www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; SP-11(7): 1863-1866 © 2022 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 20-04-2022 Accepted: 23-05-2022

Priyanka

Department of Entomology, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

SK Khinchi

Associate professor, College of agriculture (Agriculture University, Jodhpur), Nagaur, Rajasthan, India

Akhter Hussain

Department of Entomology, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

SL Sharma

Department of Entomology, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Sanju Piploda

Department of Entomology, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Pooja Sharma

Department of Entomology, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author Priyanka Department of Entomology, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Population dynamics of aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch on groundnut in relation to biotic and abiotic factors

Priyanka, SK Khinchi, Akhter Hussain, SL Sharma, Sanju Piploda and Pooja Sharma

Abstract

The field experiment were conducted at Agronomy farm, S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner during *Kharif*, 2020 and 2021 to know the population dynamics of aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch. The incidence of aphid *A. craccivora* started in the first week of August in both the years. The population of aphid gradually increased and reached to its peak in the first week of September and last week of August during *Kharif*, 2020 and 2021 respectively. The correlation studies indicated that the aphid population had significant positive correlation with relative humidity (r=0.71, r=0.64) and non significant relationship with temperature and rainfall in both the years. Both the predators, ladybird beetle and syrphid fly had significant positive relationship with aphid population during both the years.

Keywords: Aphid, correlation, groundnut

Introduction

Groundnut, Arachis hypogaea (L.) also known as peanut belongs to family Fabaceae. In India it is an important crop and occupies second position among oilseed crops. The oil is rich in omega-3 fatty acid and extensively used for cooking purpose. Its seeds are a rich source of edible oil (43-55 per cent) and protein (25-28 per cent) also a valuable source of vitamins viz., E, K and B. Groundnut cake after oil extraction is a high protein animal food and haulm provides quality fodder (Blummel et al., 2005)^[3]. The major growing states of india includes Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Rajasthan, and Maharashtra. Sucking pests suck the sap from tender plant parts as a result plant or parts of plant dry up. They feed on the plant sap (direct damage) and also act as vectors for plant viral diseases (indirect damage). Aphid suck the sap from tender shoots and twigs and sometimes severely infest the plant. Groundnut rosette disease is transmitted by aphid (Vijayalakshmi, 1994 and Naidu et al. 1998) ^[8]. Abiotic conditions such as temperature, relative humidity, sunlight, and rainfall have a significant impact on the population dynamics of any insect pest (Saminathan et al., 2003)^[15]. The environment, the availability of a host, and the activity of natural enemies all play a role in an insect's survival, reproduction, and development. Weather parameters influence insect life cycles, reproduction, and outbreaks (Pedigo, 2004)^[13], and fluctuation in these abiotic factors have a negative effect on insect population dynamics (Prasad and Logiswaran, 1997)^[14].

Material and Methods

The experimental study conducted at Agronomy farm, S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner (Rajasthan) during *Kharif*, 2020 and 2021 to know the population dynamics of aphid on groundnut. The groundnut crop, variety RG-510 was sown on 8th July 2020 and 5th July 2021 in five plots. The plot size was 3.0 m x 2.0 m with row to row and plant to plant distance of 40 cm x 15 cm, respectively.

Method of observations

The population of aphid were recorded at weekly interval early in morning hours. The aphid population was counted on three leaves per plant from the five selected and tagged plants from each plot (Ahir *et al.* 2017)^[1]. For recording the aphid population marked leaf was grasped at the petiole and twisted until underside of the leaf was clearly visible. Aphid population was expressed as number per three leaves. The population of natural enemies ladybird beetle and syrphid flies were also recorded on the same selected and tagged plants at the same time interval.

Interpretation of data

To interpret the results of population dynamics of aphid on groundnut and its natural enemies the simple correlation was computed between aphid population, natural enemies and abiotic factors, *i.e.* minimum & maximum temperatures, relative humidity and rainfall was worked out using following formula.

$$r = \frac{N \sum xy - (\sum x) (\sum y)}{\sqrt{N \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2 \cdot N \sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2}}$$

Where

r = Simple correlation coefficient

- x = Independent variables *i.e.* abiotic components
- y = Dependent variables *i.e.* pests
- N = Number of observations

Results and Discussion

The mean population of aphid and the standard meteorological week wise weather parameters are presented in Table 1 (*Kharif*, 2020) and Table 2 (*Kharif*, 2021)^[17]. The findings of the present study and the related discussion are explained hereunder.

Table 1: Population dynamics of aphid, Aphis craccivora Koch on groundnut in relation to biotic and abiotic factors in Kharif, 2020

SMW	Date of	Temperature (⁰ C)		Mean relative	Total Rainfall	Mean aphid	Mean population/ five plants	
	observations	Max.	Min.	humidity (%)	(mm)	population/ three leaves	C. septempunctata	Maggots of X. scutellare
31	29.07.20	36.00	24.20	69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	05.08.20	32.90	23.00	83	47.40	4.00	0.00	0.00
33	12.08.20	33.10	23.50	86	57.40	5.20	0.48	0.28
34	19.08.20	30.20	22.00	87	39.40	6.40	1.20	1.00
35	26.08.20	31.10	21.50	83	27.80	7.36	2.60	1.80
36	02.09.20	31.70	21.30	84	24.40	8.80	3.16	2.20
37	09.09.20	36.30	21.10	67	0.00	7.00	3.40	3.00
38	16.09.20	37.30	21.50	63	01.30	6.08	2.60	2.60
39	23.09.20	36.90	20.80	59	03.20	3.88	2.00	2.20
40	30.09.20	37.00	14.30	47	0.00	2.40	1.80	1.60
41	07.10.20	36.60	16.50	43	0.00	0.00	1.40	1.20
42	14.10.20	35.00	20.30	44	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.40
	Cor	relation c	oefficient	with max. temp.		NS	NS	NS
	Coi	relation c	oefficient	with min. temp.		NS	NS	NS
	Correl	ation coef	ficient wit	h relative humidi	ty	0.712*	NS	NS
	С	orrelation	coefficien	t with rainfall		NS	NS	NS
	Correla	ation coeff	ficient with	n C.septempuncta	ıta	0.668*		
				aggots of X. scut		0.592*		

SMW = Standard meteorological weeks

* = Significant at 5 per cent level

NS = non significant

Table 2: Population dynamics of aphid, Aphis craccivora Koch on groundnut in relation to biotic and abiotic factors in Kharif, 2021

SMW	Date of	Temperature (⁰ C) Mean relative	Total Rainfall	Mean aphid population/	Mean population/ five plants	
	observations	Max.	Min.	humidity (%)	(mm)			Maggots of X. scutellare
30	26.07.21	31.80	24.30	65	55.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
31	02.08.21	30.10	22.80	89	98.90	4.40	0.00	0.00
32	09.08.21	33.50	23.20	75	02.40	4.20	0.60	0.16
33	16.08.21	35.60	22.10	65	00.00	3.00	1.20	1.00
34	23.08.21	33.60	23.80	73	10.80	6.60	1.40	1.20
35	30.08.21	32.80	22.50	75	25.40	8.20	2.28	1.80
36	06.09.21	33.00	23.60	80	07.80	7.28	3.00	2.60
37	13.09.21	32.50	22.60	78	01.60	6.40	2.60	2.46
38	20.09.21	31.60	22.30	79	38.20	4.88	2.40	2.00
39	27.09.21	31.70	22.60	77	08.20	3.20	2.00	1.60
40	04.10.21	33.40	21.50	69	33.00	0.00	1.40	1.20
41	11.10.21	35.50	16.50	50	00.00	0.00	1.00	0.60
	Corr	elation co	pefficient v	with max. temp.		NS	NS	NS
	Corr	elation c	oefficient	with min. temp.		NS	NS	NS
	Correla	tion coef	ficient with	n relative humid	0.642*	NS	NS	
	Co	rrelation	coefficien	t with rainfall		NS	NS	NS
	Correlat	ion coeff	icient with	C.septempunct	ata	0.601*		
	Correlation	coefficie	ent with m	aggots of X. scut	tellare	0.598*		

SMW = Standard meteorological weeks

NS = non significant, * = Significant at 5 per cent level

Aphid, Aphis craccivora Koch

The aphid, *A. craccivora* infestation started in the first week of August in both the years. The aphid population gradually increased and reached to peak with 8.80 and 8.20 aphids per three leaves per plant in the first week of September and last week of August in *Kharif*, 2020 and 2021, respectively. After reaching the peak, the population of aphid started to decline and reached to low level in the last week of September during both the years.

The current findings are in accordance with those of Yadav *et al.* (2007) ^[19], Ahir *et al.* (2017) ^[1], Gocher *et al.* (2019 a) ^[5], Nayak *et al.* (2019) ^[11], Saritha *et al.* (2020) ^[16] and Shakya *et al.* (2021) ^[17] who were reported the incidence of aphid started from last week of July to second week of August and the peak population of aphid from first week of September to fourth week of September which are support the present results. The somewhat variation in commencement of incidence and peak period as reported by above researchers might be due to the difference in agro climatic conditions of the locality and time of sowing.

The aphid population had positive significant correlation with relative humidity (r= 0.71 and r= 0.64), ladybird beetle (r= 0.66 and r= 0.60) and syrphid fly (r= 0.59 and r= 0.60) during both the years. While, non significant correlation with maximum temperature, minimum temperature and rainfall during both the years. This indicated that with the increase in relative humidity results in increase population of aphid. The other meteorological parameters do not affect the aphid population significant during both the years.

The present investigation on association of aphid population with the biotic and abiotic factors are confirmed by the findings of Yadav *et al.* (2007) ^[19], Yadav *et al.* (2012) ^[20], Kandakoor *et al.* (2012) ^[6], Ahir *et al.* (2017) ^[1], Nayak *et al.* (2019) ^[11], Saritha *et al.* (2020) ^[16] and Shakya *et al.* (2021) ^[17] those were reported that aphid population had non significant correlation with temperature and rainfall which are in agreement with present results. Bhede *et al.* (2018) ^[2] found that the population of ladybird beetle and syrphid fly had a significant positive correlation with relative humidity, ladybird beetle and syrphid fly population the results are in close akin with present results.

Natural enemies

The incidence of ladybird beetle, *C. septempunctata* and syrphid fly, *X. scutellare* commenced in the second week of August in both the years. The peak population of ladybird beetle (3.40 and 3.00/ 5 plants) and syrphid fly (3.00 and 2.60/ 5 plants) in the second and first week of September during both the years. The correlation studies indicated that the ladybird beetle and syrphid fly population had non significant correlation with all the weather parameters during both the years.

Manjula and Prasannalaxmi (2014) ^[7], Bhede *et al.* (2018) ^[2] and Gocher *et al.* (2019 a) ^[5] who were reported that the population of ladybird beetle and syrphid fly were appeared in the first week of August and reached to maximum in the third week of September and both the predators had non significant correlation with all weather parameters which are fully agreement with present results.

Conclusion

The important conclusions drawn from present investigation made on population dynamics of aphid and its natural enemies. The infestation of aphid, *A. craccivora* started in the first week of August in both the years and reached to its peak in the first week of September and last week of August during *Kharif*, 2020 and 2021 respectively. The aphid population had positive significant correlation with relative humidity (r= 0.71 and r= 0.64), ladybird beetle (r= 0.66 and r= 0.60) and syrphid fly (r= 0.59 and r= 0.60) during both the years. While, non significant correlation with maximum temperature, minimum temperature and rainfall during both the years. Both the predators ladybird beetle and syrphid fly had significant positive relationship with aphid population during both the years.

References

- 1. Ahir KC, Saini A, Rana BS, Dangi NL. Population dynamics of sucking pests in relation to weather parameter in groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea* L. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5:960-963.
- 2. Bhede BV, Bhosle BB, Zanwar PR. Correlation and regression of aphid on cauliflower with abiotic and biotic factors of environment. Journal of Entomological Research. 2018;42:355-359.
- 3. Blummel MC, Reddy R, Ravi D, Nigam SN, Upadhyaya HD. Food fodder traits in groundnut. SAT e Journal ICRISAT. 2005;1:1-3.
- Ghewande MP. Virus diseases of groundnut and their management. In: "Symposium on diseases of oilseed and pulse crops and their control". (Eds. S.C. Vyas and V.N. Shorofgf). Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidhyalaya, Indore, M.P. 1987, 62-67.
- Gocher S, Ahmad S. a. Seasonal incidence of major sucking insect pests of groundnut in relation to weather parameters of semi arid region of India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8:1106-1111.
- 6. Kandakoor SB, Khan HK, Gowda GB, Chakravarthy AK, Kumar CTA, Venkataravana P. The incidence and abundance of sucking insects pests on groundnut. Current Biotica. 2012;6:342-348.
- Manjula K, Prasannalakshmi R. Positive influence of intercrops on coccinellid and spider fauna in groundnut. International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences. 2014;4:207-211.
- Naidu RA, Bottenberg H, Subrahmanyam P, Kimmins FM, Robinson DJ, Thresh JM. Epidemiology of groundnut rosette virus disease: current status and future research needs. Annals of Applied Biology. 1998;132:525-548.
- 9. Nandagopal V, Reddy PS. Outbreak of *Balclutha hortensis* L. a new pest species of groundnut. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 1987;4:300.
- Nandagopal V, Vasanta S. Influence of plant growth regulators on the population of thrips, *Caliothrips indicus* (Bagnall) and damage in groundnut. Entomon. 1991;16:87-89.
- 11. Nayak TK, Deole S, Shaw SS, Mehta N. Seasonal incidence of sucking insect pests infesting groundnut crop at Raipur. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2019;7:83-87.

- Nigude VK, Patil SA, Bagade AS. a. Seasonal incidance of sucking pests of groundnut *Arachis hypogaea* L. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7:558-561.
- 13. Pedigo LP. Entomology and Pest Management (4th edi.). New Delhi, India: Prentice-Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, 2004.
- 14. Prasad SG, Logiswaran G. Influence of weather factors on population fluctuation of insect pest of brinjal at Madurai, Tamil Nadu. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1997;59:385-388.
- Saminathan VR, Jayaraj S, Regupathy A. Correlation between coccinellids, *Menochilus sexmaculatus* Fab. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on cotton and major weather factors in Southern Tamil Nadu. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2003;90:363-365.
- Saritha R, Sirisha ABM, Haseena SK, Sujatha V. Impact of weather on incidence of sucking pests in groundnut. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2020;8:1157-1163.
- 17. Shakya A, Dwivedi RK, Kumar S, Raj A, Prakash A. Population dynamics of aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch during *Kharif*, season on groundnut in relation to abiotic factors. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10:107-111.
- 18. Vyas HN. Losses due to insect pests in groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea*. Proceedings of the all India workshop on crop losses on insect pests. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1984;2:312-317.
- 19. Yadav JB, Singh RS, Tripathi RA. Effect of weather parameters on pest complex of okra. Annals of Plant Protection Science. 2007;15:477-478.
- Yadav PC, Sharma US, Ameta OP, Padiwal NK. Seasonal incidence of major sucking insect pests of groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea* L. Indian Journal of Applied Entomology. 2012;26:57-59.