



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; SP-11(7): 3617-3620
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 19-05-2022
Accepted: 22-06-2022

S Srinivasan
Department of Soil Science &
Agricultural Chemistry,
Department of Agronomy,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu,
India

A Angayarkanni
Department of Soil Science &
Agricultural Chemistry,
Department of Agronomy,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu,
India

K Dhanasekaran
Department of Soil Science &
Agricultural Chemistry,
Department of Agronomy,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu,
India

D Venkatakrishnan
Department of Soil Science &
Agricultural Chemistry,
Department of Agronomy,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu,
India

AP Srinivasa Perumal
Department of Soil Science &
Agricultural Chemistry,
Department of Agronomy,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu,
India

Corresponding Author
AP Srinivasa Perumal
Department of Soil Science &
Agricultural Chemistry,
Department of Agronomy,
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu,
India

Reducing the deleterious effect of chemical fertilizers by waste into valuable manure and its impact on barnyard millet

S Srinivasan, A Angayarkanni, K Dhanasekaran, D Venkatakrishnan and AP Srinivasa Perumal

Abstract

To evaluate the effect of integrated organic nutrient sources on yield of barnyard millet, the field experiment was conducted in the farmer's field at Anaikkudam Village, Udaiyarpalayam Taluk, Ariyalur District of Tamil Nadu with eight treatments, three replications under randomized block design (RBD). The eight treatments were T₁– Control, T₂–100% RDF, T₃– CPC + BMP + PG, T₄– CPC + PG, T₅– CPC+ BMP, T₆–T₃+ LBF, T₇– T₄+ LBF and T₈– T₅+ LBF. The recommended dose of fertilizers 40: 30: 50 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹ were adopted and incorporated. As per the treatment schedule, different organic nutrient sources viz., coir pith compost (CPC), bone meal powder (BMP), panchagavya (PG) were given. Liquid bio-fertilizers (LBF) were also supplied as foliar spray @ 1% on 30 and 60 DAS. The key component of modern waste reduction is reduce-reuse-recycle. The use of animal waste in farming operations can reduce the quantity and hauling costs of commercial fertilizer. The results revealed that application of 100% RDF (T₂) recorded significantly the highest grain yield of 2172 kg ha⁻¹. The next best was found to be with CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆) registered the grain yield of 1923 kg ha⁻¹. The combined application of coir pith compost @ 10 t ha⁻¹, bone meal powder @ 1 t ha⁻¹ and panchagavya @3% along with liquid bio-fertilizers @ 1% recorded the favorable effect on soil properties compared to control and chemical fertilizers applied plot.

Keywords: Chemical fertilizers, organic sources, barnyard millet, yield, soil health

Introduction

The deleterious effect of chemical fertilizers will itself start from manufacturing of these chemicals, whose products and by-products are toxic or gases like NH₄, CO₂, CH₄ etc., which will cause air pollution (Sharma and Chetani, 2017) [9]. When the wastes from the industries are disposed off untreated into nearby water bodies it will cause water pollution (Feigin A and J. Halevy. 1989) [5]. When added in soil, its continuous use degrades soil health and quality and cause soil pollution. Consumption of chemical fertilizers in the country will increase to 45 million tonnes by 2025 which will lead to increase in health hazards. Use of fertilizers increased to 25.6 million tonnes in 2014-15 from 1 million tonne in 1960s. Therefore, this is high time to realize that this crop production input is depleting our environment and ecosystem. Excessive use of chemical fertilizers has led to several issues such as serious soil degradation, nitrogen leaching, soil compaction, reduction in soil organic matter and loss of soil carbon. In addition, the efficacy of chemical fertilizers on crop yield has been decreasing over time. The adverse effect of these synthetic chemical fertilizers on human health and environment can only be reduced or eliminated by adopting new agricultural technological practices such as conversion from chemical intensive agriculture into organic agriculture will create a healthy natural environment and ecosystem (Chandini *et al.*, 2019) [2]. Manure from different animals has different qualities and requires different application rates when used as fertilizer. For example horses, cattle, sheep, chicken, turkeys, rabbits and quano from seabirds and bats all have different properties. Among all the millets, barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumentacea* (Roxb.) Link) is hardy and vigorous growing nature can be grown in drought and water logging condition (Raundal *et al.*, 2017) [8]. In Tamil Nadu, nearly 0.2 million tonnes of coir pith are available annually (Parasuraman *et al.*, 2002) [6]. The spongy structure of coir pith facilitates the retention of water in soil and the slow release of nutrients. Bone meal is a mixture of finely and coarsely ground animal bones and slaughter-house waste products. Panchagavya is an organic formulation with a blend of five products obtained from cow i.e. milk, ghee, curd, dung and urine.

Liquid bio-fertilizers fixes atmospheric nitrogen and converts insoluble phosphorous into soluble form and provide to plant.

Material and Methods

The field experiment was conducted to study the impact of different organic nutrient sources on yield and soil health of barnyard millet in the farmer's field at Anaikkudam Village, Udaiyarpalayam Taluk, Ariyalur District of Tamil Nadu. The weather at Anaikkudam village was moderately warm with hot summer. The experimental design adopted in the field experiment was randomized block design (RBD) with eight treatments replicated thrice. The eight treatments were T₁–Control, T₂–100% RDF, T₃– CPC + BMP + PG, T₄– CPC + PG, T₅– CPC+ BMP, T₆–T₃ + LBF, T₇– T₄ + LBF and T₈– T₅ + LBF. The yield of barnyard millet were measured and recorded from each and every plot.

The recommended dose of fertilizers 40: 30: 50 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹ were adopted and incorporated. Nitrogen applied in two splits *viz.*, first half as basal and remaining half at 30 days after planting. The entire dose of P and K were applied basally. The required quantities of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were supplied through urea, super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. The organic nutrient sources *viz.*, coir pith compost and bone meal were applied @ 10 and 1.0 t ha⁻¹, respectively to the respective treatment. Panchagavya also applied as per the treatment as seed treatment, seedling treatment and foliar spray @ 3%. Liquid bio-fertilizer is consortia for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were also supplied as foliar spray @ 1% on 30 and 60 DAS.

Soil sampling and analysis

The initial soil samples and post-harvested soil samples were collected from the field experiment. The collected soil samples were air-dried in shade, powdered and sieved to pass through 2mm sieve and stored in polythene bags. These samples were analyzed for their properties based on standard procedures.

Results and Discussion

Initial soil characteristics

The initial soil sample was collected from Anaikkudam Village, Udaiyarpalayam Taluk, Ariyalur District, Tamil Nadu. The soil was analyzed for their various physico-chemical properties and nutrients status. The soil comprised of 31.17 per cent coarse sand, 28.31 per cent fine sand, 21.36 per cent silt and 18.64 per cent clay and hence it was classified as sandy loam texture. The soil belonged to series-vayalagam series, Alfisols in order. The taxonomic classification of the soil is *Typic Rhodustalfs*. The bulk density, pore space and water holding capacity of the soil were 1.45 Mg m⁻³, 46.29 and 21.27 percent, respectively. The soil color was (7.5YR 6/6 (moist) (7.5YR7/8 (dry) based on munsell color chart. The soil registered a pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon and cation exchange capacity of 6.7, 0.14 dSm⁻¹, 2.74 g kg⁻¹ and 17.8 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively. The initial soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium status were 184, 10.2 and 210 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The details of physico – chemical properties of the initial soil are presented in table 1.

Effect of organic nutrient sources on barnyard millet production and its soil health

Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The grain yield of barnyard millet differed significantly due to

treatment effects. The highest grain yield (2172 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in T₂- 100% RDF. The next best grain yield of 1923 and 1412 kg ha⁻¹ were found to be with T₆ - CPC+BMP+PG+LBF and T₇-CPC+PG+LBF, respectively. Application of CPC+BMP+LBF (T₈) and CPC+BMP+PG (T₃) were registered the grain yield of 1679 and 1634 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The treatment T₈ was on par with T₃. However, the lowest grain yield (1067 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment T₁ (control).

Among the different treatments tried in field experiment, the grain yield of barnyard millet ranged from 1067 to 2172 kg ha⁻¹. Application of 100% RDF (N: P₂O₅: K₂O @ 40:30:50 kg ha⁻¹(T₂) recorded the highest grain yield of 2172 kg ha⁻¹. This was followed by application of coir pith compost, bone meal powder, panchagavya and liquid bio-fertilizer (T₆) (1923 kg ha⁻¹). There were significant difference was noticed between these treatments. This might be due to the positive impact of availability plant nutrients, growth hormones from organic manures and balanced supplement of major nutrients through organic manure could be attributed to adequate supply of nutrients, which in turn, must have improved synthesis and translocation of metabolites to various reproductive structure of the plant. Organic nutrition has significant effect on grain yield of rice was also reported by Shekara *et al.* (2010)^[10].

Soil health

Bulk density (Mg m⁻³)

The highest soil bulk density of 1.52 Mg m⁻³ was recorded with control (T₁) and the lowest bulk density of 1.36 Mg m⁻³ was registered with CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆). The reduction of bulk density was observed in the treatments receiving nutrients through organic sources may be attributed to the fact that application of organics (coir pith compost, bone meal, panchagavya) might have caused better aggregation thereby increasing soil aeration. Similar findings were also observed by Vora *et al.* (2015)^[11].

pH (soil reaction)

The data on soil pH as influenced by different treatments are depicted in table 2. The effect of treatments on pH in the post-harvest soil was statistically non-significant. The highest pH (6.8) noticed with control (T₁) which was followed by T₄ (6.7) and T₇ (6.6) which received CPC+PK and CPC+PG+LBF, respectively. The treatment T₄ was on par with T₇. Application of T₅ – CPC+BMP, T₃ – CPC+BMP+PG and T₈ - CPC+BMP+LBF recorded the pH of 6.6, 6.4 and 6.4, respectively. These treatments were on par with each other. However, the lowest pH of 6.4 was found to be application of CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆). This was due to combined application of organic source of nutrients (Chuwku *et al.*, (2012).

CEC (c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹)

Application of CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆) registered the highest cation exchange capacity of 22.87 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹ against 18.81 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹ which was lowest cation exchange capacity recorded in control (T₁). The second best cation exchange capacity of 23.96 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹ was found to be T₂, which received 100% RDF. Application of CPC + BMP + LBF (T₈), CPC + BMP + LBF (T₃) and CPC + BMP (T₅) registered the cation exchange capacity of 21.69, 22.01 and 20.97 c mol (p⁺) kg⁻¹, respectively. There was a non - significant differences observed between these treatments. The increase in CEC was due to improvement in organic

matter content of the soil due to incorporation of organic materials. The lowest CEC was because of lower organic matter content. These results are in conformity with the findings of Patiram and Singh (1993)^[7].

Organic carbon (g kg⁻¹)

The application of different combinations of organic nutrient sources viz., coir pith compost, bone meal powder, panchagavya and liquid bio-fertilizer significantly increased the organic carbon content in the post-harvest soil of barnyard millet. The highest organic carbon content of 4.9 g kg⁻¹ was registered with the application of CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆). Which was followed by 3.6,3.8 and 4.3 g kg⁻¹ were found to be with CPC + BMP + LBF (T₈), CPC + BMP + PG (T₃) and 100% RDF (T₂), respectively. This might be due to the use of organics helped in higher concentration of biomass to soil in the form of greater root biomass through crop stubbles and residues. These findings are in line with those of Akhari *et al.* (2011).

The effect due to application of different combinations of organic nutrient sources viz., coir pith compost, bone meal powder, panchagavya and liquid bio-fertilizers on bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes populations in post-harvest soil of barnyard millet were statistically significant are given in table 2.

Microbial population

Bacterial population (CFU×10⁻⁶)

Among the different treatments, application of CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆) recorded the highest bacterial of (63.81 CFU×10⁻⁶). The treatments T₈- CPC+BMP+LBF and CPC+BMP+PG (T₃) registered the bacterial population of 62.81and 61.67, respectively. However, the lowest number of bacterial population was recorded in treatment T₁ (40.35 CFU×10⁻⁶).

Fungal population (CFU×10⁻⁴)

With regard to fungal population, highest value (16.60) was recorded in the treatment T₆ - CPC+BMP+PG+LBF. The treatments T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ registered the fungal populations of 16.40, 14.85, 12.49 and 12.84, respectively. However, the lowest fungal population of 10.50 CFU×10⁻⁴ was recorded in control (T₁).

Actinomycetes population (CFU×10⁻⁴)

Application of CPC+BMP+PG+LBF (T₆) recorded the highest actinomycetes population (50.32 CFU×10⁻⁵), whereas the lowest actinomycetes population (21.05 CFU×10⁻⁵) was recorded in control (T₁). Among the different treatments tried,

T₈ (43.21 CFU×10⁻⁵) on par with T₂ (42.86 CFU×10⁻⁵). However, application of CPC+PG (T₄) and CPC+ BMP (T₅) registered the actinomycetes population of 24.24 and 30.08 CFU×10⁻⁵, respectively.

The highest bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes population of 63.81 (CFU×10⁻⁶), 16.60 (CFU×10⁻⁴) and 50.32 (CFU×10⁻⁵) were recorded with T₆- CPC+ BM+ PG+ LBF (T₆) compared to control.

The soil microbial population significantly increased in organically amended plots compared to control plots which might be due to the addition of coir pith compost, bone meal and panchagavya that might have large impact on the activity of microbial population. It was also due to addition of liquid bio-fertilizer in soil creates conducive environment and promoted high microbes and high activities. The enhanced biological activities in the compost treated soil are evidenced by relatively high carbon content and enzyme activities (Elliott and Lynch, 1994)^[4].

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of an initial soil

S. No	Properties	Content
A Physical Properties		
Mechanical Properties		
1	Coarse sand (%)	31.17
2	Fine sand (%)	28.31
3	Silt (%)	21.36
4	Clay (%)	18.64
5	Texture	Sandy loam
6	Taxonomical classification	<i>Typic Rhodustalfs</i>
9	Bulk density (Mg m ⁻¹)	1.45
10	Particle density(Mg m ⁻¹)	2.70
11	Pore space (%)	46.29
12	Water holding capacity	21.27
13	Soil colour	
	When wet	7.5 YR 6/6
	When dry	7.5 YR 7/8
B Physico –chemical properties		
1	pH	6.7
2	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	0.14
3	Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)	2.74
4	CEC (C mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)	17.86
C Available major nurients		
1	Available nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	184 (low)
2	Available phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	10.2(low)
3	Available potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	210 (medium)
D Available dtpa-extractable micro nutrients		
1	Fe (mgkg ⁻¹)	14.68
2	Zn (mgkg ⁻¹)	4.07
3	Cu (mgkg ⁻¹)	1.19
4	Mn (mgkg ⁻¹)	3.75

Table 2: Effect of coir pith compost, bone meal powder, panchagavya and liquid bio-fertilizer on grain yield of barnyard millet and its soil health

Treatment Details	Grain yield (kg/ha)	BD (Mgm ⁻³)	pH	CEC(cmol (p+) kg ⁻¹)	OC (gkg ⁻¹)	Bacterial population (CFU ×10 ⁻⁶)	Fungal population (CFU×10 ⁻⁴)	Actino mycetes population (CFU×10 ⁻⁵)
T ₁ - Control	1067	1.52	6.8	18.81	2.3	40.35	10.50	21.05
T ₂ -100% RDF	2172	1.36	6.5	23.96	4.3	57.63	16.40	42.86
T ₃ - CPC + BMP + PG	1634	1.42	6.4	22.01	3.8	61.47	14.85	36.55
T ₄ - CPC + PG	1239	1.50	6.7	19.65	2.7	47.56	12.49	24.24
T ₅ - CPC+ BMP	1438	1.47	6.6	20.97	3.2	49.30	12.84	30.08
T ₆ - T ₃ + LBF	1923	1.32	6.4	22.87	4.9	63.81	16.60	50.32
T ₇ - T ₄ + LBF	1412	1.46	6.6	20.51	3.1	61.67	13.90	45.90
T ₈ - T ₅ + LBF	1679	1.41	6.4	21.69	3.6	62.81	13.65	43.21
SEd	84.22	0.03	0.16	0.67	0.016	0.35	0.09	0.98
CD(p=0.05)	168.4	0.07	NS	1.45	0.032	0.70	0.18	2.10

Conclusion

To reduce different kinds of hazards due to excessive use of fertilizers, judicious and sustainable use of fertilizers should be made. Soil testing and analysis should be done properly and then fertilizer should be given to soil. Therefore to ensure sustainable agricultural production and to safeguard the environment, it can be concluded from this present investigation that though, application of 100% RDF(40:30:50 kg ha⁻¹) (T₂) was found to be effective for boosting growth and yield of barnyard millet, application of coirpith compost@10 t ha⁻¹, bonemeal powder @1 t ha⁻¹, panchagavya@3% along with liquid bio-fertilizer @1% (T₆) significantly improved health of sandy loam soil in terms of bulk density, cation exchange capacity, organic carbon content and microbial populations.

agric. Asian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015;9(6):350356.

References

1. Akbari PA, Ghalavand AM, Modares Sanavy M, Agha Alikhani, Shogi Kalkoran S. Comparison of different nutritional levels and the effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on the grain yield and quality of sunflower. Australian Journal of Crop. Science. 2011;5(12):1570-1576.
2. Chandini, Randeep Kumar, Ravendra Kumar, Om Prakash. Impact of Chemical Fertilizers on our Environment and Ecosystem. 2019. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331132826>.
3. Chukwu LI, Ano AO, Asawalam DO. Effects of poultry manure and NPK fertilizer on soil properties and nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) Plants growth in an Ultisol. Proceedings of the 36th Annual Conference of the Soil Science Society of Nigeria (SSSN) On 7th – 11th March, University Of Nigeria Nsukka. 2012.
4. Elliott LF, Lynch JM. Biodiversity and soil resilience and sustainable land use, greenland, CAB International., Wallingford UK, 1994, 353-364.
5. Feigin A, Halevy J. Irrigation-fertilization-cropping management for maximum economic return and minimum pollution of ground water. Research report, Inst. Soil Water, ARO, The Volcani Center, Bet Dagan, 1989.
6. Parasuraman P, Mani AK, Suresh M. Coirpith compost for rice-ragi cropping system of north western zone of Tamil Nadu. Madras Agriculture Journal. 2002;89:369-370
7. Patiram Singh KA. Effect of continuous application of manure and nitrogenous fertilizers on some properties of acid inceptisol. Journal of Indian. Society of Soil Science. 1993;41:430-433.
8. Raundal, PU, Patil Vidya U. Response of little millet varieties to different levels of fertilizer under rainfed condition. International. Advance. Research. Journal. Science Engineering Technology. 2017;4(8):58.
9. Sharma A, Chetani R. A Review on the Effect of Organic and Chemical Fertilizers on Plants. International Journal of. Research and Applied Science & Engineering Technology. 2017, 677.
10. Shekara BG, Sharnappa Krishnamurthy N. Effect of irrigation schedules on growth and yield of aerobic rice under varied levels of FYM in Cauvery command area. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2010;55:3539.
11. Vora VD, Rakholiya KD, Rupapara KV, Sutaria GS, Akbari KN. Effect of integrated nutrient management on Bt cotton and post harvest soil fertility under dry farming