www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(7): 4545-4548 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 25-04-2022 Accepted: 27-05-2022

Gandla Sai Pooja

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Entomology, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ashwani Kumar

Associate Professor, Department of Entomology, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India Field efficacy and economics of different insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee)

Gandla Sai Pooja and Ashwani Kumar

Abstract

The field trial was conducted at the Central Research Farm (CRF), SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj during *kharif* 2021. Seven treatments were evaluated against, *Leucinodes orbonalis* i.e., Profenofos 50 EC @ 2ml/l (17.84), Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.4 ml/l (19.15), Emamectim Benzoate 5 SG @ 0.5 gm/l (15.31), Cypermethrin 25 EC @ 2ml/l (18.64), Flubendamide 20 WG @ 0.75ml/l (16.24), Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC @ 0.4ml/l (12.88). The yields among the treatments were significant. The highest yield was recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC (165.8 q/ha) followed by Emamectim Benzoate 5 SG (148.5q/ha), Flubendamide 20 WG (136.5 q/ha), Profenofos 50 EC (127.3 q/ha), Cypermethrin 25 EC (115.9 q/ha) and Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (104.2 q/ha) and The treatment Neem oil (100.1 q/ha) was least effective among all the treatments. Control plot (68 q/ha) infestation. When cost benefit ratio worked out, interesting result was achieved, among the treatment studied, the best and most economical treatment Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC (1:6.49), Emamectim Benzoate 5 SG (1:6.06), Flubendamide 20 WG (1:5.43), Profenofos 50 EC (1: 5.12), Cypermethrin 25 EC (1:4.58), Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (1: 4.27), and The treatments Neem oil (1:1.40). was least effective among all the treatments. Control plot (1: 2.84) infestation.

Keywords: Brinjal shoot and fruit borer, evaluation, insecticides, Leucinodes orbonalis

Introduction

The eggplant or aubergine or brinjal (*Solanum melongena*) is one of the most important solanaceous vegetable in south-east Asian countries including India, Bangladesh, Srilanka, China and Japan etc. It is native to Indo-Burma region and was known to be grown in Indian since ancient times Warghat *et al.* (2020) ^[12].

The major brinjal growing states in India are, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Orissa, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and Rajasthan. India ranks second and Chinaranks first in the production of brinjal (57.9% of world output). In India, this crop occupies71.13 lakh hectare area along with annual production of 135.57 (lakh tone) and productivity MT per hectare. In Uttar Pradesh, the area under cultivation of brinjal is 3430 hectare producing 111.70 MT and the productivity is 8 MT/ha Bhagwan and Kumar (2017)^[2]

It is importance due to its nutritional, medicinal, as well as commercial value, 100gm edible portion of brinjal supplies 40 gm carbohydrates, 1.40gm of protiens, 0.30gm of mineral and vitamins A, B and C (68, 69). The fruits of brinjal are the reasonable sources of vitamins and minerals and it is rich in total water soluble sugars, free reducing sugars, amide proteins among other nutrients. (Abhishek and Dwivedi 2021)^[1].

A general view of the pest problem in brinjal in India reveals that this crop is attacked severely by number of pests, shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis*), epilachna beetle (*Epilachna vigintioctopunctata* Fab.), jassid (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida), aphid, thrips and white flies (*Bemicia tabaci* Gennadius). Out of these pests, shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* G. is considered to be the most destructive. The infestation on brinjal can be as high as 75 to 92% Brinjal crop is attacked regularly or sporadically by at least 50 insect pests and Aphid, Jassids, Whitefly and shoot and fruit borer are categorized as major pests of regular occurrence, which are in agreement with the present investation.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *kharif* season 2021 at the Central Research Farm (CRF) of Sam Higgin bottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Naini, Prayagraj,

Corresponding Author Gandla Sai Pooja M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Entomology, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., India Uttar Pradesh, India, in a randomized block design with eight treatments replicated three times using Banaras purple round (local variety) in a plot size of 2m×2m at a spacing of 60×60cm with a recommended package of practices excluding plant protection. Seven treatments were evaluated against, Leucinodes orbonalis i.e., Profenofos 50 EC @ 2ml/l(T1), Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.4 ml/l(T2), Emamectim Benzoate 5SG@ 0.5 gm/l(T3), Cypermethrin25 EC @ 2ml/l(T4), Flubendamide 20 WG @ 0.75 ml/l(T5), Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC @ 0.4ml/l(T6), neem oil 3 ml/l (T7)and control plot(T0) The population of brinjal shoot and fruit borer was recorded before 1-day spraying and on 3rd day, 7th day and 14th day after insecticidal application. The populations of brinjal shoot and fruit borer was recorded on 5 randomly selected and tagged plants from each plot and then it was converted into per cent of infestation by following formula.

No. of Shoots effected Percent = $\frac{\text{Shoot damage}}{\text{Total no. of shoots}} x 100$

No. of fruits affected

Per cent fruit damage = -

Total no. of fruits

Cost benefit ratio

Based on the yield data, the gross returns and net returns were

calculated for each treatment. The benefit cost ratio (BCR) was determined by dividing the additional returns with the additional cost of imposing the respective treatment on hectare basis.

Gross Returns

Total Cost of cultivation

Results and Discussion

B.C.R =

The results of the experiment Evaluation of different insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee) to study cost benefit ratio during *kharif* season of 2021. The data so obtained through observation on various aspects were subjected to statistical analysis wherever necessary and the compiled mean data are tabulated in the following pages. Results obtained are presented aspect wise here under.

The data on the per cent infestation of shoot borer on brinjal 3rd, 7th and 14th day after first spray revealed that all the chemical treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest per cent shoot, infestation was recorded in T6 Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC (12.88), T3 Emamectim Benzoate 5 SG (15.31), T5 Flubendamid 20 WG (16.24), T1 Profenofos 50 EC (17.84), T4 Cypermethrin 25 EC (18.64), T2 Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (19.15), and the treatments T7 Neem oil (19.56). was least effective among all the treatments. Control plot T0 (24.65) infestation.

Table 1: Efficacy of selected insecticides against L. orbonalis on brinjal during Kharif season of 2021 (First spray)

x100

| Treatments | | Per cent shoots | Per cent shoots infestation of L. orbonalis | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | | One den hefene muen | First spray | | | | | |
| | | One day before spray | 3 DAS | 7 DAS | 14 DAS | Mean | | |
| T1 | Profenofos 50 EC | 20.80 | 17.87 | 13.06 | 26.97 | 17.84 | | |
| T2 | lmidacloprid 17.8 SL | 20.24 | 17.88 | 15.83 | 23.74 | 19.15 | | |
| T3 | Emamectin benzoate 5SG | 20.81 | 14.13 | 10.60 | 21.20 | 15.31 | | |
| T4 | Cypermethrin25 EC | 20.97 | 18.64 | 14.29 | 22.99 | 18.64 | | |
| T5 | Flubendamide 20 WG | 20.24 | 15.66 | 11.36 | 21.46 | 16.24 | | |
| T6 | Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC | 19.77 | 13.28 | 8.06 | 17.31 | 12.88 | | |
| T7 | Neemoil | 20.71 | 20.23 | 17.75 | 25.20 | 19.56 | | |
| T0 | control | 20.42 | 24.12 | 22.88 | 26.97 | 24.65 | | |
| Overall Mean | | 20.49 | 17.73 | 14.22 | 22.68 | 18.08 | | |
| F- test | | NS | S | S | S | S | | |
| S. Ed. (±) | | 0.81 | 2.19 | 1.44 | 1.40 | 2.99 | | |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | | - | 4.71 | 3.10 | 3.01 | 1.39 | | |

The data on the per cent infestation of fruit borer on brinjal 3rd, 7th and 14th day after second sprayrevealed that all the chemical treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest per cent fruit, infestation was recorded recorded T6 Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC (5.34), T3

Emamectim Benzoate 5 S G (10.73), T5 Flubendamide 20 WG (12.26), T1 Profenofos 50 EC (14.39), T4 Cypermethrin 25 EC (15.41), T2 Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (16.63), and The treatments T7 Neem oil (17.41), was least effective among all the treatments. Control plot T0 (31.92) infestation.

Table 2: Efficacy of selected insecticides against L. orbonalis on brinjal during Kharif season of 2021 (second spray)

| Treatments | | Per cent fruit infest | Per cent fruit infestation of Leucinodes orbonalis | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | | On a day hafaya ayyay | After spray | | | | | |
| | | One day before spray | 3 DAS | 7 DAS | 14 DAS | Mean | | |
| T1 | Profenofos 50 EC | 22.60 | 19.10 | 12.63 | 11.14 | 14.39 | | |
| T2 | lmidacloprid 17.8 SL | 23.74 | 20.68 | 15.72 | 13.50 | 16.63 | | |
| T3 | Emamectin benzoate 5SG | 21.20 | 15.48 | 9.38 | 7.33 | 10.73 | | |
| T4 | Cypermethrin25 EC | 22.99 | 20.21 | 13.54 | 12.49 | 15.41 | | |
| T5 | Flubendamide 20 WG | 21.46 | 16.19 | 11.21 | 9.38 | 12.26 | | |
| T6 | Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC | 17.33 | 11.20 | 8.97 | 7.06 | 5.34 | | |
| T7 | Neem oil | 25.20 | 20.71 | 7.03 | 14.69 | 17.41 | | |
| T0 | control | 26.97 | 34.00 | 32.66 | 31.33 | 31.92 | | |
| Overall Mean | | 20.66 | 19.78 | 14.02 | 13.40 | 15.63 | | |

| F- test | NS | S | S | S | S |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| S. Ed. (±) | 1.40 | 1.91 | 1.43 | 1.44 | 1.18 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 3.01 | 4.10 | 3.09 | 3.10 | 2.53 |

| S. No. | Treatments | Yield of | Cost of yield | Total cost of | Common | Treatment | Net | Total cost | B:C |
|--------|----------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------|------------|--------|
| | Treatments | q/ha | / in (₹`)/qtl | yield in (₹`) | cost in (₹`) | cost in (₹`) | return | in (₹`) | ratio |
| 1 | Profenofos | 127.3 | 20000 | 254600 | 47848 | 1820 | 204932 | 49668 | 1:5.12 |
| 2 | Imidachlopri d | 104.2 | 20000 | 208400 | 47848 | 944 | 159608 | 48792 | 1:4.27 |
| 3 | Emamectin Benzoate | 148.5 | 20000 | 297000 | 47848 | 1132 | 248020 | 48980 | 1:6.06 |
| 4 | cypermethrin | 115.9 | 20000 | 231800 | 47848 | 2700 | 181252 | 50548 | 1:4.58 |
| 5 | Flubendamide | 136.5 | 20000 | 273000 | 47848 | 2424 | 222728 | 50272 | 1:5.43 |
| 6 | Chlorantranil iprole | 165.8 | 20000 | 331600 | 47848 | 3188 | 280564 | 51036 | 1:6.49 |
| 7 | Neem oil | 100.1 | 20000 | 200200 | 47848 | 2150 | 150202 | 49998 | 1:4.00 |
| 8 | Control | 68 | 20000 | 136000 | 47848 | 0 | 88152 | 47848 | 1:2.84 |

Table 3: Economics of cultivation



Fig 1: Graphical representation of economics of different treatments

Discussion

All the treatments are found to be superiorly over control on first and second spray and revealed that Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC was more effective in per cent infestation of fruit and shoot borer with (5.34 &12.88%) infestation over control respectively. Similar findings made by Mainali *et al.* (2015) ^[6] (6.31%), Sridhara *et al.*, 2019 ^[8] (7.38%). After that, Emamectim Benzoate5 SG is found to be more effective treatment in reducing per cent infestation of shoot and fruit borer with (15.31 & 10.73%) which is in line with the findings of Devi et al. (2015)^[3] shoot infestation of first spray (11.07) and fruit infestation(16.21), Sharma and Tayde (2017) ^[9] (14.03) reported that Flubendamide 20 WG was found most effective in reducing first spray (11.06) and fruit infestation (16.21) per cent infestation of Leucinodes orbonalis as well as increasing the yield in similar findings with Patra et al. (2016) ^[7] (7.96). Profemotos 50 EC (17.84 and 14.39%) is found to be the next effective treatment followed by Cypermethrin 25 EC (18.64 and 15.41%) is found to be more effective treatment in reducing per cent infestation of shoot and fruit borer with which is in line with the findings of Kumar and Thakur (2017)^[4] (7.41). The result of is in Imidacloprid 17.8 SL and 16.63%) followed by Neem oil 0.2% (19.56% & 17.41%) is found to be least effective among all the treatments these findings are supported by Bhagawan and Kumar (2017)^[2] (9.83).

Cost benefit ratio and Brinjal yield

The yields among the treatments were significant the highest

yield was recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC (165.8q/ha) findings with Shridhara *et al.* (2019) ^[8] (230q/ha), Emamectim Benzoate 5 SG (148.5q/ha) in similar findings with Devi *et al.* (2015) ^[3] (145.75q/ha), Yadav *et al.* (2015) ^[13] Flubendamide 20 WG (136.5 q/ha) in similar findings of Patra *et al.* (2009) ^[7]. Profenofos 50EC (127.3 q/ha), Cypermethrin 25 EC (115.9 q/ha), Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (104.2 q/ha) with findings in Salwe *et al.* (2019) (108q/ha) ^[11], and The treatments Neem oil (100.1 q/ha). was least effective among all the treatments find similar with with Sharma and Tayde (2017) ^[9] (90.4q/ha) Control plot (68 q/ha) infestation.

When cost benefit ratio worked out, interesting result was achieved, among the treatment studied the best and most economical treatment Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC (1:6.49), Emamectin Benzoate 5 SG (1:6.06) in similar findings with Shidhara *et al.* (2019) ^[8] (6.06), Flubendamide 20 WG (1:5.43) in similar findings with Shridhara *et al.* (2019) ^[8] (5.43), Profenofos 50 EC (1:5.12), Cypermethrin 25 EC (1:4.58) find similar with Bhagwan and

Kumar (2017)^[2] (8.45) Imiadacloprid 17.8 SL (1:4.27), and the treatments Neem oil (1: 1.40) was least effective among all the treatments similar findings with Sharma and Tayde (2017)^[9] (3.73) Control plot (1:2.84) Infestation.

Conclusion

From the present study, the results it showed that chlorantraniliprole 18.5 EC is most effective treatment against brinjal fruit and shoot borer producing maximum yield and

recorded highest Cost Benefit ratio compared to other treatments. While Emamectim Benzoate 5 SG, Flubendamide 20 WG, Profenofos 50 EC has shown average results Cypermethrin 25 EC, Imidacloprid 17.8 SL, has least effectiveness and in botanicals Neem oil found to be least effective in managing *Leucinodes orbonalis*. Botanicals are the part of integrated pest management in order to avoid indiscriminate use of pesticides causing pollution in the environment and not much harmful to beneficial insects.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. (Dr.) Rajendra B. Lal Hon'ble Vice Chancellor SHUATS, Prof. Dr. Shailesh Marker, Director of research, Dr. Deepak Lal, Dean of PG studies, Dr. Gautam Gosh, Dean, Naini Agricultural Institute and Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, for taking their keen interest and encouragement to carry out this research work.

Reference

- 1. Abhishek TS, Dwivedi SA. Review on integrated management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leuconodes orbonalis* (Guenee). Journal of entomology and zoology studies. 2021;9(1):182-189.
- Bhagwan DS, kumar A. Field efficacy of cypermethrin and certain insecticides against brinjalshoot and fruit borer, (*leuconodes orbonalis* Guenee) on brinjal (*Solanum melongena L.*) Journal of pharmacognosy and phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):193.
- Devi LL, Ghule TM, Chatterjee ML, Senapati AK. Effectiveness of biorational insecticides for the management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee and on yield. Eco. Env &cons. 2015;21(2):783-788.
- Kumar A, Thakur S. Comparative efficacy of essential oil, neem products and Beauveria bassiana against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Luecinodes orbonalis*) of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Journal of entomology and zoological studies. 2017;5(4):306-309.
- 5. Murugeseson N, Murugesh T. Bio efficacy of some plant products against brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Luecinodes orbonalis*, guenee (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) Journal of bio pesticide. 2009;2(1):60-63.
- Mainali RP, Peneru RB, Pokhrel P, Giri YP. Field Bio-Efficacy of Newer Insecticides against Eggplant Fruit and Shoot Borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee, *International.* Journal Appl Sci Biotechnol. 2015;3(4):727-730.
- Patra S, Thakur A, Firake DM. Evaluation of Biopesticides and Insecticides Against Brinjal Shoot and Fruit Borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis*, Guenee) in Meghalawya of North-Eastern India, International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 2016;7(5):1032-1036.
- Shridhara M, Hanchinal SG, Sreenivas AG, Hosamani AC, Nidagundi JM. Evaluation of newer insecticides for the management of brinjal shoot and fruit Borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee) International journal of current, microbiology and applied sciences. 2019;8(3):2582-25.
- 9. Sharma JH, Tayde AR. Evaluation of bio-rational pesticides against brinjal fruit and shoot borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee, on brinjal at Allahabad Agroclimatic region. International journal of current microbiology and

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

applied science. 2017;6(6):2049-2054

- Shirale D, Patil M, Zehr U, Parimi S. Evaluation Of Newer Insecticides for The Management Of Brinjal Fruit And Shoot Borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee). Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2012;40(4):273-275.
- Salwe R, Sonkamble MM, Patil SK. Management of major insect pest of brinjal (*solanum melongena*) Journal of entomology and zoology studies. 2019;7(5):777-783.
- 12. Warghat AN, Nimbalkar D, Tayde AR. Bioefficacy of some insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit Borer *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee) Journal of Entomology and Zoological Studies. 2020;8(1):932-936.
- 13. Yadav DK, Singh NN, Mishra VK, Singh SK. Bio efficacy of certain newer insecticides against brinjal shoot and fruit Borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee) Journal of entomological research. 2015;39(1):25-30.