reported in garlic crop by Bideshki and Arvin (2010) [2], Meena *et al.* (2016) [21] in garlic. The increased weight in tomato fruit were also reported by Yildirim and Dursun (2009) [37].

Marketable yield depends upon how much less losses in total yield were observed due to premature bolting, twin bulb, rotten bulb and undersized bulb. Apart from total yield the marketable bulb yield is the most vital character on which income is mainly dependent. The higher marketable bulb in salicylic acid treated plot than the water spray might be due to lower percentage of premature bolters, doubles, rotten bulbs and undersized bulbs. Salicylic acid treatment gives higher marketable yield, these findings are closely related with Amin *et al.* (2007) [1] and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) [28] and Pradhan *et al.* (2016) [27] Nangare *et al.* (2017) [23] and Dixit *et al.*, (2018) [5] in onion, Bideshki and Arvin (2010) [2] and Meena *et al.* (2016) [21] in garlic

#### References

- Amin AA, Rashad EL-Sh M, EL-Abagy HMH. Physiological effect of indole-3-butyric acid and salicylic acid on growth, yield and chemical constituents of onion plants. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2007;3(11):1554-1563.
- Bideshki A, Arvin MJ. Effect of salicylic acid (SA) and drought stress on growth bulb yield and allicin content of garlic (*Allium sativum*) in field. *Plant Ecophysiology.* 2010;2:73-79.
- Carr JP, Lewsey MG, Palukaitis P. Signaling in induced resistance. *Advances in Virus Research.* 2010;76:57-121.
- Dixon RA. Natural product and plant disease resistance. *Nature.* 2001;411:843-847.
- Dixit S, Dubey AK, Dube HV. Studies on the efficiency of salicylic acid (SA) as foliar spray on growth, yield and yield components of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *IJABR.* 2018;8(2):238-240
- Eberhard S, Popova L, Pancheva T, Alexander U. Salicylic acid: Properties, Biosynthesis and Physiological role. *Bulg J.Plant Physiol.* 1989;23(1-2):85-93.
- El-Shairy AMEI, Amira HM. Effect of acetylsalicylic acid, Indole-3- Bytric acid and Gibberellic Acid on plant growth and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Aus. J. Basic and App. Sci.* 2009;3(4):3514-3523.
- El-Tayeb MA. Response of barley grains to the interactive effect of salinity and salicylic acid. *Plant Growth Regul.* 2005;45:215-224.
- Gawade B, Sirohi A. Induction of Resistance in Eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) by Salicylic Acid against Root-Knot Nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Ind. J. of Nematology.* 2011;41(2):201-205.
- Gharib FA. Effect of salicylic acid on the growth, metabolic activities and oil content of basil and marjoram. *Int. J. Agric. Bio.* 2006;4:485-492.
- Hayat S, Ahmad A. Salicylic acid a Plant Hormone. *Spring, 2007,* 401.
- Helgi Opik S, Rolfe A. The physiology of flowering plants. *Cam-bridge Uni. Press. Pl. Physiol,* 2005, 191.
- Ibrahim IS, Sanaa AMZ. Effect of naphthalene acetic acid, Salicylic acid and midine on growth and bulbing on onion plant. *Ann. Agril. Sci.* 2005;50(2):357-366.
- Jeykumar P, Velu G, Rajendran C, Amutha R, Savery MAJR, Chidambaram S. Varied responses of blackgram (vigna munga) to certain foliar applied chemicals and plant growth regulators. *Legume Res. Int. J.* 2008;31:105-109.
- Jones HA, Mann LK. Onion and their allies. *Botany, cultivation, and utilization Interscience, New York,* 1963.
- Khan W, Prithiviraj B, Smith DL. Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. *J. Plant Physiol.* 2003;160:485-492.
- Klessig DF, Malamy J. The salicylic acid signal in plants. *Plant Molecular Bio.* 1992;26:1439-1458.
- Koppad SR, Babelaishwar SB, Dharmatti PR, Math KK. Influence of Salicylic Acid on Growth and Bulb Yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2017;6(9):1732-1737.
- Lynn M, Charg T. The roles of plant phenolics in defence and communication during Agrobacterium and Rhizobium infection. *Molecular Plant Pathology.* 1991;11(5):705-719.
- Malamy J, Klessig DF. Salicylic acid and Plant Disease Resistance. *The Plant Journal.* 1992;2(5):643-654.
- Meena B, Arvindakshan K, Singh P, Yadav I, Patidar DK. Effect of soaking and foliar application of salicylic acid and ethrel on growth, yield and biochemical traits of garlic (*Allium sativum* L) cv.G-282. *Int. J. Farm Sci.* 2016;6(4):61-66.
- Mur LAJ, Bi YM, Darby RM, Firek S, Draper J. Compromising early salicylic acid accumulation delays the hypersensitive response and increases viral dispersal during lesion establishment in TMV-infected tobacco. *Plant Journal.* 1997;12:1113-1126.
- Nangare SB. Effect of salicylic acid on onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, PGI, MPKV, Rahuri (Maharashtra), 2017.
- Pierpoint WS. Salicylic acid and its derivatives in

- plants: medicines, metabolites and messenger molecules. Bot. Res. 1994;20:163-235.
25. Popova L, Pancheva T, Uzunova A. Salicylic acid, Properties, biosynthesis and physiological role. Blug. J Plant Physiol. 1997;23:85-93.
  26. Pradhan M. Effect of salicylic acid on growth, yield, disease pest reaction and quality of onion, 2014. <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in//1/94162>.
  27. Pradhan M, Tripathy P, Mandal P, Sahoo BB, Pradhan R, Mishra SP, *et al.* Effect of Salicylic Acid on Growth and Bulb Yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Int. J Bio-resource and Stress Manag. 2016;7(4):960-963.
  28. Prajapati S, Jain PK, Tiwari A. Effects of Salicylic acid (SA) and Azospirillum on growth and bulb yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red. Int. J Agril. Environ. and Biotech., IJAEB. 2016;9(3):393-402.
  29. Raskin I, Skubatz H, Tang W, Meeuse BJD. Salicylic acid levels in thermogenic and nonthermogenic plants. Ann. Bot. 1990;66:369-373.
  30. Senaratna T, Touchell D, Bunn E, Dixon K. Acetyl salicylic acid (Aspirin) and salicylic acid induce multiple stress tolerance in bean and tomato plants. Plant Growth Regul. 30:157161
  31. Shakirova FM, Sakhabutdinova AR, MV Berzukova, RA, Fatkhutdinova DR. Salicylic acid and salinity. Plant Sci., 164: 317. J Appl. Sci. Res. 2003;3(11):1554-1563.
  32. Shashikumar HM, Shashidhar TR. Effect of foliar application of growth regulators and bio-stimulant on growth and yield of onion vr. Bhima Shakti. Indian J Farm Sci. 2015;29(1):50-52.
  33. Singh B, Usha K. Salicylic acid induced physiological and biochemical changes in wheat seedlings under water stress. Plant Growth Regul. 2003;39:137-141.
  34. Szepesi A, Csiszar J, Bajkan S, Gemes K, Horvath F, Erdei L, *et al.* Role of salicylic acid pre-treatment on the acclimation of tomato plants to salt and osmotic stress. Acta Biol. Szegediensis. 2005;49:123-125.
  35. Tari I, Csiszar J, Szalai G, Horvath F, Pecsvaradi A, Kiss G, *et al.* Acclimation of tomato plants to salinity stress after a salicylic acid pretreatment. Acta Biol. Szegediensis. 2002;46:55-56.
  36. Vlot AC, Dempsey DA, Klessig DF. Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. Annual Review of Phytopathology. 2009;47:177-206.
  37. Yildirim E, Dursum A. Effect of foliar salicylic acid applications on plant growth and yield of tomato under greenhouse conditions. Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey. Acta Hort, 2009, 807.
  38. Zeid FA, El-Shihy O, Ghallab AEM, Ibrahim FEA. Effect of exogenous ascorbic acid wheat tolerance to salinity stress conditions. Arob J Biotechnology. 2009;12:149-174.