www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2022; 11(9): 853-857 © 2022 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 18-06-2022 Accepted: 29-08-2022

#### NB Gohil

Assistant Research Scientist, Agriculture Experimental Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Paria, Gujarat, India

#### **DP Patel**

Assistant Professor, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

#### NK Gajre

Assistant, Research Scientist, Agriculture Experimental Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Paria, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: NB Gohil Assistant Research Scientist, Agriculture Experimental Station, Navsari Agricultural

University, Paria, Gujarat, India

earch Scientist, 2010, F xperimental ari Agricultural

# Effect of soil application of Iron and zinc on soil available nutrients under two different rice cultivars

# NB Gohil, DP Patel and NK Gajre

#### Abstract

Pot experiment was conducted to investigation the effect of soil application of iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) on available nutrients in soil under two rice varieties at Department of Natural Resources Management, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, NAU, Navsari. The experiment consists of two varieties of Rice i.e. GNR-4 and RP BIO 5477-NH787 (IET- 23833) and nine level of micronutrients i.e. Fe @ 2.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Fe @ 5.00 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Fe @ 7.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Fe @ 10.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Zn @ 1.25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Zn @ 2.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Zn @ 3.75 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Zn @ 5.00 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Micronutrient fertilizer Grade 5 @ 12.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in FCRD with three replication. The experimental results revealed that significantly more available K<sub>2</sub>O (337.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Fe (5.26 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were registered with variety  $V_2$  (IET-23833) than in  $V_1$  (GNR-4) plots. Exactly reverse trend was observed for available Zn content (0.76 mg/kg) in soil. Among the different micronutrient levels, the available P2O5 content in soil after harvest of rice was found to decrease remarkably as the rate of Fe (M<sub>1</sub>- 2.50 mg Fe kg<sup>-1</sup> to M<sub>4</sub>- 10.0 mg Fe kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Zn (M<sub>5</sub>- 1.25 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup> to M<sub>8</sub>- 5.00 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup>) applications increased and significantly lower available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content was recorded with M<sub>4</sub> and M<sub>8</sub> as compared to control (M<sub>0</sub>). The availability of Fe was found to increase significantly with each level increase in Fe application ( $M_0$  to  $M_4$ ). The same trend was also recorded for Zn availability under the treatments receiving Zn at different rates ( $M_5$  to  $M_8$ ). In addition to this, the Mn availability was also improved significantly with the application of both Fe (M4) and Zn (M8) at higher levels.

Keywords: Available nutrients, Fe and Zn, Kharif, rice varieties, soil

# Introduction

Micronutrient deficiencies are becoming increasingly common in agriculture as a result of higher levels of removal by ever-more-productive crops combined with breeding for higher yields, at the expense of micronutrient acquisition efficiency (Havlin et al., 2014) [5]. Increasing evidences indicate that food grown by applying adequate NPK fertilizers on soils with low levels of trace elements not only reduce the crop yield but, may also provide insufficient human dietary levels of certain elements, even though the crop plants themselves show no signs of micronutrient deficiency (Karak et al., 2006)<sup>[8]</sup>. For, all these reasons, increasing attention is directed towards management of micronutrients in the soils. Among the micronutrients, though, Fe is the second most abundant metal in nature and fourth most abundant element in the earth's crust; about 11.0% Indian soils are deficient in supply of Fe (Ram et al., 2013)<sup>[15]</sup>. In the case of Gujarat, most of the cultivated soils have been reported to be deficient in Fe and Zn (Patel et al., 2008)<sup>[13]</sup>. With respect to plant growth, Fe is the third most limiting nutrient for plant growth primarily due to the low solubility of the oxidized ferric form (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) in aerobic environment (Yi et al., 1994)<sup>[24]</sup>. The oxidized Fe<sup>3+</sup> has a very low solubility at basic pH and high bicarbonate concentration resulting in limited uptake by plant roots because it cannot be absorbed by root cells (Yadav et al., 2013)<sup>[23]</sup>. The Fe is essential for chlorophyll formation, though it is not a constituent of chlorophyll. It is also essential for respiration, photosynthesis and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by nitrogen fixing organisms. Iron deficiency is a common nutritional disorder in many crop plants, causing interveinal chlorosis, poor yield and reduced nutritional quality. Besides Fe, Zn is another most important micronutrient essential for plant growth and now it is recognized as the fifth leading risk factor in developing Asian Countries. Zinc deficiency is prevalent worldwide in temperate and tropical climates. Nearly, 47% of Indian soils are deficient in Zn element (Veeranagappa et al., 2010, Kumar et al, 2019)<sup>[10]</sup>.

Moreover, among the cultivable soils of Gujarat, 24% are Zn deficient. It is a major component and activator of several enzymes involved in metabolic activities. Zinc deficiency continues to be one of the key factors in determining rice production in several parts of the country. Zinc deficiency in rice is characterized by brown spots, which appear first on the younger leaves and later in the lower leaves. In case of severe deficiency, burnt dark brown patches of plants appear in rice fields. The availability of Zn to growing plant is governed predominantly by Zn mineral solubility, soil reaction, SOM and Zn adsorbed on exchange complexes of soils. Therefore, the Fe and Zn deficiency is one of the most frequently encountered micronutrient deficiencies besides hidden hungers of other micronutrients in different crops grown across the India.

Micronutrient malnutrition has been designated as the most serious challenge to humanity as two-third of the world's population especially; women and children are at the risk of deficiency in one or more essential mineral elements (Sakal et al., 2001) [17]. Among the essential minerals, Fe and Zn deficiency in human being is a serious threat not only to the health of individuals but also to the economy of developing nations. It is evident that out of the total world's population, 5.0 billion are suffering from iron and 2.7 billion are suffering from Zn deficiency. In India alone, 74% children under three years of age, 43% preschool children, 90% adolescent girls and 50% women are having clinical Fe deficiency (Sahay et al., 1992)<sup>[16]</sup>. Various physiological diseases, such as anemia and some neurodegenerative diseases are triggered by Fe deficiency. Similarly, only in India, 27.0% of total population is affected by Zn deficiency related disorders such as poor immune system, diarrhea, poor physical and mental growth (Ram et al., 2013) <sup>[15]</sup>. Zinc deficiency alone claims about 4.4% of the total child deaths in the world whereas anemia is the major reason of post natal death of mothers as well as infants. Human health problems caused by Fe and Zn deficiency can be reduced by improving nutritional quality of dietary foods especially rice crops because it is a most important staple food crop in world as well as in India (Veeranagappa et al. 2010)<sup>[22]</sup>.

In micronutrient fertilizer trials conducted on 5,800 fields in India, it has been found that in the 63% of the trials crops responded to micronutrient fertilization with yield increase (Singh, 2007)<sup>[18]</sup> and efforts are underway for encouraging Fe and Zn fertilization in the view point of getting higher rice yields.

In present investigation, rice variety, GNR-4 was selected which is a bio-fortified fine grain rice variety developed from a cross NAUR-1 x Lal Kada (Anon., 2014)<sup>[1]</sup>. It is a dwarf statured, fine grained culture possessing red colour kernel. Another variety of rice i.e., RP BIO 5477-NH 787 (IET-23833) has a higher micronutrient absorption potential (Babu *et al.*, 2014)<sup>[2]</sup>. However, the information related to response

of these varieties grown on deficient to medium Fe and Zn available soils to applied Fe and Zn nutrients under condition is scanty. Therefore, an experiment was conducted to study the effect of soil application of Fe and Zn on yield of two rice varieties with the objectives on effect of soil application of Fe and Zn on growth and yield of rice varieties.

# **Materials and Methods**

For achieving the objective of present investigation, a pot experiment entitled, "Effect of soil application of Fe and Zn on available nutrients in soil after harvest of two rice varieties" was carried out during kharif season of 2014. The experiment was conducted in the Poly House of Department of Natural Resources Management, ASPEE College of Horticulture & Forestry, NAU, Navsari. The experiment consists of two varieties of Rice *i.e.* GNR-4 and RP BIO 5477-NH787 (IET- 23833) and nine level of micronutrients *i.e.* Fe @ 2.50 mg/kg, Fe @ 5.00 mg/kg, Fe @ 7.50 mg/kg, Fe @ 10.0 mg/kg, Zn @ 1.25 mg/kg, Zn @ 2.50 mg/kg, Zn @ 3.75 mg/kg, Zn @ 5.00 mg/kg, Micronutrient fertilizer Grade 5 @ 12.0 mg/kg. For conducting the pot experiment, the soil from surface layer (0-15 cm) was collected, after collecting the required quantity of bulk fill up the earthen pot and plastic bags (for inside lining of the pots) of ten kg capacity having around 20 and 40 cm diameter and height, respectively, it was air dried and fairly ground to pass through 2.0 mm sieve with wooden mortar and pestle and mixed thoroughly to homogenize the bulk of soil. In each pot, treatment wise four healthy seedlings (24 days) of rice were planted, after successful establishment of seedlings, thinning was done by keeping two healthy seedlings in each pot. The recommended dose of N, P and K @ 100-30-00 mg/kg to rice crop under pot trial was applied through ammonium sulfate and single super phosphate, respectively. Soil properties were analyzed from processed initial soils samples as per standard procedures given in Table No. 1. The obtained results furnished in table 1 showed that the experimental soil is heavy textured and alkaline in reaction with normal salt content. It has a high amount of available P2O5 (95.32 kg ha-1), K2O 350.39 kg ha-<sup>1</sup>), Mn (10.90 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Cu (2.40 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), medium amount of SOC (0.68%) and available N (280.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Zn (0.76 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) status while deficient in available Fe content (4.40 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Representative soil sample from each pot was collected by crushing the whole soil mass of pot. After taking soil sample, the soil mass of pot were washed with water to collect plant roots. The representative soil samples from each pot were dried and ground by using wooden mortar and pestle and sieved through 2.00 mm sieve and stored in polythene bag with proper label. The processed soil samples were preserved for chemical analysis. All the data recorded during the study period were statistically analyzed by using standard methods as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967) [25].

**Table 1:** Initial chemical properties of bulk soil (0-15 cm) used for pot trial

Parameters Value		Method of analysis	Reference	
1. Mechanical				
Coarse sand (%)	3.13			
Fine sand (%)	17.62		Piper (1996) <sup>[14]</sup>	
Silt (%)	21.93	International pipette method		
Clay (%)	57.32		_	
Textural Class	Clay			
Chemical Properties				

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

pH (1:2.5)	7.85	Potentiometry	Jackson (1973) <sup>[6]</sup>	
EC (1:2.5) (dS/m)	0.45	Conductometry		
Organic carbon (%)	0.68	Wet oxidation method	Walkley & Black (1934) <sup>[9]</sup>	
Available N (kg/ha)	280.91	Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> method	Subbiah and Asija (1956) <sup>[19]</sup>	
Available P2O5 (kg/ha)	95.32	Spectrophotometry method (0.5M NaHCO <sub>3</sub> extractable)	Olsen <i>et al.</i> (1954) <sup>[11]</sup>	
Available K <sub>2</sub> O (kg/ha)	350.39	Flame photometry (1 N NH4OAc extractable)	Jackson (1973) [6]	
DTPA extractable Fe (mg/kg)	4.40			
DTPA extractable Mn (mg/kg) 10.9		DTDA avtractable Atomic Abcorntion Spectroscopy	Lindsay and Norvell	
DTPA extractable Zn (mg/kg)	0.76	DIPA extractable Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy	(1978) <sup>[10]</sup>	
DTPA extractable Cu (mg/kg)	2.40			

# **Result and Discussion**

The chemical properties viz., soil pH and EC of soil did not differ significantly due to effects of varieties. But, fertility parameters viz., available K2O, Fe and Zn were influenced significantly due to varietal effect. Here, significantly lower available K<sub>2</sub>O and Fe were recorded with variety V<sub>1</sub> as compared to V<sub>2</sub> (Table 2 and 3). In contrast, available Zn content was significantly lower under variety V<sub>2</sub> than V<sub>1</sub> (Table 3). Application of Fe and Zn at different rates failed to influence the soil chemical properties and also the SOC, available N and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content in soil significantly. On the other hand, available P2O5, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were affected significantly due to application of different levels of Fe and Zn fertilizers (Table No. 2 and 3). Available P2O5 content in soil was significantly higher under control treatment (M<sub>0</sub>) and it tended to decrease with each level of increase in Fe (M<sub>1</sub> to  $M_4$ ) and  $Zn (M_5 to M_8)$  application.

The availability of Fe was found to be increased significantly with each level increase in Fe application. The same trend was also true for Zn availability under the Zn fertilized treatments. In addition to this, the Mn availability was also improved significantly under the both high levels of Fe ( $M_4$ ) and Zn ( $M_8$ ) fertilized treatments while, available Cu remarkably improved in soil due to application of higher level of Fe and Grade 5 fertilizers as compared to control after harvest of rice. The interactive effect of V x M was not significant on all the chemical and fertility parameters of soil after harvest of rice plant.

All properties of soil determined after harvest of rice when compared with their initial values, the results presented in Table No. 2 and 3 showed that soil pH, SOC and available Fe, Zn and Cu were found to improve remarkably due to application of medium to high amount of micronutrient fertilizers with an exception of available Cu with Zn application. Whereas, remaining available nutrients content was decreased up to certain extent after harvest of rice than their respective initial values under all the treatments. This seems to be due to higher removal of nutrients by rice crop. Jatay *et al.* (2006) <sup>[7]</sup> and Ananda and Patil (2007) also concluded that available Fe and Zn in soil after harvest of crop were remarkably increased with increasing levels of Fe and Zn, respectively. Whereas, Biswas *et al.*, (2007) <sup>[3]</sup> reported that continuous submergence had a synergistic effect on the availability of DTPA extractable Fe, Mn and Cu to rice crop. The applied Fe and Zn in soil and formed their insoluble compounds of Fe and Zn (Havlin *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[5]</sup>. This is a probable reason for decrease in the available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> content at harvest of rice.

 Table 2: Effect of different treatments on chemical properties in soil after harvest of rice plant

Treatments	pН	EC (ds/m)	OC (%)					
Varieties								
V1:- GNR-4	7.85	0.74	0.69					
V <sub>2:</sub> - IET-23833	7.85	0.72	0.68					
S.Em. ±	0.015	0.006	0.005					
C. D. @ 5%	NS	NS	NS					
Micronutrient levels								
M <sub>0:</sub> - Control	7.89	0.71	0.66					
M1:- 2.50 mg Fe/kg	7.84	0.72	0.68					
M <sub>2:</sub> - 5.00 mg Fe/kg	7.84	0.72	0.69					
M <sub>3:</sub> - 7.50 mg Fe/kg	7.83	0.73	0.70					
M4:- 10.0 mg Fe/kg	7.81	0.74	0.68					
M5:- 1.25 mg Zn/kg	7.86	0.73	0.68					
M <sub>6:</sub> - 2.50 mg Zn/kg	7.86	0.74	0.69					
M7:- 3.75 mg Zn/kg	7.85	0.74	0.70					
M8:- 5.00 mg Zn/kg	7.83	0.75	0.69					
M9:- G 5 @ 12.0 mg/kg	7.86	0.74	0.68					
S.Em. ±	0.034	0.012	0.011					
C. D. @ 5%	NS	NS	NS					
Interaction effect (V × M)								
S.Em. ±	0.048	0.018	0.015					
C. D. @ 5%	NS	NS	NS					
C. V. (%)	1.1	4.2	3.8					

Treatments	Av. N (kg/ha)	Av. P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (kg/ha)	Av. K <sub>2</sub> O (kg/ha)	Av. Fe (mg/kg)	Av. Mn (mg/kg)	Av. Zn (mg/kg)	Av. Cu (mg/kg)		
Varieties									
V1:- GNR-4	272	90	313	4.97	9.63	0.76	2.26		
V2:- IET-23833	269	91	338	5.26	9.78	0.71	2.32		
S.Em. ±	1.62	0.90	30.8	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.02		
C. D. @ 5%	NS	NS	8.81	0.15	NS	0.03	NS		
	Micronutrient levels								
M <sub>0:</sub> - Control	275	97	331	1.35	9.88	0.65	2.34		
M1:- 2.50 mg Fe/kg	272	96	327	5.22	9.00	0.60	2.23		
M2:- 5.00 mg Fe/kg	268	93	325	5.78	9.57	0.57	2.27		
M3:- 7.50 mg Fe/kg	267	90	322	6.49	10.02	0.56	2.24		
M4:- 10.0 mg Fe/kg	272	86	324	7.28	10.44	0.65	2.52		
M5:- 1.25 mg Zn/kg	271	95	328	4.39	9.33	0.74	2.28		
$M_{c} = 2.50 \text{ mg} \frac{7n}{kg}$	270	02	324	4 42	0.24	0.87	2 10		

Table 3: Effect of different treatments on available nutrients in soil after harvest of rice plant

The Pharma Innovation Journal

#### https://www.thepharmajournal.com

M <sub>7</sub> :- 3.75 mg Zn/kg	265	88	321	4.30	9.52	0.95	2.11	
M8:- 5.00 mg Zn/kg	273	84	325	4.56	10.65	0.99	2.24	
M9:- G 5 @ 12.0 mg/kg	271	87	323	4.36	9.41	0.80	2.50	
S.Em. ±	3.62	2.02	6.89	0.11	0.17	0.02	0.04	
C. D. @ 5%	NS	9.78	NS	0.33	0.48	0.006	0.13	
Interaction effect (V × M)								
S.Em. ±	5.12	2.86	9.76	0.16	0.24	0.03	0.06	
C. D. @ 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
C. V. (%)	5.3	5.4	5.2	5.6	4.3	7.1	4.8	

Further, the application of acid producing Fe and Zn fertilizers along with SSP and AS might have lower down the soil pH at harvest of rice. With respect to soil salinity, the EC of soil was found to increase considerably at harvest of rice plant as compared to initial value. The increase in soluble salt content in soil could be attributed to crops irrigating with water having some extent of dissolved salts throughout the growing period (Patel et al., 2008)<sup>[13]</sup>. Further, experiment is laid out in pot condition where drainage is not provided during the season. Continuous ponding of water also enhances solubility of some salts which normally under arable conditions are not soluble. These reasons are sufficient enough to explain the results of present study. The findings of present study are also corroborating with those reported earlier by Swarup (1993)<sup>[20]</sup>, Haldar et al. (2007)<sup>[4]</sup>, Prasad et al. (2010), Varshney et al. (2008)<sup>[21]</sup> and Keram et al. (2012) <sup>[99]</sup> under different soil conditions.

## Conclusion

From the results of present pot study, following inferences are emerged.

- After harvest of rice, the soil properties *viz.*, pH, SOC and available Fe, Zn and Cu were found to improve remarkably due to application of medium to high amount of micronutrient fertilizers when compared with their initial values with an exception of available Cu content with Zn treated plots.
- Whereas, available N, P, K, Ca, Mg and Mn content of soil were decreased up to certain extent after harvest of rice than their respective initial values under all the treatments.
- With respect to soil salinity, the EC of soil was found to increase considerably after harvest of rice plant as compared to its initial value.

Further, Soil applications of Fe and Zn also significantly improved respective DTPA-extractable Fe and Zn content in soil after harvest of rice.

### References

- 1. Anonymous. Main Rice Research Centre, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat; c2014.
- 2. Babu RV, Neeraja CN, Rao SD, Sundaram RM, Longvah T, Usharani G, *et al.* Bio-fortification in rice. Directorate of Rice Research, ICAR, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad; C2014.
- 3. Biswas H, Rattan RK, Singh AK. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2007;77:600-603.
- 4. Haldar M, Samantha A, Giri PK. Crop Research. 2007;34(1/3):17-19.
- Havlin JL, Tisdale SL, Nelson WL, Beaton JD. In: Soil fertility and fertilizers: An introduction to nutrient management (8th ed.), PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., Delhi; c2014. p. 261-300.

- 6. Jackson, ML. In: Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; c1973.
- Jatay MK, Kumar P, Chand R. Effect of Zn and Fe application on nutrients availability in a treated saline sodic soil as influenced by water quality Crop Research. 2006;32(1):44-46.
- Karak T, Das DK, Debtanumaiti. Yield and Zn uptake in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) as influenced by sources and times of Zn application. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2006;76(6):346-348.
- Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sawarkar D. Impact of Zn application on yield, quality, nutrients uptake and soil fertility in a medium deep black soil. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2012;1(5):563-571.
- 10. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu. Soil Science Society of American Journal. 1978;42:421-428.
- Olsen SR, Cole CW, Wathade FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available P in soil by extraction with NaHCO3. USDA Cir. No.959, Washington; c1954. p. 13.
- 12. Patel KP, Singh MV. Management of micronutrients deficiencies for enhancing yield of crops. World congress of soil science, Soil solutions for changing world, Australia; c2010.
- Patel KP, Patel AK, Patel KC, Ramani VP. Effect of multi-micronutrient mixture on yield and uptake of micronutrients by wheat grown on sandy loam soils of North Gujarat. An Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2008;3(1):84-87.
- 14. Piper CS. In: Soil and Plant Analysis. Inter Science Publisher Inc., New York, 1996.
- 15. Ram US, Srivastav VK, Hemantaranjan A, Sen A, Singh RK, Bohra JS, *et al.* Effect of Zn, Fe and FYM application on growth, yield and nutrient content of rice. *Oryza*. 2013;50(4):351-357.
- Sahay RN, Ghosh TK, Yuma SK, Ali MH. Crop response to micronutrient application in farmer's field in project area of Bihar. In Proc.: Workshop on Micronutrient 22-23 January, Bhubaneswar, India; c1992.
- Sakal R, Nayyar VK, Singh MV, Biswas TD, Narayanasamy G. Micronutrients status under rice-wheat cropping systems for sustainable soil productivity. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2001;18:39-47.
- Singh. In: Alloway BJ. (Ed) micronutrient deficiencies in global crop production, Springer; c2007. p. 93-125.
- 19. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in soils. Current Science. 1956;27:259-260.
- Swarup A. Iron, Zinc and Manganese nutrition wetland rice on a gypsum amended Sodic soil. Plant and Soil. 1993;155/156:477-480.
- 21. Varshney P, Singh SK, Srivastava P. Frequency and rates

of Zn application under hybrid rice–wheat sequence in a mollisol of Uttarakhand. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2008;58(1):92-98.

- 22. Veeranagappa P, Prakash HC, Basavaraja MK, Mohamed SH. Effect of Zn enriched compost on yield and nutrient uptake of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). European Journal of Biological Sciences. 2010;3(1):23-29.
- Yadav GS, Shivay YS, Kumar D, Babu S. Enhancing Fe density and uptake in grain and straw of aerobic rice through mulching and Rhizo-foliar fertilization of Fe. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(15):5447-5454.
- 24. Yi Y, Saleeba J, Guerinot ML, Manthey J, Luster D, Crowley DE. Biochemistry of metal micronutrients in the rhizosphere. CRC Press, Boca Raton; c1994. 295-307.
- 25. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for Agriculture workers. Indian council of Agriculture, New Delhi; 1967.