



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; 11(7): 1005-1009  
© 2022 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 08-04-2022  
Accepted: 23-06-2022

#### Gulshan Kumar

M.Sc. Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agricultural Sciences  
and Allied Industries, Rama  
University, Kanpur Nagar,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Ram Niwas

M.Sc. Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agricultural Sciences  
and Allied Industries, Rama  
University, Kanpur Nagar,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

## Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Gulshan Kumar and Ram Niwas

#### Abstract

This study was conducted on Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) during the Rabi season of 2020-21 on Agricultural Farm of RAMA UNIVERSITY, KANPUR, 209217, (U.P.) INDIA. The experiment was laid out in Simple RBD with 9 treatments replicated thrice. The treatments were T<sub>1</sub> Control, T<sub>2</sub> (100% (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> 75% (NPK) + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>FYM, T<sub>4</sub> (50% (NPK) + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM), T<sub>5</sub> (75% NPK + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost), T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost), T<sub>7</sub> (75% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> poultry manure, T<sub>8</sub> (75% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> press mud), T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB). From our experiment it was found that the Treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB), was found best in the terms of, Plant population, Plant height, Dry matters, Number of tillers, number of effective tillers, leaf area index, days taken to flowering, length of ear, number of spike, number of spikelet/ear, number of grain per ear, biological yield, grain yield, straw yield, harvest index and B:C ratio.

**Keywords:** NPK, FYM, Poultry manure, Vermicompost, PSB and Press mud

#### Introduction

Wheat is a grass widely cultivated for its seed, a cereal grain which is a world wide staple food. The many species of wheat together make up the genus *Triticum*; the most widely grown is common wheat (*T. aestivum*). The archaeological record suggests that wheat was first cultivated in the regions of the Fertile Crescent around 9600 BCE. Botanically, the wheat kernel is a type of fruit called a caryopsis. Wheat is auto hexaploid having the chromosome number of  $6x = 42$ .

After rice, wheat is the world's second most important annual cereal crop. China, India, the United States of America, Canada, Australia, the Soviet Union, Spain, and France are among the countries that cultivate wheat as a major cereal crop.

In India, the wheat crop, which is planted on 25.1 million hectares, produced an all-time high of 68.7 million metric tones, setting a new record for wheat production. Wheat production in India reached 60.0 million metric tones for the first time in 1995.

Despite applying the prescribed fertilizers dose, the crop's yield potential has plateaued due to degradation of soil health in terms of depletion of organic matter and nutrients. It is consequently imperative to maintain and/or improve soil fertility for long-term crop production through the use of organic manures and biofertilizers in conjunction with chemical fertilizers.

Organic manures are a great way to give nutrients to the soil. They not only feed the plants, but they also improve the soil's physical features, such as infiltration, water holding capacity, aeration permeability, soil aggregation, rooting depth, decreased soil crusting, bulk density, and water and wind erosion. These also stimulate biological activity in the soil, reducing pathogen populations.

Azotobacter, on the other hand, is one of the free living microorganisms that fixes the atmospheric nitrogen and makes it available to plants. Inoculation of Azotobacter also improves the yield and quality of crop (Sahrma *et al.*, 1987). So, cutting down of adversely affecting inputs such as chemical fertilizers with other safe substitute is very important for sustaining the biological productivity of the soil. Azotobacter is a non-symbiotic, free-living nitrogen-fixing bacteria that also produces enzymes and antibiotics that are not only nutritionally stimulating but also therapeutic for plant growth, yield, and quality. Azotobacter has a nitrogen fixation capacity of 25 to 30 kg per hectare. (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2000).

An application of vermicompost and straw of different crops with PSB and *Azotobacter* reported by many workers to produced higher grain and straw yield.

#### Corresponding Author:

#### Gulshan Kumar

M.Sc. Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agricultural Sciences  
and Allied Industries, Rama  
University, Kanpur Nagar,  
Uttar Pradesh, India

Chemical fertilizers, agro-chemicals, and bio-fertilizers are used to increase wheat output; however, their usage places a chemical strain on the environment, potentially resulting in soil health loss and environmental deterioration. As a result, encouraging pulse production through the use of organic sources of nutrients such as bio-fertilizers in a rich environment has become vital. Bio-fertilizers have the potential to be the "driving force" behind long-term crop production while also enhancing soil health and fertility. Switching to bio-fertilizers for proper crop production can actually bring in 'economic prosperity' for farmers and the nation, as well as 'environmental security' for the planet. Soil includes natural stocks of plant nutrients, most of which are in forms that plants can't use. Each year, a portion of these nutrients is released into the environment through biological or chemical processes and made available to plants. Plant nutrition affects all plant communities, including wheat crops, in terms of growth, output, and productivity.

## Materials and Methods

### Climatic Condition of Kanpur

Geographically, Kanpur is situated in sub tropical region at an altitude of 125.9 meter from the mean sea level and latitude ranging of 25° 56' to 28° 58' North and longitude 79° 31' to 80° 34' East. The climate of locality is semi arid with moderate rainfall and cold winters. The mean annual rainfall is 850 mm extending generally from the mid June to mid October. The temperature rises maximum during May - June (45 - 48°C) and come down to 4 - 5 °C during December - January. Occasional showers are also received during winter and summer.

The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with one control and 8 treatments and three replications at Students Instructional Farm of Rama University, Kanpur during *Rabi* (2020-21). The treatments were T<sub>1</sub> Control, T<sub>2</sub> (100% (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> 75% (NPK) + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM, T<sub>4</sub> (50% (NPK) + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM), T<sub>5</sub> (75% NPK + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost), T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost), T<sub>7</sub> (75% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> poultry manure, T<sub>8</sub> (75% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> press mud), T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB).

### Experimental Findings

The effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer is very obvious and consistent. There was significant difference among the application of the different treatment and among the treatment applied treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (125.64 plants m<sup>-2</sup>) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (124.45 plants m<sup>-2</sup>) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (117.85 plants m<sup>-2</sup>).

The maximum plant height was recorded in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with 92.35 cm followed by the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with 89.56 cm and the minimum height were recorded in the T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with 74.89 cm.

The maximum dry weight was recorded in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with 71.59 g followed by the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with 67.89 g and the minimum dry weight were recorded in the T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with 55.62 gram.

The maximum number of tillers was recorded in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

vermicompost + PSB) with 468 followed by the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with 462 and the minimum number of tillers were recorded in the T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with 451.

There was significant difference among the application of the different treatment on number of effective tillers and among the treatment applied treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (360.59) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (335.7) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (305.8) number of effective tillers.

The maximum number of leaf area index was recorded in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with 6.7 followed by the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with 6.48 and the minimum number of leaf area index were recorded in the T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with 5.65.

There was significant difference among the application of the different treatment on DAS taken to 80% flowering and among the treatment applied treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (75.69) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (78.95) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (94.68) DAS taken to 80% flowering.

The maximum length of ear was found in T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (9.56 cm) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (9.12 cm) which were significantly better over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (7.83 cm) Length of ear.

The maximum number of spike was found in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (345.67) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (312.85) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (175.65) Number of Spike.

The maximum number of Spikelet per ear was found in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (18.25) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (17.02) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (15.25) Number of Spikelet per ear.

The maximum number of grain/ear were seen in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (44.56) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (43.91) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (38.02) Number of grain/ear.

The maximum test weight was observed in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (47.56) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (46.28) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (38.78) Test weight.

The maximum biological yield was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (10608 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (10454 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (9342 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Biological yield. The maximum grain yield was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (4469 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (4385 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (3874 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Grain yield.

The maximum straw yield was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (6139 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>

vermicompost) with (6069 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (5468 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Straw yield.

The maximum harvest index was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (42.10) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (42.02) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (40.08) Harvest index.

The maximum B:C ratio was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (2.88) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (2.40) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (2.70).

The maximum electrical conductivity was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (0.28) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (0.25) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (0.24) electrical Conductivity.

The maximum pH was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (8.4) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (8.01) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (7.25) pH.

The maximum O.C. was obtained in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (0.42) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (0.39) which were significantly superior over T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (0.36) Organic Carbon.

The maximum available N, P, K was seen in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (100% NPK + 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB) with (237.1, 22.50, 330.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, P, K respectively) followed by the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (50% NPK + 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> vermicompost) with (226.80, 20.40, 313.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, P, K respectively) and the minimum was obtained in the T<sub>1</sub> (Control) with (114.70, 9.10, 257.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N, P, K respectively).

**Table 1:** Effect of Organic and Inorganic fertilizers on Plant population, Plant height, Dry weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), Numbers of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>

Symb ols	Treatment	Plant Population	Plant Height (cm)				Dry weight (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )				Numbers of tillers m <sup>-2</sup>			
			30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	45 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	117.85	16.52	42.50	69.89	74.89	7.64	42.35	51.26	55.62	421	441	455	451
T <sub>2</sub>	100% (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	119.34	16.68	46.90	71.36	76.36	8.21	51.23	60.56	63.45	423	443	458	453
T <sub>3</sub>	75% (NPK) + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM	120.34	17.35	48.60	73.46	78.65	8.09	53.76	61.59	64.59	424	444	459	454
T <sub>4</sub>	50% (NPK) + 15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM	122.65	17.46	49.50	76.89	81.56	7.92	55.31	63.25	64.98	426	446	460	456
T <sub>5</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost	122.02	18.64	50.20	75.98	80.64	8.64	56.10	63.01	65.59	428	448	463	458
T <sub>6</sub>	50% NPK + 15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost	124.45	20.64	51.85	84.56	89.56	8.95	57.36	64.25	67.89	432	452	467	462
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> poultry manure	123.12	18.54	45.90	79.58	84.12	8.49	50.56	58.64	63.49	435	455	470	465
T <sub>8</sub>	75% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> press mud	123.89	21.46	47.59	77.56	82.31	8.34	55.67	56.98	62.59	436	456	471	466
T <sub>9</sub>	100% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB	125.64	22.5	53.56	88.68	92.35	9.28	58.33	68.95	71.59	438	458	473	468
	CD	4.564	NS	7.58	7.32	7.22	NS	6.58	6.32	7.39	12.34	13.45	13.95	14.05
	SE(d)	2.243	NS	3.54	3.42	3.37	NS	3.65	4.01	3.56	7.52	7.89	6.82	8.64

**Table 2:** Effect of Organic and Inorganic fertilizers on Effective tillers m<sup>-2</sup> Leaf area Index, Days to 80% flowering, Length of ear (cm), Number of Spike m<sup>-2</sup>. Number of Spikelet ear<sup>-1</sup> Grain ear<sup>-1</sup> Test weight (g)

Symbols	Treatment	Effective tillers m <sup>-2</sup>	Leaf area Index			Days to 80% flowering	Length of ear (cm)	Number of Spike (m <sup>-2</sup> )	Number of Spikelet ear <sup>-1</sup>	Grain ear <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)
			30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS						
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	305.8	0.62	3.71	5.65	94.68	7.83	175.65	15.25	38.02	38.78
T <sub>2</sub>	100% (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	310.6	0.86	3.86	5.88	80.68	8.91	212.52	15.64	40.56	40.25
T <sub>3</sub>	75% (NPK) + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM	315.6	1.21	4.21	6.27	85.67	8.95	285.64	15.86	42.35	43.56
T <sub>4</sub>	50% (NPK) + 15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM	320.9	0.98	4.1	6.13	83.91	8.45	255.45	16.35	41.11	44.23
T <sub>5</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost	331.8	0.75	3.83	5.9	90.56	8.75	245.91	16.59	42.56	39.67
T <sub>6</sub>	50% NPK + 15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost	335.7	1.39	4.44	6.48	78.95	9.12	312.85	17.02	43.91	46.28
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> poultry manure	348.6	1.15	4.25	6.21	88.64	8.55	298.64	16.45	41.42	41.49
T <sub>8</sub>	75% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> press mud	355.46	1.33	4.38	6.45	87.98	9.01	288.53	16.02	42.71	45.89
T <sub>9</sub>	100% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB	360.59	1.45	4.5	6.7	75.69	9.56	345.67	18.25	44.56	47.56
	CD	11.34	NS	6.32	7.39	7.95	0.242	4.689	0.934	1.917	NS
	SE(d)	5.68	3.65	4.01	3.56	3.71	0.114	2.345	0.44	0.904	0.72

**Table 3:** Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on Biological yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Grain yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Straw yield kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Cost of cultivation Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>. Gross Income, Net Income, B:C Ratio

Symbols	Treatment	Harvest index %	Yield			Economics			
			Biological yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Grain yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Straw yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Cost of cultivation Rs ha <sup>-1</sup>	Gross Income	Net Income	B:C Ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	40.08	9342	3874.00	5468	11904	32207	20303	2.70
T <sub>2</sub>	100% (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	41.50	9475	3899.00	5576	12967	33534	20567	2.58
T <sub>3</sub>	75% (NPK) + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM	41.76	9390	3907.00	5483	11967	32276	20309	2.69
T <sub>4</sub>	50% (NPK) + 15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM	40.98	9485	3897.00	5588	12587	33461	20874	2.65
T <sub>5</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost	41.80	9591	3954.00	5637	14910	34405	19495	2.30
T <sub>6</sub>	50% NPK + 15 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost	42.02	9957	4385.00	6069	13678	32892	19214	2.40
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> poultry manure	41.86	9792	4201.00	5756	13776	33918	20142	2.46
T <sub>8</sub>	75% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> press mud	41.98	10608	4094.00	5698	13708	34388	20680	2.50
T <sub>9</sub>	100% NPK + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> FYM + 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> vermicompost + PSB	42.10	15.68	4469.00	6139	11789	33989	22200	2.88
	CD	NS	6.46	16.45	13.64				
	SE(d)	0.365	3.154	7.59	6.98				

## Discussion

### Effect on growth

Crop growth results from changes in a variety of morphological factors, including plant height, the number of tillers per metre of row length, leaf area, and the accumulation of dry matter. Any treatment that alters these variables will ultimately have an impact on the crop's overall growth. According to the study's findings, the crop's peak growing period occurred between 60 and 90 DAS, when all growth metrics rose at a quicker pace. Plant height, leaf area, and dry matter accumulation all gradually grew from 30 to 60 DAS, peaked between 60 and 90 DAS, and then began to fall. Different treatments had a substantial impact on plant height, the number of tillers per meter row length, the amount of leaf area per metre row length, the leaf area index, and the accumulation of dry matter.

An organism's size, dry weight, or volume will increase as a result of the irreversible process of growth. Plant growth is primarily influenced by the environment and the nutrients given to the plant, which contribute to the formation of yield attributes, yield characters, and yield in the end. The rate of increase in these growth parameters decreased at later stages of growth, with the exception of plant height, which continues to increase up until maturity due to the plants' heading. Plant height, number of tillers per metre, and leaf area index all increased gradually up until the crop's maturity. It might be because plants grow more quickly in the exponential phase and develop more height and tillers when they receive enough nutrients at various points during the vegetative growth phase. Because plants transitioned to the reproductive phase after the active vegetative growth stage, the rate of increase in plant height and tiller dropped. Fruit production took the place of the food that the plants had been using for growth up to this point. As a result, growth following the mid-growth stage was modest. Jadhav (2005)

### Effect on Yield Attribute

This variation would have happened as a result of different treatments. The leaf area index and other plant surface areas to a lesser extent impact the photosynthetic activity of the plants. The majority of the dry matter accumulated by plants is carbon dioxide, which is produced during the photosynthesis process. Due to the abundant supply of nutrients, both the number of leaves per plant and the leaf area index demonstrate substantial influence. The results showed

that various nutrient doses had a significant impact on the number of effective tillers per metre, weight of grain/ear, ear length, number of grains per ear, and test weight. The outcomes shown in various tables demonstrated that treatments using both organic and inorganic sources produced the greatest number of productive tillers. Similar trend was observed in other yield attributing characters like length of ear, number of grains per ear and 1000-grain weight. The results are in line with those of Reddi and Patil (2003)<sup>[30]</sup> and Sardana *et al.* (2002).

### Yield Parameters

Effective tillers per metre of row length, ear length, number of spikelets, grain quantity, grain weight per ear, and test weight are the yield-contributing characteristics that determine wheat yield. According to the current research, fertility levels had a beneficial impact on yield qualities, and each character's significance increased as fertility levels rose. Due to superior yield-attributing qualities, higher fertility levels reacted favourably in plant growth characters. Increasing fertiliser levels enhanced grain yield and yield components. (Singh *et al.*, 2007).

### Effect of grain, straw and biological yield

The economically viable crop produced from a crop planted on a certain plot of land is known as the grain yield. It is the outcome of the crop's yield-attributing characteristics and growth parameters. The findings of this study show that adequate nutrition supply under various treatments significantly influenced grain and straw yields. The sum of a crop's yield-attributing characteristics is its yield. Any treatment's impact on yield qualities is clearly visible in the yield. In this investigation, various treatment doses using organic and inorganic sources generated statistically significant increases in grain, straw, and biological yields. Similar results were obtained by Sharma and Manohar (2002) Sardana *et al.* (2002), Reddi and Patil (2003)<sup>[30]</sup> and Stoeva and Tonev (2003).

## References

1. Ahmad, Bakhsh, Gurmani AH, Hatti AV, Habibur Rehman, Bakhsh A, *et al.* Effect of balanced application of N, P and K on the yield of wheat under irrigated conditions. *Sarhand J Agric.* 1999;15(5):453-457.
2. Allam AEHY, Teama EA. Effect of rate and timing of



- NPK fertilization on yield and quality of two wheat cultivars. *Assiut. J Agric. Sci.* 1995;26(4):3-13.
3. Anonymous. The Hindu, Survey of Indian Agriculture, 2004, 33-36.
  4. Awasthi UD, Bhan S. Performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties with different levels of nitrogen in moisture scarce conditions. *Indian J Agron.* 1993;38(2):200-203.
  5. Bakshi AK, Saxena AK, Sharma AK, Nanda GS. Influence of date of sowing, irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer application on wheat protein. *J Res. Punjab agric. Univ.* 1992;29(1):10-16.
  6. Bhagwati PC, Faroda AS, Malik RK. Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and associated weeds to application of nitrogen. *Indian J Agron.* 1992;37(4):721-728.
  7. Channabasava Gowda *et al.*, Effect of Organic Measures on Growth, Seed yield and Quality of Wheat. *Karnataka Journal. Agriculture Science.* 2008;21(3):366-368.
  8. CSA. Agricultural sample survey: Report on area and production for major crops. *Statistical Bulletin 388.* Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.) 2017.
  9. Chaudhary NR, Vyas AK, Singh AK. Growth and nutrient uptake in wheat as influenced by nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc fertilization. *Annals of Agric. Res.* 1997;18(3):365-366.
  10. Chaudhary PP, Jat RS, Sharma HS. Interaction effect of phosphorus, sulphur and PSB inoculation on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat. *Annals Agric. Res.* 2003;24(1):12-16.
  11. Chauhan RPS, Ram S. Response of wheat to different fertility levels under partially reclaimed salt affected soil. *Fertilizer News.* 1993;38(5):51-52.
  12. Gautam Kuldeep. Dynamics of native and applied N, P, K and Zn in bajra-wheat rotation. M.Sc. Thesis, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. 1989.
  13. Goswami S, Maiti D, Maiti SS. Effect of different levels of NPK fertilizers on wheat cultivars. *Environ. & Ecol.* 1990;8(3):1001-1003.
  14. Habtamu Deribe, Tolossa Debele. Review on Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum* spp.) in Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare.* 2016;6(11):97-104.
  15. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis.* Prentice Hall of Indian Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1973, 493.
  16. Khan M, Akhtar M, Safdar M, Mahmood S, Ahmed N, *et al.* Effect of source and level of potash on yield and quality of potato tubers. *Pak J Bot.* 2010;42(5):3137-3145.
  17. Kumar A, Yadav D. Use of organic manure and fertilizer in rice (*Oryza sativa*) Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system for sustain ability. *Indian J Agric Sci.* 1995;65(10):703-707.
  18. Kapur ML, Talukdar NC, Rana DS. Change in soil potassium and its uptake with maize-wheat rotation under different levels of fertilization. *Indian J Agric. Sci.* 1986a;56(11):779-82.
  19. Kapur ML, Talukdar NC, Rana DS. Influence of continuous application of inorganic fertilizers in a fixed maize-wheat rotation on some soil chemical properties. *J Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 1986a;34:198-199.
  20. Kataria N, Bassi K. Effect of organic mulch and nitrogen on early sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under rainfed conditions. *Indian J Agron.* 1997;42(1):94-97.
  21. Kaushik J, Sharma HS. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur levels on concentrations and uptake of nitrogen in wheat. *Annals Biol. (Ludhiana).* 1997;13(1):85-86.
  22. Ladislav Holik *et al.*, The effect of mineral fertilizers and farmyard manure on winter grain yield and grain quality *Plant Soil Environment.* 2018;64, No. 10:491-497.
  23. Lindner RC. Rapid analytical methods for some of more common inorganic constituents of plant tissues. *Plant physiol.* 1944;19:76-78.
  24. Maiti D, Maiti SS. Assessment of wheat varieties at various levels of fertilizer application. *Environ. & Ecol.* 1989;7(4):882-884.
  25. Mandal BC, Mandal AK, Ghosh AB. Changes in organic carbon and total nitrogen in a Gangetic alluvium after five years of multiple cropping with jute-rice-wheat. *J Indian Soc. Soil. Sci.* 1982;30(1):94-96.
  26. Pandagre JM, Vishwakarama SK, Khatik SK. Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on yield, nutrient content and uptake by wheat cv. HD 1553. *J Soils and Crops.* 1992;2(2):69-72.
  27. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical methods for Agricultural workers.* ICAR, New Delhi. 1995.
  28. Parmar DK, Sharma PK. Phosphorus and mulching effects on nutrient uptake and grain yield of wheat at different growth stages. *Tropical Agric.* 1996;73(3):196-200.
  29. Rawat MS, Tripathi RP, Nand Ram. Long term effect of puddling and fertilizer use in rice-wheat- cowpea sequence on structural properties of soil. *J Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 1996;44(3):364-368.
  30. Reddi SG, Patil BN. Influence of N levels and seed rates on growth, yield, protein content and N uptake in wheat genotypes. *Karnataka J Agric. Sci.* 2003;16(1):31-34.
  31. Ridvan Kizilkaya. Vermicompost effects on wheat yield and nutrient contents in soil and plant, *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science.* 2012;58:supl:S175-S179.
  32. Sharma A, Behera U. Green leaf manuring with prunings of *Leucaena leucocephala* for nitrogen economy and improved productivity of maize (*Zea mays*) – wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst.* 2010;86(1):39-52.
  33. Vikas Kumar. Effect of different combination of FYM and Urea on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Bulletin of Environment pharmacology Life Science.* 2017;6 Special issue 2:395-398.
  34. Yadav D, Kumar A. Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil health and effect of integrated use of organic and inorganic nitrogen sources on wheat yield. 2009.