



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(7): 2147-2149
© 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 13-04-2022

Accepted: 23-06-2022

Dipak

Faculty of Agriculture Science
and allied Industries, Rama
University, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Ram Niwas

Faculty of Agriculture Science
and allied Industries, Rama
University, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Yogesh Kumar

Faculty of Agriculture Science
and allied Industries, Rama
University, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Effect of integrated weed management on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

Dipak, Ram Niwas and Yogesh Kumar

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Agriculture Research farm, Rama University, Kanpur during *rabi* seasons of 2021-22 to study the effect of Integrated weed management on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and 9 treatments. The treatments considered of T₁: Control, T₂: Straw mulch, T₃: Polyethelene Mulch, T₄: One hand weeding (40 DAS), T₅: Two hand weeding (30 and 45 DAS), T₆: Three hand weeding (30 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS), T₇: Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + One hand weeding (40 DAS), T₈: Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Two hand weeding (30&45 DAS and T₉: Pendimethalin @ 0.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Isoproturon @ 1.0 kg/ha (45 DAS). Among the weed management treatments, the growth and yield parameters of Indian mustard *viz.* plant height, number of primary and secondary branches per plant, dry matter weight per plant, leaf area, number of siliqua per plant, number of seeds per siliqua, 1000 seed weight, seed weight per plant, seed yield and stover yield per hectare, biological yield, Oil content, protein content were recorded highest with treatment T₆ - Three hand weeding (30 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS), followed by T₉, T₃, T₂, T₇, T₅, T₈ and T₄.

Henceforth, on the basis of this study, it can be revealed that application of treatment T₆ Three hand weeding (30 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS) give better yield advantage from mustard and it can be suggested to farmers for this region.

Keywords: Mulch, Pre-emergence, protein content and hand weeding

Introduction

According to Prain, Bailey, and Sinsky, Rai originated in China, and was spread to India from there. Together with India and Pakistan, China is the world's top producer of rapeseed and mustard. They produce nearly 90% of the world's entire output. Rapeseed and mustard, among the other oilseed crops grown in India, are important to the national economy since they rank second in terms of area and production behind groundnut. Among the various kinds of rapeseed and mustard grown in India, Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj and Cosson] is the most popular. Rapeseed and mustard are the second most widely grown oilseeds in India, accounting for 28.6% of total oilseed production, after groundnut, which accounts for 27.8% of the country's oilseed economy.

Oilseeds are the India's second largest agricultural commodities after cereals and accounts 13% of the gross cropped area and nearly 5% of Gross National Product and 10% of the value of all agricultural commodities. (Prabhu, Uma Keni, 2020) [11].

The mustard-growing zones of India have a wide range of agro-climatic conditions, and various rapeseed and mustard species are grown in different parts of the country. Mustard is a very promising crop in India's many agro-climatic areas due to its broad resilience to severe climatic conditions and great yield potential. Mustard oil is utilised in a variety of applications, including cooking and industry. Cake, the by-product left after extracting the oil, is useful feed for cattle and can also be used as manure.

Brassica species' seed and oil have a distinct pungency. This is due to presence of glucoside sinigrin [C₁₀H₁₆O₉NS₂K]. The percentage of oil fluctuates between 37 and 49 percent. Oil cake includes 25-30% oil, 5% nitrogen, 1.8% P₂O₅, and 1.2% K₂O. For cattle, green stems and leaves are a good supply of green feed. Young plant leaves are utilised as green vegetables because they provide sufficient sulphur and minerals in the diet. Mustard oil is used to soften leather in the tanning business.

The area and production of rapeseed and mustard in India has been rapidly increasing. India is the leading producer of rapeseed and mustard, both in terms of area and production in world.

Corresponding Author:

Dipak

Faculty of Agriculture Science
and allied Industries, Rama
University, Kanpur, Uttar
Pradesh, India

The country had a yellow revolution, with rapeseed and mustard production and productivity sky rocketing from 2.68 mt and 650 kg per ha in 1985-1986 to 6.96 mt and 1022 kg per ha in 1996-1997. Rajasthan is the leading producer of rapeseed-mustard, with Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, Gujarat, and Assam following closely behind. Orissa and Bihar are two other states with limited production.

Weeds should be kept out of rapeseed and mustard fields for the first 45-60 days, after which weed development is suppressed by the smothering effect. Weeds are responsible for 25-35 percent of crop loss. The most common Weeds found in mustard crops include *Chenopodium album*, *Lathyrus spp.*, *Anagallis arvensis*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Argemone mexicana*, and others. Depending on the weed infestation, one weeding and hoeing in rainfed areas and two weedings and hoeings in irrigated areas is usually sufficient. Weed competition for moisture, light, nutrients, and space is one of the key impediments to crop growth and productivity, as weed competes with the crop for moisture, light, nutrients, and space. Furthermore, they raise production costs, harbour insects, pests, and plant disease organisms, lower agricultural produce quality, and lower land prices. Mechanical hoeing and hand weeding are the most common methods of weed control. Not only that, but during peak crop growth, labour is scarce and labour costs are high due to farm labourers moving to industries for better and more secure income. The use of herbicides has better resulted in weed control, reduces the cost of cultivation and has resulted in the revolution of many conventional practices.

Material and Method

The experiment was carried out to study Effect of Integrated weed management on growth and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. during rabi season of 2021-22 at Agriculture Research farm, Rama university, Kanpur. The experimental soil was clay loam in texture. The experiment was carried out in randomized block design with 9 treatments and 3 replications. The treatments consisted T: Control, T₂: Straw mulch, T₃: Polyethylene Mulch, T₄: One hand weeding (40 DAS), T₅: Two hand weeding (30 and 45 DAS), T₆: Three hand weeding (30 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS), T₇: Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + One hand weeding (40 DAS), T₈ Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Two hand weeding (30&45 DAS and T₉: Pendimethalin @ 0.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Isoproturon @ 1.0 kg/ha (45 DAS). The sowing of mustard, variety Varuna was done on 15th November, 2021 with a spacing of 30 cm x 10 and harvested on 2th March, 2022. The crop was protected from insect-pest and diseases by spraying chemicals on time. The crop was irrigated as per water requirement. During the crop growing period, the weekly mean rainfall was ranged from 0.0 to 12.5 mm.

Result and Discussion

Effect of different integrated weed control practices on growth, yield and quality attributes of mustard

Effect on Growth Parameters

Three hand weeding (30 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS) produced significantly higher plant height than the other weed management methods (174.66 cm). However, it was

comparable to the treatments straw mulch (T₂) Polyethelity mulch (T₃), Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + One hand weeding (40 DAS) T₇ (having plant height of 170.33, 169.467 and 169 respectively. Similar result was reported by Sah *et al.* (2013) [13] Significantly increased dry weight per plant (44. 81 g) was obtained by the application (T₆). Such type of result was reported by Bhojal and Yaduraju (2002) Three hand weeding (30 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS), resulted in a significantly higher number of primary branches per plant (9.66). However, it was comparable to other treatments, with the treatment (unweeded check) (T₁) having significantly fewer primary branches (6.33). Similarly, the number of secondary branches was also highest in T₆ and lowest in the unweeded check plot (control). The result are conformity with Chauhan *et al.* (2004) [6].

Effect on Yield Attributes

The treatment hand weeding (T₆) had the highest number of siliquae per plant (217.00), while the treatment unweeded check (T₁) had the lowest amount of siliquae (105.00). The data obtained are also good accordance with the data reported by Degra *et al.* 2011 [11] The treatment hand weeding (T₆) produced the longest siliquae (6.83cm), which was statistically comparable to the treatments Pendimethalin @ 0.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + Isoproturon @ 1.0 kg/ha (45 DAS) (T₉), Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + One hand weeding (40 DAS), Straw Mulch (T₂), Pendimethalin @ 1.5 kg/ha (pre-emergence) + One hand weeding (40 DAS) (having length of siliqua 6.73, 6.30and 6.23). The smallest length of siliquae was 4.30 cm in the treatment Control (T₁). Similar result was recorded by Bhullar *et al.* (2012) [4]. Because of the numerous treatments used in this experiment, the number of seeds per siliqua varied greatly. The treatment three hand weeding (T₆) had the highest number of seeds per siliqua (13.00), whereas the treatment unweeded check (T₁) had the lowest number of seeds per siliqua (7.66). The effects of different treatments on test weight were considerable. Under three hand weeding, the maximum test weight (4.46g) was recorded followed by T₃, T₈, T₉ and T₇. Weight for treatment T₁ (control) was 3.26 g. The diverse weed control methods used in this experiment had a substantial impact on the yield of Indian mustard. The three hand weeded (T₆) showed its superiority by yielding 52.40 q per ha, which was significantly higher than the other treatments. However, statistically, it was comparable to the remaining treatments. The diverse weed control methods used in this experiment had a substantial impact on the seed output of Indian mustard. The three hand weeded (T₆) showed its superiority by seed yield 17.20 q ha, which was substantially greater. Similar result was reported by Prithivi raj *et al.* (2020) [12]. The treatment hand weeding (T₆) demonstrated its superiority by recording a substantially greater biological yield of 69.43 q per ha, whereas the treatment T₁ recorded the significantly lowest biological yield (26.50 q per ha) (Control). Different treatments used in this study had a substantial impact on harvest index. Hand weeding (T₆) produced a significantly higher harvest index (33.69%), which was comparable to the other treatments. The harvest index for treatment T₇ (unweeded check) was substantially lower (26.99%). This result is accordance with Barborial *et al.* (2017) [3].

Table 1: Effect of treatments on growth and yield and quality parameters

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Dry matter per plant (g)	No. of primary branches	No. of secondary branches	No. of Siliqua per plant	Siliqua length (cm)	No. of seeds per siliqua	Test weight (g)	Seed yield (q per ha)	Stover yield (q per ha)	Biological yield (q per ha)	Harvest index (%)	Oil content	Protein Content (%)
T1	116.60	33.54	6.33	11.66	105.00	4.30	7.66	3.26	6.70	19.80	26.50	25.28	34.367	14.003
T2	170.33	40.56	8.33	17.33	141.00	6.30	11.66	4.00	12.80	44.30	57.20	22.41	39.800	18.467
T3	169.46	41.45	7.66	21.00	199.33	5.93	12.00	4.36	12.90	48.20	61.10	21.11	40.667	20.533
T4	165.03	36.68	6.66	17.33	158.66	5.53	10.00	3.93	10.00	44.30	54.30	18.40	38.967	17.600
T5	167.70	37.48	6.66	18.66	173.33	5.23	10.33	4.03	10.93	45.00	55.93	19.23	39.067	19.600
T6	174.66	44.81	9.66	23.00	239.00	6.83	13.00	4.46	17.20	52.24	69.43	24.70	43.000	22.367
T7	169.83	41.93	7.33	19.00	179.00	6.23	11.33	4.03	13.66	42.50	56.16	24.33	39.433	20.733
T8	167.90	42.52	7.66	18.00	186.66	5.76	11.33	4.33	14.56	43.36	57.93	25.14	40.667	21.633
T9	167.90	43.52	7.33	20.33	217.00	6.73	10.66	4.06	15.96	49.93	65.90	24.23	41.900	20.500
S.Em	0.81	0.18	0.40	0.69	1.00	0.23	0.52	0.06	0.14	0.41	0.45	0.24	NS	1.432
CD at 5%	2.46	0.54	1.21	2.09	3.03	0.72	1.59	0.18	0.44	1.24	1.37	NS	0.390	0.474

Effect on Quality parameters

Quality parameters viz. Oil content and protein content were significantly influenced with the application of different treatments. The treatment with application of The three hand weeded (T₆) produced significantly maximum oil content (43.00%) followed by T₉, T₃, T₂, T₇, T₅, T₈ and T₄. These result are closely conformity with Kumar *et al.* 2012. Whereas minimum oil content was recorded in Control. When crop receiving three hand hoeing produced maximum protein content 22.36% followed by T₉, T₃, T₂, T₇, T₅, T₈ and T₄. Whereas minimum protein content (14.003%)b was found in control. Similar result was reported by Patel *et al.* (2013).

References

- Banga RS, Yadav A. Evaluation of herbicides against complex weed flora in Indian mustard. Haryana Journal of Agronomy. 2001;17:48-51.
- Bazaya BR, Kachroo D, Jat, RK. Integrated weed management in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Indian J Weed Sci. 2004;36(3/4):290-292.
- Bamboriya SD, Kaushik MK, Bamboriya SD, Kumawat Priyanka. Effect of weed management on yield and nutrient uptake in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2017;9(2):1107-1111.
- Bhullar MS, Kaur S, Kaur T, Singh T, Bhatia RK. Tolerance of canola gobisarson cultivar to pre-emergence of herbicides. In Biennial Conference of ISWS held at KAU, Thrissur on Weed Threat to Agriculture Biodiversity and Environment, 2012, pp. 122
- Chaudhary SU, Hussain M, Iqbal J. Effect of different herbicides on weed control and yield of canola (*Brassica napus*). Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011;49:483.
- Chauhan YS, Bhargava UK, Jain VK. Weed management in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2005;50:149-150
- Degra ML, Pareek BL, Shivran RK, Jat RD. Integrated weed management in Indian mustard and its residual effect on succeeding fodder pearl millet. Indian Journal, 2011.
- Kalita S, Mundra SL, Solanki NS, Sharma NK. Weed management and nitrogen application for improved yield of mustard. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2017;49(1):85-87.
- Degra ML, Pareek BL, Shivran RK, Jat RD. Integrated Weed Management in Indian Mustard and its Residual Effect on Succeeding Fodder Pearl Millet. Indian J Weed Sci. 2011;43(1 & 2):73-76
- Nepalia V, Jain GL. Effect of weed control and sulphur on yield on Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) and their residual effects on summer green gram (*Phaseolus radiates*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2000;45:483-488
- Prabhu, Uma Keni. Stimulus needed for the growth of India's edible oilseed economy, in TSG Sunday Guardian Live, 2020.
- Prithvi Raj, Ram Pratap Singh, Pradeep Kumar Kanaujiya, Ravikesh Kumar Pal, Naveen Kumar Maurya. Effect of integrated weed management on growth parameters and yield attributes of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(1):2323-2326
- Sah D, Sewak R, Singh AK, Swami S. Growth, yield and profitability of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) with different weed control measure and sulphur levels. Agricultural Sciences Digest. 2013;33:116-120.