www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(1): 316-317 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 11-10-2022 Accepted: 13-11-2022

Bagesar JL

Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Naik SD

Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Patil SM

Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Bagesar JL

Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

To assess the effect of dry period on the subsequent production and reproduction performance of Holdeo (Holstein Friesian x Deoni) interse

Bagesar JL, Patil SM and Naik SD

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2023.v12.i1d.17999

Abstract

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of dry period on the subsequent production and reproduction performance in Holdeo (HF x Deoni). The data representing HF X Deoniinterse cattle from Cattle Cross Breeding Project, VNMKV, Parbhani with 960 total records of lactation over a 25 years period (1991-2015) were analyzed to determine the effect of period of calving and season of calving on production and reproduction performance of Holdeo. The overall least squares means were DP (124.83 \pm 5.76 days), DP was significantly affected by period of calving (p<0.01) in Holdeointerse cows. However, season of calving had non-significant effect on DP.

Keywords: Holdeo, dry period, production and reproduction performance

Introduction

Indian dairying is an emerging industry, so to make it profitable and sustainable we should have not only produce high producer animals but also develop an economic and profitable production system. Overall, economic return from individual animals depends upon various productive and reproductive performance besides milk production. The decade-wise rate of growth in milk production in India (4.00 per cent) is substantially higher than the world average of 1.50 per cent. Despite of holding the number one position in milk production in the world for over a decade, the milk productivity in the country remains one of the lowest as compared to the many leading countries of the world border areas of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka state. In India, crossbreeding of Zebu cattle with exotic germplasm is considered as a national policy, both on the organized farm as well as in field condition. Crossbreeding of Indian cows with exotic dairy breeds has been started in our country under cattle developing programme from 3rd five-year plan. Crossbreeding programme in India has made significant impact on milk production in the country. The greatest advantage of crossbreeding is attributed to faster growth rate eventually leading better reproduction and production. Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani has taken a project for improvement of Deoni cattle by crossbreeding with elite exotic breed Holstein Friesian. The crossbred is named as Holdeo. Deoni is one of the important dual purpose cattle breed of Marathwada region of Maharashtra state and adjoining areas of Maharashtra i.e. Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka states.

Materials and Methods

Data representing HF \times Deoniinterse cattle from CCBP with 960 total records of lactation over a 25 years period (1991-2015) were analyzed to determine the effect of period of calving and season of calving on production and reproduction performance of holdeo cattle. The complete years was divided into 3 seasons and 5 periods having 5 years each. The three seasons namely winter (October-January), summer (February-May) and monsoon (June-September) were coded as S_1 , S_2 and S_3 . The period of calving was coded as P_1 (1991-1995), P_2 (1996-2000), P_3 (2001-2005), P_4 (2006-2010) and P_5 (2011-2015). The data were statistically analysed for linear model (SAS, 2002). Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was employed to test and locate means that significantly differed from each other (Kramar, 1957).

The following statistical model was employed to analyse the data

 $y_{iik} = \mu + S_i + P_i + e_{iik}$

y_{ijk}- Observation for ith season and jth period of calving

μ-The overall mean

S_i-The effect of ith season of calving

P_j- The effect of jth period of calving

e_{iik}- Random error associated with NID (6²e)

Dry period (DP)

The least squares means of DP and analysis of variance showing effects of period of calving and season of calving on dry period in Holdeo cows are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The overall least squares means for DP of Holdeo cows was 124.83±5.76 days. The results were close to Singh et al. (1980) [9] in Friesin x Local, Jersey x Local and other crosses, Jadhav et al. (1991) [4] in HF x Sahiwal, Dubey and Singh (2005) [3] in Jersey x Sahiwal. The effect of period of calving on DP was significant (p<0.01) in Holdeo cows (Table 2). The mean DP (days) was higher in period P₅ (147.57±13.90) followed by P₂ (139.44±12.67), P₃ (129.88±11.68), P₁ (108.31±9.95) and lowest in P4 (98.94±11.67). These results were supported with the findings of Bonde et al. (1983) [2] in HF x Deoni crossbred cattle and Jadhav et al. (1991) [4] in HF x Sahiwal. The variation due to season of calving in DP was non-significant (Table 2). The LSM of DP (days) was higher in cows calved during S₃ (129.05±9.95) followed by S₁ (128.03 ± 9.44) and lowest in S₂ (117.40 ± 8.67) . The present results indicated that in particular season of calving the DP in Holdeointerse crossbred was not deviated and showed the slightly differences among the seasons. More or less similar results were reported by Tivari et al. (1995) in Jersey x Sahiwal crossbred, Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal crossbred, Komatwar (2010) [5] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cow and Bhutkar et al. (2015) [1] in Holstein Friesian x Deoni crossbred cattle.

 Table 1: Dry period in Holdeointerse

Code	N	LSM±SE
μ	730	124.83±5.76
P_1	211	108.31 ^b ±9.95
P_2	124	139.44a±12.67
P ₃	144	129.88±11.68
P ₄	147	98.94 ^b ±11.68
P ₅	104	147.57 ^{a±} 13.90
S_1	232	128.03±9.44
S_2	292	117.40±8.67
S ₃	206	129.05±9.95
	$\begin{array}{c c} \mu & \\ P_1 & \\ P_2 & \\ P_3 & \\ P_4 & \\ P_5 & \\ S_1 & \\ S_2 & \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mu & 730 \\ P_1 & 211 \\ P_2 & 124 \\ P_3 & 144 \\ P_4 & 147 \\ P_5 & 104 \\ S_1 & 232 \\ S_2 & 292 \\ \end{array}$

Table 2: Analysis of variance for DP

Source	DF	SS	MSS	F value calculated
Period of calving	4	230647.08	57661.77	3.01**
Season of calving	2	21244.83	10622.41	0.56^{NS}

References

- 1. Bhutkar SS, Thombre BM, Bainwad DV. Production Traits in Holstein Friesian X Deoni Crossbred cow. Bioinfolet. 2015;12(1C):265-271.
- Bonde HS, Rotte SG, Lad SB. Production and Reproduction Performance of Various Genetic Groups of Deoni x Exotic Crosses. Annual Report of Research Work in Animal Science, MAU., Parbhani (MS). c1983.
- 3. Dubey PP, Singh CV. Estimates of Genetic and Phenotypic Parameters Considering First Lactation and Lifetime Performance Traits in Sahiwal and Crossbred Cattle. The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2005;75(11):1289-1294.

- 4. Jadhav KL, Tripathi VN, Taneja VK, Kale MM. Performance of Various Holstein Friesian x Sahiwal Grades for Lactation, Reproduction and Production Traits. Indian Journal of Dairy Science. 1991;44(4):209-216
- Komatwar SJ, Deshpande AD, Kulkarni MD, Kulkarni AP, Yadav GB, Ulemale AH. Study the Production Traits in Holstein Friesian X Sahiwal Crossbreds. Indian Journal of Animal Production and Management. 2010;26(4):177-181.
- Kramer CY. Extension of Multiple Range Test to Group Correlated Adjusted Mean. Biometrics. 1957;13(1):13-18
- 7. Patil CY. Study on Production and Reproduction Traits of Friesian x Deoni Crossbreds. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis Submitted to Marathwada Agriculture University, Parbhani (MS), c1983.
- 8. SAS (Statistical Analysis System). SAS version 9.1.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC. USA, c2002.
- 9. Singh CB, Bahadure JZ, Patel RK. Performance of Crossbred Cows in Rural Areas. Indian Dairyman. 1980a;32:104-105.
- 10. Singh G, Chillar RS, Patil RR, Prasad M, Prasad R. Note on the Performance of Crossbred (Friesian x Sahiwal) Cows at IARI, New Delhi. The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 1980^b;50:1126-1128.