



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2022; 11(8): 1043-1047
© 2022 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 02-05-2022
Accepted: 06-07-2022

Ankit Singh
Department of Agronomy,
PGCA, RPCAU, Pusa,
Samastipur, Bihar, India

Harendra Singh
Department of Agronomy, TCA,
Dholi, RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar,
India

Sanjay Kumar Singh
Department of Soil Science,
TCA, Dholi, RPCAU, Pusa,
Bihar, India

DK Dwivedi
Department of Agronomy, TCA,
Dholi, RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar,
India

Dr. Kavita
(Assistant Professor cum
Scientist) Dept. of Botany, Plant
Physiology and Biochemistry,
RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author:
Ankit Singh
Department of Agronomy,
PGCA, RPCAU, Pusa,
Samastipur, Bihar, India

Response of NPK uptake in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) based rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cropping system including weed flora

Ankit Singh, Harendra Singh, Sanjay Kumar Singh, DK Dwivedi and Dr. Kavita

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out during the two consecutive Zaid and Kharif season in year of 2020-2021 and 2021-2022 at the research farm of Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar to study "yield maximization through agronomic management in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under a rice-based cropping system". In seed inoculation with LSMR 1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) + RB 3 (Microbial consortia), significantly higher N, P, K uptake of Mungbean and rice were recorded, but in the case of weed management, two-hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS are observed significantly higher N, P, K uptake of Mungbean and rice as well as Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS was found at par response with two-hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS. However, foliar nutrition of 2% 19:19:19 NPK (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) is shown to be significantly higher nitrogen uptake than the other rest of the treatments for Mungbean and rice, but in case weed maximum N, P, K uptake was found in seed inoculation (Rhizobium + PSB (Local) and lowest N, P, K uptake was recorded in LSMR 1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) + RB 3 (Microbial consortia). Under weed management in weed check similarly in foliar nutrition in water spray (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) maximum N, P, K uptake was recorded in weed than the rest of treatments in both year (2020-2021) and pooled data respectively.

Keywords: Microbial consortia, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, foliar nutrition, weed management, weedy check, Cropping system

Introduction

Among five Asian countries: India, Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh, and China, there are 28.8 million hectares (m ha) under rice-wheat cropping (RWCS). A total of 12 million hectares of RWCS are cultivated in India, accounting for 31% of all food grains produced (Kumar and Yadav 2006). Asia's food requirements are greatly influenced by the RWCS. In spite of this, many people are questioning the sustainability of cereal-cereal cropping systems when they observe that soil fertility deteriorates over time. (Duxbury and Gupta 2000; Ladha *et al.*, 2000; Prasad 2005) [13, 25]. Green gram, or Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.), plays a crucial role in Indian food production. Pulses with high nutrients have an advantage over others because they are digestible, non-flatulent, and high in nutrients and Protein-rich edible seeds are the primary reason for their cultivation (Haq 1989) [3]. A winter rainy season that lasts from February to November offers excellent opportunities for the cultivation of short-duration pulse crops before the harvest of the aus rice. During the fallow period Sylhet, Nazrul, and Shaheb (2012b) [28] found that pulse crops can be grown effectively in the fallow land, which produces higher seed yields for Mungbean (var. BARI Mung-6), chickpea (var. BARI Chola-5), and lentil (var. BARI Mosur-6). Cropping patterns using potato-rice and chickpea-rice have been developed to produce crops on fallow land in the Sylhet region (Nazrul *et al.*, 2013; Nazrul and Shaheb, 2012a; Shaheb *et al.*, 2011) [19, 17, 28]. Around 30% of the nitrogen applied as manure is available to crops immediately after application and the rest is available to crops afterward, according to Jamaval *et al.*, 2006; Patel *et al.*, 2020) [7, 22]. It is important to maintain soil fertility to ensure higher and more sustainable yields because nutrients are constantly being exchanged between soil and plants. A major characteristic of mung bean crops is their ability to form symbiotic relationships with specific bacteria, supplying them with nitrogen through a process called biological nitrogen fixation (Mandal *et al.*, 2009) [14].

In addition to organic fertilizers, farming systems can also benefit from compost and farmyard manure, and can improve soil health by recycling crop and organic residues. Organic fertilizers are scarce and high transportation costs impose major constraints. Therefore, legumes should be incorporated into current cropping systems based on this scenario. The symbiosis between legumes and the bacteria *Rhizobium* aids in the biological fixation of atmospheric nitrogen (N). A rotation of field crops can partially reduce the need for nitrogen fertilizer. Consequently, it turns into a moderate supply of nitrogen for farmers who lack access to resources (McDonagh *et al.*, 1995) [15]. Legumes, together with grain, are crucial for boosting agricultural yield, sustaining crop output, enhancing human nutrition, and preserving the health of the soil (Norman *et al.*, 1984; Patel *et al.*, 2020) [20, 23]. There has been documented competitiveness and yield reduction resulting from weeds in greengram (Parkash *et al.*, 1988) [21], as well as up to 96.5% yield reduction resulting from crop weed competition (Verma *et al.*, 2015) [9]. Hand weeding is a good method for reducing weeds in the greengram, but it is not practical because of the expense, labour shortage, and constant rain during the rainy season. Because of this, chemical weed control is a great alternative and can keep an area free of weeds for up to 30-35 days after sowing (Dungarwal *et al.*, 2003). The goal of the current investigation was to determine the lasting effects of organic materials and bio-fertilizers used on the rice that came before the mung bean in an organic cropping system.

Methods and Materials

The field experiment was carried out in the zaid and kharif seasons in both 2020 and 2021 at the research farm of the Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, (Muzaffarpur) of R.P.C.A.U., Pusa, Bihar, India. It has a location on the southern bank of the river Burhi Gandak in the Samastipur district at 25.590 North latitude and 85.750 East longitude, and is 52.92 m above mean sea level (Arabian Sea) for two years (2020 to 2021 and 2021 to 2022). The soil had a pH of 8.50, a low amount of available nitrogen (175.66 kg ha⁻¹), a medium amount of available phosphorus (16.12 kg ha⁻¹), and a low amount of available potassium (111.67 kg ha⁻¹). Available organic C in the soil was 35 percent. Its availability was also low. With three replications, the experiment was set up using a factorial randomised block design. Treatments comprise three factors: seed inoculation, weed management, and foliar nutrition: Seed inoculation [(*Rhizobium* + PSB (Local) and LSMR 1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) + RB 3 (Microbial consortia)], weed management (weedy check, two-hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS, Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS and Fomesafen @ 220 g/ha + Fluzifop-p-butyl @ 220 g/ha at 15-20 DAS), and foliar nutrition [(Water spray (Flower initiation + Pod initiation), Urea spray 2% (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) and 19:19:19 NPK at 2% (Flower initiation + Pod initiation)] and all treatment compressions were done with absolute control.

Analytical technique

Macro Wiley Mill (Paul N. Gardner Company, Inc., FL, USA) was used to grind mung bean grain and stover samples into 40-mesh sieves after drying at 60 °C for six hours. We determined nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium using a 0.5-g sample of grain and straw. A modified Kjeldahl method (Jackson 1973) [5] was used to measure nitrogen in grain and

straw samples. Total phosphorus levels were measured using a Vanadomolybdo phosphoric acid yellow color method and flame photometry, as described by Prasad *et al.* (2006) [27]. In percentages, NPK concentrations were calculated in grain and straw. Using their percent concentrations in grain or straw and their yields, N, P, and K uptake was calculated. In grain and straw, N, P, and K were added together to obtain the total uptake. This was expressed in kilograms per hectare.

Nutrient uptake by weeds

Uptake of N, P, and K by weeds was estimated using the following formula:

$$\text{Nutrient uptake by weed kg ha}^{-1} = \frac{\text{Nutrient content in weed \%} \times \text{weed dry matter kg ha}^{-1}}{100}$$

Statistical analysis

Using a factorial randomized block design, Cochran and Cox (1957) applied the 'analysis of variance' method to analyze the data pertaining to each character. In order to compare the mean differences presented in the summary table at a 5% level of significance, a critical difference of 5% was calculated.

Results and Discussion

Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake of Mungbean

The study of different factors *viz.*, seeds inoculations, weed management, and foliar application treatments significantly influenced by the Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake in green gram crops. Data showed in Table 1 response of seed inoculation with LSMR 1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) + RB 3 (Microbial consortia) significantly higher Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake was recorded (103.13, 101.15), (12.15, 11.16) and (172.93, 170.95) kg/ha than the *Rhizobium* + PSB (Local) (99.10, 96.96), (10.43, 9.45) and (166.08, 164.11) kg/ha in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively similar results recorded by Kumawat *et al.* (2021) [12].

Under weed management, two hands weeding at 20 and 40 DAS obtained significantly higher Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (105.56, 103.37), (13.67, 12.66) and (177.90, 175.88) kg kg/ha than Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS (101.99, 99.81), (12.68, 11.67) and (172.20, 170.18) kg/ha and Fomesafen @ 220 g/ha + Fluzifop-p-butyl @ 220 g/ha at 15-20 DAS (99.93, 97.97), (11.67, 10.69) and (167.02, 165.06) kg ha⁻¹ weedy check (96.97, 95.05), (7.13, 6.17) and (160.92, 159.0) kg ha⁻¹ however Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS shown at par response with two hands weeding at 20 and 40 DAS in each year (2020 and 2021) data respectively similar result are observed Kaur *et al.*, (2010) [8], Komal *et al.* (2015) [9], Jagadesh, M., & Raju, M. (2021) [6].

In the case of foliar nutrition on 19:19:19 NPK at 2% (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) (102.87, 100.63), (12.44, 11.44) and (172.22, 170.23) was recorded maximum Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake than water spray (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) (99.29, 97.32), (10.16, 9.18) and (166.57, 164.61) kg/ha and Urea spray 2% (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) (101.18, 99.20), (11.27, 10.28) and (169.72, 167.75) kg/ha however Urea spray 2% (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) are found at par response with 19:19:19 NPK at 2% (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) in both years (2020

and 2021) respectively similar result are found Kumar *et al.* (2018), Mondal *et al.* (2011) [16]. Whereas all treatments are obtained maximum Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (101.11, 99.05), (11.29, 10.30) and (169.51, 167.53) kg/ha than absolute control (87.23, 85.47), (5.27, 4.39) and (154.20, 152.44) kg/ha in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively.

Nutrient uptake of rice

Residual impact of previous mungbean and their application of different treatments of nitrogen uptake on rice crop presented in Table 2

In seed inoculation are shown LSMR 1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) + RB 3 (Microbial consortia) significantly higher Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (89.60, 91.60), (16.94, 17.95) and (109.60, 110.59) kg/ha than (Rhizobium + PSB (Local) (80.92, 82.83), (15.90, 16.88) and (100.74, 101.66) kg/ha in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively similar result is found Saxena and Yadav (1998) [30].

Under weed management, two hands weeding at 20 and 40 DAS obtained significantly higher Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (92.32, 94.31), (17.76, 18.76) and (112.34, 113.31) kg/ha compared to all other treatments Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS (88.98, 91.02), (17.08, 18.08) and (108.81, 109.66) kg/ha and Fomesafen @ 220 g/ha + Fluzifop-p-butyl @ 220 g/ha at 15-20 DAS (85.20, 87.19), (16.41, 17.36) and (105.20, 196.20) kg/ha and lowest Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake are observed weedy check (74.33, 76.34), (14.42, 15.46) and (102.68, 103.61) kg/ha however Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS are shown at par response with two hands weeding at 20 and 40 DAS in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively similar result are obtained Pooniya and Shivay (2012) [24]. Previous foliar nutrition application on mungbean and their residual impact on rice crop are found non-significant difference among factors in both year (2020 and 2021) respectively because foliar nutrition has no have any residual effect on rice crop. However, all treatments have been obtained maximum Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (85.21, 87.22), (16.42, 17.42) and (105.17, 106.12) kg/ha than absolute control (60.74, 62.70), (13.47, 14.35) and (80.74, 81.82) kg/ha in both year (2020 and 2021) respectively similar result are

found Kumar *et al.* (2018) [10], Mondal *et al.* (2011) [16].

Nutrient uptake of weed

The Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by weed was significantly influenced by different factors *viz* seed inoculation, weed management, and foliar application and data are presented in Table 3

In seed inoculation with the combination of (Rhizobium + PSB (Local) observed higher Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (7.60, 6.59), (2.76, 2.21) and (9.60, 8.60) kg/ha than LSMR 1 (*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) + RB 3 (Microbial consortia) (7.14, 6.14), (2.39, 1.83) and (9.14, 8.17) kg/ha in each year (2020 and 2021) similar result are found by Kumawat *et al.* (2021) [12].

Under weed management two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS are exhibited significantly lowest Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (4.33, 3.34), (1.23, 0.69) and (5.33, 4.35) kg/ha than Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS (5.40, 4.39), (2.29, 1.74) and (6.40, 5.43) kg/ha and Fomesafen @ 220 g/ha + Fluzifop-p-butyl @ 220 g/ha at 15-20 DAS (6.35, 5.35), (2.76, 2.20) and (7.35, 6.35) kg/ha, and maximum Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake are observed weedy check (13.41, 12.39), (4.01, 3.45) and (18.41, 17.44) kg/ha however Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at 15-20 DAS shown at par with two hands weeding at 20 and 40 DAS in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively similar result are found Harisha *et al.* (2021) [4], Jagadesh, M., & Raju, M. (2021) [6].

In the case of foliar nutrition on water spray (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) observed that significantly higher Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (7.96, 6.94), (2.96, 2.42) and (9.96, 8.94) kg/ha of weed than 19:19:19 2% NPK spray (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) (6.89, 5.90), (2.22, 1.65) and (8.89) kg/ha and urea 2% spray (Flower initiation + Pod initiation) (7.28, 6.26), (2.53, 1.99) and (9.96, 8.94) kg/ha in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively However all treatments are found lowest Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (7.37, 6.37), (2.57, 2.02) and (9.37, 8.39) kg/ha than absolute control (15.38, 14.44), (6.23, 5.64) and (20.13, 19.14) kg/ha in both years (2020 and 2021) respectively similar result are found Kumar *et al.* (2018) [10], Mondal *et al.* (2011) [16].

Table 1: Total NPK uptake in Mungbean

| Treatment | Total nitrogen kg/ha | | Total phosphorus kg/ha | | Total potassium kg/ha | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------|
| | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Seed Inoculation | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 99.10 | 96.96 | 10.43 | 9.45 | 166.08 | 164.11 |
| S ₂ | 103.13 | 101.15 | 12.15 | 11.16 | 172.93 | 170.95 |
| S.Em± | 1.34 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 2.25 | 2.24 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 3.81 | 3.78 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 6.38 | 6.37 |
| Weed management | | | | | | |
| W ₁ | 96.97 | 95.05 | 7.13 | 6.17 | 160.92 | 159.00 |
| W ₂ | 105.56 | 103.37 | 13.67 | 12.66 | 177.90 | 175.88 |
| W ₃ | 101.99 | 99.81 | 12.68 | 11.67 | 172.20 | 170.18 |
| W ₄ | 99.93 | 97.97 | 11.67 | 10.69 | 167.02 | 165.06 |
| S.Em± | 1.90 | 1.88 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 3.18 | 3.17 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 5.39 | 5.34 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 9.03 | 9.01 |
| Foliar Nutrition | | | | | | |
| F ₁ | 99.29 | 97.32 | 10.16 | 9.18 | 166.57 | 164.61 |
| F ₂ | 101.18 | 99.20 | 11.27 | 10.28 | 169.73 | 167.75 |
| F ₃ | 102.87 | 100.63 | 12.44 | 11.44 | 172.22 | 170.23 |
| S.Em± | 1.64 | 1.63 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 2.75 | 2.74 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 4.67 | 4.63 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 7.82 | 7.80 |
| Tr | 101.11 | 99.05 | 11.29 | 10.30 | 169.51 | 167.53 |
| Absolute control | 87.23 | 85.47 | 5.27 | 4.39 | 154.20 | 152.44 |
| S.Em \pm | 1.34 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 2.25 | 2.24 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 3.81 | 3.78 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 6.38 | 6.37 |

Table 2: Total NPK uptake in rice

| Treatment | Total nitrogen kg/ha | | Total phosphorus kg/ha | | Total potassium kg/ha | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------|
| | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Seed Inoculation | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 80.82 | 82.83 | 15.90 | 16.88 | 100.74 | 101.66 |
| S ₂ | 89.60 | 91.60 | 16.94 | 17.95 | 109.60 | 110.59 |
| S.Em \pm | 1.19 | 1.35 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 1.48 | 1.64 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 3.39 | 3.84 | 0.66 | 0.77 | 4.21 | 4.68 |
| Weed management | | | | | | |
| W ₁ | 74.33 | 76.34 | 14.42 | 15.46 | 94.33 | 95.32 |
| W ₂ | 92.34 | 94.31 | 17.76 | 18.76 | 112.34 | 113.31 |
| W ₃ | 88.98 | 91.02 | 17.08 | 18.08 | 108.81 | 109.66 |
| W ₄ | 85.20 | 87.19 | 16.41 | 17.36 | 105.20 | 106.20 |
| S.Em \pm | 1.69 | 1.91 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 2.09 | 2.33 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 4.80 | 5.42 | 0.94 | 1.08 | 5.96 | 6.61 |
| Foliar Nutrition | | | | | | |
| F ₁ | 82.80 | 84.81 | 15.96 | 16.97 | 102.68 | 103.61 |
| F ₂ | 85.46 | 87.46 | 16.45 | 17.44 | 105.46 | 106.44 |
| F ₃ | 87.37 | 89.38 | 16.84 | 17.83 | 107.37 | 108.32 |
| S.Em \pm | 1.46 | 1.65 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 1.81 | 2.01 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 4.16 | 4.70 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 5.16 | 5.73 |
| Tr | 85.21 | 87.22 | 16.42 | 17.42 | 105.17 | 106.12 |
| Absolute control | 60.74 | 62.70 | 13.47 | 14.35 | 80.74 | 81.82 |
| S.Em \pm | 1.19 | 1.35 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 1.48 | 1.64 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 3.39 | 3.84 | 0.66 | 0.77 | 4.21 | 4.68 |

Table 3: NPK uptake in weed

| Treatment | Total nitrogen kg/ha | | Total phosphorus kg/ha | | Total potassium kg/ha | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|------------------------|------|-----------------------|-------|
| | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Seed Inoculation | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 7.60 | 6.59 | 2.76 | 2.21 | 9.60 | 8.60 |
| S ₂ | 7.14 | 6.14 | 2.39 | 1.83 | 9.14 | 8.17 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.14 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.41 |
| Weed management | | | | | | |
| W ₁ | 13.41 | 12.39 | 4.01 | 3.45 | 18.41 | 17.44 |
| W ₂ | 4.33 | 3.34 | 1.23 | 0.69 | 5.33 | 4.33 |
| W ₃ | 5.40 | 4.39 | 2.29 | 1.74 | 6.40 | 5.43 |
| W ₄ | 6.35 | 5.35 | 2.76 | 2.20 | 7.35 | 6.35 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.20 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 0.59 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.79 | 0.57 |
| Foliar Nutrition | | | | | | |
| F ₁ | 7.96 | 6.94 | 2.96 | 2.42 | 9.96 | 8.94 |
| F ₂ | 7.28 | 6.26 | 2.53 | 1.99 | 9.28 | 8.33 |
| F ₃ | 6.89 | 5.90 | 2.22 | 1.65 | 8.89 | 7.89 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 0.51 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.68 | 0.50 |
| Tr | 7.37 | 6.37 | 2.57 | 2.02 | 9.37 | 8.39 |
| Absolute control | 15.38 | 14.44 | 6.23 | 5.64 | 20.13 | 19.14 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.14 |
| CD ($p \leq 0.05$) | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.41 |

Conclusion

The present study maximum NPK uptake in mungbean recorded under seed inoculation and foliar nutrition while in rice non-significant difference were observed in both factors. Maximum NPK uptake was estimated in mungbean and rice under two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS. However, Propaquizafop 2.50% + imazethapyr 3.75% ME @ 125g/ha at

15-20 DAS have shown at par response of two hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS in each year as well as based on pooled data.

Reference

1. Davari M, Sharma SN, Mirzakhani M. Residual influence of organic materials, crop residues, and biofertilizers on

- performance of succeeding mung bean in an organic rice-based cropping system. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*. 2012;1(1):1-9.
2. Dixit KG, Gupta BR. Effect of farmyard manure, chemical and biofertilizers on yield and quality of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and soil properties. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2000;48(4):773-780.
 3. Haq A. Studies on the yield and related morphological characters of some new Mungbean genotypes in irrigated environment. M.Sc. Thesis. University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, 1989.
 4. Harisha S, Seenappa C, Lalitha BS, Raddy G, Pandu U. Bio-efficacy of new post-emergent herbicides on growth and yield of blackgram. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2021;53(1):107-110.
 5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis, pentice hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India. 1973;498:151-154.
 6. Jagadesh M, Raju M. Efficacy of sequential application of pre-and early post-emergence herbicides for management of weeds in blackgram. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2021;53(2):158-163.
 7. Jamwal JS. Effect of integrated nutrient management in maize (*Zea mays*) on succeeding winter crops under rainfed conditions. *Indian journal of Agronomy*. 2006;51(1):14-16.
 8. Kaur G, Brar HS, Singh G. Effect of weed management on weeds, nutrient uptake, nodulation, growth and yield of summer Mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2010;42(1-2):114-119.
 9. Komal SS, Yadav RS. Effect of weed management on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of Greengram. *Indian J Weed Sci*. 2015;47:206-210.
 10. Kumar D, Singh RP, Somasundaram J, Simaiya V, Jamra S. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on growth and development of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) under rainfed Vertisols of Central India, *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6(1):609-613.
 11. Kumari N. Effect of foliar nutrition on productivity of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Birsa Agricultural University Kanke, Ranchi (Jharkhand), 2017.
 12. Kumawat KC, Sharma P, Nagpal S, Gupta RK, Sirari A, Nair RM, Singh S. Dual microbial inoculation, a game changer–Bacterial biostimulants with multifunctional growth promoting traits to mitigate salinity stress in Spring Mungbean. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2021;11:600576.
 13. Ladha S, Rolalndonu R, Moriu T. Some aspects of the proper use chemical fertilizers combined with organic manures in rice production. *Soils and Fertilizers in Taiwan*, 2000, 13-3.
 14. Mandal S, Mandal M, Das A, Pati B, Ghosh A. Stimulation of indoleacetic acid production in a Rhizobium isolate of *Vigna mungo* by root nodule phenolic acids. *Archives of microbiology*. 2009;191(4):389-393.
 15. McDonagh JF, Toomsan B, Limpinuntana V, Giller KE. Grain legumes and green manures as pre-rice crops in Northeast Thailand. *Plant and Soil*. 1995;177(1):111-126.
 16. Mondal MMA, Rahman MA, Akter MB, Fakir MSA. Effect of foliar application of nitrogen and micronutrients on growth and yield in Mungbean. *Legume Research: An International Journal*, 2011, 34(3).
 17. Nazrul MI, Shaheb MR, Potato-T, Aus-T. Aman: An improve and sustainable cropping pattern for fallow land utilization of Sylhet. Published by On-Farm Research Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Sylhet-3100, 2012, 24.
 18. Nazrul MI, Shaheb MR. Screening of pulse crops for fallow land utilization in Sylhet region. *Bangladesh Agron. J*. 2012;15(2):59-65.
 19. Nazrul MI, Shaheb MR, Khan MAH, Khan ASMMR. On-Farm evaluation of production potential and economic returns of potato-rice based improved cropping system. *Bangladesh Agron. J*. 2013;16(2):41-50.
 20. Norman MJT, Pearson CJ, Searle PGE. The ecology of tropical food crops (No. 631.50993/N843). Cambridge University Press, 1984.
 21. Parkash T, Singh BG, Rao LM. Effect of certain herbicides on weed control and yield of Mungbean. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 1988;20(1):93-95.
 22. Patel VK, Pathak RK. The effect of tillage and weed management practices on yield and nutrient uptake in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). *IJCS*. 2020;8(2):1429-1433.
 23. Patel VK, Pathak RK, Kumar A, Singh A. Effect of Tillage and Weed Management Practices on Soil Physico-Chemical Characteristics and Wheat Economics. *Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 2020;9(4):2096-2102.
 24. Pooniya V, Shivay YS. Effect of green manuring and zinc fertilization on productivity and nutrient uptake in Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa*)–wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2011;56(1):29-35.
 25. Poornima S, Lakshmi YS, Prakash TR, Srinivas A. Weed management through early post-emergence herbicides to improve productivity and nutrient uptake in greengram. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2018;50(1):82-84.
 26. Prasad R. Organic farming vis-à-vis modern agriculture. *Current Science*. 2005;89(2):252–254. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24110568>
 27. Prasad R, Shivay YS, Kumar D, Sharma SN. Learning by Doing Exercise in Soil Fertility-A Practical Manual for Soil Fertility, Division of Agronomy, IARI, New Delhi, 2006.
 28. Shaheb MR, Nazrul MI, Ali M. Performance of mustard varieties for fallow land utilization in Sylhet region. *Bangladesh Agron. J*. 2012;15(2):47-52.
 29. SK, Singh SB, Meena RN, Prasad SK, Meena RS. A review of weed management in India: the need of new directions for sustainable agriculture. *The Bioscan*. 2015;10(1 Supplement), 253-263.
 30. Yadav DS, Saxena A. Effect of summer greengram (*Phaseolus radiatus*) on rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system (No. RESEARCH), 1998.