www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(9): 2442-2446 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 08-07-2022

Accepted: 20-08-2022

Niharika B

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Dushyantha Kumar BM

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Veeranna HK

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Manjunatha B

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Bhasavaraj I Halingali

Department of Agricultural statistics, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Dushyantha Kumar BM Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Genetic variability, character association and path analysis of advanced breeding lines (Magic lines) of rice (Oryza sativa L.)

Niharika B, Dushyantha Kumar BM, Veeranna HK, Manjunatha B and Bhasavaraj I Halingali

Abstract

Rice is a staple food for more than half of the world's population, but the production is severely affected by the impact of abiotic stresses due to frequent change in climate. Hence there is a need to combine desirable traits of different varieties using Multi-parent advanced generation inter-cross population (Magic) and identify high yielding rice genotypes suited to varied topographic regions. The present investigation was carried out at ZAHRS, Shivamogga by using multi-parent advanced breeding lines of the MF₆ generation developed by crossing eight diverse varieties having desirable traits. The variability studies among the lines revealed a higher GCV, PCV, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean for majority of yield attributing traits. The correlation and path coefficient studies on yield and its contributing traits revealed majority of the traits were positively associated with yield.

Keywords: Genetic variability, Magic lines, Oryza sativa L.

Introduction

Rice, *Oryza sativa* is one the most important crop in Asia and is the staple food for more than half of the world's population. It belongs to the family *Gramineae* and is grown in about 120 countries with China and India together accounting for more than 50 percent of the rice production globally. Rapid population growth is imparting increased pressure on already strained food-producing resources. According to the reports of the UN Department of economic and social affairs, India's population may reach 1.5 billion by 2030 and 1.64 billion by 2050. Currently the production of rice in India is 121.46 MT and the demand of rice is estimated to increase to 197.40 MT by 2050 (FAOSTAT, 2018). In addition to this, elevating drift in climate change like rise in temperature, altered precipitations, melting of glaciers and shifting seasons are threat to agriculture and food security to the growing population. It is necessary to develop new technologies in order meet this need and contribute to global efforts which are directed towards poverty alleviation.

In order to increase the rice production there is a need to identify suitable high yielding varieties which can be cultivated in different topographical conditions and also develop high yielding, nutritionally superior and biotic and abiotic stress tolerant varieties. Hence, there is a need to combine all the desirable traits of different varieties using Multi-parent advanced generation inter-cross population (MAGIC) and identify suitable rice genotypes suited to different topographic regions. MAGIC – combines high diversity (from multiple parents) with high recombination and also has the potential to increase the speed and efficiency of breeding. Magic populations can serve as source material for extraction and development of breeding lines and varieties with several agronomic ally beneficial traits. These populations bring model shift toward QTL analysis, gene mapping, variety development etc. in plant species.

The Association of different characters was essential to determine their contribution towards yield. The knowledge about genetic variability of yield contributing characters, inter relationship among them and their relation with yield are necessary for an effective breeding programme. Moreover knowledge of heritability was used for selection, as it indicates the extent of transmissibility of trait in to future generations. The correlation coefficient also help to identify characters that have little or no importance in the selection programme where as path coefficient analysis helps in determining the direct and indirect cause of association and permit to examine the specific forces acting to produce the given correlation.

The Pharma Innovation Journal

Correlation and path coefficient analysis together have been successfully used for plant selection for increasing yield and provide information about cause and effect relationship between direct pair of variables. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to estimate the variability, heritability, genetic advance as percentage of mean, correlation among the traits and their path coefficient analysis for grain yield and yield attributing traits among the genotypes.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and location of the Experimental site

Thirty multi-parent advanced breeding lines of MF₆ developed from crossing eight parents (JGL1798 × KPR2, Hemavathi \times Gandhasali, BPT5204 \times H3, Jaya \times Mysore Mallige) in structured mating design along with six checks viz., JGL1798, KPR2, Hemavathi, Gandhasali, BPT5204 and Mysore mallige were collected from the Department Of Genetics and Plant Breeding, KSNUAHS, College of Agriculture, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India. The list of the multi-parent advanced breeding lines along with the six checks used in the present investigation is presented in Table 1. The study was conducted during Kharif 2020 at KSNUAHS, College of Agriculture, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India). Twenty-one days old seedlings were transplanted manually into the main field with single seedling per hill in Randomized block design with two replications with spacing of 20 cm row to row and 15 cm plant to plant. The recommended package of practices was followed to maintain a healthy and good crop stand. Five plants were randomly selected from each multi-parent advanced line and labelled for recording the observations in each treatment. Mean of the observations recorded on these five plants was considered for statistical analysis. The characters for which observations were recorded are as follows: days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, number of spikelets per panicle, number of filled grains, panicle fertility (%), test weight (gm) and grain yield (kg/ha).

Table 1: List of MF6 rice advanced lines taken for the experiment

| Line No. | Genotype | Line No. | Genotype |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1 | ML1-2-3-1-6 | 16 | ML3-5-2-1-105 |
| 2 | ML1-2-5-1-8 | 17 | ML3-6-7-1-107 |
| 3 | ML1-6-1-1-22 | 18 | ML3-7-1-1-108 |
| 4 | ML1-6-3-1-23 | 19 | ML3-7-3-1-110 |
| 5 | ML1-7-2-1-26 | 20 | ML3-7-9-1-113 |
| 6 | ML1-8-1-1-31 | 21 | ML1-2-7-4-121 |
| 7 | ML1-11-4a-2-45 | 22 | ML1-7-1-1-126 |
| 8 | ML1-11-5-1-49 | 23 | ML2-5-21-3-154 |
| 9 | ML1-11-9-1-50 | 24 | ML2-7-2-2-156 |
| 10 | ML1-12-6-1-52 | 25 | ML2-7-2-3-160 |
| 11 | ML1-14-1-1-54 | 26 | ML2-7-3-2-164 |
| 12 | ML1-15-3A-1-17 | 27 | ML2-5-21-2-152(P2*) |
| 13 | ML2-5-3-1-19 | 28 | ML2-8-10-1-177(P2*) |
| 14 | ML2-6-1-1-76 | 29 | ML2-8-13-2-178(P2*) |
| 15 | ML3-2-4-4-96 | 30 | ML1-11-4a-3-47(P1) |

Statistical Analysis: The experimental data were compiled by their mean value over randomly selected plants from all the three replication and subjected to statistical analysis for genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) as per procedure given by Burton and De Vane (1953) and heritability and genetic advance by Johanson *et al.* (1955). Correlation coefficient was worked as per and path analysis given by Dewey and Lu (1959)^[13].

Results and Discussion

Presence of variability in genotypes is of prime most importance in breeding programmes for improvement of crop plants for desired characters. Hence, assessment of magnitude of variability available in gene pool is the first and foremost step involved in breeding program. The analysis of variance for various characters studied reported the presence of significant difference among the rice advanced lines for those characters showing that they were genetically diverse and selection will be effective. Similar results were proclaimed by Afrin *et al.* (2017), Sudeepthi *et al* (2020) and Bhargava *et al* (2021)^[6].

Table 2: Analysis of variance for yield and its component characters in multi-parent advanced lines of rice in MF6 generation

| Source of variationdfDays to 50% flowering | | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Total number of tillers per plant | Number of productive tillers per plant | |
|--|----|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| Replication | 1 | 2.722 | 3.556 | 1.125 | 0.681 | 0.500 |
| Genotype | 35 | 73.784** | 74.386** | 260.957** | 14.223** | 12.022** |
| Error | 35 | 0.951 | 0.927 | 2.239 | 0.537 | 0.300 |
| CD (5%) | | 1.979 | 1.955 | 3.037 | 1.488 | 1.112 |
| CD (1%) | | 2.676 | 2.622 | 4.076 | 1.997 | 1.492 |
| CV (%) | | 1.885 | 1.686 | 2.373 | 4.471 | 3.435 |

Table 3: Analysis of variance for yield and its component characters in multi-parent advanced lines of rice in MF₆ generation

| Source of | Df | Panicle length | Total no. of spikelets per | No. of filled grains per | Panicle fertility | Test weight | Grain yield | |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| variation | variation (cm) panicle | | panicle | (%) | (g) | (Kg/ha) | | |
| Replication | 1 | 0.681 | 24.500 | 22.220 | 0.180 | 0.056 | 28203 | |
| Genotype | 67 | 13.947* | 875.000** | 1038.41** | 40.687** | 7.627** | 6004001** | |
| Error | 67 | 0.480 | 51.360 | 44.710 | 1.291 | 0.427 | 341291 | |
| CD (5%) | | 1.407 | 14.548 | 13.574 | 2.307 | 1.326 | 1185.991 | |
| CD (1%) | | 1.888 | 19.520 | 18.213 | 3.095 | 1.779 | 1591.250 | |
| CV (%) | | 2.958 | 3.087 | 3.268 | 1.290 | 3.927 | 9.652 | |

In the present study, PCV was greater than GCV for all the traits with a narrow range of gaps, indicating little environmental influence on these traits. The high estimates of

PCV and GCV with high heritability coupled with high Genetic advance mean(GAM) were recorded for most of the traits *viz.*, plant height, number of tillers, number of

productive tillers, panicle length, number of spikelets per panicle, number of filled grains per panicle, test weight and grain yield. This result indicated that practicing selection for these traits could be effective as these traits respond to section. Prasad *et al.* (2017) observed moderate GAM for days to 50 percent flowering, days to maturity and panicle length, high GAM for plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, number of filled grains per panicle, test weight and grain yield.

 Table 4: Estimation of mean, range, variability, heritability and genetic advance as percent mean for yield and yield related traits in MF₆ generation

| SI No | Chanastana | Maan I SE | Ra | nge | | CCV(0) | $h^{2}(h_{0})(\theta(1))$ | CAN (Q() | |
|--------|--|----------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------------------------|----------|--|
| 51. NO | Characters | Mean \pm SE _m | Min | Max | PUV (%) | GUV (%) | $n^{-}(DS)(\%)$ | GAM (70) | |
| 1 | Days to 50 percent flowering | 110.22 ± 0.689 | 98.00 | 118.00 | 5.54 | 5.47 | 97.46 | 11.13 | |
| 2 | Days to maturity | 140.24 ± 0.680 | 128.00 | 148.00 | 4.37 | 4.32 | 97.54 | 8.79 | |
| 3 | Plant height (cm) | 109.01 ± 1.058 | 89.00 | 138.00 | 10.52 | 10.43 | 98.23 | 21.31 | |
| 4 | Number of tillers per plant | 16.403 ± 0.518 | 10.00 | 21.00 | 16.66 | 15.95 | 92.71 | 31.63 | |
| 5 | Number of productive tillers per plant | 15.944 ± 0.387 | 10.00 | 19.00 | 15.56 | 15.18 | 95.13 | 30.51 | |
| 6 | Panicle length (cm) | 23.431 ± 0.490 | 17.00 | 28.00 | 11.46 | 11.07 | 99.34 | 22.04 | |
| 7 | Total number of spikelets per panicle | 232.111 ± 5.067 | 199.00 | 270.00 | 9.18 | 8.64 | 88.69 | 16.77 | |
| 8 | Number of filled grains per panicle | 204.583 ± 4.728 | 170.00 | 248.00 | 11.37 | 10.89 | 91.74 | 21.49 | |
| 9 | Panicle fertility (%) | 88.08 ± 0.804 | 72.31 | 96.11 | 5.20 | 5.04 | 93.85 | 10.05 | |
| 10 | Test weight (g) | 16.472 ± 0.462 | 12.00 | 22.00 | 12.18 | 11.52 | 89.40 | 22.43 | |
| 11 | Grain yield (kg/ha) | 6052 ± 875 | 2334 | 8534 | 29.43 | 27.79 | 89.24 | 54.09 | |

Plant yield is a complex trait and highly influenced by environmental fluctuations; hence, direct yield selection is ineffective. Correlation studies provide information about yield contributing characters. This information is helpful to plant breeders in the selection of elite genotypes from diverse genetic populations.

A significant positive association was found between grain yield with number of tillers per plant (r = 0.9079), number of productive tillers per plant (r = 0.8843), panicle length (r = 0.7954), number of filled grains per panicle (r = 0.6776), total number of spikelets per panicle (r = 0.7529), test weight (r = 0.7784). A non-significant positive association was found between grain yield with days to 50 percent flowering (r = 0.8843)

0.1673), days to maturity (0.1646), and panicle fertility (r = 0.1344). Whereas a negative non-significant association of grain was found with plant height(r = -0.0037). The present study indicated that yield could be increased by selecting the following traits: days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of tillers, number of productive tillers, panicle length, spikelets per panicle, number of filled grains per panicle, and test weight. Similar results were observed by Williams *et al.* (2021) and Singh *et al.* (2017) ^[19], and Mahamadali *et al.* (2020) ^[20]. Patil *et al.* (2020) ^[21] reported a significant positive association of test weight with the yield. Lakshmi *et al.* (2014) observed a positive correlation between plant height with yield.

| | X1 | X_2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X ₁₁ | |
|--|-------|---------|--------|--------|--|--------------------------|---------|--|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| X_1 | 1.000 | 0.996** | -0.027 | 0.212 | 0.181 | 0.189 | 0.098 | 0.075 | -0.007 | 0.189 | 0.167 | |
| X_2 | | 1.000 | -0.032 | 0.209 | 0.177 | 0.186 | 0.100 | 0.077 | -0.007 | 0.187 | 0.165 | |
| X3 | | | 1.000 | -0.056 | -0.006 | 0.181 | 0.129 | 0.121 | 0.039 | -0.120 | -0.004 | |
| X_4 | | | | 1.000 | 0.894** | 0.688** | 0.653** | 0.619** | 0.179 | 0.684** | 0.908** | |
| X5 | | | | | 1.000 | 0.637** | 0.580** | 0.499** | 0.053 | 0.607** | 0.884** | |
| X6 | | | | | | 1.000 | 0.781** | 0.748** | 0.231 | 0.654** | 0.795** | |
| X7 | | | | | | | 1.000 | 0.879** | 0.147 | 0.627** | 0.753** | |
| X_8 | | | | | | | | 1.000 | 0.599** | 0.570** | 0.678** | |
| X9 | | | | | | | | | 1.000 | 0.122 | 0.134 | |
| X10 | | | | | | | | | | 1.000 | 0.778** | |
| X11 | | | | | | | | | | | 1.000 | |
| X_1 = Days to 50 percent flowering | | | | | $X_5 = Nu$ | mber of prod | | X ₉ = Panicle fertility (%) | | | | |
| X_2 = Days to maturity | | | | | | X ₆ = Panicle | | X_{10} = Test weight (g) | | | | |
| X ₃ = Plant height (cm) | | | | | X ₇ = Total number of spikelets per panicle | | | | | X ₁₁ = Grain yield (kg/ha) | | |
| X ₄ = Number of tillers per plant | | | | | X ₈ = Number of filled grains per panicle | | | | | | | |

Table 5: Phenotypic correlation among yield and yield related characters in MF₆ generation

Path coefficient analysis was carried out at the phenotypic level to measure the direct and indirect effect of various independent characters on a dependent character yield. Based on the obtained result, it may be suggested that selection for days to maturity, number of tillers per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length, number of spikelets per panicle, panicle fertility and test weight may be given priority as they exhibited a direct positive effect on yield. Similar results were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2018), Parimala *et al.* (2019) ^[12], Chhangte *et al.* (2019) ^[10] and Lakshmi *et al.* (2020).

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

| X ₁ | X_2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X 7 | X8 | X9 | X10 |
|----------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| -0.4226 | 0.3833 | 0.0002 | 0.0598 | 0.0617 | 0.0357 | 0.0562 | -0.0437 | -0.0019 | 0.0384 |
| -0.4225 | 0.3835 | 0.0003 | 0.0590 | 0.0606 | 0.0351 | 0.0571 | -0.0445 | -0.0018 | 0.0378 |
| 0.0116 | -0.0121 | -0.0095 | -0.0157 | -0.0021 | -0.0341 | -0.0741 | -0.0698 | 0.0101 | -0.0243 |
| -0.0897 | 0.0803 | 0.0005 | 0.2820 | 0.3052 | 0.1296 | 0.3730 | -0.3586 | 0.0468 | 0.1387 |
| -0.0764 | 0.0680 | 0.0001 | 0.2520 | 0.3415 | 0.1201 | 0.3315 | -0.2894 | 0.0137 | 0.1231 |
| -0.0801 | 0.0714 | -0.0017 | 0.1938 | 0.2175 | 0.1885 | 0.4463 | -0.4331 | 0.0602 | 0.1325 |
| -0.0416 | 0.0383 | -0.0012 | 0.1842 | 0.1982 | 0.1472 | 0.5712 | -0.5088 | 0.0383 | 0.1271 |
| -0.0319 | 0.0295 | -0.0011 | 0.1746 | 0.1706 | 0.1409 | 0.5019 | -0.5789 | 0.1562 | 0.1156 |
| 0.0032 | -0.0027 | -0.0004 | 0.0507 | 0.0180 | 0.0435 | 0.0840 | -0.3471 | 0.2606 | 0.0246 |
| -0.0801 | 0.0716 | 0.0011 | 0.1929 | 0.2073 | 0.1232 | 0.3579 | -0.3301 | 0.0316 | 0.2027 |
| 0.1673 | 0.1646 | -0.0037 | 0.9079 | 0.8843 | 0.7954 | 0.7529 | 0.6776 | 0.1344 | 0.7784 |
| | X1 -0.4226 -0.4225 0.0116 -0.0897 -0.0764 -0.0801 -0.0416 -0.0319 0.0032 -0.0801 0.1673 | X1 X2 -0.4226 0.3833 -0.4225 0.3835 0.0116 -0.0121 -0.0897 0.0803 -0.0764 0.0680 -0.0801 0.0714 -0.0416 0.0383 -0.0319 0.0295 0.0032 -0.0027 -0.0801 0.0716 0.1673 0.1646 | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_7 X_8 -0.42260.38330.00020.05980.06170.03570.0562-0.0437-0.42250.38350.00030.05900.06060.03510.0571-0.04450.0116-0.0121-0.0095-0.0157-0.0021-0.0341-0.0741-0.0698-0.08970.08030.00050.28200.30520.12960.3730-0.3586-0.07640.06800.00010.25200.34150.12010.3315-0.2894-0.08010.0714-0.00170.19380.21750.18850.4463-0.4331-0.04160.0383-0.00120.18420.19820.14720.5712-0.5088-0.03190.0295-0.00110.17460.17060.14090.5019-0.57890.0032-0.0027-0.00040.05070.01800.04350.0840-0.3471-0.08010.07160.00110.19290.20730.12320.3579-0.33010.16730.1646-0.00370.90790.88430.79540.75290.6776 | X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_7 X_8 X_9 -0.42260.38330.00020.05980.06170.03570.0562-0.0437-0.0019-0.42250.38350.00030.05900.06060.03510.0571-0.0445-0.00180.0116-0.0121-0.0095-0.0157-0.0021-0.0341-0.0741-0.06980.0101-0.08970.08030.00050.28200.30520.12960.3730-0.35860.0468-0.07640.06800.00010.25200.34150.12010.3315-0.28940.0137-0.08010.0714-0.00170.19380.21750.18850.4463-0.43310.0602-0.04160.0383-0.00120.18420.19820.14720.5712-0.50880.0383-0.03190.0295-0.00110.17460.17060.14090.5019-0.57890.15620.0032-0.0027-0.00040.05070.01800.04350.0840-0.34710.2606-0.08010.07160.00110.19290.20730.12320.3579-0.33010.03160.16730.1646-0.00370.90790.88430.79540.75290.67760.1344 |

Residual effect = 0.1734 r = correlation coefficient of component traits with grain yield per plant

Where,

 $X_1 = Days$ to 50 percent flowering

- $X_2 = Days$ to maturity
- $X_3 = Plant height (cm)$
- $X_4 =$ Number of tillers per plant
- $X_5 =$ Number of productive tillers per plant
- X_6 = Panicle length (cm)
- $X_7 = Total$ number of spikelets per panicle
- $X_8 =$ Number of filled grains per panicle
- X₉ = Panicle fertility (%)

 $X_{10} = \text{Test weight } (g)$

 $X_{11} =$ Grain yield (kg/ha)

The highest direct negative effect was exhibited by plant height. The results were in accordance with the findings of Gour *et al.* (2017) ^[19] for days to 50 percent flowering.

Conclusion

Yield is a complex trait that is influenced by various environmental factors hence, direct yield selection is ineffective. Adequate information of yield and its associating traits is of great importance in breeding program in order to select superior genotypes. The present study indicated that yield could be increased by selecting the following traits: plant height, number of tillers, number of productive tillers, panicle length, number of spikelets per panicle, number of filled grains per panicle, and test weight.

References

- 1. Abebe T, Alamerew S, Tulu L. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its related traits in rainfed lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes at Fogera and Pawe, Ethiopia. Adv. Crop Sci. Technol. 2017;5(2):272.
- Adilakshmi D, Rani MG. Variability, character association and path analysis in rice varieties under submergence. Crop Res. 2012;44(1and2):146-151.
- Ashraf H, Husaini AM, Bhat MA, Parray GA, Khan S, Ganai NA. SSR based genetic diversity of pigmented and aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes of the western Himalayan region of India. Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants. 2016;22(4):547-555.
- 4. Babu VR, Shreya K, Dangi KS, Usharani G, Shankar AS. Correlation and path analysis studies in popular rice hybrids of India. Int. J Sci. Res. 2012;2(3):1-5.
- 5. Bandi HRK, Satyanarayana PV, Babu DR, Chamundeswari N, Rao VS, Raju SK. Genetic variability estimates for yield and yield components traits and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal homepage:

http://www.ijcmas. Com. 2018;7(5):20.

- Bhargava K, Shivani D, Pushpavalli SNCVL, Sundaram RM, Beulah P, Senguttuvel P. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in segregating population of rice. Electron. J Plant Breed. 2021;12(2):549-555.
- Bhutta MA, Munir SANA, Qureshi MK, Shahzad AN, Aslam KASHIF, Manzoor H, Shabir G. Correlation and path analysis of morphological parameters contributing to yield in rice (*Oryza sativa*) under drought stress. Pak. J Bot. 2019;51:73-80.
- Biswajit P, Sritama K, Sabyaschi K. Genetic variability and character association for yield and yield components in submergence tolerant rice varieties. Indian J Agric. Res. 2017;51(3):239-244.
- Chuchert S, Nualsri C, Junsawang N, Soonsuwon W. Genetic diversity, genetic variability, and path analysis for yield and its components in indigenous upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L. var. *glutinosa*). Songklanakarin J Sci. Technol, 2018, 40(3).
- 10. Chhangte L, Devi TR. Correlation and path analysis studies in aromatic rice germplasm of North-East region of India. J Pharm. Innov. 2019;8(10):01-04.
- Devi KR, Chandra BS, Lingaiah N, Hari Y, Venkanna V. Analysis of variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal. 2017;37(1):1-9.
- 12. Devi KR, Parimala K, Venkanna V, Lingaiah N, Hari Y, Chandra BS. Estimation of variability for grain yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2016;4(2):250-255.
- 13. Dewey PR, LU KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J. 1959;51:515-518.
- 14. Dhanwani RK, Sarawgi AK, Solanki A, Tiwari JK. Genetic variability analysis for various yield attributing and quality traits in rice (*O. sativa* L.). The Bioscan. 2013;8(4):1403-1407.
- 15. Dhavaleshwar K, Iqbal T, Hussain I, Ahmad N, Nauman M, Ali M, *et al.* Regular article genetic variability, correlation and cluster analysis in elite lines of rice. J Sci. Agric. 2018;2:85-91.
- Dhavaleshvar M, Malleshappa C, Kumar DMB. Variability, correlation and path analysis studies of yield and yield attributing traits in advanced breeding lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int J Pure App Biosci. 2019;7(1):267-273.
- 17. Dhurai SY, Reddy DM, Ravi S. Correlation and path

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

analysis for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Rice Genomics and Genetics. 2016;7(1):101-108.

- 18. Girma BT, Kitil MA, Banje DG, Biru HM, Serbessa TB. Genetic variability study of yield and yield related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. Adv. Crop. Sci. Tech. 2018;6(4):381.
- Gour L, Koutu GK, Singh SK, Patel DD, Shrivastava A, Singh Y. Genetic variability, correlation and path analyses for selection in elite breeding materials of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes in Madhya Pradesh. J Pharm. Innov. 2017;6(11):693-696.
- Mahamadali MG, Dushyanthakumar BM, Madhuri R, Gangaprasad S, Hugar AY, Mallikarjuna HB. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficients in advanced breeding lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under irrigated conditions of command area. Int. J ecol and envir sci. 2020;2(4):260-267.
- 21. Patil R, Nidagundi JM, Kumar S, Hadimani A. Association and path analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Res. Environ. Life Sci. 2020;9(8):943-945.
- 22. Patel JR, Saiyad MR, Prajapati KN, Patel RA, Bhavani RT. Genetic variability and character association studies in rainfed upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Electron. J Plant Breed. 2014;5(3):531-537.
- Pradhan B, Kundu S, Sabyasachi K. Genetic variability and character association for yield and yield components in submergence tolerant rice varieties. Indian J Agric. Res. 2017;51(3):239-244, DOI: https://doi.org/10.18805/ijare.v51i03.7887