www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# **The Pharma Innovation**



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(7): 1765-1768 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 05-03-2022 Accepted: 09-06-2022

#### Vijay Somvanshi

Department of Agronomy, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Shubhranshu Singh

Department of Agronomy, (ANDUA&T), Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Ram Niwas

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **Rahul Yadav**

Department of Agronomy, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Jayesh Pratap Singh

Department of Agronomy, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Chandan Kumar Singh

Department of Agronomy, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Vijay Somvanshi Department of Agronomy, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh. India

# Effect of nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under rice-wheat cropping system of central U.P.

# Vijay Somvanshi, Shubhranshu Singh, Ram Niwas, Rahul Yadav, Jayesh Pratap Singh and Chandan Kumar Singh

#### Abstract

This experiment was carried out during *Rabi* season of 2021-22 at Agricultural Research Farm of faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Allied Industries, Rama University, Mandhana, Kanpur Nagar (U.P). The present experiment was laid out in randomized block design, the experiment consists of 9 treatments *viz*; 100 % RDF, 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 100 % RDF + S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 100 % RDF + Azotobacter (seed treatment), 100% RDF + FYM + 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and control with three replications in wheat crop. Among growth parameter *viz*; plant height, number of tillers and yield attributing character *viz*; length of ear, number of spikelet's /ears, number of grains per ear and test weight and grain and straw yield of wheat crop was recorded maximum from (100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the treatment (100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), growth parameter, yield attributing character and yield of grain and straw was recorded maximum from (75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (control) at maximum at all stage of wheat crop. The maximum gross return, net return and B:C ratio was recorded from (100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which were statistically highest when (75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) stage which were statistically at par with (FYM 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at all stage of wheat crop.

Keywords: Nutrient management, growth, yield and quality

# Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the world's most extensively planted food crop. It is the principal food crop in the temperate zone. It also encompasses tropical lowlands and warm temperate and subtropical regions. It is one of the world's oldest crops. It was first grown during the Neolithic era. Wheat was an important factor in the development of city-based societies at the dawn of civilization because it was one of the first crops that could be easily mass-produced and yielded a harvest that could be stored for long periods of time. Bread wheat was known to have been produced in the Nile valley by 5000 B.C., and its apparent subsequent cultivation in other regions (e.g., the Indus and Euphrates valleys by 4000 B.C., China by 2500 B.C., and England by 2000 B.C.) implies that it spread from Mediterranean domestication centres. Wheat has long been a staple of West Asian and European cultures, whereas rice has long held a stronger position in East Asia. Since the dawn of agriculture, wheat has been the main ingredient in bread throughout Europe and the Middle East. India is perhaps one of the few countries on the planet that grows three types of wheat. The majority of the land is planted with bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), around 12% with durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L.), and the balance is planted with dicoccum wheat (Triticum dicoccum L.) (Triticum monococcum L.). After rice, wheat is the second most significant food crop in terms of both area and production. India, behind China, is the world's second-largest wheat producer, and the grain has aided India's agricultural development at the fastest rate. Wheat is farmed on 224.66 million hectares around the world, with 793.04 million tonnes produced and 3.53 tonnes ha-1 productivity (USDA 2021)<sup>[1]</sup>.

# Result and Discussion Growth parameters Plant height

Data pertaining on plant height significantly influenced due to different nutrient

management practices at harvest the plant height were significantly influenced due to different nutrient management practices. The significantly maximum plant height at harvest 98.65 cm respectively were measured under the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T4). However, the minimum plant height was measured with under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>).

| Table 1: Effect of nutrient management on | n Plant height of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system. |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| Symbol         | Treatment                                       | Plant Height (cm) |  |
|----------------|---|-------------------|--|
| Symbol         | I reatment                                      | At harvest        |  |
| T1             | 100 % RDF                                       | 88.75             |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1)                    | 91.1              |  |
| T3             | 100 % RDF + S (@ 25 kg ha -1)                   | 92.15             |  |
| T4             | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1) +S (@ 25 kg ha -1) | 98.65             |  |
| T5             | 100% RDF+ Azotobacter (seed treatment)          | 97.3              |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 100% RDF+ FYM + 10 t ha-1                       | 95.25             |  |
| <b>T</b> 7     | 75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha -1                       | 87.45             |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | FYM 20 t ha -1                                  | 86.68             |  |
| T9             | Control   | 74.75             |  |
|                | S.E(m)  | 2.071             |  |
|                | S.E(d)  | 2.929             |  |
|                | CD  | 6.211             |  |

# **Effective tillers at harvesting**

Data obtained on number of tillers  $(m^{-2})$  has been presented in Table 2. The number of tillers  $(m^{-2})$  was calculated significantly due to different nutrient management practices at 90 DAS of crop growth stages. The significantly maximum

number of tillers  $(456.49m^{-2})$  was noticed under the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>4</sub>) however, minimum number of tillers (366.6m<sup>-2</sup>) were counted under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>) at 90 DAS respectively.

Table 2: Effect of nutrient management on effective tillers at harvesting of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system

| Symbol         | Treatment  | No. of Tillers<br>90 DAS |  |
|----------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| T1             | 100 % RDF  | 415.15                   |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1)                     | 420.2                    |  |
| T3             | 100 % RDF + S (@ 25 kg ha -1)                    | 451.35                   |  |
| <b>T</b> 4     | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1) + S (@ 25 kg ha -1) | 456.49                   |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 100% RDF+ Azotobacter (seed treatment)           | 442.85                   |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 100% RDF+ FYM + 10 t ha-1                        | 434.8                    |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha -1                        | 398.65                   |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | FYM 20 t ha -1                                   | 424.91                   |  |
| T9             | Control  | 366.6                    |  |
|                | S.E(m)   | 6.685                    |  |
|                | S.E(d)   | 9.453                    |  |
|                | CD   | 20.046                   |  |

#### Yield attributing parameters

Data pertaining on yield attributing parameters, which determined the yield of experimental crop, is the resultant of the vegetative development of the crop. The yield attributing parameters *viz.*, number of effective tillers  $(m^{-2})$ , length of spike (cm), number of spikes  $(m^{-2})$  and number of grains

spike<sup>-1</sup> were affected significantly due to different nutrient management practices except test weight presented in Table 3. Number of effective tillers (m<sup>-2</sup>), length of spike (cm), number of spikes (m<sup>-2</sup>) and number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>were recorded significantly higher under the treatment of T<sub>4</sub> (100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Table 3: Effect of nutrient management on Yield attributing parameters of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system.

| Symbol                | Treatment                                      | No. of effective<br>Tillers (m <sup>-2</sup> ) | Length of<br>spike (cm) | Number of spikesm-2 | Number of seeds spike <sup>-1</sup> | Test weight (g) |
|-----------------------|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| T1                    | 100 % RDF                                      | 394.40   | 9.75                    | 394.40              | 50.51                               | 39.75           |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1)                   | 399.20   | 9.87                    | 399.20              | 51.33                               | 39.87           |
| T3                    | 100 % RDF + S (@ 25 kg ha -1)                  | 428.78   | 10.60                   | 428.78              | 55.12                               | 39.95           |
| T4                    | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1) +S (@ 25 kg ha-1) | 433.64   | 10.72                   | 433.64              | 55.75                               | 40.25           |
| T5                    | 100% RDF+ Azotobacter (seed treatment)         | 420.70   | 10.40                   | 420.70              | 54.08                               | 40.12           |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 100% RDF+ FYM + 10 t ha-1                      | 413.06   | 10.21                   | 413.06              | 53.10                               | 39.98           |
| <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | 75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha -1                      | 378.75   | 9.36                    | 378.75              | 48.68                               | 39.5            |
| T8                    | FYM 20 t ha -1                                 | 403.66   | 9.98                    | 403.66              | 51.90                               | 39.65           |
| T9                    | Control  | 349.25   | 8.64                    | 349.25              | 44.93                               | 38.75           |
|                       | SE(m)  | 6.304  | 0.233                   | 6.304               | 1.098                               | 0.146           |
|                       | SE(d)  | 8.91   | 0.3296                  | 8.91                | 1.553                               | 0.206           |
|                       | CD   | 18.903   | 0.6981                  | 18.903              | 3.295                               | NS              |

The higher values of yield attributing parameters under the  $T_4$  (100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment was mainly due to favorable vegetative growth and development occurred over to adequate nutritional supply. The higher growth like plant height and leaf area index were highest, which contributed to higher yield attributes due to increased photosynthesis activity of leaves, besides, translocation of photosynthates accumulate from source to sink. However, lowest yield attributing parameters were recorded under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>) was unable to extract more nutrient and poor nutritional supply resulted in poor growth and yield attributing characters. This result is in close proximity to those obtained by Khan Naqvi (2011) and Baloch *et al.* (2014).

#### Yield parameters

The perusal of data pertaining to grain yield presented in Table 4. Experimental data revealed that the all yield parameters *viz*. grain, straw, biological yield and harvest index were significantly affected due to different nutrient management practices except harvest index.

The significantly highest grain yield (55.35 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (88.90 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and biological yield (144.25 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded under the treatment of T<sub>4</sub> (100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) presented in Table 4.7. This was might be due to adequate nutrient supply which contributed to better growth parameter and finally yield attributing parameters and grain yield. Similar research finding were also reported by Nayak *et al.* (2015) and Sharma and Pannu (2008).

Table 4: Effect of nutrient management on Grain Yield and Straw Yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system.

| Symbol                | Treatment                                       | Grain Yield (q ha-1) | Straw Yield (q ha-1) | Biological Yield (q ha-1) |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| T1                    | 100 % RDF                                       | 49.75                | 83.66                | 133.41                    |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1)                    | 50.95                | 84.95                | 135.9                     |
| T3                    | 100 % RDF + S (@ 25 kg ha -1)                   | 51.55                | 85.48                | 137.03                    |
| <b>T</b> 4            | 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1) +S (@ 25 kg ha -1) | 55.35                | 88.90                | 144.25                    |
| T5                    | 100% RDF+ Azotobacter (seed treatment)          | 54.55                | 88.06                | 142.61                    |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 100% RDF+ FYM + 10 t ha-1                       | 53.35                | 87.30                | 140.65                    |
| <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | 75 % RDF + FYM 10 t ha -1                       | 48.95                | 82.00                | 130.95                    |
| T8                    | FYM 20 t ha -1                                  | 52.15                | 86.00                | 138.15                    |
| T9                    | Control   | 42.1                 | 72.24                | 114.34                    |

#### **Biological Yield**

Data pertaining on Biological Yield under rice-wheat cropping system is very obvious and consistent. There was significant difference among the application of the different treatment on Biological Yield and among the treatment applied the maximum Biological Yield was found in T<sub>4</sub>(100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha-1) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with (144.25q ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>5</sub>(100% RDF+ Azotobacter (seed treatment)) with (142.61 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were significantly superior over T<sub>0</sub> (Control) with (114.34 qha<sup>-1</sup>).

# Harvest Index

Harvest index of experimental crop did not influenced significantly due to irrigation schedules. However maximum

value of harvest index 38.70 % was noticed under the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). While, the minimum value of harvest index 36.82 % was noticed under the control treatment.

# Economics

# Total cost of cultivation

The experimental data of cost of cultivation is presented in Table 6 under different treatments indicated that the maximum cost of cultivation (78561.00 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>4</sub>). While, the minimum cost of cultivation (70702.00 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>).

 Table 5: Effect of nutrient management on Cost of cultivation, Gross Income, Net Income and B: C Ratio of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system

| Treatment | Cost of cultivation | Gross Income | Net Income | B:C Ratio |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| T1        | 109450              | 75483        | 33967      | 1.450     |
| T2        | 112090              | 76252        | 35838      | 1.470     |
| T3        | 113410              | 76628        | 36782      | 1.480     |
| T4        | 121770              | 78561        | 43208      | 1.550     |
| T5        | 120010              | 77928        | 42082      | 1.540     |
| T6        | 117370              | 77217        | 40153      | 1.520     |
| T7        | 107690              | 75308        | 32382      | 1.430     |
| T8        | 114730              | 77000        | 37730      | 1.490     |
| T9        | 92620               | 70702        | 21918      | 1.310     |

#### **Gross return**

The experimental data of gross return is presented in Table 5 under different treatments indicated that the maximum gross return (121770.00Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>4</sub>) while, minimum gross return (92620.00 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>).

# Net return

The experimental data of net return is presented in Table 5. Under different treatments indicated that the maximum gross return (43209.00 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>4</sub>) while, minimum net return (21918.0 Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>).

# B:C ratio

It is evident from the data presented in Table 5 illustrated that the value of B:C ratio was found highest (Rs. 1.55) under the treatment of 100 % RDF + Zn (@ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) +S (@ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (T<sub>4</sub>) followed by (Rs. 1.54) under the treatment of 100% RDF+ Azotobacter (seed treatment) (T<sub>5</sub>). While, the lowest B:C ratio (Rs. 1.31) was computed under the control treatment (I<sub>9</sub>).

# References

- 1. Anonymous. USDA: Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of agricultural and cooperation, New Delhi. United states department of Agriculture, 2021.
- Chaudhary RS, Gautam RC. Influence of cropping system and nutrient management on nutrient uptake, protein content, yield, productivity, and net return of Pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). Annals of Agricultural Research. 2006;27(3):302-305.
- 3. Choudhary PD, Jat RS, Sharma HS. Interaction effect of phosphorus, sulphur and PSB inoculation on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat. Annals of Agriculture Research. 2003;24:12-16.
- 4. Das Titab, Shri Ram, Nand Ram. Effect of long term application inorganic fertilizer and manure on yields, nutrients uptake and grain quality of wheat under rice wheat cropping system in mollisols. Pantnagar Journal of Research. 2011;9(2):214-220.
- 5. Gupta V, Sharma RS, Vishwakarma SH. Long-term effect of integrated nutrient management on sustainability and soil fertility of rice (*Oryzasativa*)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian J Agronomy. 2006;51(3):160-164.
- 6. Parihar NS, Saini RS, Patham ARK. Effect of sulphur, zinc and organic manures on yield and nutrient uptake of wheat in typic ustipsamonent soil. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2005;26:64-68.
- Ram T, Mir MS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and yield-attributing characters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Indian J Agronomy. 2006;51(3):189-192.
- 8. Singh Ranjit, Rai RK. Yield attributes, yield quality of soyabean (*Glycine max*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(4):271-274.
- 9. Singh AB, Ghosh PK, Ajay. Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on improvement in grain quality of soyabean (*Glycine max*), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in multiple cropping system in vertisol. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2003;73(2):65-68.