www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(7): 2531-2534 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 02-03-2022 Accepted: 03-06-2022

#### S Pooja Shree

Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

K Ajith Kumar ADR and Special officer, Regional Research Station, Ambalavayal, Kerala, India

Corresponding Author: S Pooja Shree Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkote, Karnataka, India

# Effect of varying levels of nutrients on growth and yield of pineapple cv. Amritha

# S Pooja Shree and K Ajith Kumar

#### Abstract

A study on the effect of varying levels of nutrients on the growth and yield of pineapple cv. Amritha was conducted at Fruits Crop Research Station, Vellanikkara during 2018-2019. Six treatments comprising of varying levels of NPK based on soil test results were carried in RBD with four replications. Adhoc organic POP recorded the maximum length of 'D' leaf and 'D' leaf area. Application of 75 percent higher than the modified POP based on soil test results (16.5:20:19.2 N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O g plant<sup>-1</sup>) was observed to produce maximum fruit weight, length, girth and breadth of the fruit, pulp weight and the highest yield per hectare (51.99 t/ha).

**Keywords:** Pineapple, Amritha, Nutrients, Adhoc organic POP, 'D' leaf area, Fruit weight, Length of the fruit, Yield per hectare

### Introduction

Amritha, is the pineapple hybrid released from the Pineapple Research Centre, Vellanikkara, under Kerala Agricultural University (KAU), as the cross between Kew and Ripley Queen. The fruit yield and shape are comparable with that of female parent, Kew. Fruit quality attributes like flesh colour, flavour and sweetness are comparable with male parent Ripley Queen. Amritha fruits are harvested 13-15 months after planting. Crop is less susceptible to pest and disease incidence. The cylindrical fruits are tapering slightly from the base, weighing 1.5-2 kg and crown weighing 80-100 g. They are green when unripe and changes to yellow during ripening. It tastes good with high TSS (18.3%) and low acidity (0.2%). When grown under the existing POP recommendation of KAU the fruit weight was varying from 0.5 - 1 kg per plant. Therefore, there is a need to develop optimum nutrient doses for ensuring higher productivity of pineapple cv. Amritha.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted at Fruits Crop Research Station, Vellanikkara during 2018-2019. The experiment was laid out in RBD with six treatments and four replications. ( $T_1$ -POP recommendation of KAU (8:4:8 N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O g plant<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>2</sub>-Modified based on soil test results (9.39:11.45:11.36 N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O g plant<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub>-25 percent higher than the modified POP based on soil test results (11.7:14.31:14.31 N, P2O5, K2O g plant<sup>-1</sup>), T4-50 percent higher than the modified POP based on soil test results (14.08: 17.17: 17.03 N,  $P_2O_5$ ,  $K_2O$  g plant<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_5$ -75 percent higher than the modified POP based on soil test results (16.4:20.03:19.13 N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>,  $K_2O$  g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_6$ -adhoc organic POP. Healthy suckers weighing 500 g were planted at a spacing of 45 cm x 30 cm during the month of April. Organic manure (FYM) was incorporated into the soil prior to planting @ 50 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup>. Full dose of  $P_2O_5$  was applied at the time of planting. Nitrogen and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied in 3 split doses, at the time of planting, during August - September and in March of the second year. In case of adhoc organic POP ( $T_6$ ), FYM @ 500 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, rock phosphate @ 20 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, bone meal @ 50 g plant<sup>-1</sup> were applied at the time of planting and 250 g cow dung, 50 g neem cake, 50g groundnut cake, 1g Azospirillum and PGPR mix 1 were applied six weeks after planting. 1.5 g of SOP in liquid form was applied along with cow dung solution at an interval of 6, 10, 14, 18, 22 and 30 weeks after planting for each plant (KAU, 2013).

Observations were recorded monthly for vegetative parameters *viz.*, plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, length and breadth of 'D' leaf (cm), 'D' leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>) and leaf area index. Yield parameters recorded were fruit weight (kg), length of the fruit (cm), girth of the fruit (cm), breadth of the fruit (cm), yield per hectare (t/ha), pulp weight (kg), pulp percentage (%), harvest index and crown weight (kg).

## **Results and Discussion**

The plant height was not significantly influenced by different levels of nutrients. This was a deviation from the finding of Tay (1975) <sup>[10]</sup>, Vilela-Morales *et al.* (1977) <sup>[12]</sup> where there was a positive effect on plant height with the application N, P and K. Application of different treatments on number of leaves per plant had no significant effect. Razzaque and Hanafi (2001) <sup>[7]</sup> reported negative influence on growth parameters upon application of higher rates of potassium.

The length of 'D' leaf was not influenced by different treatments up to seven months after planting. However, at eight months after planting,  $T_6$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_1$  were on par and  $T_6$  was superior to  $T_4$  which was on par with  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ . Similar trend was reported where, increased application of N doses increased the D-leaf length of pineapple cv. Victoria (Pereira da Silva *et al.*, 2012). However, the width of 'D' leaf was not influenced significantly by application of different levels of nutrients. In case of 'D' leaf area, there was no significant effect up to nine months after planting. But from ten months after planting had a significant effect on 'D' leaf area. Application of different levels of nutrients did not have any significant effect on leaf area index.

Mean fruit weight was significantly influenced by the application of different levels of nutrients. Treatment  $T_5$  (16.4:20.03:19.13 N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O g plant<sup>-1</sup>) recorded the maximum fruit weight (0.98 kg) which was significantly

superior. It indicates that increased application of N, P<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>2</sub>O increased the average fruit weight as observed by Abutiate and Eyeson, 1973<sup>[1]</sup>. Similar trend was also reported in pineapple cv. Kew (Singh *et al.*, 1977)<sup>[8]</sup> and cv. Pernambuco (Vilela-Morales *et al.*, 1977)<sup>[12]</sup>. The fruit length and breadth increased with increased application of different levels of nutrients. The maximum length (14.15 cm), girth (30.79 cm) and breadth (30.33 cm) of the fruit was recorded by treatment T5. The probable reason for this may be due to increased level of nitrogen application (Omotoso and Akinrinde, 2013)<sup>[5]</sup>. It was also reported that application of phosphorous influenced fruit weight and length (Buzzetti et al., 1986)<sup>[2]</sup>.

The yield per hectare was significantly influenced by the application of different levels of nutrients. Results revealed that  $T_5$  recorded the highest yield (51.99 t/ha) and was significantly superior compared to the other treatments. This may be due to application of higher doses of N, P and K. Teixeira *et al.* (2011) <sup>[10]</sup> reported that application of potassium fertilizers increased the fruit yield. Singh *et al.* (1977) <sup>[8]</sup> observed increased fruit yield with the increased application of nitrogen in pineapple cv. Kew. Because nitrogen was the primary single limiting nutrient in pineapple production (Spironello *et al.*, 2004) <sup>[9]</sup>.

Pulp weight was significantly affected by the application of different levels of nutrients. T<sub>5</sub> recorded highest value for pulp weight (0.402 kg). This may be due to high fruit weight acquired by the application of increased levels of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O (Caetano *et al.*, 2013) <sup>[3]</sup>. There was no significant difference observed among the different treatments for pulp percentage and harvest index. Hence application of 16.5:20:19.2 N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O g plant<sup>-1</sup> had a significant effect on growth and yield parameters of pineapple cv. Amritha.

						Plant he	ight (cm)					
Treatments	Months after planting (MAP)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T1	35.8	36.2	39.4	49.7	54.3	56.0	57.4	59.3	59.2	59.9	61.3	63.5
T2	38.8	41.3	45.1	49.5	53.1	56.0	58.2	60.3	61.4	62.6	64.5	66.8
T3	37.5	39.0	43.8	49.2	53.1	56.3	57.9	59.7	60.5	62.1	64.0	66.7
T4	37.1	39.2	44.2	50.2	54.7	57.4	59.9	62.3	62.8	64.5	66.1	68.4
T5	39.1	41.8	44.7	51.5	55.1	58.9	62.0	63.9	64.4	66.1	67.7	70.0
T6	39.2	41.2	48.1	50.9	52.9	54.6	56.1	57.4	58.8	60.8	63.0	65.3
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1: Effect of treatments on plant height of pineapple cv. Amritha

NS - Non significant

Table 2: Effect of treatments on number of leaves per plant of pineapple cv. Amritha

	No of leaves per plant											
Treatments	Months after planting (MAP)   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12											
											11	12
T1	18.5	22.8	25.6	25.7	25.9	29.6	29.6	31.1	32.0	32.2	32.7	33.7
T2	20.0	24.1	26.6	26.5	27.0	30.1	30.1	32.9	33.2	34.4	35.3	35.6
T3	22.0	22.1	25.1	26.4	27.3	30.5	30.5	32.2	33.9	33.9	34.3	34.6
T4	19.7	24.1	27.0	27.8	28.6	32.6	32.6	34.7	36.0	36.5	37.1	37.3
T5	19.2	23.2	26.8	27.3	27.9	31.0	31.0	33.2	35.0	35.5	36.1	36.2
T6	18.4	21.8	25.4	25.9	24.7	29.2	29.2	32.4	33.0	34.7	35.2	36.4
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS - Non significant

	Length of 'D' leaf(cm)											
Treatments	Months after planting (MAP)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T1	27.8	29.6	34.5	39.8	43.5	45.7	44.2	41.1	41.1	41.6	42.9	43.7
T2	27.9	30.7	32.7	40.3	45.7	46.3	42.6	39.3	40.2	40.9	42.1	42.9
T3	28.2	29.9	30.6	39.3	44.2	43.6	41.8	38.9	40.5	40.5	41.8	42.8
T4	29.1	30.0	32.3	40.0	43.9	45.9	38.2	38.5	39.5	40.2	41.3	42.2
T5	28.8	30.2	30.3	39.5	46.0	46.5	41.0	40.9	41.9	43.1	44.0	45.1
T6	30.2	32.6	32.7	39.1	42.6	42.9	43.1	44.3	46.0	47.6	49.8	51.4
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	3.54	3.13	3.38	3.01	3.18

Table 3: Effect of treatments on	length of 'D'	' leaf of pineapple cv.	Amritha
----------------------------------	---------------	-------------------------	---------

NS – Non significant

# Table 4: Effect of treatments on breadth of 'D' leaf of pineapple cv. Amritha

		Breadth of 'D' leaf(cm)											
Treatments	Treatments Months after planting (MAP)												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
T1	1.82	2.02	2.05	2.35	2.77	2.75	2.80	2.82	3.05	3.30	3.66	3.66	
T2	1.80	2.03	1.85	2.21	2.57	2.70	2.70	2.65	3.09	3.34	3.58	3.58	
T3	1.77	1.69	1.73	2.20	2.75	2.82	2.62	2.60	2.97	3.36	3.60	3.60	
T4	1.94	2.14	1.94	2.27	2.65	2.85	2.77	2.80	3.08	3.33	3.60	3.60	
T5	1.94	2.14	1.95	2.22	2.65	2.77	2.75	2.77	2.98	3.27	3.54	3.54	
T6	1.78	1.99	2.04	2.55	2.82	2.82	2.65	2.67	2.98	3.27	3.55	3.55	
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

NS - Non significant

						Leaf are	ea index					
Treatments	Months after planting (MAP)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T1	0.53	0.63	0.73	0.83	0.93	1.03	1.23	1.33	1.43	1.73	2.03	2.33
T2	0.55	0.65	0.75	0.85	0.95	1.05	1.25	1.35	1.45	1.75	2.05	2.35
T3	0.55	0.65	0.75	0.85	0.95	1.05	1.25	1.35	1.45	1.75	2.05	2.35
T4	0.52	0.62	0.72	0.82	0.92	1.02	1.22	1.32	1.42	1.72	2.02	2.32
T5	0.61	0.71	0.81	0.91	1.01	1.11	1.31	1.41	1.51	1.81	2.11	2.41
T6	0.64	0.74	0.84	0.94	1.04	1.14	1.34	1.44	1.54	1.84	2.14	2.44
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

NS – Non significant

# Table 6: Effect of treatments on 'D' leaf area of pineapple cv. Amritha

						'D' leaf	area(cm	<sup>2</sup> )				
Treatments	tments Months after planting (MAP)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T1	36.85	43.38	52.04	52.04	88.26	91.95	90.42	84.65	91.24	99.51	114.1	125.6
T2	36.48	45.41	44.65	44.65	85.40	90.94	83.57	75.56	90.23	99.35	109.4	125.0
T3	36.19	36.78	39.00	39.00	88.81	89.42	79.13	73.41	87.28	98.63	108.9	123.0
T4	41.12	46.78	45.66	45.66	84.91	94.80	76.95	78.26	88.33	97.51	107.8	124.3
T5	40.69	47.15	42.75	42.75	89.48	94.34	81.82	82.30	90.69	102.76	113.1	135.6
T6	38.99	47.00	48.34	48.34	87.63	88.09	83.31	86.43	99.78	113.77	128.7	155.2
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	10.56	10.56	10.93

NS – Non significant

Table 7: Effect o	f treatments	on fruit	characters of	of pineapple	cv. Amritha
-------------------	--------------	----------	---------------	--------------	-------------

Treatments	Fruit weight (kg)	Length of the fruit (cm)	Girth of the fruit (cm)	Breadth of the fruit (cm)	Pulp weight (g)	Pulp percentage (%)	Crown weight (kg)	Yield (t/ha)	Harvest index
T1	0.55	12.10	27.04	26.41	259	46.83	99.49	32.33	28.7
T2	0.66	11.92	27.49	26.72	255	38.26	102.47	38.58	29.7
T3	0.71	12.32	27.89	27.32	283	39.99	106.72	34.24	29.6
T4	0.85	12.85	29.26	28.77	315	37.31	106.50	39.58	29.1
T5	0.98	14.15	30.79	30.33	402	41.05	118.54	51.99	30.4
T6	0.74	13.74	28.29	27.83	332	44.38	102.75	42.57	29.3
CD (0.05)	0.101	0.693	1.307	1.333	0.037	NS	9.577	4.144	NS

NS – Non significant

#### References

- 1. Abutitate WS, Eyeson KK. The response of pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L.) Merr. Var. Smooth Cayenne to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the forest zone of Ghana. Ghana J of Agri. Sci. 1973;6:155-159.
- Buzetti S, Bianco S, de Souza Corrêa L, Martins AB, Mattioli CH. Doses of N, P, K and micronutrients in pineapple crop. Pesq. Agropecu. Bras. 1986;21(12):1249-1252.
- Caetano LCS, Ventura JA, Costa ADFSD, Guarçoni RC. Effect of fertilization with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth, yield and fruit quality of pineapple 'Victoria'. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2013;35(3):883-890.
- 4. KAU. Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops (15th ed). Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Thrissur, 2016, 392p.
- Omotoso SO, Akinrinde EA. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on some growth, yield and fruit quality parameters in pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L. Merr.) plant at Ado-Ekiti Southwestern, Nigeria. Int. Res. J Agr. Sci. Soil Sci. 2013;3(1):11-16.
- Pereira da Silva AL, da Silva AP, de Souza AP, Santos D, Silva SDM, da Silva VB. Response of pineapple vines to nitrogen rates in coastal tableland soils of Paraíba. Braz. J Soil Sci, 2012, 447-456.
- 7. Razzaque AHM, Hanafi MM. Effect of potassium on growth, yield and quality of pineapple in tropical peat. Fruits. 2001;56(1):45-49.
- Singh HP, Dass HC, Ganapathy KM, Subramanian TR. Nitrogen requirement of pineapple under irrigated and rainfed conditions. Indian J of Hortic. 1977;34(4):377-384.
- Spironello A, Quaggio JA, Teixeira LAJ, Furlani PR, Sigrist JMM. Pineapple yield and fruit quality effected by NPK fertilization in a tropical soil. Rev. Bras. Frutic. 2004;26(1):155-159.
- 10. Tay TH. Effects of nitrogen and potassium on the growth, mean fruit weight and fruit quality of pineapple [Malaysia]. Mardi Research Bulletin, 1975.
- 11. Teixeira LAJ, Quaggio JA, Cantarella H, Mellis EV. Potassium fertilization for pineapple: effects on plant growth and fruit yield. Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura. 2011;33(2):618-626.
- Vilela-Morales EA, Costa RA, Andersen O. Response of pineapple (*Ananas comosus* Merr.) growing in savanna soil of Brasilia to levels of N, P and K [Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium]. Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society (USA), 1977.