



ISSN (E): 2277-7695

ISSN (P): 2349-8242

NAAS Rating: 5.23

TPI 2022; 11(9): 1430-1434

© 2022 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 12-06-2022

Accepted: 21-08-2022

**Palak Singh**

Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**HP Agrawal**

Principal Scientist, Department of Agronomy, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**JR Patel**

Principal Scientist, Department of Agronomy, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**PK Keshry**

Assistant Professor, Department of Soil science, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**NK Chaure**

Principal Scientist, Department of Agricultural Statistics, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**AP Agrawal**

Principal Scientist and HOS of Genetics and Plant Breeding, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Geet Sharma**

Scientist Department of Agronomy, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Chanchala Rani Patel**

Farm manager, Department of Agronomy, KVK, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Deepika Rawate**

M.sc. (Agriculture), Department of Agronomy, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Hetram**

M.sc. (Agriculture), Department of Agronomy, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**M Mitasha**

M.sc. (Agriculture), Department of Agronomy, B.T.C. CARS, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Corresponding Author:****Palak Singh**

Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India

## “Performance of Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* L.) in Chhattisgarh plain”

**Palak Singh, HP Agrawal, JR Patel, PK Keshry, NK Chaure, AP Agrawal, Geet Sharma, Chanchala Rani Patel, Deepika Rawate, Hetram and M Mitasha**

**Abstract**

The present investigation entitled “Performance of Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* L.) in Chhattisgarh plain” was conducted at the Agricultural Research Farm of Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur, during post-kharif season of 2021-2022. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design (RBD) with 11 treatments and three replications including organic, inorganic nutrients and recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) 20:40:20 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with taking of horsegram variety “Chhattisgarh Kulthi-2”. The investigation result recorded that treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) has achieved significantly maximum growth and yield attributes viz., plant height (cm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (30.63), pod length (4.76 cm), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (6.40), test weight (29.97 g) and treatment T<sub>11</sub> (Control) has achieved lowest growth and yield attributes. The highest seed yield (915.30 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (1340.00 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was also recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> but it was at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB), T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture), T<sub>3</sub> (100 RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) and the highest benefit cost ratio (1.70) was recorded under treatment T<sub>2</sub>.

**Keywords:** Integrated nutrient management, *Rhizobium* culture, vermicompost, yield attributes

**Introduction**

Horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* L.) is a hardy pulse crop of semi-arid tropics that has been poorly studied despite its current and historical importance to the diet of a large part of population in India, there are entrenched biases against horsegram, as it is considered a low status food of the poor particularly in southern India (Kadam *et al.*, 1985)<sup>[6]</sup>. It is an annual herb, growing to a height of 30-40 cm (Neelam *et al.*, 2014)<sup>[9]</sup>. Horsegram is a post *kharif* crop and commonly known as kulthi, hurali or madras gram. Horsegram belongs to pulse family fabaceae. It is an annual herb, growing to a height of 30-40 cm (Neelam *et al.*, 2014)<sup>[9]</sup>. Horsegram can tolerate a wide range of climate and soil conditions (Kachroo *et al.*, 1970)<sup>[5]</sup>. In India horsegram occupies an area of 460.40 thousand ha with a production of 117 thousand tons with an average national productivity of 38.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous 2017-18)<sup>[1]</sup>. Horsegram is an important pulse crop mostly grown in Karnataka, Odisha, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra which together account for 89.23% and 86.10% production. In India, horsegram is cultivated as a pulse crop contributing about 0.33% of total food grain production (Ramteke *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[10]</sup>.

In Chhattisgarh horsegram is grown widely, in Chhattisgarh horsegram occupies an area of 40.15 (000 ha) with a production of 15.20 (000 tonnes) and average productivity of 379 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous 2018-19)<sup>[2]</sup>. In Chhattisgarh horsegram grown on the district of Jagdalpur, Kanker, Korba, Sarguja and Jashpur which on adding contributes about 69.74% area and 76.61% production. However the production of horsegram is highest in Janjgir (388kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Integrated Nutrient management is the combined application of chemical fertilizers along with organic resource materials like organic manures, green manures, bio-fertilizers and other organic decomposable materials for crop production. The basic concept of integrated nutrient management (INM) is the adjustment of plant nutrients supply to an optimum level for sustaining the desired crop productivity. It involves proper combination of chemical fertilizers, organic manure crop residues, N<sub>2</sub> – fixing crops like pulses such as greengram, blackgram,

redgram, other pulses and oilseeds such as soyabean, bio-fertilizers suitable to the system of land use and ecological, social and economic conditions.

### Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Farm of Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh) in kharif season 2021. The Research Farm is situated at 22.09°N latitude, 82.15°E longitude and at an altitude of 298 m above mean sea level. The region falls under the Eastern plateau and hill region (Agro-climatic zone-VII) of India. Chhattisgarh state is classified into three agro-climatic zones, of which Bilaspur falls under the Chhattisgarh plains zone of the state. The experimental field was well drained with uniform topography. Agro-climatically, the experimental site comes into a dry, moist, sub-humid area. The test variety is Chhattisgarh Kulthi -2. The experiment was laid out in Randomized block design with 11 treatments and three replications, the treatment comprised of T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF), T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF), T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture), T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB), T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB), T<sub>7</sub> (75% RDF + Vermicompost @ 1t ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>8</sub> (75% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture), T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDF + PSB), T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB), T<sub>11</sub> (Control). The recommended dose of fertilizer is 20: 40:20 NPK Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Different growth and yield attributes viz., plant height (cm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, pod length, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, test weight straw yield (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at different crop growth stages.

Note: RDF (recommended dose of fertilizer), PSB (phosphate solubilizing bacteria)

### Result and Discussion

The result from the experiment is as followed

#### Plant population (m<sup>-2</sup>)

At 25 DAS it was observed that the highest number of plant population was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF), is (40.26 plant m<sup>-2</sup>) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (40.18 plant m<sup>-2</sup>). The lowest number of plant population recorded is (38.96 plant m<sup>-2</sup>) in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control), similar trend was followed at harvest.

#### Plant height (cm)

The data shows that significant influence on plant height of the 11 treatments at all stages of growth viz., 25, 50, 75 DAS and at harvest. At 25 DAS, the data varies in plant height were found significant and treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) recorded significantly higher plant height (20.56 cm), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (20.54 cm), treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (20.51 cm), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (20.50cm), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (20.48 cm) and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (20.45 cm). The lowest plant height (13.45 cm) is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control), similar trend was followed at 50, 75 and at harvest. This result was conformity with Barcchiya *et al.* (2017)<sup>[3]</sup>.

#### Number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>

Number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded at 25, 50, 75 DAS and at harvest and it was revealed that at 25 DAS treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (3.09) has highest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> followed by T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture +PSB) is (2.99) plant<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (2.90) plant<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (2.82) plant<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF +PSB) is (2.72) plant<sup>-1</sup>,and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (2.68) plant<sup>-1</sup>. The lowest number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded for treatment T<sub>11</sub> (Control) is (1.25), it was seen that integrated nutrient management has notably affected the number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, similar trend was followed at 50, 75 and at harvest. This result was conformity with (Kumari *et al.* (2012)<sup>[8]</sup>.

#### Dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)

Dry matter accumulation of the crop was significantly affected by integrated nutrient management and it was at recorded at 25, 50, 75 DAS and at harvest. At 25 DAS the highest dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded by treatment T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF) is (0.50) at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (0.49), treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (0.48), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF+ Vermicompost@ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (0.47), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (0.45),treatment T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (0.45) and the lowest was recorded at treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control) is (0.37), similar trend was followed at 50, 75 and at harvest.

#### Crop growth rate (g plant<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)

Crop growth rate was recorded at 25-50 DAS, 50-75 DAS, 75 DAS - at harvest. At 25-50 DAS the highest crop growth rate was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (0.141 g plant<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control) is (0.130 g plant<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), similar trend was followed at 25-50 DAS, 50-75 DAS, 75 DAS - at harvest.

#### Relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)

Relative growth rate was recorded at 25-50 DAS, 50-75 DAS and 75 DAS- at harvest. At 25 – 50 DAS the highest relative growth rate was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub>(125% RDF) is (0.0785 g g<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>)and lowest was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control) is (0. 0746 g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>),similar trend was followed at 25-50 DAS, 50-75 DAS, 75 DAS - at harvest.

**Table 1:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on plant population (m<sup>-2</sup>) of horsegram

Treatments	Plantpopulation	
	25 Das	At Harvest
T <sub>1</sub> 100% RDF	39.85	37.91
T <sub>2</sub> 125% RDF	40.26	38.45
T <sub>3</sub> 100% RDF + vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	40.05	38.19
T <sub>4</sub> 100%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	40.11	38.22
T <sub>5</sub> 100% RDF + PSB	39.96	38.07
T <sub>6</sub> 100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	40.18	38.37
T <sub>7</sub> 75%RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	39.41	37.55
T <sub>8</sub> 75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	39.59	37.67
T <sub>9</sub> 75% RDF + PSB	39.29	37.41
T <sub>10</sub> 75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	39.73	37.74
T <sub>11</sub> Control	38.96	37.15
SEm ±	0.88	0.92
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS

**Table 2:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on plantheight (cm) of horsegram

Treatments	Plant height				
	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	At harvest	
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF	20.45	43.93	53.89	53.00
T <sub>2</sub>	125% RDF	20.56	46.26	56.01	55.51
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	20.50	44.57	54.31	53.81
T <sub>4</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	20.51	45.02	54.76	54.26
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDF + PSB	20.48	43.97	54.00	53.21
T <sub>6</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	20.54	45.74	55.49	54.99
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	16.92	39.66	49.15	48.70
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	16.95	40.09	49.20	48.77
T <sub>9</sub>	75% RDF + PSB	16.88	39.47	49.10	48.67
T <sub>10</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	17.00	40.12	49.30	48.80
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	13.45	35.24	44.54	44.44
	SEm±	1.12	1.27	1.51	1.29
	CD (P=0.05)	3.30	3.77	4.47	3.81

**Table 3:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> of horsegram

Treatments	No. of primary branches				
	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	at harvest	
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF	2.68	4.98	6.03	6.45
T <sub>2</sub>	125% RDF	3.09	5.14	6.26	6.63
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF+ vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.82	5.06	6.12	6.53
T <sub>4</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	2.90	5.08	6.15	6.58
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDF + PSB	2.72	5.00	6.05	6.49
T <sub>6</sub>	100% RDF+ <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	2.99	5.12	6.20	6.60
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.73	4.24	5.45	5.83
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	1.80	4.28	5.48	5.85
T <sub>9</sub>	75% RDF + PSB	1.70	4.20	5.40	5.80
T <sub>10</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	2.00	4.30	5.50	5.88
T <sub>11</sub>	control	1.25	3.60	4.86	5.23
	SEm ±	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.18
	CD (P=0.05)	0.43	0.45	0.51	0.54

**Table 4:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on dry matter accumulation (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) of horsegram

Treatments	Dry matter accumulation				
	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	At harvest	
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF	0.45	4.43	7.63	9.31
T <sub>2</sub>	125% RDF	0.50	4.80	8.22	9.89
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.47	4.55	7.82	9.48
T <sub>4</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	0.48	4.62	7.97	9.56
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDF + PSB	0.45	4.49	7.70	9.42
T <sub>6</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	0.49	4.69	7.99	9.81
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.42	4.27	7.32	8.82
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	0.42	4.31	7.39	8.96
T <sub>9</sub>	75% RDF + PSB	0.41	4.23	7.27	8.73
T <sub>10</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	0.43	4.35	7.47	9.06
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	0.37	4.02	7.00	8.42
	SEm ±	0.016	0.112	0.118	0.200
	CD (P=0.05)	0.047	0.331	0.347	0.591

**Table 5:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on crop growth rate (g plant<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) of horsegram

Treatments	Crop growth rate			
	25-50 DAS	50-75 DAS	75 DAS -at harvest	
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF	0.134	0.105	0.055
T <sub>2</sub>	125% RDF	0.141	0.116	0.063
T <sub>3</sub>	100% RDF + vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.136	0.109	0.058
T <sub>4</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	0.138	0.112	0.060
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDF + PSB	0.135	0.107	0.056
T <sub>6</sub>	100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	0.138	0.115	0.061
T <sub>7</sub>	75% RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.131	0.099	0.050
T <sub>8</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	0.132	0.101	0.052
T <sub>9</sub>	75% RDF + PSB	0.131	0.097	0.049
T <sub>10</sub>	75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	0.132	0.103	0.053
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	0.130	0.091	0.046
	GM	0.134	0.105	0.055

**Table 6:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on relative growth rate ( $\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ ) of horsegram

Treatments	Relative growth rate		
	25-50 DAS	50-75 DAS	75 DAS –at harvest
T <sub>1</sub> 100% RDF	0.0767	0.0178	0.0065
T <sub>2</sub> 125% RDF	0.0785	0.0186	0.0069
T <sub>3</sub> 100% RDF + vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0777	0.0181	0.0067
T <sub>4</sub> 100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	0.0780	0.0182	0.0067
T <sub>5</sub> 100% RDF + PSB	0.0775	0.0180	0.0066
T <sub>6</sub> 100% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	0.0784	0.0183	0.0068
T <sub>7</sub> 75% RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0755	0.0173	0.0062
T <sub>8</sub> 75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	0.0757	0.0175	0.0064
T <sub>9</sub> 75% RDF + PSB	0.0748	0.0171	0.0061
T <sub>10</sub> 75% RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	0.0767	0.0177	0.0064
T <sub>11</sub> Control	0.0746	0.0165	0.0060
GM	0.0767	0.0177	0.0065

### Number of pod plant<sup>-1</sup>

The number of pod plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded highest in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (30.63), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (30.22), Treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (29.86), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (29.41), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (29.21), and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (29.10). The lowest number of pod plant<sup>-1</sup> (21.12), is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control), already confirmed by Gupta *et al.* (2017)<sup>[4]</sup>.

### Pod length (cm)

Pod length in (cm) was recorded highest in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (4.76 cm), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (4.65 cm), treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (4.57 cm), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (4.48 cm), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (4.43 cm), and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (4.39 cm). The lowest pod length (3.46 cm) is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control).

### Number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup>

Number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup> is yield attributing factor and the highest number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (6.40), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (6.38), Treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (6.25), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (6.20), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (6.15), and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (5.80). The lowest number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup> (5.25) is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control).

### Test weight (g)

Test weight is weight of 1000 seeds was recorded. The data showed that integrated nutrient management practices had no significant effect on test weight. The highest test weight was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF), is (29.97 g) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (29.89 g). The lowest number of plant population recorded is (28.92 g) in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control). This type of result was already

recorded by Khan *et al.*, (2017)<sup>[7]</sup> on cowpea.

### Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Seed yield of horsegram was significantly affected with integrated nutrient management practices. Among 11 treatments the highest seed yield was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (915.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (877.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (846.35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (841.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (837.83 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (831.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest seed yield was (496.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control).

### Straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Straw yield of horsegram was significantly affected with integrated nutrient management practices. Among 11 treatments the highest straw yield was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (1340.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (1298.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (1254.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (1250.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (1248.12 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (1243.45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest seed yield was (800.00 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control).

### Harvest index (%)

The harvest index of horsegram was affected significantly by integrated nutrient management practices. The highest harvest index was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) is (40.58%), at par with treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture + PSB) is (40.34%), treatment T<sub>4</sub> (100% RDF + *Rhizobium* culture) is (40.28%), treatment T<sub>3</sub> (100% RDF + Vermicompost @ 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) is (40.20%), treatment T<sub>5</sub> (100% RDF + PSB) is (40.16%), and T<sub>1</sub> (100% RDF) is (40.05%). The lowest harvest index was (38.28%), is recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (control).



**Table 7:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributing characters of horsegram

	Treatments	No. of pods	pod length	No. of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	1000 seed weight
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF	29.10	4.39	5.80	29.68
T <sub>2</sub>	125% RDF	30.63	4.76	6.40	29.97
T <sub>3</sub>	100%RDF + vermicompost(0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	29.41	4.48	6.20	29.79
T <sub>4</sub>	100%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	29.86	4.57	6.25	29.85
T <sub>5</sub>	100%RDF + PSB	29.21	4.43	6.15	29.73
T <sub>6</sub>	100%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	30.22	4.65	6.38	29.89
T <sub>7</sub>	75%RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	25.90	3.92	5.62	29.01
T <sub>8</sub>	75%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	26.23	3.93	5.65	29.07
T <sub>9</sub>	75%RDF + PSB	24.30	3.90	5.60	28.98
T <sub>10</sub>	75%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	26.46	3.95	5.70	29.10
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	21.12	3.46	5.25	28.92
	SEm ±	0.88	0.13	0.11	0.83
	CD (P=0.05)	2.60	0.41	0.33	NS

**Table 8:** Effect of integrated nutrient management on seed yield, straw yield and harvest index of horsegram

	Treatments	Seed yield	Straw yield	Harvest index
T <sub>1</sub>	100% RDF	831.03	1243.45	40.05
T <sub>2</sub>	125% RDF	915.30	1340.00	40.58
T <sub>3</sub>	100%RDF + vermicompost (0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	841.13	1250.99	40.20
T <sub>4</sub>	100%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	846.35	1254.74	40.28
T <sub>5</sub>	100%RDF + PSB	837.83	1248.12	40.16
T <sub>6</sub>	100%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	877.99	1298.00	40.34
T <sub>7</sub>	75%RDF + vermicompost(1 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	675.11	1055.00	39.02
T <sub>8</sub>	75%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture	680.00	1060.00	39.08
T <sub>9</sub>	75%RDF + PSB	670.00	1050.00	38.95
T <sub>10</sub>	75%RDF + <i>Rhizobium</i> culture + PSB	690.00	1065.85	39.29
T <sub>11</sub>	Control	496.30	800.00	38.28
	SEm ±	135.50	141.29	-
	SEm ±	45.93	47.89	-
	CD (P=0.05)	135.50	141.29	

## Conclusion

From the result, it was concluded that treatment T<sub>2</sub> (125% RDF) has significantly performed well and achieved the highest in all the parameters.

## References

- Anonymous. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers welfare, Govt. of India, 2017-18.
- Anonymous. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers welfare, Govt. of India, 2018-19.
- Barcchiya, Jayashri, Kushwah SS. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield parameters in French bean (*Phaseolousvulgaris* L.) Legume Research 2017;40(5):920-923.
- Gupta Sheshnath, Singh DP, Kasera Saurabh, Maurya, Sunil Kumar. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield attributes of table pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017;5(6):906-908.
- Kachroo P, Arif M. Pulse crops of India. Indian Council of Agricultural research, New Delhi, 1970.
- Kadam SS, Salunke, Magaja DK. Nutritional composition, Processing and Utilization of horsegram and mothbean. Critical Review Food Science Nutrition. 1985;22(1):26.
- Khan VM, Ahmad Atik, Yadav BL, Mohammad Irfan. Effect of vermicompost and bio-fertilizers on yield attributes and nutrient uptake of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(6):104-105.
- Kumari Anupma, Singh ON, Kumar Rakesh. Effect of

integrated nutrient management on growth, seed yield and economics of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and soil fertility. Journal of food legumes. 2012;25(2):121-124.

- Neelam S, Kumar V, Natrajans, Venkateshwaran K, Pandravada SR. Evaluation and diversity observed in horsegram (*Macrotyloma uniflorum* L.). India plant. 2014;4(1):17-22.
- Ramteke V, Kurrey VK, Panigrahi TK, Yadav Pooja. Horse gram (Kulthi): pulse of rural peoples in Chhattisgarh. Innovative Farming. 2016;(4):205-208.