www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(10): 1520-1523 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 01-07-2023 Accepted: 06-08-2023

Divya Patel

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

BK Davda

Main Sorghum Research Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Athwa Farm, Surat, Gujarat, India

KG Modha

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: Divya Patel Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Induction of genetic variability through mutagenesis in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]

Divya Patel, BK Davda and KG Modha

Abstract

The 'Wani Local' sorghum genotype was exploited to create a mutation by gamma radiation. The experimental material included several gamma radiation dosages viz., 100 Gy, 200 Gy, 300 Gy, 350 Gy, 400 Gy and 500 Gy as well as control seeds. Individual plants were selfed, harvested and recorded for putative mutations that might have been present in the M₁ generations. During *rabi* 2022-23, the M₂ generation, which comprised total of 120 mutant progenies from six different treatments, was assessed using compact family block design with three replications. Among M₂ generation, genetic variability was significant for yield and yield contributing traits. In most of the treatments, relative higher mean performance was seen as compared to control for all traits, with the exception of days to 50% flowering, days to maturity and panicle length. For the majority of the characters in the segregating M₂ generation, the GCV and PCV values revealed significant variability. High GCV, PCV, heritability and GAM values were found for grain yield per plant, panicle weight, straw yield per plant and harvest index.

Keywords: Sorghum, gamma rays, mutation, genetic variability, heritability

1. Introduction

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is the fifth most significant cereal in the world in terms of area and production. It can be cultivated for its grain (grain sorghum), its sweet sap (sweet sorghum) or as a fodder (forage sorghum). It is an often cross-pollinated, diploid (2n = 2x = 20) plant that is a member of the Poaceae family. The primary jowar producing states in the nation include Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh (Bundelkhand region) and Tamil Nadu.

Mutation breeding has played a beneficial role in sustainable agriculture, since it is a complementary strategy for crop development that promotes unselected genetic variability for useful breeding application. Due of the extremely low frequency of natural mutation, induced mutations are employed to increase genetic variability for both quantitative and qualitative parameters. Among many physical mutagens, including beta radiation, fast neutrons, thermal neutrons and X-rays. It is widely recognised that gamma rays in particular have an impact on plant growth and development by causing cytological, physiological and morphological changes in cells and tissues (Thapa, 2004) ^[17]. Physical mutagens, like gamma rays, are powerful and effective with shorter wavelength and higher energy per photon as compared to X-rays, which penetrate the surface and cause ionisation. Genetic variability for economic characteristics is required for every successful breeding programme since the degree of responsiveness to selection is proportional to the magnitude of variability.

The Ponk (hurda) sorghum is a new and more remunerative segment of sorghum. The Wani local is mainly cultivated in Gujarat state for the production of tender grain which is also known as "Andhali Wani" due to characteristic of very small opening of flower and grains are mostly covered by glume. The variability present in this segment is very low. Under these circumstances, creating variability through induced mutation will add more scope for improvement in *Ponk* sorghum. The primary focus of the current research on the inducing genetic variability in sorghum (Wani Local) through gamma radiation.

2. Materials and Method

The current investigation was conducted at the Main Sorghum Research Station (MSRS), NAU, Athwa farm, Surat, Gujarat during *rabi* 2022-23. Seeds of sorghum genotype *Wani* local were irradiated with gamma rays at BARC, Trombay, Mumbai at dosages of 100 Gy, 200 Gy, 300 Gy, 350 Gy, 400 Gy and 500 Gy and sown as M_1 generation along with control seeds during *rabi* 2021-22.

Dominant mutations observed in the M₁ generations were recorded and individual plants were selfed, harvested, and used to raise the M₂ generation during rabi 2022-23. A total of 120 mutant progenies from the M₂ generation were assessed in a compact family block design with six treatments and three replications. The observations were recorded on days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm), panicle weight (g), 100 grain weight (g), grain and straw yield per plant (g) and harvest index (%). The standard statistical approach for the compact family block design (CFBD) described by Panse and Sukhatame (1978) [11] was used to analyse the variance for differences between and within families. According to the method recommended by Burton (1952)^[4] and Allard (1960)^[1], the variability parameters, genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV), heritability and genetic advance were estimated.

3. Results and Discussion

In results, for all of the traits under study, the analysis of variance indicated significant differences between the families and progenies, demonstrating the presence of considerable amounts of genetic variability in the experimental materials (Table 1). For all nine of the traits under consideration, the mean sums of squares due to progenies within families were found to be highly significant, demonstrating that progenies within the family differ from one another. Anand and Kajjidoni (2014) ^[3], Ambli and Mullainathan (2015) ^[14], Htun *et al.* (2015) ^[14], Kham *et al.* (2015) ^[8], Suthakar and Mullainathan (2015) ^[14] and Takele *et al.* (2021) ^[15] have all observed such variation for yield and yield attributing traits.

The outcome of the variability parameters is shown in (Table 2). Mean values showed a wide range for the days to 50% flowering (57-74), days to maturity (106-125), plant height (149.45-254.73 cm), panicle length (19.57-38.24 cm), panicle weight (30.57-95.86 g), 100 grain weight (2.80-4.13 g), grain yield per plant (16.83-60.53 g), straw yield per plant (49.19-115.56 g) and harvest index (18.19-51.53%). For each of the nine characters in the M₂ generation of sorghum, the genetic components; genotypic variance, phenotypic variance, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of mean (GAM) were calculated using the proper statistical formulas. The results are presented in Table 2.

In the present study, phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was found to be higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters with extremely minor differences, indicating a substantial genetic influence. Anand and Kajiidoni (2014) ^[3], Kham *et al.* (2015) ^[8], Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13], Htun *et al.* (2015) ^[6] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16] were in agreement with these findings. GCV and PCV values for grain yield per plant were higher (26.34% and 31.69%, respectively), indicating greater variability across progenies for this character and greater possibility for improving this character by selection in the appropriate direction. Additionally, the progeny showed higher GCV and PCV values for the panicle weight (37.93% and 38.79%), straw yield per plant (19.82% and 22.79%) and harvest index (22.33% and 36.36%).

The other variables such as plant height (12.39% and 13.07%), panicle length (12.00% and 13.49%) and 100 grain weight (12.60% and 13.48%) all exhibited moderate GCV and

PCV values respectively. This suggests that the level of variability and the odds of genetic improvement are moderate. The characters days to 50% flowering (3.92% and 4.39%) and days to maturity (2.52% and 2.87%) had low GCV and PCV levels. Low PCV values with marginally low GCV values in these traits suggested a limited level of variability in the materials and a low likelihood of genetic improvement.

Similar results were found for grain yield per plant by Muduli and Misra (2008) ^[10], Anand and Kajjidoni (2014) ^[3] and Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13]; for days to flowering and days to maturity by Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16]; for plant height by Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13]; for panicle length by Raghavendra *et al.* (2023) ^[12]; for 100 grain weight by Kham *et al.* (2015) ^[8] and Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13]; for panicle weight, straw yield per plant and harvest index by Raghavendra *et al.* (2023) ^[12] and Zinzala *et al.* (2018) ^[18].

High heritability was observed for days to 50% flowering (79.68%), days to maturity (76.94%), plant height (89.97%), panicle length (78.98%), panicle weight (95.63%), 100 grain weight (87.27%), grain yield per plant (69.13%), straw yield per plant (75.60%) and harvest index (71.77%). This suggests that the genotypic effect of phenotypes may be well measured and that selection may be effectively used to enhance these traits. Similar findings for plant height, days to flowering and days to maturity were also noted by Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16]; for panicle length, Jayaramachandran *et al.* (2010) ^[7] and Anand and Kajjidoni (2014) ^[3]; for grain yield per plant by Kham *et al.* (2015) ^[8], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2010) ^[7], Shivaprasad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2011) ^[16].

The characters *viz.*, plant height (24.22%), panicle length (21.96%), panicle weight (76.42%), 100 grain weight (24.24%), grain yield per plant (45.12%), straw yield per plant (35.50%) and harvest index (38.97%) all showed high genetic advance as percent of the mean. Supporting results were observed by Muduli and Misra (2008) ^[10], Anand and Kajjidoni (2014) ^[3], Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16]. The traits with low estimates of genetic advance as a percent of mean were days to 50% flowering (7.22%) and days to maturity (4.54%). Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16] discovered similar results for these traits.

In predicting selection response, heritability combined with genetic advance as percent of mean is more valuable than heritability alone. Plant height, panicle length, panicle weight, 100 grain weight, grain yield per plant, straw yield per plant and harvest index all these traits showed high heritability and high genetic advance as percent of the mean, which indicates the role of additive gene effects and least effects of environmental factors on the expression of the traits. Thus, simple phenotypic selection offers a greater potential for improving these traits. Muduli and Misra (2008) ^[10], Anand and Kajjidoni (2014) ^[3], Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16] all found comparable results for these traits.

High heritability coupled with low genetic advance was observed for days to 50% flowering and days to maturity indicating non additive gene action. So, these traits cannot be improved through simple selection. Similar results were also observed by Shivaprashad *et al.* (2019) ^[13] and Thange *et al.* (2021) ^[16] for days to 50% flowering and days to maturity.

		Mean sum of squares								
Source of variance	df	DFF	DM	PH (cm)	PL (cm)	PW (g)	100 GW (g)	GYPP (g)	SYPP (g)	HI (%)
Replication (r)	2	1.67	3.41	9.84	10.63	91.66	0.04	46.11	141.01	1.51
Families (f)	5	1134.57*	1347.67*	10078.39*	197.19*	1211.18*	0.24*	565.65*	814.80*	129.32*
Progenies within families (p)	114	7.42*	9.54*	698.16*	14.68*	535.86*	0.18*	114.70*	298.51*	55.76*
Where, $d.f = Degrees$ of freedom, $* = Significant$ at 5% level										

Table 1: Analysis of variance for various traits in M2 generation of sorghum

DFF= Days to 50% Flowering DM= Days to Maturity, PH= Plant Height PL= Panicle Length PW= Panicle Weight, 100 GW= 100 Grain Weight, GYPP= Grain Yield Per Plant SYPP= Straw Yield Per Plant, HI= Harvest Index

Table 2: Genetic variability parameters for yield and yield contributing traits in M₂ generation of sorghum over all families

Characters	Range		Maan	OV(0/)	DV (0/)	GCV (%)	DCV (9/)	h^2 (0/)	CAM (9/)
	Min.	Max.	Mean	GV (%)	PV (%)	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h^{2}_{bs} (%)	GAM (%)
Days to 50% flowering	57.00	74.00	66.67	6.84	8.58	3.92	4.39	79.68	7.22
Days to maturity	106.00	125.00	117.13	8.67	11.27	2.52	2.87	76.94	4.54
Plant height (cm)	149.45	254.73	209.32	673.16	748.17	12.39	13.07	89.97	24.22
Panicle length (cm)	19.57	38.24	30.61	13.45	17.08	12.00	13.49	78.98	21.96
Panicle weight (g)	30.57	95.86	60.56	527.83	551.90	37.93	38.79	95.63	76.42
100 grain weight (g)	2.80	4.13	3.33	0.18	0.20	12.60	13.48	87.27	24.24
Grain yield/plant (g)	16.83	60.53	37.92	99.83	144.42	26.34	31.69	69.13	45.12
Straw yield/plant (g)	49.19	115.56	82.82	269.52	356.47	19.82	22.79	75.60	35.50
Harvest index (%)	18.29	51.53	31.44	49.29	68.68	22.33	36.35	71.77	38.97

 $GV = Genotypic variance (\sigma_g^2) (\%), GCV = Genotypic coefficient of variation (\%)$

PV = phenotypic variance (σ^2_p) (%), PCV = Phenotypic coefficient of variation (%)

 h_{bs}^2 = Heritability (Broad sense) (%), GAM = Genetic Advance as per cent of mean (%)

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Nuclear Agriculture and Biotechnology Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), Mumbai, India for Gamma ray irradiation of sorghum seeds. The author also honestly thankful to Major guide, Dr. B. K. Davda (Associate Research Scientist) and other advisory committee members, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N. M. College of Agriculture, N.A.U., Navsari for their guidance and valuable suggestions. The authors also acknowledge all technical staff who assisted their help in successful completion of work.

References

- 1. Allard RW. Principles of plant breeding. John Willey and Sons. Inc., New York; c1960. p. 485.
- Ambli K, Mullainathan L. Chlorophyll and morphological mutants of Pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides* (Burn.) stapf. Var. CO(cu)9. European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2015;5(3):72-77.
- 3. Anand Y, Kajidoni ST. Genetic enhancement of grain size and other productivity related traits through induced variability in kharif sorghum. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;27(2):121-124.
- 4. Burton GW, De Vane. Estimation of heritability in tall Festuca (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. Agronomy Journal. 1953;45:478-479.
- 5. De Vries H. A new principle in the mechanism of nuclear division. Science. 1910;32(814):182-183.
- Htun KW, Min M, Win NC. Evolution of genetic variability for agronomic trait in M₂ generation of sorghum through induced mutation. International Journal of Technical Research and Applications. 2015;3(6):145-149.
- 7. Jayaramachandran M, Kumaravadivel N, Eapen S, Kandasamy G. Gene action for yield attributing characters in segregating generation (M₂) of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Electronic Journal of

Plant Breeding. 2010;1(4):802-805.

- 8. Kham NH, Win NC, Minn M. Study on the variability of induced mutation for improvement of local cultivar sorghum (Shweni-15). International Journal of Technical Research and Applications. 2015;3(6):139-144.
- 9. Mann JA, Kimber CT, Miller FR. The origin and early cultivation of Sorghums in Africa. Texas Agricultural Experimental Station, Texas, USA; c1983. p. 8.
- Muduli KC, Misra RC. Induced polygenic variability in M₂ generation and its relationship with production of high-yielding mutants in finger millet. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2008;68(4):419-425.
- 11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR Publication, New Delhi, India; c1978. p. 87-89.
- Raghavendra VC, Girish G, Ashok MB, Tembhurne BV, Yogeesh LN, Govindappa MR. Genetic spectrum of variation and diversity for yield and yield attributing traits in mutagenized population of sorghum *[Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench]. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(6):102-112.
- Shivaprasad T, Girish G, Ashok B, Muniswamy S, Yogesh LN, Ganapathi TR. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient studies in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] mutants. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2019;10(4):1383-1389.
- Suthakar V, Mullainathan L. Studies on effect of physical and chemical mutagens in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] in M₁ generation. International Letters of Natural Sciences. 2015;37(9):46-50.
- 15. Takele A, Feyisa T, Disasa T. Development of sorghum mutant genotypes and analysis of their agromorphological diversity; c2021. Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-457881/v1
- 16. Thange VB, Bagade AB, Kalpande HV. Genetic variability studies in mutant PVK 801 genotype of sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Journal of

The Pharma Innovation Journal

Pharmacognosy & Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):784-786.

- 17. Thapa CB. Effect of acute exposure of gamma rays on seed germination and seedling growth of *Pinus kesiya* Gord and *P. wallichiana* AB Jacks. Our Nature. 2004;2(1):13-17.
- Zinzala S, Davda BK, Modha KG, Pathak VD. Studies on variation, correlation and path coefficient analysis in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2018;10(19):7285-7287.