



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(10): 2206-2208  
© 2023 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 03-08-2023

Accepted: 07-09-2023

**Sandeep Kumar Patel**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Anil Kumar**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Ompal Singh**  
Krishi Vigyan Kendra,  
Damoh, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Manoj Kumar Ahirwar**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Surbhi Patel**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Mithlesh Patel**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Dwarka**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Sandeep Kumar Patel**  
Eklavya University,  
School of Agriculture, Damoh,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

## Effect of PGRs application on growth parameters in black gram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) crop

**Sandeep Kumar Patel, Anil Kumar, Ompal Singh, Manoj Kumar Ahirwar, Surbhi Patel, Mithlesh Patel and Dwarka**

### Abstract

An experiment conducted at Experimental farm, Eklavya University, Damoh, Madhya Pradesh during *kharif* session 2022-23. At 40 DAS maximum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 1.60 was received from the treatment T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> while the minimum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 1.17 was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub> and 60 DAS maximum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 0.47 was received from the treatment T<sub>1</sub>. At 51-60 DAS maximum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.633 was received from the treatment T<sub>7</sub>, absolute growth rate ( $\text{g/day}$ ) 0.163 treatment T<sub>3</sub>, relative growth rate ( $\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ ) 0.203 treatment T<sub>2</sub>.

**Keywords:** Leaf area index, absolute growth rate, relative growth rate and leaf area index

### Introduction

Black gram is one of the important pulse crops grown throughout India. It is consumed in the form of 'dal' (whole or split, husked and un-husked) or perched. It holds ample amount of calorie contents 347 calories per 100 gm (Amruta *et al.*, 2016) [2]. Black gram is the most important legume crop and India alone produce more than two-third of the world's production (Saini and Jaiwal, 2002) [14] as food, feed and industrial raw material and ranks as the third important pulse crop in India (Selvakumar *et al.*, 2012) [15]. Total black gram production was 3280 thousand tonnes; of which percentage share in 13.48% during 2017-18 (Anonymous, 2017-18) [3]. It is 3<sup>rd</sup> important pulse crop, was cultivated over an area of 5.44 Mha (*Kharif + rabi*) and recorded a production of 3.56 MT at a productivity level of 655 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2018) [4]. As agriculture becomes more mechanized and science increases the possibilities for using inputs to enhance production, the role of plant growth regulators (PGRs) becomes more vital. Studies conducted in black gram and other legume crops have indicated the positive influence of some PGRs in increasing the productivity by strengthening source or sink. Application of NAA @ 30 ppm on 30 and 45 DAS and mepiquat chloride @ 120 ppm on 60 DAS recorded increased yield by 25 percent more than the control (Prakash *et al.*, 2003) [13]. Brassinolides (0.5 ppm), NAA (40 ppm), IAA (10 ppm), SA (50 ppm) and Kinetin (50 ppm) were able to improve the total chlorophyll content, nitrate reductase activity and soluble protein and thereby pod yield in soybean (Senthil, 2003) [16].

### Material and Methods

#### Treatment details

T<sub>1</sub>- 20 ppm NAA

T<sub>2</sub>- 30 ppm NAA

T<sub>3</sub>- 40 ppm NAA

T<sub>4</sub>- 20 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>

T<sub>5</sub>- 30 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>

T<sub>6</sub>- 40 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>

T<sub>7</sub>- 45 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>

T<sub>8</sub>- Control.

### Growth parameters

#### Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Since the crop yield is to be assessed per unit of ground area instead of per plant, the leaf area existing on unit ground area was proposed by Watson (1952) [17]. Leaf area index is the ratio of leaf area to ground area occupied by crop plant. It is calculated by using the formula given below.

$$\text{Leaf Area Index} = \frac{\text{Leaf area per plant (cm}^2\text{)}}{\text{Ground area per plant (cm}^2\text{)}}$$

### Net assimilation rate (NAR)

Net assimilation rate is expressed as increase in dry matter per unit leaf area per unit time. It is expressed in  $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ . The concept of NAR on the basis of leaf area was introduced by Gregory (1917).

$$\text{NAR} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times \frac{\log_e A_2 - \log_e A_1}{A_2 - A_1}$$

Where,

$W_1$ = total dry weight of plant in g at time  $t_1$ ;  $W_2$ = total dry weight of plant in g at time  $t_2$ ;  $A_1$ = leaf area per plant at time  $t_1$ ;  $A_2$ = leaf area per plant at time  $t_2$ ;  $t_1$ = initial time of observation;  $t_2$ = final time of observation.

### Absolute growth rate (AGR)

Absolute growth rate is the rate of increase of growth variable (W) and the time (t). It is measured as the differential coefficient of W with respect to time (t). AGR of growth variable viz. total dry matter per plant were worked out by formula and expressed as cm per day and g per day respectively.

$$\text{AGR for dry matter} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \text{ (g day per day)}$$

Where,

$W_2$  and  $W_1$  refer to height of plant (cm) and dry matter weight (g) per plant at  $t_2$  and  $t_1$  time, respectively.

### Relative growth rate (RGR) ( $\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ )

The relative rate of which plant incorporate the new material into its substance is measured by relative growth rate (RGR) of dry matter accumulation. It is worked out as per the formula given by Fisher (1921).

$$\text{RGR} = \frac{\log_e W_2 - \log_e W_1}{t_2 - t_1} \text{ (per g per day)}$$

Where,

$\log_e$  = Natural logarithm to the base ( $e = 2.3026$ )

$W_1$  = weight of dry matter at time  $t_1$

$W_2$  = weight of dry matter at time  $t_2$

$t_1$  = initial time of observation

$t_2$  = final time of observation

## Results and Discussion

### Growth parameters

#### Leaf Area Index ( $\text{cm}^2$ )

At 30 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 0.57 was received from the treatment  $T_8$  followed by 0.40 in the treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_7$ , while the minimum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 0.17 was recorded in treatment  $T_1$ . At 40 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly

maximum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 1.60 was received from the treatment  $T_3$ ,  $T_5$  followed by 1.57 in the treatments  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ , while the minimum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 1.17 was recorded in treatment  $T_7$ . At 50 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 1.77 was received from the treatment  $T_4$  followed by 1.70 in the treatments  $T_1$ ,  $T_5$ , while the minimum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 1.17 was recorded in treatment  $T_8$ . At 60 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 0.47 was received from the treatment  $T_1$  followed by 0.40 in the treatments  $T_3$ , while the minimum leaf area index ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) 0.20 was recorded in treatment  $T_4$ . Similar results were found in groundnut (Kaul, 1999 and Antony, 2000) <sup>[9,5]</sup>.

### Net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ )

At 30-40 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.553 was received from the treatment  $T_4$  followed by 0.550 in the treatments  $T_6$ , while the minimum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.410 was recorded in treatment  $T_3$ . At 41-50 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.873 was received from the treatment  $T_7$  followed by 0.733 in the treatments  $T_6$ , while the minimum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.550 was recorded in treatment  $T_3$ . At 51-60 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.633 was received from the treatment  $T_7$  followed by 0.503 in the treatments  $T_6$ , while the minimum net assimilation rate ( $\text{g dm}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ ) 0.337 was recorded in treatment  $T_1$ . Results are in confirmation with the findings made by Abraham *et al.*, (1987) <sup>[1]</sup> in green gram, Lakshamma and Rao (1996) <sup>[10]</sup>, More (1999) <sup>[11]</sup> in black gram and Senthil Kumar and Jayakumar (2004) <sup>[16]</sup> in green gram.

### Absolute growth rate (g/day)

At 30-40 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.453 was received from the treatment  $T_3$  followed by 0.267 in the treatments  $T_8$ , while the minimum absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.023 was recorded in treatment  $T_6$ . At 41-50 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.233 was received from the treatment  $T_2$  followed by 0.197 in the treatments  $T_3$ , while the minimum absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.040 was recorded in treatment  $T_5$ . At 51-60 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.163 was received from the treatment  $T_3$  followed by 0.083 in the treatments  $T_4$ , while the minimum absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.040 was recorded in treatment  $T_8$ . Results are in confirmation with the findings made by Abraham *et al.*, (1987) <sup>[1]</sup> in green gram, Lakshamma and Rao (1996) <sup>[10]</sup> and More (1999) <sup>[11]</sup> in black gram and Senthil Kumar and Jayakumar (2004) <sup>[16]</sup> in green gram.

**Table 1:** Different Growth parameters at various stages of black gram crop

Treatment	Leaf Area Index (cm <sup>2</sup> )			Net assimilation rate (g dm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> x10 <sup>-3</sup> )			Absolute growth rate (g/day)			Relative growth rate (g <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Days after sowing											
	30	40	50	60	41-50	51-60	30-40	41-50	51-60	30-40	41-50	51-60
T <sub>1</sub>	0.17	1.57	1.70	0.47	0.590	0.337	0.077	0.103	0.070	0.014	0.040	0.043
T <sub>2</sub>	0.40	1.57	1.67	0.27	0.593	0.433	0.027	0.233	0.070	0.010	0.010	0.203
T <sub>3</sub>	0.23	1.60	1.67	0.40	0.550	0.400	0.453	0.197	0.163	0.020	0.073	0.103
T <sub>4</sub>	0.20	1.57	1.77	0.20	0.553	0.467	0.197	0.153	0.083	0.037	0.047	0.080
T <sub>5</sub>	0.23	1.60	1.70	0.27	0.587	0.430	0.043	0.040	0.077	0.037	0.017	0.047
T <sub>6</sub>	0.30	1.53	1.60	0.23	0.733	0.503	0.023	0.077	0.053	0.013	0.023	0.023
T <sub>7</sub>	0.40	1.17	1.27	0.30	0.873	0.633	0.190	0.080	0.080	0.017	0.057	0.013
T <sub>8</sub>	0.57	1.20	1.17	0.37	0.650	0.493	0.267	0.113	0.040	0.023	0.083	0.020
SEm±	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03
CD at 5%	0.17	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.22	0.25	0.11	0.10	0.02	0.05	0.09

### Relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>)

At 30-40 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.037 was received from the treatment T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> followed by 0.023 in the treatments T<sub>8</sub>, while the minimum relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.010 was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub>. At 41-50 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.083 was received from the treatment T<sub>8</sub> followed by 0.073 in the treatments T<sub>3</sub>, while the minimum relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.010 was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub>. At 51-60 DAS of black gram were differed significantly to each other. The significantly maximum relative growth rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.203 was received from the treatment T<sub>2</sub> followed by 0.103 in the treatments T<sub>3</sub>, while the minimum relative growth rate (g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.013 was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. Similarly, More (1999) [11], Jadhav (2000) [8], Patil (2000) also reported that the foliar application of NAA and GA<sub>3</sub> significantly increased the mean RGR in black gram.

### Conclusion

Among 30 DAS recorded the maximum leaf area index (cm<sup>2</sup>) 0.57 was received from the treatment T<sub>8</sub> while the minimum leaf area index (cm<sup>2</sup>) 0.17 was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> and 60 DAS maximum leaf area index (cm<sup>2</sup>) 0.47 was received from the treatment T<sub>1</sub> while the minimum leaf area index (cm<sup>2</sup>) 0.20 was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub>. The net assimilation rate on 30-40 DAS recorded the maximum (g dm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> x10<sup>-3</sup>) 0.553 treatment (T<sub>4</sub>), absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.453 treatment (T<sub>3</sub>), relative growth rate (g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.037 treatment (T<sub>4</sub> & T<sub>5</sub>). At 51-60 DAS maximum net assimilation rate (g dm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> x10<sup>-3</sup>) 0.633 treatment (T<sub>7</sub>), absolute growth rate (g/day) 0.163 treatment (T<sub>3</sub>), relative growth rate (g<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 0.203 treatment (T<sub>2</sub>).

### References

1. Abraham AT, Sumbai DL, Mercy ST. Hormonal influence on crop performance in green gram. Leg. Res. 1987;10(1):49-52.
2. Amruta N, Devaraju PJ, Mangalagowri SP, Ranjitha HP, Teli K. Effect of integrated nutrient management and spacing on seed quality parameters of black gram cv. Lbg-625 (Rashmi). Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2016;8(1):340-345.
3. Anonymous. Directorate of Economics and Statistics (DES): Based on 3<sup>rd</sup> Advance Estimates for 2017-18. Commodity Profile for Pulses; c2017-18.
4. Anonymous. Pulses revolution from food to nutritional

security; c2018. <https://farmer.gov.in/SucessReport2018-19.pdf>.

5. Antony. Groundnut Research in India. (ed) Basu MS and Singh NB. National Research Centre for Groundnut. ICAR, PBS, Junagadh, Gujarat; c2000.
6. Fisher. Some remarks on the methods formulated in recent article on the quantitative analysis of plant growth. Annl. Appld. Biol. 7: 367- 372 (C.F. Plant Physiology V.A.: 3-17 Edited by F.C. Steward, 1969). Academic Press: New York; c1921.
7. Gregory LG. The effect of climatic conditions on the growth of barley. Ann. Bot. 1917;40:1-26.
8. Jadhav BP. Influence of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of soybean genotypes. M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis (unpub.), MPKV, Rahuri; c2000.
9. Kaul JN. Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) genotypes to planting geometry under sub-tropical conditions. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1999;69(6):458-460.
10. Lakshamma P, Rao IVS. Response of black gram (*Vigna mungo*) to shade and naphthalene acetic acid (NAA). Indian J Pl.Phyl (J):63-6A; c1996.
11. More VV. Effect of sowing dates and NAA on yield and yield components in blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, MAU, Parbhani; c1999.
12. Patil SA. Effect of sowing dates-and NAA on yield and yield components of green gram. M.Sc. Agri. Thesis submitted to MAU, Parbhani; c2000.
13. Prakash M, Saravanan K, Sunilkumar B, Ganesan J. Effect of Brassinosteroids on certain biochemical parameters in groundnut. Indian J Pl. Physiol. 2003;8:313-315.
14. Saini R, Jaiwal PK. Age, position in mother seedling, orientation, and polarity of the epicotyl segments of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) determines its morphogenic response. Plant Science. 2002;163(1):101-109.
15. Selvakumar G, Reetha S, Thamizhiniyan P. Response of Biofertilizers on Growth, Yield Attributes and Associated Protein Profiling Changes of Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). World Appl. Sci. J. 2012;16(10):1368-1374.
16. Senthil Kumar N, Jayakumar R. Effect of plant growth regulators on yield and quality of green gram under graded levels of nitrogen. Madras agric. J. 2004;91(1-3):92-95.
17. Watson DJ. The physiological basis of variation in yield. Advan. Agron. Edited by Norman A.G. 1952;4:101-145..