



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2022; SP-11(7): 3661-3665  
© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 01-04-2022

Accepted: 05-05-2022

## NS Dalvi

Department of Agril.  
Entomology, College of  
Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb  
Sawant Konkan Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri,  
Maharashtra, India

## VS Desai

Department of Agril.  
Entomology, College of  
Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb  
Sawant Konkan Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri,  
Maharashtra, India

## GM Golvankar

Department of Agril.  
Entomology, College of  
Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb  
Sawant Konkan Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri,  
Maharashtra, India

## Corresponding Author

NS Dalvi  
Department of Agril.  
Entomology, College of  
Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb  
Sawant Konkan Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri,  
Maharashtra, India

## Effect of weather parameters on incidence of blue beetle, in rice ecosystem

NS Dalvi, VS Desai and GM Golvankar

### Abstract

The present experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season 2018-19 and 2019-2020 at Agronomy farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dapoli to study the correlation between blue beetle incidence and weather parameters.

Results revealed that morning relative humidity in  $S_1V_1$  ( $r=0.702$ ),  $S_1V_2$  ( $r=0.661$ ),  $S_1V_3$  ( $r=0.739$ ),  $S_1V_4$  ( $r=0.684$ ),  $S_1V_5$  ( $r=0.746$ ),  $S_1V_6$  ( $r=0.762$ ),  $S_1V_7$  ( $r=0.705$ ), evening relative humidity in  $S_1V_1$  ( $r=0.597$ ),  $S_1V_3$  ( $r=0.638$ ),  $S_1V_4$  ( $r=0.572$ ),  $S_1V_5$  ( $r=0.612$ ),  $S_1V_6$  ( $r=0.638$ ),  $S_1V_7$  ( $r=0.605$ ) was found to be positively significant. While, maximum temperature in  $S_1V_1$  ( $r=-0.704$ ),  $S_1V_2$  ( $r=-0.622$ ),  $S_1V_3$  ( $r=-0.711$ ),  $S_1V_4$  ( $r=-0.650$ ),  $S_1V_5$  ( $r=-0.684$ ),  $S_1V_6$  ( $r=-0.708$ ),  $S_1V_7$  ( $r=-0.677$ ),  $S_1V_8$  ( $r=-0.579$ ),  $S_2V_5$  ( $r=-0.618$ ),  $S_2V_6$  ( $r=-0.616$ ),  $S_2V_8$  ( $r=-0.582$ ) and bright sunshine hours  $S_1V_1$  ( $r=-0.585$ ),  $S_1V_2$  ( $r=-0.591$ ),  $S_1V_3$  ( $r=-0.670$ ),  $S_1V_4$  ( $r=-0.601$ ),  $S_1V_5$  ( $r=-0.666$ ),  $S_1V_6$  ( $r=-0.687$ ),  $S_1V_7$  ( $r=-0.638$ ) was found to be negatively significant. Remaining all of the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

**Keywords:** Blue beetle, weather parameters, Dapoli

### Introduction

Rice is the seed of monocot plant *Oryza sativa* (Asian rice) or *Oryza glaberrima* (African rice) belongs to family Graminae is originated from China. It is a staple food for more than two billion people. Insect pests constitute the major yield limiting biotic stresses throughout the rice growing countries. About 300 species of insects have been reported to attack rice crop in India, out of which 20 have been found to be the major pests <sup>[1]</sup>.

Rice blue beetle, *Leptispa pygmaea* (Baly.) is mainly found in southern parts of Karnataka, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Kerala <sup>[6]</sup>. Rice blue beetle occupying major status at some hot spot areas. The grub and adult stage of this pest is feeding on upper surface of leaves, causing longitudinal white streaks. In sever incidence, the leaves fold longitudinally and dry up.

Climate change affects insect physiology, behaviour, and development as well as species distribution and abundance, evidenced by changes in the number of generations a year, increasing survival rates in winter and the earlier appearance of some insects. Information about seasonal abundance and population build up trend is essential to ensure timely preparedness to tackle impending pest problems and prevent crop losses. In this regard, the present investigation was carried out with the following objectives of effect of weather parameters on blue beetle, *Leptispa pygmaea* incidence on rice.

### Material and Method

The experiment was conducted to know correlation between blue beetle incidence and weather parameters. Data on weather parameters like, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, morning relative humidity, evening relative humidity, rainfall and sunshine hours for the years 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 were collected from meteorological laboratory, Department of Agronomy, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli.

### Experiment layout

The experiment was conducted during *Kharif* season 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The main plot treatments were three sowing windows, viz.,  $S_1$ -23<sup>rd</sup> Met. Week,  $S_2$ -24<sup>th</sup> Met. Week and  $S_3$ -25<sup>th</sup> Met. Week. The sub plot treatments comprised nine varieties viz.,  $V_1$ -Karjat-5,  $V_2$ -Karjat-7,  $V_3$ -Ratnagiri-24,  $V_4$ -Karjat-2,  $V_5$ -Palghar-1,  $V_6$ -Karjat-3,  $V_7$ -Swarna,  $V_8$ -Sahyadri-4 and  $V_9$ -Jaya. Thus there were 27 treatment combinations.

Details of experiments

Location	:	Agronomy farm, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli.
Season	:	Kharif 2018-2019 and 2019-2020
Crop	:	Rice
Treatment details	:	<b>A] Main plot (Sowing time)</b> S <sub>1</sub> - 23 <sup>rd</sup> Meteorological week (4 <sup>th</sup> to 10 <sup>th</sup> June). S <sub>2</sub> - 24 <sup>th</sup> Meteorological week (11 <sup>th</sup> to 17 <sup>th</sup> June). S <sub>3</sub> - 25 <sup>th</sup> Meteorological week (18 <sup>th</sup> to 24 <sup>th</sup> June).
	:	<b>B] Sub plot (Varieties)</b> V <sub>1</sub> - Karjat-5 V <sub>2</sub> - Karjat-7 V <sub>3</sub> - Ratnagiri-24 V <sub>4</sub> - Karjat-2 V <sub>5</sub> - Palghar-1 V <sub>6</sub> - Karjat-3 V <sub>7</sub> - Swarna V <sub>8</sub> - Sahyadri-4 V <sub>9</sub> - Jaya
Plot Size (Gross Plot)	:	<b>Gross:</b> 7.2 x 2.1 m <b>Net plot:</b> 6.8 x 1.8 m
Design	:	Split plot design
Number of replications	:	Three
Spacing	:	20 cm x 15 cm

**Method of recording observations**

For rice blue beetle, total number of leaves per hill and infested leaves per hill from five randomly selected hills were recorded. The per cent infestation of rice blue beetle was calculated by using formula given below.

$$\text{Per cent infestation of blue beetle} = \frac{\text{Total number of infested leaves}}{\text{Total number of leaves per hill}} \times 100$$

The observations were recorded at weekly interval. The data thus obtained was converted into arc sine transformation and subjected to statistical analysis.

**Result and Discussion**  
**Kharif 2018-2019**

The data on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at different sowing time and different meteorological parameters during 2018-2019 are presented in Table 1 revealed that, the weather parameters like maximum temperature (r= -0.618) was found to be negatively significant with blue beetle

infestation in S<sub>1</sub> (23<sup>rd</sup> SMW, 4<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> June). Remaining all of the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

During 2018-2019 the data on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at different varieties and different meteorological parameters revealed that, the weather parameters like maximum temperature had found to be negatively significant in V<sub>3</sub> (r=-0.586), V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.579), V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.577) and V<sub>9</sub> (r=-0.600). Remaining all of the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

The results of data during 2018-2019 on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at combine effect of different sowing time and varieties with different meteorological parameters revealed that rainfall in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub> (r=0.590), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=0.587) and S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>9</sub> (r=0.580) was found to be positively significant. While, maximum temperature in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub> (r=-0.591), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub> (r=-0.645), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (r=-0.639), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.628), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.591), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub> (r=-0.620), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>9</sub> (r=-0.580), S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (r=-0.601), S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.589), S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.616) and bright sunshine hours in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.577), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub> (r=-0.618), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>8</sub> (r=-0.577) was found to be negatively significant. Remaining all of the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

**Table 1:** Correlation between sowing time, varieties and interaction (sowing time and varieties) and weather parameters on per cent blue beetle infestation during Kharif 2018-2019

Treatments	Weather Parameter					
	TMax	TMin	RH-I	RH-II	Rain	BSS
<b>Main Plot: Sowing Time</b>						
S <sub>1</sub>	-0.618*	0.403	0.452	0.542	0.555	-0.566
S <sub>2</sub>	-0.550	-0.058	0.105	0.386	0.405	-0.213
S <sub>3</sub>	-0.408	-0.323	-0.101	0.209	0.213	0.060
<b>Sub Plot: Varieties</b>						
V <sub>1</sub>	-0.499	-0.107	0.109	0.331	0.354	-0.164
V <sub>2</sub>	-0.539	-0.059	0.161	0.371	0.421	-0.215
V <sub>3</sub>	-0.586*	0.008	0.194	0.420	0.454	-0.275
V <sub>4</sub>	-0.505	-0.066	0.144	0.337	0.394	-0.191
V <sub>5</sub>	-0.579*	-0.030	0.102	0.416	0.365	-0.223
V <sub>6</sub>	-0.577*	0.066	0.247	0.436	0.476	-0.318
V <sub>7</sub>	-0.483	-0.081	0.047	0.330	0.334	-0.160
V <sub>8</sub>	-0.575	0.066	0.188	0.444	0.447	-0.300
V <sub>9</sub>	-0.600*	0.009	0.153	0.444	0.400	-0.241
<b>Interaction- Main plot x Sub plot</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.591*	0.205	0.291	0.490	0.485	-0.450

S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.645*	0.275	0.250	0.546	0.458	-0.490
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.639*	0.394	0.414	0.559	0.522	-0.551
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.559	0.392	0.535	0.479	0.590*	-0.543
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.628*	0.433	0.467	0.553	0.555	-0.577*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.591*	0.395	0.461	0.521	0.587*	-0.570
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.620*	0.490	0.485	0.565	0.533	-0.618*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.559	0.496	0.365	0.556	0.467	-0.577*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.580*	0.432	0.564	0.504	0.580*	-0.562
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.497	-0.006	0.248	0.348	0.431	-0.258
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.509	0.055	0.353	0.364	0.572	-0.321
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.601*	0.056	0.333	0.449	0.546	-0.328
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.461	-0.173	-0.027	0.297	0.266	-0.100
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.589*	-0.090	-0.042	0.428	0.292	-0.154
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.616*	0.197	0.336	0.489	0.546	-0.429
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.125	-0.376	-0.302	-0.014	0.083	0.135
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.563	0.039	0.143	0.404	0.414	-0.242
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.470	-0.175	-0.240	0.335	0.127	-0.052
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.400	-0.336	-0.136	0.201	0.163	0.085
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.414	-0.307	-0.057	0.221	0.220	0.052
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.185	-0.488	-0.285	-0.035	0.094	0.201
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.339	-0.424	-0.194	0.125	0.172	0.145
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.357	-0.356	-0.136	0.157	0.157	0.081
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.383	-0.365	-0.129	0.185	0.169	0.117
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.459	-0.272	-0.072	0.269	0.236	0.036
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.440	-0.191	0.035	0.275	0.330	-0.086
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.517	-0.205	0.017	0.331	0.294	-0.031

Table 'r' value = 0.708 at 1% level of significance.

Table 'r' value = 0.576 at 5% level of significance (N = 12 i.e. N-2 d.f.).

\*Significant at 5% level of significance.

\*\*Significant at 1% level of significance.

### Kharif 2019-2020

The data on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at different sowing time and different meteorological parameters during 2019-2020 are presented in Table 2 revealed that, all the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

During 2019-2020 the data on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at different varieties and different

meteorological parameters revealed that, the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

The results of data during 2019-2020 on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at combine effect of different sowing time and varieties with different meteorological parameters were found to be non-significant.

**Table 2:** Correlation between sowing time, varieties and interaction (sowing time and varieties) and weather parameters on per cent blue beetle infestation during *Kharif* 2019-2020

Treatments	Weather Parameter					
	TMax	TMin	RH-I	RH-II	Rain	BSS
<b>Main Plot: Sowing Time</b>						
S <sub>1</sub>	-0.370	-0.114	0.335	0.207	0.147	-0.266
S <sub>2</sub>	-0.302	-0.210	0.321	0.107	0.006	-0.147
S <sub>3</sub>	-0.334	-0.123	0.372	0.124	-0.010	-0.189
<b>Sub Plot: Varieties</b>						
V <sub>1</sub>	-0.307	-0.092	0.335	0.114	0.002	-0.191
V <sub>2</sub>	-0.299	-0.182	0.289	0.082	-0.022	-0.158
V <sub>3</sub>	-0.327	-0.139	0.303	0.132	0.020	-0.206
V <sub>4</sub>	-0.338	-0.107	0.320	0.138	0.005	-0.220
V <sub>5</sub>	-0.445	-0.114	0.437	0.249	0.122	-0.306
V <sub>6</sub>	-0.388	-0.249	0.362	0.176	0.144	-0.225
V <sub>7</sub>	-0.421	-0.212	0.417	0.206	0.112	-0.247
V <sub>8</sub>	-0.368	-0.251	0.380	0.161	0.122	-0.197
V <sub>9</sub>	-0.429	-0.231	0.439	0.204	0.150	-0.251
<b>Interaction-Main plot x Sub plot</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.446	-0.113	0.395	0.252	0.206	-0.315
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.406	-0.181	0.390	0.218	0.213	-0.274
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.336	-0.115	0.255	0.167	0.113	-0.246
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.244	-0.106	0.135	0.104	0.029	-0.195
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.422	-0.095	0.315	0.281	0.233	-0.352
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.366	-0.239	0.268	0.207	0.209	-0.258
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.465	-0.035	0.350	0.332	0.294	-0.403
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.448	-0.068	0.365	0.306	0.319	-0.369
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.345	-0.202	0.252	0.201	0.175	-0.259

S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.113	-0.111	0.168	-0.038	-0.141	-0.034
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.155	-0.304	0.122	-0.047	-0.128	-0.010
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.172	-0.199	0.157	0.016	-0.113	-0.068
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.329	-0.097	0.343	0.149	0.005	-0.199
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.386	-0.107	0.428	0.216	0.055	-0.241
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.395	-0.168	0.334	0.189	0.153	-0.254
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.270	-0.411	0.336	0.068	0.026	-0.037
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.370	-0.181	0.360	0.186	0.069	-0.230
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.403	-0.217	0.472	0.164	0.175	-0.196
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.345	-0.051	0.381	0.144	-0.003	-0.225
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.290	-0.055	0.305	0.084	-0.088	-0.174
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.325	-0.098	0.342	0.088	-0.018	-0.186
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.281	-0.070	0.322	0.070	-0.084	-0.157
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.394	-0.089	0.422	0.189	0.049	-0.251
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.282	-0.204	0.351	0.098	-0.014	-0.124
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.401	-0.067	0.412	0.196	0.029	-0.268
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.257	-0.333	0.331	0.041	0.016	-0.053
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.351	-0.160	0.404	0.153	0.018	-0.192

Table ‘r’ value = 0.708 at 1% level of significance.

Table ‘r’ value = 0.576 at 5% level of significance (N = 12 i.e. N-2 d.f.).

\* Significant at 5% level of significance.

\*\*Significant at 1% level of significance.

**Pooled data**

The data on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at different sowing time and different meteorological parameters during 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 are presented in Table 3 revealed that, morning relative humidity in S<sub>1</sub> (r=0.625) was found to be positively significant. While, maximum temperature in S<sub>1</sub> (r=-0.659) was found to be negatively significant. Remaining all of the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

During 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 the data on correlation between blue beetle infesting rice at different varieties and different meteorological parameters revealed that, maximum temperature in V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.628), V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.595), V<sub>8</sub> (r=-0.585) and V<sub>9</sub> (r=-0.612) was found to be negatively significant. Remaining all of the weather parameters were found to be non-significant.

The results of data during 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 revealed that morning relative humidity in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub> (r=0.702), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub> (r=0.661), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (r=0.739), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub> (r=0.684), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=0.746), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=0.762), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub> (r=0.705), evening relative humidity in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub> (r=0.597), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (r=0.638), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub> (r=0.572), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=0.612), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=0.638), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub> (r=0.605) was found to be positively significant. While, maximum temperature in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub> (r=-0.704), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub> (r=-0.622), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (r=-0.711), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub> (r=-0.650), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.684), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.708), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub> (r=-0.677), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>8</sub> (r=-0.579), S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.618), S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.616), S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>8</sub> (r=-0.582) and bright sunshine hours S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub> (r=-0.585), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub> (r=-0.591), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (r=-0.670), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub> (r=-0.601), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub> (r=-0.666), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub> (r=-0.687), S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub> (r=-0.638) was found to be negatively significant. Remaining all of the weather parameters were

found to be non-significant.

The results of the present findings are in accordance with the results of earlier workers. Kharat [6] revealed that the blue beetle incidence and morning relative humidity and average humidity exhibited positive non- significant correlation. Patel [2] indicated that evening relative humidity (r=0.546) and average relative humidity (r=0.539) had significant positive correlation with the adult population of blue beetle, while maximum temperature (r=-0.566) had significant negative correlation with the adult population of blue beetle. Minimum temperature (r=0.455), morning relative humidity (r=0.464), wind velocity (r=0.323), rainfall (r=0.220) and rainy days (r=0.398) had non-significant positive correlation, while average temperature (r=-0.195), sunshine hours (r=-0.447) and evaporation (r=-0.075) had non-significant negative correlation with the adult population of blue beetle. Karthikeyan and Jacob [3] revealed a negative correlation with maximum temperature and sunshine whereas a positive correlation was observed with minimum temperature and relative humidity with population. Rainfall showed no significant effect. Japur *et al.* [2] revealed that the pest population and per cent leaf damage during 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> week of July exhibited a positive correlation with morning and evening RH and rainfall (0.063, 0.603, 0.465 and 0.041, 0.591 and 0.473, respectively) while the correlation was negative with maximum and minimum temperature, whereas during 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week of July and during 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week of August there was a positive correlation with morning and evening relative humidity while the correlation was negative with minimum temperature.

**Table 3:** Pooled correlation between sowing time, varieties and interaction (sowing time and varieties) and weather parameters on per cent blue beetle infestation during *Kharif* 2018-2019 and 2019-2020

Treatments	Weather Parameter					
	T Max	T Min	RH-I	RH-II	Rain	BSS
<b>Main Plot: Sowing Time</b>						
S <sub>1</sub>	<b>-0.659*</b>	0.154	<b>0.625*</b>	0.505	0.342	-0.544
S <sub>2</sub>	-0.558	-0.121	0.330	0.349	0.147	-0.284
S <sub>3</sub>	-0.436	-0.302	0.077	0.194	0.041	-0.095
<b>Sub Plot: Varieties</b>						
V <sub>1</sub>	-0.525	-0.114	0.295	0.306	0.115	-0.266
V <sub>2</sub>	-0.529	-0.131	0.321	0.303	0.120	-0.271
V <sub>3</sub>	-0.574	-0.065	0.390	0.364	0.159	-0.337

V <sub>4</sub>	-0.533	-0.097	0.321	0.315	0.112	-0.283
V <sub>5</sub>	-0.628*	-0.065	0.369	0.417	0.230	-0.367
V <sub>6</sub>	-0.595*	-0.075	0.456	0.393	0.267	-0.374
V <sub>7</sub>	-0.562	-0.155	0.302	0.346	0.229	-0.294
V <sub>8</sub>	-0.585	-0.073	0.395	0.392	0.259	-0.355
V <sub>9</sub>	-0.612	-0.113	0.367	0.399	0.261	-0.348
<b>Interaction-Main plot x Sub plot</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.704*	0.228	0.702*	0.597*	0.391	-0.585*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.622*	0.306	0.661*	0.523	0.327	-0.591*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.711**	0.384	0.739**	0.638*	0.411	-0.670*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.650*	0.324	0.684*	0.572*	0.360	-0.601*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.684*	0.371	0.746**	0.612*	0.425	-0.666*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.708**	0.347	0.762**	0.638*	0.489	-0.687*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.677*	0.394	0.705*	0.605*	0.349	-0.638*
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.579*	-0.174	0.395	0.375	0.220	-0.297
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.565	0.010	0.431	0.402	0.220	-0.371
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.466	-0.027	0.340	0.273	0.009	-0.255
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.449	-0.107	0.384	0.247	0.021	-0.236
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.517	-0.062	0.418	0.331	0.042	-0.278
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.525	-0.143	0.248	0.318	0.129	-0.239
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.618*	-0.097	0.276	0.424	0.188	-0.306
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.616*	0.048	0.527	0.436	0.302	-0.456
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.341	-0.399	0.043	0.132	0.104	-0.026
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.582*	-0.063	0.344	0.384	0.190	-0.339
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.524	-0.202	0.155	0.329	0.312	-0.248
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	-0.436	-0.288	0.058	0.195	0.050	-0.103
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	-0.413	-0.257	0.067	0.174	-0.016	-0.090
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	-0.298	-0.377	-0.068	0.035	-0.031	0.004
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	-0.371	-0.332	-0.009	0.122	-0.039	-0.026
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	-0.451	-0.284	0.101	0.211	0.078	-0.125
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	-0.406	-0.371	0.047	0.175	0.020	-0.030
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	-0.494	-0.247	0.121	0.258	0.092	-0.158
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	-0.429	-0.328	0.170	0.207	0.091	-0.102
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	-0.503	-0.258	0.146	0.273	0.086	-0.150

Table 'r' value = 0.708 at 1% level of significance.

Table 'r' value = 0.576 at 5% level of significance (N = 12 i.e. N-2 d. f.).

\* Significant at 5% level of significance.

\*\*Significant at 1% level of significance.

### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Head, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dapoli for providing the necessary help required during the experiment.

### References

1. Arora R, Dhaliwal GS. Agro-ecological changes and insect pest problems in Indian agriculture. *Indian J Ecol.* 1996;23:109-122.
2. Japur K, Prabhu ST, Balikai RA, Manjappa K. Population dynamics of *Leptispa pygmaea* Baly and their correlation with weather parameters in rice ecosystem. *J Exp. Zool. India.* 2016;19(1):603-607.
3. Karthikeyan K, Jacob S. Population dynamics of rice blue beetle, *Leptispa pygmaea* Baly. (Coleoptera; Chrysomelidae). *Indian J Entomol.* 2009;71(4):296-298.
4. Kharat SR. Influence of nutrients on incidence of insect pest complex of paddy and their management. M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat), 2006, 52.
5. Patel KM. Studies on seasonal incidence, varietal screening and chemical control of rice blue beetle, (*Leptispa pygmaea* Baly.) under South Gujarat condition. M. Sc. (Agri.) thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat), 2008, 45.
6. Tulsi Bhardwaj, Rana KS. Bio-ecology of rice blue beetle, *Leptispa pygmaea* (Baly.). *Annal. Pl. Prot. Sci.* 2007;9(1):117-120.