



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(7): 910-912
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 01-04-2022
Accepted: 10-06-2022

Nirmal Kumar

Department of Environmental Science, School of Forestry and Environment, Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural, Technology & Sciences, Formerly Allahabad Agricultural Institute, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Satyendra Nath

Assistant Professor, Department of Environmental Science, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Pardeep Kumar

SMS, Department of Agrometeorology, KVK Hanumangarh-1, Rajasthan, India

Vinod Kumar

Department of Agronomy, MJRP University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Rajveer

SMS, Department of Soil Science, SKRAU Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Arvind

Department of Agronomy, MJRP University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author:

Nirmal Kumar

Department of Environmental Science, School of Forestry and Environment, Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural, Technology & Sciences, Formerly Allahabad Agricultural Institute, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Effect of different phosphorus levels on growth, yield and heat use of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

Nirmal Kumar, Satyendra Nath, Pardeep Kumar, Vinod Kumar, Rajveer and Arvind

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2015 at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Environmental Science, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.) to conclude the experiment on the title effect of phosphorus levels on growth, yield and heat use of green gram (*Vigna Radiata* L.) under climatic condition of Prayagraj in factorial Randomized Block Design with nine treatment combinations and replicated thrice. The results revealed that highest plant height (75.81 cm), number of branches (4.00), number of nodules (65.27). Yield and yield attributes *viz.*, number of pods plant⁻¹ (31.53), Test weight (44g), seed yield (1497 kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (2920 kg ha⁻¹), harvest index (42.45%) were highest recorded in treatment T₃ (75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹). Treatment combination T₇ (25 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹) require more GDD to reach flowering (959.00) and maturity (1540.70).

Keywords: Phosphorus, green gram, GDD, *Vigna radiata* L.

Introduction

Pulses are the main source of protein particularly for vegetarians and contribute about 14 per cent of the total protein of average Indian diet. Production of pulses in the country is far below the requirement to meet even the minimum level per capita consumption. The per capita availability in pulses dwindling fast from 35.0 g capita⁻¹ day⁻¹ in 2005 as against the minimum requirement of 84 g day⁻¹ capita⁻¹ prescribed by ICMR, which is causing malnutrition among the growing people (Anonymous, 2005-06) [2]. Pulse production is very low and become challenging problem against the requirement of increasing population of our country.

Green gram locally called as moong or mung [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. As it belongs to the family Leguminaceae so it has the capacity to fix atmospheric nitrogen. It's one of the important *kharif* pulse crops of India which can be grown as catch crop between *rabi* and *kharif* seasons. India alone accounts for 65% of its world acreage and 54% of the total production. It is grown on about 3.50 m ha in the country mainly in Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Orissa and Bihar. A phenomenal increase in area, production and productivity has occurred since 1964-65. The area has increased from 1.99 million ha in 1964-65 to 3.54 million ha in 2010-2011. The production has increased from 0.60 million tonnes to 1.81 million tonnes during the same period. Throughout the India, the mungbean is used for different purposes. The major portion is utilized in making *dal*, soup, sweets and snacks (Anonymous, 2012) [2].

In India, production of pulses is around 13.5-15 million tonnes during the last decade, while annual domestic demand is 18-19 million tonnes. The yield of pulses has remained virtually stagnant for the last 40 year (539 kg ha⁻¹ in 1961 to 544 kg ha⁻¹ in 2001 to 696 kg ha⁻¹ in 2013-14). India is short of supply by 2 to 3 million tonnes annually (FAOSTAT, 2013-14).

Phosphorus is the second most important nutrient that must be added to the soil to maintain plant growth and sustain crop yield. Phosphorus plays a vital role in photosynthesis, respiration, energy storage, cell elongation and improves the quality of crops. Deficient plants may have thin, erect and spindly stems and leaves turn into bluish-green colour. It stimulates early root development and growth and there by helps to establish seedlings quickly. It enhances the activity of *Rhizobium* and increased the formation of root nodules. Thus, it helps in fixing more of atmosphere nitrogen in root nodules field are: un-awareness of farmers about optimum date of sowing, improper planting patterns, insufficient plant protection measures and imbalanced use of fertilizers. Among these factors, proper sowing time and planting patterns are of great importance.

Early sowing invites a large number of insect pests and diseases, while late sowing fetches lesser grain yield due to short growing season and ultimately lesser accumulation of photosynthesis (Quresh & Rahim, 1987) [10].

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during *kharif* season 2015 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Environmental Science, School of Forestry and Environment, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.), which is located at 25°24' 42" N latitude, 81° 50' 56" E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. This area is situated on the right side of the river Yamuna by the side of Allahabad Rewa Road about 5 km away from Allahabad city. It consists of three levels of phosphorus 25, 50, 75 kg ha⁻¹. The soil was sandy loam in texture, the pH of the soil was slightly alkaline in reaction (7.4), it was low in organic carbon (0.32%), low in available nitrogen content (188.3 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (34.5 kg ha⁻¹), low in available potassium (87.3 kg ha⁻¹) and low in available sulphur (6 ppm) contents. The full dose of phosphorus in the form of single super phosphate was applied at 25, 50, 75 kg ha⁻¹ at the time of sowing as per the treatments. The recommended dose of nitrogen and potassium were applied at the rate of 20:20 kg. N and K₂O per hectare in the form of urea and muriate of potash. Treatments were T1-(P1) @ 50% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T2 - (P2) @ 100% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T3-(P3) @ 150% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T4 - (P1) @ 50% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T5-(P2) 100% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T6- (P3) @ 150% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T7-(P1) @ 50% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T8 - (P2) @ 100% Phosphorus ha⁻¹, T9-(P3) @ 150% Phosphorus ha⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Interaction effect of phosphorus on growth parameter on green gram: The interaction effects of different levels of phosphorus on plant height was non-significant at 15 DAS and 30 DAS, but it was significant at 45 DAS and 60 DAS. The maximum plant height recorded at 15, 30, 45, 60 DAS was 10.33, 20.63, 45.83, 75.81 cm respectively in T₃-75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹. The increase in plant height of green gram with increasing rate of phosphorus application also reported by Owla *et al.* (2007) [7] and Ali *et al.* (2010) [4].

The interaction effects of different levels of phosphorus on number of branches was significant at 45 DAS and 60 DAS. The maximum number of branches recorded at 45, 60 DAS was 3.86, 4.00 respectively in T₃- 75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹.

The interaction effects of different levels of phosphorus on number of nodules was non-significant at 15, 30, 45, 60 DAS. The maximum number of nodules recorded at 15, 30, 45, 60 DAS was 6.67, 30.60, 65.27, 24.67 respectively in T₃- 75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹. The increase in number of nodules of green gram with increasing rate of phosphorus application also reported by Owla *et al.* (2007) [7] and Ali *et al.* (2010) [4] and Ram and Dixit (2001) [9].

The number of nodules affected of different levels of phosphorus. The third level of phosphorus is best level comparison to 25 kg and 50 kg ha⁻¹ (Fraz *et al.*, 2006) [8].

The interaction effects of different levels of phosphorus on plant dry weight was non-significant at 30, 60 DAS but it was significant at 45 DAS. The highest dry weight was recorded at 30, 45, 60 DAS was 1.64, 10.64, 30.92 respectively in T₃- 75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹. The increase in number of nodules of green gram with increasing rate of phosphorus application also reported by Ali *et al.* (2010) and Owla *et al.* (2007) [7] and Ram and Dixit (2001) [9].

Interaction effects of phosphorus on yields attributes and yield of green gram

The interaction effects of different levels of phosphorus on number of pods plant⁻¹, straw yield, and test weight was non-significant. The highest number of pods, straw yield and test weight recorded 31.53, 27.56, 42.80 respectively in T₃- 75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹.

The interaction effects of different levels of phosphorus on number of grains pod⁻¹, seed yield, and harvest index was significant. The highest number of grain pod⁻¹, seed yield and harvest index recorded 12.80, 13.33, 42.80 respectively in T₃- 75 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹. The increase in number of grains pod⁻¹, seed yield, straw yield of green gram with increasing rate of phosphorus application also reported by Owla *et al.* (2007) [7] and Ali *et al.* (2010) [4], Bairwa *et al.* (2014) and Ram and Dixit (2001) [9]. The number of grains affected of different levels of phosphorus. The third level of phosphorus is best level comparison to 25 kg and 50 kg ha⁻¹ (Fraz *et al.*, 2006 Malik *et al.*, 2006) [8].

Table 1: Interaction table on effect of different levels of phosphorus and yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

Treatment combination	Plant height(cm)				Number of branches		Number of nodules				Plant dry weight (g)			Number of pods plant ⁻¹	Number of grain pods ⁻¹
	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	60 DAS	60 DAS
T ₁ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	9.80	18.72	40.80	70.47	2.70	2.70	6.20	28.30	52.50	16.01	1.40	7.37	22.60	22.80	9.87
T ₂ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	10.07	19.80	44.67	74.53	3.32	3.32	6.37	29.93	59.40	20.87	1.46	8.59	26.10	25.53	11.00
T ₃ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	10.33	20.63	45.83	75.81	3.86	4.00	6.67	30.60	65.27	24.67	1.64	10.64	30.92	31.53	12.80
T ₄ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	8.40	16.00	37.03	68.37	2.44	2.63	6.10	27.97	50.40	15.57	1.23	7.17	22.19	20.40	8.51
T ₅ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	8.60	17.57	39.30	70.77	3.04	3.26	6.20	29.20	57.03	19.83	1.31	8.33	25.69	24.07	9.40
T ₆ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	9.04	18.13	41.67	72.27	3.63	3.73	6.27	30.17	63.27	22.33	1.45	9.45	29.87	28.00	11.37
T ₇ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	7.60	15.04	35.80	67.03	2.16	2.26	5.93	27.90	49.37	15.17	1.09	7.03	21.89	19.89	8.03
T ₈ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	8.37	16.37	37.63	69.13	2.89	3.04	6.03	29.03	55.67	18.27	1.24	8.17	25.22	21.03	8.70
T ₉ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	8.90	17.80	40.10	71.02	3.32	3.57	6.13	30.03	61.00	21.97	1.33	9.29	29.07	25.17	10.01
F- test	NS	NS	S	S	NS	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	NS	NS	S
S. Em (±)	0.08	0.10	0.29	0.27	0.36	0.03	0.07	0.36	1.31	0.56	0.06	0.13	0.52	0.90	0.15
C. D. at 5%	-	-	0.87	0.82	-	0.09	-	-	-	-	-	0.41	-	-	0.46

Table 2: Interaction table on effect of different levels of phosphorus on the yield and yield attributes of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.)

Treatment combinations	Seed yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)	Test weight (g)
T ₁ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	11.30	22.83	33.10	38.20
T ₂ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	13.80	27.00	33.82	42.67
T ₃ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	14.96	29.20	33.88	44.00
T ₄ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	10.30	21.40	32.49	37.83
T ₅ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	12.48	25.60	32.78	41.23
T ₆ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	13.33	27.56	32.60	42.80
T ₇ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	9.93	20.80	32.32	36.87
T ₈ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	10.87	25.00	30.30	40.50
T ₉ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	12.47	26.40	32.08	41.93
F- test	S	NS	S	NS
S. Em (±)	0.25	0.35	0.47	0.36
C. D. at 5%	0.75	-	1.42	-

Table 3: Interaction table on effect of different levels of phosphorus on agrometeorological indices

Treatment combinations	GDD (at flowering initiation)	GDD (at maturity)	Heat use efficiency
T ₁ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	859.15	1410.50	0.80
T ₂ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	817.15	1367.10	1.00
T ₃ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	775.85	1302.00	1.14
T ₄ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	934.20	1475.60	0.69
T ₅ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	912.50	1434.20	0.87
T ₆ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	869.00	1367.10	0.97
T ₇ : P 25 kg ha ⁻¹	959.20	1540.70	0.64
T ₈ : P 50 kg ha ⁻¹	903.00	1497.30	0.72
T ₉ : P 75 kg ha ⁻¹	852.00	1432.20	0.87
F- test	NS	NS	S
S. Em (±)	7.49	0.63	0.06
C. D. at 5%	-	-	0.12

Conclusions

From the above findings it is concluded that among all the treatment an application of 75 kg Phosphorus ha⁻¹ was found to be the best for obtaining highest growth and yield of sunflower. The study findings also have brought an expectation that further investigation on different levels of fertilizers in growing seasons and soil types can be a step forward to identify more realistic effect of different fertilizers on the growth and yield of green gram. Finally these findings will help our farmer to apply balanced fertilizer application and suitable sowing time which will be synchronized with crop demand and also will reduce the cost of production.

References

- Anonymous. State Level Paradigm shift in planning needed. The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture, 2005-06, pp. 63.
- Anonymous. 2012. <http://www.aicrpmullarp.res.in/crop-profile>. 619.
- Asghar Malik M, Farrukh Saleem M, Asghar Ali, Rana Ahmad Faraz Ishaq. Effect of sowing date and planting patterns on growth and yield of mungbean, *Vigna radiata* L. J Agric. Res. 2006, 44(2).
- Ali MA, Abbas G, Mohy-ud-din Q, Ullah K, Abbas G, Aslam M. response of mungbean to phosphatic fertilizer under arid climate. The Journal of Animal & Plant Science. 2010;20(2):83-86.
- Bairaw RK, Nepalia V, Balai CM, Jalwania R, Meena HP. Yield and nutrients uptake of summer green gram (*Vigna Radiata* L.) under different levels of phosphorus and sulphur fertilizations. SAARC J Agri. 2014;12(1):162-172.
- FAOSTAT. Online Interactive Database on Agriculture. FAO STAT, 2014. www.fao.org
- Owla RL, Chavan BN, Ummed Singh. Effect of plant densities and phosphorus levels on growth and yield of green gram (*Vigna Radiata* L.) Ann. Agric. Res. New Series. 2007;28(1):95-97.
- Rana Ahmad Fraz, Javaid Iqbal, Muhammad Ahmad, Alias Haji Ahmad Bakhsh. Effect of sowing dates and planting patterns on growth and yield of mungbean (*Vigna Radiata* L.) cv. M-6. International Journal of Agriculture & Biology 2006. 1560-8530/2006/08-3-363-365.
- Ram SN, Dixit RS. Growth, yield attributing parameters and quality of summer green gram as influenced by dates of sowing and phosphorus. Indian J Agric. Res. 2001;35(4):275-277.
- Quresh Z, Rahim M. Harvest index, grain yield and biological yield of soybean as affected by sowing dates and varieties. Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 1987;8:387-93.