www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(1): 3007-3018 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 20-11-2022 Accepted: 24-12-2022

Hemant S Sawant

M.Sc. Scholar, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

RS Deshpande

Associate Professor (CAS), Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

SV Sawardekar

Professor and Incharge, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

SG Mahadik

Vegetable Breeder, Vegetable Improvement Scheme, CES, Wakawali, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Samiksha C

M.Sc. Scholar, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Mahendra KC

M.Sc. Scholar, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Govind P

M.Sc. Scholar, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Hemant S Sawant

M.Sc. Scholar, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Screening of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultures for biotic and abiotic stress using SSR markers

Hemant S Sawant, RS Deshpande, SV Sawardekar, SG Mahadik, Samiksha C, Mahendra KC and Govind P

Abstract

The current research employed 18 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers to screen 27 rice cultures for biotic (bacterial blight, blast, gall midge, brown plant hopper) and abiotic stress tolerance (salinity, drought) and to develop rice culture profiles using SSR markers. DNA was extracted from twenty-seven rice cultures and molecular profiling was performed using 18 SSR markers. MVSP software was used to determine genetic profiles. Blast tolerant linked alleles were not found in any of the 27 rice genotypes investigated. Bacterial Blight tolerant linked alleles were discovered in cultures Nom-2102, Nom-2104, Nom-2107, Nom-2108, Nom-2110, Nom-2111, Nom-2118, and Nom-2119. Gall midge tolerant linked alleles were found in the cultures Nom-2112, Nom-2114, Nom-2115, Nom-2116, Nom-2117, Nom-2118, Nom-2119, Nom-2121, Nom-2122, Nom-2124, Nom-2127, and Nom-2132. Brown Plant Hopper tolerant linked alleles were found in Nom-2117, Nom-2118, Nom-2119, and Nom-2123 cultures. There were no drought-tolerant cultures found. Salt tolerance linked allele were found in Nom-2102, Nom-2103, Nom-2104, Nom-2105, Nom-2108, Nom-2109, Nom-2110, Nom-2111, Nom-2112, Nom-2116, Nom-2117, Nom-2132, and Nom-2133. All 18 primers amplified and exhibited 100% polymorphism. There was a total of 75 alleles detected, with an average of 4.16 alleles per primer. The polymorphism information content (PIC) values ranged from 0.314 to 0.927, with an average PIC value of 0.619 per primer. The genetic distance varied from 0.053 to 0.818 and indicated a wide range of variation. All 27 rice cultures were categorized into two clusters: I, which had 10 cultures, and II, which contained 17 cultures by UPGMA. This leads to the conclusion that molecular screenings of rice crops employing SSR markers gave sufficient knowledge on biotic and abiotic tolerance traits at the molecular level. This research will be used in future strategic crop enhancement breeding programs.

Keywords: Molecular screening, MAS, polymorphism, SSR, biotic and abiotic stress

Introduction

Rice is a staple meal for 33 percent of the total population, and it occupies almost one-fifth of the entire geographical area covered by grains. It has the scientific name Oryza sativa L. and the chromosomal number 2n = 24. It belongs to the Poaceae family and subfamily Oryzoidea. Rice is one of the most important food crops, and it requires a lot of water during its life cycle compared to other crops (Wang *et al.*, 2012)^[71]. In comparison to maize, wheat, and potatoes, raw, long-grain white rice provides a comparatively rich source of energy carbohydrates, calcium, iron, thiamine, pantothenic acid, and vitamin E. Rice is an excellent model plant for investigating grass hereditary characteristics and genome association because to its diploid hereditary traits and genome association. The genome size of the rice plant is 430 Mb, which is significantly smaller (Causse *et al.*, 1994; Kurata *et al.*, 1994)^[12, 41]. The main concerns that address the need for sustainable crop development and resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses are the emergence of new diseases and insect pests as well as the changing climate. Understanding the genetic/molecular basis of target attributes needs to be fully explored in order to accurately manipulate the genetics of complex quantitative traits like yield, resistance to biotic/abiotic stresses, quality, etc. Abiotic and biotic stresses can both be detrimental to rice. Examples of biotic stresses include fungus, insect pests, and bacteria, whereas abiotic stresses like salt and drought have been thoroughly examined in rice and have been proven to reduce average yields by more than 50% (Bray et al., 2000 and Iqbal et al., 2013) [11, 28]. The advent of novel pests and illnesses, as well as environmental changes, provide substantial challenges that necessitate research into abiotic and biotic stress tolerance (Hasan et al., 2015) ^[25]. It has recently been possible to transfer genes that impart resistance to biotic stresses (blast, bacterial blight, gall midge, etc.) and abiotic stresses (salinity, drought, etc.) due to technical advancements and the introduction of DNA-based molecular markers.

With the advancements achieved in the field of molecular markers, it is now feasible to identify plants with two or more resistance genes by identifying the path of markers that are linked to or tagged to each gene for resistance. For genetic characterisation, a variety of molecular markers are used. Microsatellites and Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR) are simple tandemly repeated, di- to tetra-nucleotide sequence designs delimited by distinct sequences among several molecular markers that are co-dominant and highly polymorphic (Hamada et al., 1982)^[23]. SSR markers are thus a superior option for molecular screening of rice. Genetic diversity research is critical for such improvements, as yield and grain quality decline over time as a result of both biotic and abiotic stresses (Nadia et al., 2014) [49]. Molecular analysis of available cultures is useful for determining the genetic potential of rice crops and for preventing erosion, which can be interpreted as a loss in genetic variability over time (Manifesto et al., 2001)^[44]. There are around 40,000 rice types reported globally, however only a small percentage have been employed in breeding. Rice breeding will benefit from a better knowledge of the genetic composition of different rice cultivars. Crop progress is limited unless screening and genetic variety are preserved in plant breeding programmes, as well as the application of novel biotechnological technologies. Rice has a lot of genetic variation among and amongst wild genotypes, which means there's a lot of room for future crop enhancement. Molecular screening of rice genotypes for biotic and abiotic stresses

using microsatellites offers enormous potential for expediting breeding studies. The use of diverse markers in the molecular study of significant biotic and abiotic stress resistance imparting traits across genotypes would be advantageous in the selection of parents for hybridization and marker assisted selection to boost productivity even under adverse conditions. Molecular analysis will assist breeders in developing strategic breeding programmes that will result in improved and tolerant rice cultivars. The purpose of this research was to use SSR markers linked with tolerance traits or QTLs to screen cultures for biotic and abiotic stresses and to determine the best cultures to be used as a donor for tolerance to multiple stresses in future breeding programmes for the development of new rice varieties that are equally beneficial to farmers and the scientific community. In the findings presented here, 18 SSR markers were employed to identify 27 rice cultures. SSR data were used to determine genetic relationships among accessions and to measure the extent of genetic diversity within rice cultures.

Materials and Methods

The research work was carried out in the laboratory of Plant Biotechnology Centre, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Dist. – Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India during the period of 2021-2022. For the present investigation, the seeds of 27 rice cultures were obtained from Agricultural Research Station, Shirgaon, Dist- Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India. Details of cultures are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Details of cultures used in the study

Sr. No.	Name of cultures	Sr. No.	Name of cultures
1.	Nom-2101	15.	Nom-2117
2.	Nom-2102	16.	Nom-2118
3.	Nom-2103	17.	Nom-2119
4.	Nom-2104	18.	Nom-2121
5.	Nom-2105	19.	Nom-2122
6.	Nom-2107	20.	Nom-2123
7.	Nom-2108	21.	Nom-2124
8.	Nom-2109	22.	Nom-2127
9.	Nom-2110	23.	Nom-2128
10.	Nom-2111	24.	Nom-2129
11.	Nom-2112	25.	Nom-2131
12.	Nom-2114	26.	Nom-2132
13.	Nom-2115	27.	Nom-2133
14.	Nom-2116		

DNA Extraction

The DNA was isolated using the protocol described by Edwards et al., (1991)^[17]. The young newly flushed 10-12day old leaves were taken and disinfected with 70% ethanol to avoid contamination for DNA extraction. Leaf tissue (100 mg) was cut into small pieces and put in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube to ensure that the sample size was consistent. Tissue was macerated for 15 seconds at room temperature without buffer with a Mortar and pestle. Extraction buffer (500 µl) was added, and the leaf tissue was gently macerated for a few seconds and then kept in a hot water bath at 65 °C for 45 minutes. The sample was cooled to room temperature and centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 minutes. The aqueous layer was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube, and 200 µl of chloroform: iso-amyl alcohol (24:1) was added and mixed by gentle inversion for 5-6 times. After that, the mixture was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 8000 rpm. A double amount of

chilled iso-propanol was added to the supernatant and incubated at -20 °C overnight. The solution was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 minutes the next day, and pellets were collected. The pellet was rinsed with 100 μ l of 70% ethanol and then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 8000 rpm. The pellet was dried, re-suspended in 50 μ l of 1x TE buffer, incubated for 30 minutes at 37 °C in a water bath, and then kept at -20 °C for further use. Using the Agarose gel electrophoresis technique, the quality of the extracted DNA was verified.

Microsatellite assay

In order to conduct a molecular screening of rice genotypes, 18 different trait-specific microsatellites, or SSR markers, that are evenly dispersed throughout all 12 rice chromosomes, were used (Table 2). The 'Gramene' database (http://www.gramene.org) was used to determine these SSR markers' physical locations on the 12 chromosomes of rice, as well as their relationship to several genes that confer resistance to bacterial blight, blast, gall midge and tolerance to salt and drought. Thermocycler was used to perform the PCR reactions, which had a total volume of 20 μ l and contained 10 ng of genomic DNA, 10X assay buffer, 10mM of dNTPs, 25 mM MgCl₂, 10 pmol of forward and reverse primers, 3 U Taq polymerase enzyme, and molecular grade

water. The PCR cycles were set at 94 °C for 5 minutes, 94 °C for 20 seconds, 55 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 45 seconds for 35 cycles, and 72 °C for 7 minutes for final extension. The amplified products were separated on 1.8% agarose gel prepared in 1X TAE buffer and stained with Ethidium bromide. The gel was run for 100 minutes in 1X TAE buffer at a constant voltage of 80 V.

Table 2: List of SSR	primers with	their sequences	and linked gene
----------------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------------

Sr. No	Primer	Sequence Forward primer	Sequence Reverse Primer	Chromosome No.	Linked gene	Reference		
1.	RM 140	TGCCTCTTCCCTGGCTCCCCTG	GGCATGCCGAATGAAATGCATG	1	Saltol	Karmarkar <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ^[75]		
2.	RM 1287	CCATTTGCAGTATGAACCATGC	ATCATGCAATAGCCGGTAGAGG	1	Saltol	Ganie et al., 2016 ^[20]		
3.	RM 562	GGAAAGGAAGAATCAGACACAGAGC	GTACCGTTCCTTTCGTCACTTCC	1	Saltol	Ganie et al., 2016 ^[20]		
4.	RM 3412	AAAGCAGGTTTTCCTCCTCC	CCCATGTGCAATGTGTCTTC	1	Saltol	Islam et al., 2015 ^[31]		
5.	RM 6775	AATTGATGCAGGTTCAGCAAGC	GGAAATGTGGTTGAGAGTTGAGAGC	6	Bph25	Myint et al., 2012 [48]		
6.	RM 309	CACGCACCTTTCTGGCTTTCAGC	AGCAACCTCCGACGGGAGAAGG	12	Bph26	Myint et al., 2012 [48]		
7.	RM 5926	ATATACTGTAGGTCCATCCA	AGATAGTATAGCGTAGCAGC	11	Pi1	Thippeswamy et al., 2015 [68]		
8.	RM 8225	GCGTGTTCAGAAATTAGGATACGG	GATCTCGCCACGTAATTGTTGC	6	Pi-z	Thippeswamy et al., 2015 [68]		
9.	RM 206	ATCGATCCGTATGGGTTCTAGC	GTCCATGTAGCCAATCTTATGTGG	11	Pi-kh	Kumar et al., 2013 [40]		
10.	RM 212	AAGGTCAAGGAAACAGGGACTGG	AGCCACGAATTCCACTTTCAGC	1	Dr	Ashfaq et al., 2014 [5]		
11.	RM 302	TGCAGGTAGAAACTTGAAGC	AGTGGATGTTAGGTGTAACAGG	1	Dr	Ashfaq et al., 2014 [5]		
12.	RM 3825	CCACTAGCAGATGATCACAGACG	GAGCACCTCATAAGGGTTTCAGC	1	Dr	Kanagraj et al., 2010 [35]		
13.	RM 201	CTCGTTTATTACCTACAGTACC	CTACCTCCTTTCTAGACCGATA	9	Dr	Kanagraj et al., 2010 [35]		
14.	RM 1233	ATGGGCACGTGTAATTCATTCG	ATCCTCGAAAGTAGGAGTAGGAAAG	11	Pi-1	Ramadevi et al. 2015 [60].		
15.	pTA248	AGACGCGGAAGGGTGGTTCCCGGA	AGACCGGGTAAT CGAAAGATGAAA	11	Xa21	Sabar et al., 2016 ^[64]		
16.	RM 122	GAGTCGATGTAATGTCATCAGTGC	GAAGGAGGTATCGCTTTGTTGGAC	5	Xa5	Sabar <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ^[64]		
17.	RM 22709	CGCGTGGGGCGAGACTAATCG	CCTTGACTCCGAGGATTCATTGTCC	8	Gm8	Mohapatra et al., 2016 [46]		
18.	RM 547	TTGTCAAGATCATCCTCGTAGC	GTCATTCTGCAACCTGAGATCC	8	Gm4	Kalpana et al., 2016 [33]		

Data analysis and scoring

Using the 100 bp DNA ladder as a reference size, the sizes of the amplified fragments were determined using the Uvi-tec Fire Reader software by Gel documentation system. Markers were scored based on whether the corresponding band existed among the cultures (1) or not (0). UPGMA cluster analysis was employed to generate a Dendrogram using Jaccard's similarity coefficient matrices calculated from SSR markers across 27 rice cultures. With the Multivariate Statistical Package (MVSP), a pairwise similarity index (SI) was estimated and a UPGMA-based dendrogram of 27 rice cultures was generated. To assess the in formativeness of the markers, the polymorphism information content (PIC) for each SSR marker was calculated using the formula,

Percent polymorphism =
$$\frac{\text{Total number of polymorphic bands}}{\text{Total number of bands}} \times 100$$

The Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) value was determined using a formula developed by Powell *et al.*, (1996)^[58]

$$PIC = 1 - \sum P_{ij}^2$$

Where, P_{ij} is the frequency of ith and jth locus, summed across the entire locus over all lines. PIC values range from 0 (monomorphic) to 1 (very highly discriminative, with many alleles each in equal and low frequency) were estimated for each profile generated across 27 rice cultures.

Results and Discussion

Biotic Stresses

Blast: The hemi biotrophic, filamentous heterothallic ascomycetous fungus *Pyricularia grisea*, sometimes referred to as *Magnaportha oryzae*, is what causes the blast disease of

rice Divya *et al* (2014)^[16]. It is the most devastating fungus that affects rice, greatly reducing rice productivity and presenting a serious danger to global food security (Miah *et al.*, 2013)^[45]. The annual loss of rice output brought on by the explosion may provide for the annual food needs of 60 million people (Parker *et al.*, 2008)^[54]. One of the most crucial methods for disease control in rice is the exploitation of resistant gene resources.

There are now over 100 blast resistance genes known, and many of them have been cloned and described, including Pik, Pb1, Pid3, Pia, Pib, Pid2, Pik-h/Pi54, Pik-m, Pik-p, Pit, Pita, Piz-t, Pish, Pi2/Piz-5, Pi1, Pi5, Pi9, Pi21, Pi56, Pi25, Pi36, Pi35, Pi37, Pi64, Pi63 (Devanna et al., 2014)^[15]. There are several resistant rice cultivars created to withstand rice blast, however the resistance is short-lived because to the high pathogen plasticity (Bonman et al., 1986; Lang et al., 2009) ^[10, 42]. The development of long-lasting disease tolerant methods against a wide range of blast pathogens is required. The discovery of many resistance genes in plants is best accomplished through the use of molecular breeding techniques. Due to the poor precision in the identification of suitable genotypes and the tedious and time-consuming procedure, this is challenging to achieve using conventional breeding techniques.

In this study, 27 rice cultures were used to identify blast resistance linked genes using four SSR primers, RM-8225, RM-206, RM-5926, and RM-1233. Primer RM-206, which is specific to *Pi-kh* resistance linked allele, conferred absence of the resistance linked allele as no fragment was amplified at 140 bp in the cultures. By using the marker RM-206, Kumar *et al.* (2013) ^[40] tested rice genotypes for blast resistance associated alleles particular to the gene *pi-kh*.

Using the gene-specific primer RM-8225, which is predicted to amplify a 221 bp fragment in the genotypes harbouring the resistance linked allele, the cultures were examined for the presence of the blast resistance gene, Pi-z. The blast resistance gene Pi-z for the primer RM-8225 was not found in any culture to have the resistance linked allele. By utilising the marker RM-8225, Fjellstrom *et al.*, (2004) ^[18] found resistance related alleles unique to gene Pi-z.

By visualising amplicons of 170 bp segments using the microsatellite marker RM-1233, the cultures were examined for the existence of the resistance linked allele for gene *Pi-1*. The findings showed that no cultures for the gene *Pi-1* specific marker RM-1233 suggested the presence of resistance linked alleles. Researchers Ashkani *et al.*, (2011)^[6], Ramadevi *et al.*, (2015)^[60], and Yadav *et al.*, (2017)^[73] reported using the primer RM-1233, which is specific to the gene *Pi-1*, to test rice genotypes for blast resistance.

The tolerant linked allele pi-l (176 bp) in the primer RM-5926 was not found in any of the 27 rice cultures. Thippeswamy *et al.*, (2015)^[68] used the marker RM-5926 to check rice genotypes for the presence of resistance linked alleles unique to the resistance gene *Pi*-l. Anupam *et al.*, (2017)^[4], Randive *et al.*, (2019)^[61], Jayawardana *et al.*, (2014)^[32] SSR primers were also used by Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017)^[8] to analyse the various cultivars' levels of blast resistance.

Bacterial Blight

The Bacterial Leaf Blight is caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae pv Oryzae*. The cultivar that is used and the environment have a significant impact on the yield losses brought on by bacterial blight. In tropical regions, Indonesia, India, and the Philippines reported productivity reductions of up to 75% (Nino-Liu *et al.*, 2006) ^[51]. Exploring elite bacterial blight resistance genes in rice is crucial. Nine of the 35 related genes

that have been identified so far—Xa21, Xa23, Xa27, Xa29, Xa30, Xa32, Xa35, and Xa36—come from wild rice. According to Phuc *et al.* (2005) ^[57], marker-assisted selection proved effective at enhancing the resistance of rice cultivars to BLB.

Twenty-seven rice cultures were examined in the current study to assess their resiliency to the bacterial blight resistance genes, Xa5 and Xa21, using the PCR-based SSR markers RM-122 and pTA-248, respectively. Resistance associated alleles were found in the genotypes Nom-2102, Nom-2104, Nom-2107, Nom-2108, Nom-2110, Nom-2111, Nom-2118, and Nom-2119 when the *Xa5* resistance gene was screened by the amplification of the SSR marker RM-122, which was used to track the resistant amplicons of 240-250 bp. For the Xa5 gene specific marker RM-122, Islam et al., (2015)^[31], Ullah et al., (2012)^[70], and Khan et al., (2015)^[31] similarly found the existence of resistance linked alleles around 240-250 kb. This suggests that the unique RM-122 marker for the bacterial blight gene Xa5 is successful in identifying the presence of resistance-linked alleles. The marker pTA-248 failed to find any amplicons unique to resistance-linked alleles for the Xa21 gene. This suggests that none of the 27 cultures included any of the gene Xa21's resistance linked alleles. By using the marker pTA-248, which is unique to the gene Xa21, Kumar et al., (2013)^[40], Xiao-Deng et al., (2012) ^[72], Singh et al., (2015) ^[67], and Amgai et *al.*, (2015)^[3] additionally checked a number of rice entries for the existence of bacterial blight resistance linked alleles (of 1040 bp). These findings concur with research by Patel et al., (2015)^[55], Sabar et al., (2016)^[64], Bhagwat et al., (2017)^[8], and Randive et al., (2019)^[61].



Plate 1: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 rice cultures using SSR primer RM-122 linked to Bacterial blight tolerant trait. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicates presence of the tolerant

Brown Plant Hopper

In Asia, where the majority of the world's rice is grown, such as China, India, Philippines, Japan, Korea, Vietnam, etc., the brown plant hopper (BPH) is a damaging insect pest (Khush *et al.*, 1985) ^[38]. The key to understanding the genetics of BPH tolerance and accurately identifying tolerance genes is appropriate evaluation of rice genotypes for BPH tolerance. Important breeding techniques to prevent BPH damage include the discovery and insertion of novel BPH resistance

genes from wild rice into contemporary cultivars. A better method for finding the BPH resistance gene is marker assisted selection (MAS).

Using the microsatellite markers RM-6775 and RM-309, the 27 rice cultures were tested for Brown Plant Hopper resistance. Among them, the resistance associated alleles in the cultures Nom-2117, Nom-2118, Nom-2119, and Nom-2123 were detected by marker RM-6775 (of 192 bp), which is unique to the resistant gene *Bph25*. The lack of resistance-

linked alleles was detected in all 27 cultures using the marker RM-309 (at 152 bp), which is exclusive to the resistant gene *Bph26*. These genotypes may either be devoid of resistance associated alleles for any of the *Bph* genes or they may have resistance linked alleles for additional *Bph* resistant genes that may be identified using gene-specific primers. The *Bph25* and *Bph26* genes were also searched for in rice genotypes by

Myint *et al.*, (2012)^[48] using the markers RM-6775, RM-309, and RM-5479. Many additional researchers have published comparable marker studies for *Bph* genes, including Harini *et al.*, (2013)^[24], Shabanimofrad *et al.*, (2015 a and b)^[66], Bhogadhi *et al.*, (2015)^[9], Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017)^[8], and Randive *et al.*, (2019)^[61].



Plate 2: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 rice cultures using SSR primer RM- 6775 linked to brown plant hopper tolerant trait. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicates presence of the tolerant lined allele)

Gall midge

In Asia, the rice gall midge *Orseolia oryzae* is a damaging pest. The insect is widespread and is regarded as a serious obstacle to rice production in India as well. The most practical solution to manage the pest has been to use resistant types, and there are various sources of resistance in cultivated rice. Breeding programmes can be expedited by using molecular markers linked to the resistance genes. In rice, 11 gall midge tolerance genes have been examined (Himabindu *et al.*, 2010) ^[26]. Eight genes-*Gm1*, *Gm2*, *Gm4*, *Gm5*, *Gm6*, *Gm7*, *Gm8*, *and Gm11*—have been identified and mapped among these (Yasala *et al.*, 2012) ^[74].

Two SSR markers, namely RM-547 and RM-22709 were used in this investigation. The population of 27 rice cultures

was screened for gall midge resistance using RM-547 and RM-22709. Resistance linked alleles *Gm4* (270 bp) for the primer RM-547 were found in the genotypes Nom-2112, Nom-2117, and Nom-2132, as well as resistance linked alleles Gm8 (160 bp-170 bp) for the primer RM-22709 in the genotypes Nom-2112, Nom-2114, Nom-2115, Nom-2116, Nom-2117, Nom-2118, Nom-2119. Further usage in breeding programmes is possible for genotypes showing the existence of resistance-related alleles. Mohapatra *et al.*, (2016) ^[46], Sama *et al.*, (2012) ^[65], Kumar *et al.*, (2013) ^[40], Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8], and Randive *et al.*, (2019) ^[61] explored the significant correlation of trait specific makers which are in assent with this study.



Plate 3: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 rice cultures using SSR primer RM- 547 lined to Gall midge tolerant traint. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicate presence of the tolerant linked alkele)

Two SSR markers, namely RM-547 and RM-22709 were used in this investigation. The population of 27 rice cultures was screened for gall midge resistance using RM-547 and RM-22709. Resistance linked alleles Gm4 (270 bp) for the primer RM-547 were found in the genotypes Nom-2112, Nom-2117, and Nom-2132, as well as resistance linked alleles Gm8 (160 bp-170 bp) for the primer RM-22709 in the

genotypes Nom-2112, Nom-2114, Nom-2115, Nom-2116, Nom-2117, Nom-2118, Nom-2119. Further usage in breeding programmes is possible for genotypes showing the existence of resistance-related alleles. Mohapatra *et al.*, (2016) ^[46], Kumar *et al.*, (2013) ^[40], Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8], and Randive *et al.*, (2019) ^[61] explored the significant correlation of trait specific makers which are in assent with this study.



Plate 4: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 rice cultures using SSR primer RM- 22709 linked to gall midge tolerant trait. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicates presence of the tolerant lined allele)

Abiotic Stresses Drought stress

Drought is one of the major limiting factors that lowers rice's yield stability and productivity in rain-fed environments, among other abiotic stresses. The identification of drought tolerant genotypes for use in upcoming breeding programmes requires the use of microsatellite markers associated to drought tolerance in rice. The findings demonstrated that SSR primers could distinguish between rice genotypes that were drought resistant.

Four SSR primers, RM-212, RM-302, and RM-3825, are placed on rice's chromosome 1 and RM-201 is found on its chromosome 9, respectively, at positions 135 bp, 140 bp, 147 bp, and 220 bp. All cultures lacked the resistance-linked alleles Dr (220 bp), Dr (135 bp), Dr (140 bp), and Dr (147 bp), which correspond to the primers RM-201, RM-212, RM-302, and RM-3825, respectively. We may recommend the use of alternative drought-related marker combinations to test the genotypes for drought resistance because these genotypes saw poor results for these markers.

For the purpose of screening rice genotypes for QTL, Kanagaraj *et al.* (2010) ^[34-35] and Noorzurain *et al.*, (2013) ^[52] also used the RM-212 primer. The markers RM-302 and RM-212 were shown to be helpful for choosing lines that were drought resistant by Freeg *et al.*, (2016) ^[19] using a MAS method. Similar investigations using a microsatellite marker unique to drought tolerance were conducted by Niksiar *et al.*, (2013) ^[50], Ramadan *et al.*, (2015) ^[59], Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8], and Randive *et al.*, (2019) ^[61].

Salt Stress Tolerance

Along with other abiotic stresses, salt stress is a key abiotic source of stress in the country's coastal regions, lowland areas that receive rain, and rain fed places. Agriculture as well as rice production and yield will be severely harmed by an increase in soil salinity and salt intrusion. Microsatellite marker screening is very promising to designate the main gene locus for salt tolerance in order to generate new cultivars through enhanced breeding programmes.

The salt resistance associated SSR primers RM-140, RM-1287, RM-562, and RM-3412 were employed in this work and are situated on rice chromosome 1 between 260 bp, 160 bp, 243 bp, and 211 bp, respectively. The salt resistance alleles were detected by the primer RM-140 at 260 bp were found in cultures Nom-2102, Nom-2103, Nom-2105, Nom-2108, Nom-2109, Nom-2110, Nom-2111, Nom-2116, Nom-2117, and Nom-2132. The Saltol QTL was found in all four of the cultures Nom-2110, Nom-2111, Nom-2112, and Nom-2116 cultures at (160 bp). The Saltol QTL, which was located by the marker RM-3412, was seen at (at 211 bp) in the cultures Nom-2104, Nom-2111, Nom-2112, Nom-2117, and Nom-2133. The lack of the resistance-linked alleles was revealed by Saltol alleles in the primer RM-562 in all cultures. SSR primers RM-140, RM-1287, and RM-3412 were utilised by Islam et al. (2012)^[30] in their QTL study to find the salt-tolerant rice cultivars. Using the primer RM-3412, Aliyu et al., (2011)^[2] detected salt tolerance in QTL analysis. The microsatellite markers used in this investigation were successful in identifying Saltol QTL in a number of the genotypes examined.

All 27 of the cultures were amplified using the four SSR *Saltol* linked primers used in this investigation. The primers that showed polymorphism were compared to the rice QTLs for salt tolerance that had previously been identified. The microsatellite primers for salt tolerance that were used in this investigation were generated from a study (Thomson *et al.*, 2010) ^[69] that examined QTLs for seedling stage salinity tolerance that were derived from Pokkali in order to be used

in the MAS technique.

These markers are highly polymorphic and beneficial for *Saltol*, according to a number of researchers like Karmakar *et al.*, (2012); Iqbal *et al.*, (2013)^[28]; Ganie *et al.*, (2016)^[20];

Linh *et al.*, (2012) ^[43]; Al-Amin *et al.*, (2013) ^[1]; Chattopadhyay *et al.*, (2014) ^[13]; Rubel *et al.*, (2014) ^[62]; Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8] and Randive *et al.*, (2019) ^[61].



Plate 5: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 cultures using SSR primer RM- 140 linked to salt tolerant trait. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicates presence of the tolerant lined allele)



Plate 6: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 rice cultures using SSR primer RM- 1287 linked to salt tolerant trait. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicates presence of the tolerant linked allele)



Plate 7: Amplified DNA fragments of 27 rice cultures using SSR primer RM- 3412 linked to salt tolerant trait. L= 3000 bp ladder. (Arrow indicate presence of the tolerant lined allele)

Genetic Diversity SSR Polymorphism

All 27 rice cultures were genotyped using 18 trait-linked SSR markers, and they were chosen based on its ability to produce amplified products, detect polymorphism levels between cultures, and maintain pattern consistency. These markers yielded a total of 75 alleles, with an average of 4.16 alleles per marker, and 100% of them were found to be polymorphic. There were between 2 to 9 alleles found for each marker. The most alleles were generated by the primer pTA-248 (9) while the marker RM-309 produced the fewest alleles (2). Chungada *et al.*, (2016) ^[14] and Okello *et al.*, (2017) ^[53] reported similar findings and noted that the primer RM-309 yielded the fewest alleles (5).

Amplified fragments varied in size from 125 to 1024 bp. The majority of microsatellite markers used to study diversification are typically polymorphic in nature. The current work is also in line with other results by Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8], who utilised 18 primers for the examination of polymorphism in 50 rice germplasms, and Randive *et al.*, 2019 ^[61], who employed 19 SSR markers.

Polymorphic Information Content (PIC)

Polymorphism information content (PIC) values ranged from 0.314 to 0.927 in this study, with an average PIC value of 0.619 per primer. Randive *et al.*, (2019)^[61] also noted that the average PIC value is (0.65) identical to this study. The SSR primer, pTA-248 revealed highest (0.927) PIC value; whereas the primer RM 3825 revealed lowest (0.314). 75 alleles in all, with an average of 4.16 alleles per marker, were found in this study ranged from 2 to 9 per locus. It was demonstrated that primers that saw fewer alleles revealed less gene variability than those that observed more alleles, which revealed more gene diversity.

According to Aliyu *et al.*, (2011) ^[2], primers with PIC values of 0.5 or above are tremendously helpful in determining the polymorphism rate of a primer at a particular locus and are highly informative for genetic investigations. Consequently, it was discovered that primers PTA-248, RM-547, and RM-302 were highly informative (Table 3). Since only PIC values higher than 0.5 indicate high polymorphism, the SSR primers employed in this work showed an average PIC value of 0.619, indicating that they were highly informative.

The high PIC value found in this study indicated that there is significant genetic variation among rice cultivars. The genetic diversity of the accessions used is typically a factor in the PIC values (Pervaiz *et al.*, 2009) ^[56] for the particular research. The best markers for molecular characterization and genetic diversity study are those that can identify a large number of identifiable alleles (Islam *et al.*, 2008)^[29].

The present PIC value (0.619) is greater than the PIC values reported in earlier papers by Islam *et al.*, (2012) ^[30], Hoque *et al.*, (2014) ^[27], Gholizadeh *et al.*, (2014) ^[21], Freeg *et al.*, (2016) ^[19], Krupa *et al.*, (2017) ^[39], and Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8], which are, respectively, 0.57, 0.54, 0.45, 0.52, 0.49, and 0.41.

Genetic distance values between rice varieties

The alleles were transformed to binary scores based on their presence (1) or absence (0) based on the screening of SSR scoring. With the use of the software Multivariate Statistical Package (MVSP), this data was selected for a similarity-based analysis to calculate the Jaccard's coefficient matrices, or an estimate of how similar the twenty-seven cultures are to one another.

The results for pairwise similarity ranged from 0.053 to 0.818. Maximum similarity value (0.818) was found between Nom 2128 & Nom 2129 while, the minimum similarity value (0.053) was obtained between (Nom 2105 and Nom 2129) and (Nom 2112 and Nom 2129). According to this study, rice cultures are more distinct, which suggests that a sizable portion of their genomes may differ. However, the great discriminating power of microsatellite markers is indicated by the genetic diversity found using molecular markers in the current study. For the purpose of resolving issues relating to breeding for heterosis, this is crucial.

Cluster analysis based on Dendrogram pattern:

Multivariate Statistical Package (MVSP) was used to create the UPGMA based Dendrogram of 27 rice cultures. Two primary clusters, I and II, were obtained by the clustering pattern of the Dendrogram created using the pooled molecular data of 18 SSR primers of 27 cultures. The Dendrogram showed that the variations that are genetically linked variations of the same kind are grouped together in a single cluster.

The minor cluster-I comprised 10 rice cultures, and was further found to be divided into two sub clusters (IA and IB). Sub Cluster IA was further subdivided into two sub-sub clusters (IA (a) and IA (b)). Sub-sub cluster IA (a) included of two cultures i.e., Nom-2133 and Nom-2132. Sub-sub cluster IA (b) consisted of five cultures i.e., Nom-2131, Nom-2129, Nom-2128, Nom-2127 and Nom-2124. Sub Cluster IB was further subdivided into two sub-sub clusters (IB (a) and IB (b)). Sub-sub cluster IB (a) consisted of two cultures i.e., Nom-2123 and Nom-2122. Sub-sub cluster IB (b) consisted of one culture i.e., Nom-2119.

The major cluster-II comprised 17 rice cultures, and was further found to be divided into two sub clusters (IIA and IIB). Sub Cluster IIA was further subdivided into sub-sub clusters [IIA (a)]. Cluster IIA(a) included of two cultures i.e., Nom-2118 and Nom-2117. Sub Cluster IIB was further subdivided into two sub-sub clusters [IIB (a) and IIB (b)]. Sub-sub cluster IIB (a) was further sub divided into sub-sub-sub clusters [IIB (a) and IIB (b)]. Sub-sub clusters [IIB (a) and IIB (a)]. Sub-sub cluster IIB (a) consisted of two cultures i.e., Nom-2116 and Nom-2115. Sub-sub cluster IIB (ab) consisted of nine cultures i.e., Nom-2111, Nom-2110, Nom-2112, Nom-2114, Nom-2109, Nom-2104, Nom-2105, Nom-2108 and Nom-2103. Sub-sub cluster IIB (b) consisted of four cultures i.e., Nom-2107, Nom-2102 and Nom-2101.

The Pharma Innovation Journal

	Non220	Non-210	Nom.2108	Nom.2104	Non.2115	Non.2107	Nom.2108	Non2109	Non.2110	Nasliii	Nom.2112	Non-2114	Non.2115	Nom.2114	Non-2117	Non.2118	Non.2119	30m2121	Nom2122	Nan.2129	5m204	Non2127	Non-2128	Nom.1129	Son201	Non.2172	Nov.1113
Nem-2111	t																										
Nem-2112	140	1																									
Num-2115	1.287	0.462	1																								
Nam-2114	128	0.395	0.385	1																							
Nem-1105	1399	0.258	0.444	1.439	i.																						
Non-2117	0.31	0.40	0317	138	1.182	1																					
Nom-2106	0.31	0.31	0.462	138	1.444	0.337	1																				
Nom.2109	123	0.258	0.218	1.481	L429	0.258	63	1																			
Non-3110	6.487	0.462	0357	138	1251	0.52	0.601	1393	1																		
Nen-IIII	1321	0.2%	637	1.411	1357	037	0425	0.52	0.600	1																	
Nen-IIII	1.23	0.147	0219	13.8	1.49	0.182	03	1.58	0.345	0.11	t																
Nam-2114	1.365	0.395	0345	1.429	143	0.3	0444	1.6	0.444	0.02	140	1															
Non-2115	1219	0.112	0.112	129	1.355	0.147	025	140	0.258	0.267	143	0.379	1														
Nom-2116	1.138	0.226	0.236	1.23	129	0.267	0.236	1.444	6.407	62%	1.444	-0	0.999	1													
Nep-III†	1.221	0.152	0.118	1.10	1.444	0.155	0.357	1.903	0.155	0.321	0.444	03	0.255	0.238	1												
Nen-III8	1207	0.155	0.267	1.19	13	0.287	0.235	1251	0.158	0218	1.25	1395	0.112	0.138	131	1											
Nam.2119	1287	0.226	0.367	LHT	1.112	031	0.236	1112	0.226	0.394	0.114	0.219	0.054	0.335	0.226	0.51	1										
Non-1111	1.287	0.407	0.31	ы	1251	0.187	0111	198	0.287	0.194	0.219	0.945	0.219	0.267	0.135	0.38	0.357	1									
Nem-1111	1152	0.188	481.0	1,147	1.114	0.188	418	1.947	0.118	0,156	1.08	0.219	0.182	010	0.036	0.267	6357	131	1								
Nem-2128	1.229	0.188	010	1.10	1147	0.152	418	U	0.152	0.136	1.147	0.345	0.258	0.388	0.122	0.31	640	0.4(1	9.9	1							
Non-2114	1147	0.147	0.147	LHI.		0.147	0.003	1176	0.065	0.118	1.14	0.178	0.25	0.114	0.114	0.258	0.112	0.348	03	0.195	1						
Nem-1117	1.113	0.118	0.118	1.00	1.132	0.152	0.096	100	0.056	6617	1.05	0.089	0.114	6434	0.22	0.152	0.236	0.207	0,38	6.367	636	1					
Non-3138	1.154	0.117	0.114	6.111	1.165	0.182	0.382	11.0	0.089	6636	4.69	0.08L	0.111	6,547	0.114	0.049	0.219	0.239	0.182	0.258	679	0.664	1				
Nem-2129	1.154	0.147	0.083	UII	1.055	0.147	0.141	1176	0.083	0.036	1.09	0.111	0.148	0.147	0.00	0.083	0.182	0.28	0.219	- 03	63	0.5	0.818	1			
Nep-1111	1.168	0.194	0.111	1.18	1.168	0.276	0.194	1.138	0.156	0.091	1.13	0.152	0.152	0.138	0.000	0.156	0.057	0.2%	0.394	0.194	131	137	704.0	040	1		
Nam-2112	8.152	0.152	0118	LHT.	1219	0.118	01H	138	0.152	0.233	1.219	0.219	0.219	0.118	0.228	0.228	0.118	0.188	0.118	0.152	6218	0.217	0.3	1.36	6.521	1	
Non-2113	8.121	0.158	0.111	1.135	1152	0.158	0.011	0.31	0.088	0.125	1.12	0.152	0.118	0.155	0.155	0.194	0.121	0.321	0.156	0.255	6.217	0.321	0.407	0.11	1.44	0.562	1
	See281	Sep 212	Net-218	Nom.2104	Non-210	Sep.217	Nem-2108	Nes219	Sep-210	Nes-1111	Nem-2112	Sep.2114	Ses-2115	Nm-2116	Nes-2117	Nen-2118	Nets-2119	Nes-2121	New2122	Nem-2128	Nm204	See 227	Nem-1128	Net5.1129	Sm231	Sep.232	Nem-2139

Table 3: Genetic distances based on SSR pooled over 18 primers in 27 rice cultures



Fig 1: Dendrogram constructed using Jaccard's Similarity Coefficient

By creating Dendrograms and doing cluster analyses, other authors similarly revealed the diversity among distinct rice types. The 88 rice varieties, which comprised landraces, farmer's variations, and well-known Basmati lines, were divided into four clusters at a dissimilarity coefficient of 0.58 and two major clusters at a dissimilarity coefficient of 0.55 by Yadav *et al.*, (2013) ^[73]. Based on the Nei's genetic distance estimated from 27 SSRs generated from the 30 types of rice, Mohiuddin *et al.*, (2014) ^[47] created a dendrogram. Singh *et al.*, (2016) ^[76] examined 132 different genotypes of Indian wild rice and found that there was cumulative variance of 66.53 percent and 77.65 percent, respectively, using SSR and SNP markers. By utilising 18 SSR primers and creating a Dendrogram, Okello *et al.*, (2017) ^[53] determined the diversity across 48 rice types. He claimed that rice genotypes were dispersed in two primary clusters and then split into four smaller clusters, with IA including 12 genotypes, IB comprising 12 genotypes, and IIA comprising 8 genotypes and IIB comprising 16 genotypes. Using 18 microsatellite markers and the 50 rice germplasms, Bhagwat *et al.*, (2017) ^[8]

created a dendrogram. By employing 19 SSR markers and creating a dendrogram, Randive *et al.*, (2019)^[61] also reported the diversity across 50 rice genotypes.

Because of the polyallelic nature of microsatellite markers, they have the advantage of discriminating the individuals more precisely. The SSR primer gave more clusters with fewer varieties in each cluster and therefore, large variation within each cluster was observed. In the present study, the rice genotypes were grouped into two major clusters.

Conclusion

In order to identify the parental genotype with the tolerant genes that will be accessed for MAS to breed the most stable elite lines for stresses, it is determined that screening the rice cultures for abiotic and biotic stresses using the SSR is crucial. Microsatellite markers were used for molecular screening of 27 rice cultures, and the results revealed enough information on molecular tolerance traits. The great degree of variation across 27 rice cultures was shown through polymorphism.

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our heartfelt gratitude and appreciation to the Agricultural Research Station, Shirgaon, Dist- Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India for providing rice cultures to us. We would also like to thank Prof. R. S. Deshpande and Dr. S. V. Sawardekar, Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture Dapoli, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli for permitting us to use the biotechnology laboratory.

References

- Al-Amin M, Islam MM, Begum SN, Alam MS, Moniruzzaman M, Patwary MAK. Evaluation of rice germplasm under salt stress at the seedling stage through SSR markers. International Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;3:52-59.
- 2 Aliyu R, Adamu AK, Muazu S, Alonge SO. Tagging and validation of SSR markers to salinity tolerance QTLs in rice (*Oryza* spp). International Conference on Biology, Environment and Chemistry. 2011;1:328-323.
- 3 Amgai RB, Niroula RK, Pantha S, Hamal SS, Tamang BG, Sah BP, *et al.* Marker assisted screening of nepalese rice for bacterial leaf blight (BLB) resistance. Nepal Journal of Biotechnology. 2015;3:35-39.
- 4 Anupam A, Jahangir I, Syed MQ, Anantha S, Das SP, Variar M, *et al.* Genetic diversity analysis of rice germplasm in tripura state of northeast India using drought and blast linked markers. Rice Science. 2017;24:10-20.
- 5 Ashfaq M, Saleem HM, Ali A, Ali M, Hanif S, Mubashar U. Screening of diverse germplasms for genetic studies of drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Caryologia, 2014;67(4):296-304.
- 6 Ashkani S, Rafii MY, Sariah M, Akmar AM, Rahim RA, Latif MA. Analysis of simple sequence repeat markers linked with blast disease resistance genes in a segregating population of rice (*Oryza sativa*). Genetics and Molecular Research. 2011;10:1345-1355.
- 7 Bentur JS, Sinha DK, Padmavathy, Revathy C, Muthulakshmi M, Nagaraju J. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the Asian rice gall midge (*Orseolia oryzae*) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae).

International Journal of Molecular Science. 2011;12:755-772.

- 8 Bhagwat S, Gokhale NB, Sawardekar SV, Kelkar VG, Kambale SR, Kunkarker RL. Evaluation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm for biotic and abiotic stresses and their genetic diversity using SSR markers. Oryza. 2017; 54,258-265.
- 9 Bhogadhi SC, Bentur JS, Rani CVD, Thappeta G, Yamini KN, Kumar NAP, *et al.* Screening of rice genotypes for resistance to brown plant hopper biotype 4 and detection of BPH resistance genes. Int. J Life Sci. Biotechnol.Pharma Res. 2015;4:90.
- 10 Bonman JM, Dedios TIV, Khin MM. Physiological specialization of Pyricularia oryzae in the Philippines. Plant Dis. 1986;70:767-769.
- 11 Bray EA, Bailey-SJ, Weretilnyk E. Responses to abiotic stresses. In: Gruissem W, Buchannan B, Jones R, editors. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants. Rockville MD USA: American Society of Plant Physiologists; c2000. p. 1158-1249.
- 12 Causse MA, Fulton TM, Cho YG, Ahn SN, Chunwongse J, Wu K, *et al.* Ronald PC and Harrington SE Saturated molecular map of the rice genome based on an interspecific backcross population. Genetics. 1994;138:1251-1274.
- 13 Chattopadhyay T, Biswas T, Chatterjee M, Mandal N, Bhattacharyya S. Biochemical and SSR marker based characterization of some Bengal landraces of rice. Current Science. 2014;68:15-20.
- 14 Chungada AS, Gokhale NB, Patil DM, Sawardekar SV, Patil PP. Molecular screening of rice germplasm for biotic and abiotic stresses and their diversity study by using SSR markers. Journal Indian Society Coastal Agriculture Research. 2016;34:7-14.
- 15 Devanna NB, Vijayan J, Sharma TR. The blast resistance gene Pi54 of cloned from Oryza officinalis interacts with AvrPi54 through its novel non-LRR domains. PLoS One. 2014;9:e104840.
- 16 Divya B, Robin S, Rabindran R, Senthil S, Raveendran M, Joel AJ. Marker assisted breeding approach to improve blast resistance in Indian rice (*Oryza sativa*) variety ADT43. Euphytica. 2014;200:61-77.
- 17 Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson CA. Simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant genomic DNA for PCR analysis. Nucleic acids research. 1991;19:1349.
- 18 Fjellstrom R, McClung AM, Marchetti MA, Shank AR. Development of DNA markers suitable for Marker Assisted Selection of three Pi genes conferring resistance to multiple *Pyricularia grisea* pathotypes. Crop Sci. 2004;44:1790-1798.
- 19 Freeg HA, Anis GB, Abo Shousha AA, El Banna AN, El Sabagh A. Genetic diversity among some rice genotypes with different drought tolerance based on SSR markers. Cercetări Agronomiceîn Moldova. 2016;49:39-50.
- 20 Ganie SA, Borgohain MJ, Kashyap K, Talukdar A, Pani DR, Mondal TK. Assessment of genetic diversity of Saltol QTL among the rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. Physiol Mol Biol Plants. 2016;22:107-114.
- 21 Gholizadeh F, Navabpour S. Estimation of genetic diversity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes using SSR markers under salinity stress. Iranian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2014;4:1039-1046.
- 22 Ha T, Khang DT, Tuyen PT, Minh LT, Minh TN, Buu

BC, *et al.* Correlation among agro-morphological variation and genetic diversity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress. International Letters of Natural Sciences. 2016;3:58.

- 23 Hamada H, Petrino MG, Kakunaga TA. Novel repeated element with Z-DNA-forming potential is widely found in evolutionarily diverse eukaryotic genomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1982;79(21):6465-6469.
- 24 Harini AS, Kumar SS, Balaravi P, Sharma R, Dass MA, Shenoy V. Evaluation of rice genotypes for brown planthopper (BPH) resistance using molecular markers and phenotypic methods. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2013;12:2515-2525.
- 25 Hasan MM, Rafi MY, Ismail MR, Mahmood M, Rahimd HA, Alam MA. Marker-assisted backcrossing: A useful method for rice improvement. Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment. 2015;29:237-254.
- 26 Himabindu K, Suneetha K, Sama VSAK, Bentur JS. A new rice gall midge resistance gene in the breeding line CR57-MR1523, mapping with flanking markers and development of NILs. Euphytica. 2010;174:179–187.
- 27 Hoque A, Begum SN, Hassan V. Genetic diversity assessment of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm using SSR markers. Res. Agric., Livest. Fish. 2014;1:37-46.
- 28 Iqbal M, Khan MA, Naeem M, Aziz U, Afzal J, Latif M. Inducing drought tolerance in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), accomplishments and future prospects. World Applied Science Journal. 2013;21:1062-1069.
- 29 Islam MR, Gregorio GB, Salam MA, Collard BC, Singh RK, Hassan L. Molecular diversity of stress tolerant rice genotypes using SSR markers. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics. 2008;40:127-139.
- 30 Islam MM, Hossain H, Ali MS, Teixeira JA, Komamine A, Prodhan SH. Genetic diversity analysis of aromatic landraces of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by microsatellite markers. Genes, Genomes and Genomics. 2012;1:42-47.
- 31 Islam MS, Mia M, Khanom SM, Nasiruddin KM, Islam MM. Screening of aromatic rice cultivars for Bacterial Leaf Blight (BLB) disease resistance gene Xa5 through molecular marker. British Biotechnology Journal. 2015;9:1-13.
- 32 Jayawardana WD, Jayasekera GU, Wijesundera RC, Dissanayake DN, Sooriyapathirana SS, Weebadde CK, *et al.* Evaluation of DNA markers linked to blast resistant genes, Pikh, Pit (p), and Pita, for parental selection in Sri Lankan rice breeding. Tropical Agricultural Research. 2014;26:82-93.
- 33 Kalpana B. M.Sc. thesis on Morphological and molecular screening of backcross derived lines for gall midge resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, 2016.
- 34 Kanagaraj P, Prince KS, Sheeba JA, Biji KR, Paul SB, Senthil A, Babu RC. Microsatellite markers linked to drought resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Current Science. 2010;98:836-839.
- 35 Kanagaraj P, Prince KS, Sheeba JA, Biji KR, Paul SB, Senthil A, *et al.* Microsatellite markers linked to drought resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Current Science. 2010;98:836-839.
- 36 Karmakar J, Roychowdhury R, Kar RK, Deb D, Dey N. Profiling of selected indigenous rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

landraces of rarh Bengal in relation to osmotic stress tolerance. Physiol Mol Biol Plants. 2012;18:125-132.

- 37 Khan TH, Evamoni FZ, Rubel MH, Nasiruddin KM, Rahman M. Screening of rice varieties for bacterial leaf blight resistance by using SSR markers. Journal of Bioscience and Agri. Research. 2015;3:45-58.
- 38 Khush GS, Karim ANMR, Angeles ER. Genetics of resistance of rice cultivar ARC10550 to Bangladesh brown plant hopper biotype. J Genet. 1985;64:121–125.
- 39 Krupa KN, Shashidhar HE, Dalawai N, Reddy M, Swamy HVV. Molecular marker based genetic diversity analysis in rice genotypes (*Oryza sativa* L.) using SSR markers, Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2017;5:668-674.
- 40 Kumar SG, Kumari KA, Durgarani CV, Sundaram RM, Vanisree S, Jamaloddin M. Study of Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) polymorphism for biotic stress resistance in elite rice variety JGL 1798. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2013;12:5833-5838.
- 41 Kurata N, Nagamura Y, Yamamot K, Harushima Y, Sue N, Wu J, *et al.* A 300 kilobase interval genetic map of rice including 883 expressed sequences. Nature Genet. 1994;8:365-372.
- 42 Lang NT, Luy TT, Ha PTT, Buu BC. Monogenic lines resistance to blast disease in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Vietnam. Int J Genet Mol Biol. 2009;1:127–136.
- 43 Linh LH, Linh TH, Xuan TD, Ham LH, Ismail AM, Khan TD. Molecular breeding to improve salt tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in the Red River Delta of Vietnam. International journal of plant genomics. 2012;8:123-124.
- 44 Manifesto MM, Schlatter A, Hopp HE, Suarez EY, Dubcovsky J. Quantitative evaluation of genetic diversity in wheat germplasm using molecular markers. Crop Science. 2001;41:682-690.
- 45 Miah M, Rafii MY, Ismail MR, Puteh AB, Rahim HA, Asfaliza R, Latif MA. Blast resistance in rice: A review of conventional breeding to molecular approaches. Molecular Biology Reporter. 2013;40:2369-2388.
- 46 Mohapatra S, Behera L, Jena MS, Pradhan K, Mohanty SK, Sahu SC. Molecular screening of rice genotypes using linked markers for gall midge resistance gene, Gm4. The Ecoscan. 2016;10:349-357.
- 47 Mohiuddin AKM, Nadia I, Sultana S, Ferdous J. Diversity analysis of indica rice accessions (*Oryza sativa* L.) using morphological and SSR markers. Annals of Biological Research. 2014;5:20-31.
- 48 Myint KKM, Fujita D, Sonoda T, Yoshimura A, Yasui H. Mapping and pyramiding of two major genes for resistance to the brown plant hopper (*Nilaparvata lugens* Sta 1) in the rice cultivar ADR52. Theor Appl Genet. 2012;(124):495-504.
- 49 Nadia I, Mohiuddin AKM, Sultana S, Ferdous J. Diversity analysis of indica rice accessions (*Oryza sativa* L.) using morphological and SSR markers. Annals of Biological Research. 2014;5:20-31.
- 50 Niksiar P, Navvabpour S, Sabouri H, Soltanlu H, Rahimi M. The study of allelic diversity of microsatellite markers related to QTL controlling drought-tolerance in rice. International Journal of Agro. and Plant Production. 2013;4:3719-3723.
- 51 Nino-Liu DO, Ronald PC, Bogdanove AJ. *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv: model pathogens of a model crop. Molecular Plant Pathology. 2006;7:303-324.

The Pharma Innovation Journal

- 52 Noorzuraini A, Borromeo TH, Nestor NC, Diaz GM, Arvind K. Diversity assessment of Malaysian rice germplasm accessions for drought tolerant grain yield QTLs. Journal Tropical Agriculture. 2013;41:27-40.
- 53 Okello M, Sawardekar SV, Ghokhale NB, Waghmode BD, Patil DM. Molecular characterization of rice germplasm (*Oryza sativa* L.) using Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) markers. Advanced Agricultural Research & Technology Journal. 2017;(I):92-97.
- 54 Parker D, Beckmann M, Enot P, Overy DP, Rios ZC, Gilbert M, et al. Rice blast infection of Brachypodium distachyon as a model system to study dynamic host/pathogen interaction Nat. Protoc. 2008;3:435-445.
- 55 Patel AA, Jadeja GC. Molecular analysis of bacterial leaf blight resistance xa5 gene in near isogenic lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). An Inter. Quar. Journal of Envir. Sci. 2015;9:635-341.
- 56 Pervaiz ZH, Khaliq I, Pearce PC, Malik SM. Determination of genetic variability of Asian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties using microsatellite markers. African J. of Biotechnology. 2009;8:5641-5651.
- 57 Phuc NV, Lang NT, Buu BC. STS and microsatellite marker-assisted selection for bacterial blight resistance in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. Omon Rice. 2005;13:18-25.
- 58 Powell W, Marchray GC, Provan J. Polymorphism revealed by simple sequence repeats. Trends Plant Sci. 1996;1:215-222.
- 59 Ramadan EA, Elmoghazy AM, El-Mowafi HF. Molecular markers based genetic diversity analysis for drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza Sativa*. L.) using SSR Markers. Int. J Sci. Res. Agric. Sci. 2015;2:137-146.
- 60 Ramadevi SJS, Singh KB, Umakanth, Vishalakshi B, Renuka P, Vijay Sudhakar K, *et al.* Development and identification of novel rice blast resistant sources and their characterization using molecular markers. Rice Science. 2015;22:300-308.
- 61 Randive P, Ghokhale NB, Sawardekar SV, Kunkerkar RL, Bhagwat SS, Kelkar VG. Molecular Screening and diversity analysis of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes for biotic and abiotic stresses using SSR markers. Journal of Rice Research. 2019;12:1.
- 62 Rubel MH, Hassan L, Mirza MI, Khan AH, Robin, Md. Alam J. Evaluation of rice genotypes under salt stress at the seedling and reproductive stages using phenotypic and molecular markers. Pakistan. Journal of Botany. 2014;46:423-432.
- 63 Sabar M, Bibi T, Farooq HU, Haider Z, Naseem I, Mahmood A. Molecular screening of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm for Xa4, xa5 and Xa21 bacterial leaf blight (BLB) resistant genes using linked marker approach. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2016;15:2317-2324.
- 64 Sabar M, Bibi T, Farooq HU, Haider Z, Naseem I, Mahmood A, et al. Molecular screening of rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) germplasm for Xa4, xa5 and Xa21 bacterial leaf blight (BLB) resistant genes using linked marker approach. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2016;15:2317-2324.
- 65 Sama VK, Himabindu K, Naik SB, Sundaram RM, Viraktamath BC, Bentur JS. Mapping and marker assisted breeding of a gene allelic to the major Asian rice gall midge resistance gene Gm8. Euphytica. 2012;187:393-400.

- 66 Shabanimofrad M, Rafii MY, Ashkani S, Hanafi MM, Adam NA, Latif MA. Analysis of SSR markers linked with brown plant hopper resistance genes ('Bph') using high-resolution melting (HRM) in rice. Plant Omics. 2015a;8:212.
- 67 Singh AK, Nayak R, Singh PK. Identification of bacterial leaf blight resistance genes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). International Journal of Science and Nature. 2015;6:283-287.
- 68 Thippeswamy SY, Chandramohan SB, Srinivas D, Padmaja, Pravalika K. Identification of blast resistant rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes in indigenous and exotic germplasm and validation of pi gene linked molecular markers. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2015;10:5958.
- 69 Thomson MJ, Ocampo M, Rahman Egdane J, Rahman MA, Sajise AG, Dante LA. Characterizing the saltol Quantitative Trait Locus for salinity tolerance in rice. Rice, 2010, 2.
- 70 Ullah I, Jamil S, Iqbal MZ, Shaheen HL, Hasni SM, Jabeen S, *et al.* Detection of bacterial blight resistance genes in basmati rice landraces. Genetics and Molecular Research. 2012;11:1960-1966.
- 71 Wang JH, Geng LH, Zhang CM. Research on the weak signal detecting technique for crop water stress based on wavelet denoising. Advanced Materials Research. 2012;424:966-970.
- 72 Xiao Deng Z, Hai Peng Z, Rong Yao C, Jie Yun Z, Shi Hua C, Li-Yong C. Breeding of R8012, a rice restorer line resistant to blast and bacterial blight through marker assisted selection. Rice Sci. 2012;19:29-35.
- 73 Yadav MK, Ngangkham U, Subudhi HN, Bag MK. Use of molecular markers in identification and characterization of resistance to rice blast in India. Plos one. 2017;12:e0179467.
- 74 Yasala AK, Rawat N, Sama VSAK, Himabindu K, Sundaram RM, Bentur JS. Analysis for gene content in rice genomic regions mapped for the gall midge resistance genes. Plant Omics J. 2012;5:405-413.
- 75 Graham JE, Karmarkar AM, Ottenbacher KJ. Small sample research designs for evidence-based rehabilitation: issues and methods. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2012 Aug 1;93(8):S111-S116.
- 76 Singh B, Singh JP, Kaur A, Singh N. Bioactive compounds in banana and their associated health benefits–A review. Food chemistry. 2016 Sep 1;206:1-1.