www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(10): 1891-1898 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 22-07-2023 Accepted: 26-09-2023

Gandham Aditya

College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

V Vijaya Bhaskar

College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

K Swarajya Lakshmi College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

M Siva Prasad College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

VV Padmaja College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

Lalitha Kadiri College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Gandham Aditya College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U, Anantharajupeta, Rly. Kodur, Mandal, Andhra Pradesh, India

Influence of hydrogels, calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) on growth parameters of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2' during hot summer conditions

Gandham Aditya, V Vijaya Bhaskar, K Swarajya Lakshmi, M Siva Prasad, VV Padmaja and Lalitha Kadiri

Abstract

Marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) is a popular ornamental flower known for its vibrant colors and pleasant fragrance. To enhance its growth and productivity, various agricultural inputs like hydrogels, calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) have been employed in this study. The present research investigates the impact of these treatments on growth parameters such as plant height, plant spread, number of leaves and branches, main stem diameter, total dry matter, and total leaf area in marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2' over a two-year experimentation period (2019-2021) at College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta, Annamayya district of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment consisted of 12 treatments, laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications under open field condition. Significant variations were observed among different treatments with respect to vegetative growth. Among the results, number of leaves per plant (2380.1, 2618.1 and 2499.1), number of branches per plant (103.67, 98.33 and 101.00), total dry matter (123.67, 128.67 and 126.17 g plant⁻¹) and leaf area (27750.5, 30524.6 and 29137.5 cm²) recorded were significantly maximum with H1N2S1 treatment during the two years and pooled data respectively. While maximum plant height (76.53, 77.37 and 76.95 cm) and plant spread (3884.3, 3962.0 and 3923.2 cm²) was observed with H₁N₁S₁ treatment during the two years and pooled data respectively. However maximum diameter of main stem (2.17, 2.19 and 2.18 cm) was registered with H1N1S2 treatment during the two years and pooled data respectively.

Keywords: Marigold, calcium ammonium nitrate, sodium nitroprusside, hydrogels, growth parameters

Introduction

Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) is a widely cultivated ornamental and medicinal plant known for its vibrant colors and potential health benefits. Its popularity in horticulture is attributed to its aesthetic appeal, ease of cultivation, and various uses in traditional medicine. The plant's numerous bioactive compounds, including flavonoids, carotenoids, and essential oils, have been reported to possess antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties, making it a valuable resource in traditional medicine practices across different cultures.

As marigold gains prominence for its versatile applications, there is a growing demand to enhance its growth and development to maximize its horticultural potential. Achieving optimal growth parameters, such as plant height, spread, leaf number, and biomass accumulation, is crucial for producing visually appealing and commercially valuable marigold plants. Moreover, promoting the growth of marigold can lead to increased flower yield, extending its usage in the ornamental industry and medicinal preparations. The total area dedicated to marigold cultivation in India covers approximately 73.15 thousand hectares, resulting in a substantial production of 728.53 thousand metric tons. In the state of Andhra Pradesh, marigold is cultivated over an area of around 6,370 hectares, yielding a total production of 76,420 metric tons. (NHB, 2021-22 advance estimates).

One promising approach to improve plant growth and development is the use of hydrogels. Hydrogels are three-dimensional, hydrophilic, polymeric materials capable of absorbing and retaining large amounts of water and nutrients. They have been widely utilized in agriculture and horticulture to enhance water availability and nutrient uptake for plants. By effectively storing water and releasing it gradually to the roots, hydrogels can mitigate the adverse effects of water scarcity and improve plant resilience to drought conditions.

Furthermore, hydrogels can create a favorable microenvironment around the root zone, promoting root growth and overall plant development.

In addition to hydrogel application, the use of specific plant growth promoters can further enhance the growth and performance of marigold. Calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) is a nitrogenous fertilizer that not only provides essential nutrients but also plays a vital role in signaling pathways and physiological processes in plants. The application of CAN can stimulate root and shoot growth, improve nutrient assimilation, and increase the plant's ability to withstand environmental stresses.

Another plant growth promoter, sodium nitroprusside (SNP), has been recognized for its positive effects on plant growth and development. SNP acts as a nitric oxide donor, a signaling molecule that regulates various physiological processes, including seed germination, root development, flowering, and stress responses. By facilitating nitric oxide production, SNP can enhance nutrient uptake efficiency and modulate plant metabolism, leading to improved growth and stress tolerance.

Considering the potential benefits of hydrogels and plant growth promoters on plant growth, this study aims to evaluate their effects on various growth parameters of marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2.' The specific objectives are to assess the impact of hydrogel application, calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) treatment, and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) treatment on plant height, spread, leaf and branch numbers, main stem diameter, total dry matter accumulation, and total leaf area of marigold plants. The results of this research will provide valuable insights into optimizing cultivation practices and promoting the horticultural potential of marigold, contributing to its commercial and medicinal significance.

Material and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at college of horticulture, Anantharajupeta, Annamayya district of Andhra Pradesh during the two year period 2019-21. The experimental area had red soil and loam in texture with moderate fertility. In the experimentation, there were about 12 treatments consisting of 2 levels of hydrogels viz., H₁ (Pusa Hydrogel (1.25 g m⁻²)), H₂ (Zeba (1.25 g m⁻²)), 3 levels of Calcium Ammonium Nitrate viz., N1 (100% of RDN through CAN), N₂ (75% of RDN through CAN), N₃ (50% of RDN through CAN), 2 levels of Sodium Nitro Prusside spray intervals viz., S1 (SNP @ 100µM sprayed at 7 days interval), S₂ (SNP @ 100 µM sprayed at 14 days interval). A recommended dose of FYM and vermicompost were also applied to all the treatments uniformly along with 200 kg single super phosphate and 100 kg murate of potash. The experiment was laid-out in f-Randomized Block Design with three replications. The plot size is 1 x 7.5 m². Spacing adopted was 45 cm between the rows and 30 cm in plants within a row. The treatment details are as follows

The treatment	details are a	as follows
---------------	---------------	------------

$T_1 - H_1 N_1 S_1$:	Pusa Hydrogel + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
$T_2 - H_1 N_2 S_1$:	Pusa Hydrogel + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
$T_3 - H_1N_3S_1$:	Pusa Hydrogel + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
$T_4 - H_2N_1S_1$:	Zeba + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
$T_5 - H_2N_2S_1$:	Zeba + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
$T_6 - H_2 N_3 S_1$:	Zeba + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
$T_7 - H_1 N_1 S_2$:	Pusa Hydrogel + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
$T_8 - H_1 N_2 S_2$:	Pusa Hydrogel + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
$T_9 - H_1 N_3 S_2$:	Pusa Hydrogel + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
$T_{10} - H_2 N_1 S_2$:	Zeba + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
$T_{11} - H_2 N_2 S_2$:	Zeba + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
$T_{12} - H_2N_3S_2$:	Zeba + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval

*RDN (Recommended dose of nitrogen) is applied through Calcium Ammonium Nitrate

The straight fertilizers viz., Calcium Ammonium Nitrate, Single Super Phosphate and Muriate of Potash were used as the source of N, P2O5 and K2O respectively. Just before planting, half the dose of nitrogenous fertilizer in the form of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) was applied for all the spots of planting as per treatment details. Half the dose of potassium in the form of muriate of potash @ 100 kg ha⁻¹ was commonly applied to all the treatments. Remaining half the dose of nitrogenous fertilizer in the form of calcium ammonium nitrate as per the treatment details and remaining half the dose of potassium, common to all the treatments in the form of muriate of potash @ 100 kg ha-1 were applied after 35 days of transplantation of marigold. Five plants were selected per each plot at random and were labeled properly for recording observations. The results of growth parameters were recorded as per the standard procedures outlined by different research workers. The data collected on each character was subjected to statistical analysis by ANOVA technique as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985)^[1]. The treatment means were compared by using the least significant difference values calculated at 5 per cent level of significance.

Results and Discussion

The data pertaining to total plant height and total plant spread was presented in table 1 & 2 respectively. The data were found significant with respect to the individual effects but no significant effects were found with respect to interaction effects of hydrogels, CAN levels and SNP intervals. Significantly lowest plant height (67.98, 68.59 and 68.29 cm respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and plant spread (3176.3, 3239.8 and 3208.1 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the application of Zeba granules, whereas, application of Pusa hydrogel has recorded significantly highest plant height (69.79, 70.53 and 70.16 cm respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and plant spread (3277.7, 3343.3 and 3310.5 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean). Significantly lowest plant height (65.03, 65.79 and 65.41 cm respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and plant spread (2855.2, 2912.3 and 2883.8 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the application of 50% RDN through CAN, whereas, application of 100% RDN through CAN has recorded significantly highest plant height (72.31, 72.97 and 72.64 cm respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and plant spread (3604.4, 3676.5 and 3640.5 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean). Significantly lowest plant height (67.40, 68.11 and 67.76 cm respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and plant spread (3103.6, 3165.6 and 3134.6 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the spraying of SNP @ 14 days interval, whereas, spraying of SNP @ 7 days interval has recorded significantly highest plant height (70.37, 72.97 and 72.64 cm respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and plant spread (3350.5, 3417.5 and 3384.0 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean). Among the combination of doses, spraying of SNP @ 14 days interval and application of 50% RDN through CAN recorded significantly lowest plant spread (2767.2, 2822.6 and 2794.9 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), whereas, spraying of SNP @ 7 days interval and application of 100% RDN through CAN recorded significantly highest plant spread (3839.1, 3915.9 and 3877.5 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Pusa hydrogel's superior performance can be attributed to its efficient water retention and gradual release, ensuring a consistent moisture supply that enhances nutrient absorption and metabolic activities. This leads to increased cell division, expansion, and greater plant height and spread. Similar observations were reported in various crops, including cucumber (Al-Harbi et al., 1999)^[2], tomato (Sendur et al., 2001) ^[3], soybean (Sivalapan, 2006; Sharma et al., 2014; Sureshrao et al., 2016)^[4, 5, 6], maize (Brar et al., 2001)^[7], and castor (Naik et al., 2020)^[8]. The optimal nitrogen dose (100% CAN) supports vegetative growth by aiding protein synthesis and cell development. The addition of calcium from Ca(NO₃)₂ further promotes plant growth, similar to findings in African marigold (Shinde et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2015)^[9, 10] and annual chrysanthemum (Chopde et al., 2015) [11]. Frequent Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) spraying enhances heat stress resistance through proline accumulation and improved photosynthesis, leading to increased lateral branching and overall plant spread. Similar results were observed in tomato (Siddiqui et al., 2017)^[12], chickpea (Chohan et al., 2012)^[13], oriental lily (Meng-Wang et al., 2015)^[14], groundnut (Kong et al., 2014) ^[15], and rubber (Nayanakantha et al., 2014) ^[16]. In conclusion, Pusa hydrogel, 100% CAN, and weekly SNP spraying promote greater plant height and spread in marigold under hot summer conditions.

The data pertaining to total number of leaves per plant, branches per plant, total dry matter content and total leaf area were presented in table 3 to 6 respectively. The data were found significant with respect to the individual effects and interaction effects of hydrogels, CAN levels and SNP intervals. Significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (966.1, 1062.7 and 1014.4 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (67.11, 62.94 and 65.03 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean). total dry matter content (76.28, 79.33 and 77.81 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (7193.5, 7913.1 and 7553.3 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the application of Zeba granules, whereas, application of Pusa hydrogel has recorded significantly highest number of leaves per plant (1359.6, 1495.5 and

1427.5 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (80.00, 75.94 and 77.97 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (93.00, 96.78 and 94.89 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (13233.0, 14556.5 and 13894.8 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (715.7, 787.2 and 751.5 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (62.92, 59.17 and 61.04 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (65.75, 68.42 and 67.08 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (4597.3, 5057.1 and 4827.2 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the application of 50% RDN through CAN, whereas, application of 75% RDN through CAN has recorded significantly highest number of leaves per plant (1577.3, 1735.1 and 1656.2 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (82.25, 77.42 and 79.83 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (94.17, 98.00 and 96.08 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (15666.4, 17233.3 and 16449.9 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (1085.2, 1193.7 and 1139.4 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (71.11, 66.89 and 69.00 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (80.06, 83.28 and 81.67 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (9135.6, 10049.4 and 9592.5 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the spraying of SNP @ 14 days interval, whereas, spraying of SNP @ 7 days interval has recorded significantly highest number of leaves per plant (1240.5, 1364.5 and 1302.5 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (76.00, 72.00 and 74.00 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (89.22, 92.83 and 91.03 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (11291.0, 12420.1 and 11855.6 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Among the combination of doses, significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (680.2, 748.2 and 714.3 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (62.00, 57.67 and 59.83 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (62.67, 65.17 and 63.92 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (4226.0, 4648.7 and 4437.3 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the combination application of Zeba granules and application of 50% RDN, whereas, application of Pusa hydrogel and application of 100% RDN has recorded significantly highest number of leaves per plant (2102.7, 2312.9 and 2207.8 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (96.67, 91.50 and 94.08 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (111.17, 115.67 and 101.00 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (23558.5, 25914.2 and 24736.4 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Significantly highest number of leaves per plant (1478.1, 1625.9 and 1552.0 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (84.22, 80.22 and 82.22 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (98.89, 102.89 and 100.89 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (14844.5, 16328.8 and 15586.6 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the combination application of pusa hydrogel and spraying of SNP @ 7 days interval, whereas, application of zeba and spraying of SNP @ 14 days interval has recorded significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (929.3, 1022.3 and 975.8 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (66.44, 62.11 and 64.28 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (73.00, 75.89 and 74.44 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (6649.6, 7314.7 and 6982.1 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Significantly highest number of leaves per plant (1737.1, 1910.8 and 1824.0 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (86.00, 81.33 and 83.67 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (102.17, 106.33 and 104.25 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (17954.9, 19750.1 and 18852.5 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the combination spraying of SNP @ 7 days interval and application of 75% RDN through CAN, whereas, the combination spraying of SNP @ 14 days interval and application of 50% RDN through CAN has recorded significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (669.1, 736.0 and 702.5 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (62.17, 58.17 and 60.17 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (62.00, 64.50 and 63.25 g $plant^{-1}$ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (4098.1, 4507.9 and 4303.0 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

Significantly highest number of leaves per plant (2380.1, 2618.1 and 2499.1 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (103.67, 98.33 and 101.0 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (123.67, 128.67 and 126.17 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (27750.5, 30524.6 and 29137.5 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) was observed with the application of 75% RDN through CAN, application of pusa hydrogel and spraying of SNP @ 7 days interval, whereas, the application of 50% RDN through CAN, application of zeba and spraying of SNP @ 14 days interval has recorded significantly lowest number of leaves per plant (656.1, 721.7 and 688.9 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), number of branches per plant (61.33, 56.67 and 59.00 respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean), total dry matter content (58.67, 61.00 and 59.83 g plant⁻¹ respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean) and total leaf area (3774.5, 4151.8 and 3963.2 cm² respectively during 2020, 2021 and pooled data mean).

A combination of Pusa hydrogel applied to the soil, 75% nitrogen as Calcium Ammonium Nitrate (CAN), and foliar Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) spraying at either 7-day or weekly intervals proves effective in enhancing marigold growth, development, and stress tolerance. Pusa hydrogel enhances root moisture, stimulating cell division, expansion, and branching, resulting in more leaves and branches. The 75% CAN with Pusa hydrogel meets the plant's nitrogen and calcium needs. SNP foliar application bolsters the plant's defense against summer heat, boosting leaf area and overall dry matter content. These findings align with previous studies in various crops, including pine (Huttermann et al., 1999¹⁷), soybean (Yazdani et al., 2007) [18], coleus (Dawlatzai et al., 2017) ^[19], strawberry (Singh et al., 2020) ^[20], rose (Mehdi et *al.*, 2015) ^[21], African marigold (Aparna, 2019) ^[22], mungbean (Kaur et al., 2006) ^[23], hot pepper (Ghaname et al., 2009) ^[24], potato (Palta, 1996)^[25], sunflower (Neiadalimoradi et al., 2014) [26].

							-	To	tal plant	height	(cm)							
Treatments		Fi	rst year	: (2019-	20)			Se	cond yea	ar (2020	-21)			F	Pooled d	lata me	an	
	N	N1	N_2	N3	I	Mean	N ₁	N1 N2		N3	N ₃ Mean		N_1		N_2	N3	I	Mean
H_1	73	.62	69.80	65.9	5 (69.79	74.3	3	70.48	66.73	8 ′	70.53	73.9	3 7	70.14	66.3	7	70.16
H_2	71	.00	68.83	64.12	2 (67.98	71.6	0	69.38	64.8	0 0	58.59	71.3) (59.11	64.4	6	68.29
Mean	72	.31	69.32	65.0	3		72.9	7	69.93	65.7	9		72.64	4 6	59.63	65.4	1	
Treatments	S	51	S	\mathbf{S}_2	M	ean	S	1	5	\mathbf{S}_2	M	ean	S	1	5	\mathbf{S}_2	M	ean
H_1	71	.50	68	.08	69	.79	72	.23	68	.83	70	.53	71	.87	68	.46	70).16
H ₂	69	.24 66		.72	67	.98	69	.80	67	.39	68	.59	69.	.52	67	.06	68	3.29
Mean	70	70.37 67		.40			71	.02	68	.11			70	.69	67	.76		
Treatments	N	\mathbf{J}_1	N_2	N ₃]	Mean	N1		N_2	N ₃]	Mean	N1		N_2	N ₃		Mean
S_1	73	.92	69.95	67.2	5 '	70.37	74.6	5	70.50	67.9	0 '	71.02	74.2	3 7	70.23	67.5	8 '	70.69
S_2	70	.70	68.68	68.82 67.40		71.28 6		69.37	63.6	8 68.11		70.9	9 (59.03	63.2	5	67.76	
Mean	72	.31	69.32			72.9	7	69.93	65.7	9		72.64	4 6	59.63	65.4	1		
Treatments	N	N1	N	12	1	N3	N ₁		N	N2	1	N3	N	1	N	N2	1	N3
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	S 1	S_2	S ₁	S_2	S 1	S2	S 1	S ₂	S 1	S ₂	S 1	S_2	S 1	S ₂	S 1	S2
H_1	76.53	70.70	70.10	69.50	67.87	64.03	77.37	71.30		70.27	68.63	64.93	76.95	71.00	70.40	69.88	68.25	64.48
H_2	71.30	70.70	69.80	67.87	66.63	61.60	71.93	71.27	70.30	68.47	67.17	67.17 62.43		70.98	70.05	68.17	66.90	62.02
Source		SEm±		(CD @ 59	%		SEm±	:	(CD @ 59	%		SEm±		(CD @ 5	%
Н		0.43			1.25			0.43			1.26			0.43			1.25	
N		0.52			1.53			0.53			1.55			0.53			1.54	
S	0.43				1.25			0.43			1.26			0.43			1.25	
H x N	0.74				NS			0.75			NS		0.74			NS		
H x S	0.60				NS			0.61			NS		0.61			NS		
S x N		0.74			NS			0.75		NS			0.74			NS		
H x N x S	1.05			NS				1.06		NS			1.05			NS		

Table 1: Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on total plant height (cm) in marigold (Tagetus erecta L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Total plant height (am)

								Τα	otal plant	spread	(cm ²)								
Treatments			First yea	r (2019-2	20)				Second y	ear (202	20-21))		Pooled data mean					
	N ₁		N_2	N3		Mean	N ₁		N_2	N ₃		Mean		N_1	N_2	N ₃		Mean	
H_1	3,640.	0	3,254.8	2,938	.5	3,277.7	3,712	.8	3,319.8	2,997	.2	3,343.3	3,6	676.4	3,287.3	2,967	.8	3,310.5	
H ₂	3,568.	8	3,188.1	2,772	.0	3,176.3	3,640	.2	3,251.9	2,827	.4	3,239.8	3,6	504.5	3,220.0	2,799	.7	3,208.1	
Mean	3,604.	4	3,221.4	2,855	.2		3,676	.5	3,285.9	2,912	.3		3,6	640.5	3,253.6	2,883	.8		
Treatments	S	1		S_2		Mean	S	1	5	S 2		Mean		S_1	S	S ₂		Mean	
H_1	3,39	5.4	3,1	60.1	3	,277.7	3,46	53.3	3,2	23.3		3,343.3	1	3,429.3	3,19	91.7	3	,310.5	
H ₂	3,30	5.6	3,0	47.0	3	,176.3	3,37	1.7	3,1	07.9		3,239.8	1	3,338.7	3,07	17.4	3	,208.1	
Mean	3,350.5 3,1		03.6			3,41	7.5	3,1	65.6			3	3,384.0	3,13	34.6				
Treatments	N1 N2		N3	N ₃ Mean		N_1		N_2	N3		Mean		N_1	N_2	N3		Mean		
S_1	3839.	3839.1 3269.2		2943.	2943.2 33		3915.	9	3334.5	3002.	.1	3417.5	38	3877.5 3301.8		2972.	6	3384.0	
S_2	3369.	7	3173.7	2767.	.2	3103.6	3437.	1	3237.2	2822.	.6	3165.6		03.4	3205.5	2794.9		3134.6	
Mean	3604.	4	3221.4	2855.2			3676.5		3285.9	2912.	.3		36	40.5	3253.6	2883.	8		
Treatments	Ν	1		N ₂		N_3	N_1		ľ	\mathbb{N}_2		N_3		N_1	Ň	N ₂		N ₃	
11 catillents	S ₁	S_2	S1	S_2	S ₁	S_2	S_1	S ₂	S ₁	S_2	S ₁	S_2	S_1	S_2	S ₁	S_2	S ₁	S_2	
H_1	3884.3	3395	5.7 3320.4	3189.1	2981	.3 2895.6	3962.0	3463	3.6 3386.8	3252.9	3041	.0 2953.5	3923.2	2 3429.6	3353.6	3221.0	3011	.2 2924.5	
H_2	3793.9	3343	3.7 3217.9	3158.3	2905	5.0 2638.9	3869.8	3410	0.6 3282.3	3221.5	2963	.1 2691.7	3831.9	3377.2	3250.1	3189.9	2934	.1 2665.3	
Source		SEn	n±	C	CD @	5%		SEn	n±		CD @	@ 5%		SEm±		CD (5%	
Н		23.	2		67.	8		23.	7		69	9.1		23.4	ļ		68.5	5	
N		28.	4		83.	0		29.	0		84	.7		28.7	1		83.8	3	
S		23.	2		67.	8		23.	7		69	9.1		23.4	ł		68.5	5	
H x N	40.2				NS	5		41.	0		N	S		40.6	5		NS		
H x S	32.8				NS	5		33.	5	NS				33.2	2	NS			
S x N		40.	2		117	.4		41.	0	119.7				40.6			118.6		
H x N x S		56.	9		NS	5		58.	0		N	S		57.4	4		NS		

Table 2: Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on total plant spread (cm²) in marigold (Tagetus erecta L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Table 3: Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on total number of leaves per plant in marigold (Tagetus erecta L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

							Tot	al nun	ıber o	fleaves	per p	lant						
Treatments		F	irst year	(2019-2	20)			Seco	nd yea	nr (2020-	-21)			Po	oled da	nta mea	n	
	N ₁		N_2	Ν	3	Mean	N ₁	N	N2	N3		Mean	N ₁		N_2	N	3	Mean
H_1	1224.9)	2102.7	751	.1	1359.6	1347.4	231	2.9	826.2	2 1	1495.5	1286.	.1 2	207.8	788	8.7	1427.5
H ₂	1166.0)	1052.0	680).2	966.1	1282.6	115	57.3	748.	2 1	1062.7	1224.	.3 1	104.6	714	4.3	1014.4
Mean	1195.4	1	1577.3	715.7			1315.0	173	35.1	787.	2		1255.	.3 1	656.2	75	1.5	
Treatments	S ₁		S_2	Mea		an	S	1		S_2	N	lean	S	1	S	52	Μ	lean
H_1	1478	.1	1241.0)	1359	9.6	162	5.9	13	65.1	14	195.5	155	2.0	130	03.0	14	27.5
H ₂	1002	002.8 929.3			966	.1	110	3.1	10	22.3	10)62.7	105	3.0	97	5.8	10	14.4
Mean	1240	1240.5 1085.2					136	4.5	11	93.7			130	2.5	113	39.4		
Treatments	N_1	N1 N2		N ₃ Mean		N ₁	N2		N3		Mean	N1		N_2	N	3	Mean	
S_1	1222.0	1222.0 1737.1		762.3 1240		1240.5	1344.2	1910	.8	838.5	1	1364.5	1283.	.1 1	824.0	800).4	1302.5
S_2	1168.9)	1417.5	669.1 10		1085.2	1285.8	1559.4		736.0	1	1193.7	1227.	.3 1	488.5	702	2.5	1139.4
Mean	1195.4	1	1577.3	715.7			1315.0	1735	.1	787.2			1255.	.2 1	656.2	75	1.5	
Tractmonto	N	1	Ν	N2		N3	N1			N ₂		N3	N	l1	N	N2]	N3
Treatments	S 1	S_2	S_1	S ₂	S 1	S ₂	S1	S_2	S 1	S_2	S 1	S_2	S 1	S_2	S ₁	S2	S_1	S_2
H1	1234.0	1215	.7 2380.1	1825.2	820.3	682.0	1357.5	1337.3	2618.	1 2007.8	902.3	3 750.2	1295.7	1276.5	2499.1	1916.5	861.3	716.1
H ₂	1210.0	1122	.0 1094.1	1009.9	704.3	656.1	1331.0	1234.2	1203.	5 1110.9	774.7	7 721.7	1270.5	1178.1	1148.9	1060.4	739.5	688.9
Source		SEm	±	C	D@5	%		SEm±		C	D@5	5%		SEm	1±		CD @	5%
Н		8.82	2		25.86			9.70			28.44	1		9.26	5		27.1	15
N		10.8	0		31.67			11.87			34.83	3		11.3	4		33.2	25
S	8.82				25.86			9.70			28.44	1		9.26	5		27.1	15
H x N	15.27				44.79			16.79			49.25	5		16.0	3		47.02	
H x S	12.47				36.57			13.71			40.21			13.09			38.39	
S x N		15.2	7		44.79			16.79		49.25			16.03				47.02	
H x N x S		21.6	0		63.34			23.75			69.65	5		22.6	7		66.5	50

The Pharma Innovation Journal

							Total	l num	ber of b	ranche	s per j	plant							
Treatments			First year	(2019-	20)			Se	cond yea	nr (202)-21)		Pooled data mean						
	N1		N_2	N	3	Mean	N1		N_2	N ₂ N ₃		Mean	N1		N_2		N3	Mean	
H_1	79.50)	96.67	63	.83	80.00	75.67 9		91.50	60.6	57	75.94	77.58		94.08	62	2.25	77.97	
H_2	71.50)	67.83	62	.00	67.11	67.8	3	63.33	57.6	57	62.94	69.67		65.58	59	0.83	65.03	
Mean	75.50)	82.25	62	62.92		71.7	5	77.42	59.1	7		73.6	3	79.83	61	.04		
Treatments	S ₁		S_2		Mean			1	5	52	М	lean	S	1	5	S ₂	М	ean	
H_1	84.2	84.22 75.78			80.0	0	80.	.22	71	.67	75	5.94	82	.22	73	.72	77	'.97	
H_2	67.78 66.44				67.1	1	63.	.78	62	.11	62	2.94	65	.78	64	.28	65	5.03	
Mean	76.0	76.00 71.11					72.	.00	66	.89			74	.00	69	.00			
Treatments	N1		N ₂	N	N ₃ Mean		N1		N ₂	N3	Mean		N1		N ₂	1	N3	Mean	
S_1	78.33	3	86.00	63	.67	76.00	74.5	0	81.33	60.17	72.00		76.42		83.67	61	.92	74.00	
S_2	72.67	7	78.50	62	.17	71.11	69.00 7		73.50	58.17	' 6	66.89		3	76.00	60).17	69.00	
Mean	75.50)	82.25	62	.92		71.75 7		77.42	59.17	7		73.6	3	79.83	61.04			
Treatments	N	J 1	Ν	2	Ν	N3	N ₁		ľ	\mathbb{N}_2]	N ₃	N	V 1	Ν	N ₂	1	N3	
Treatments	S 1	S ₂	S_1	S ₂	S1	S ₂	S1	S ₂	S 1	S_2	S1	S ₂	S 1	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S 1	S ₂	
H_1	84.33	74.6	57 103.67	89.67	64.67	63.00	80.67	70.6	7 98.33	84.67	61.67	59.67	82.50	72.67	101.0	87.17	63.17	61.33	
H_2	72.33	70.6	68.33	67.33	62.67	61.33	68.33	67.33	3 64.33	62.33	58.67	56.67	70.33	69.00	66.33	64.83	60.67	59.00	
Source		SE	n±	C	CD @ 5	%		SEm	<u>+</u>	C	D@5	5%		SEn	1±		CD @	5%	
Н		0.1	19		0.55			0.21			0.62			0.14	43		0.41	8	
Ν		0.2	23		0.67			0.26	i		0.76			0.17	75		0.51	2	
S		0.19 0.55					0.21			0.62			0.14	43		0.41	8		
H x N	0.33 0.95						0.37	,		1.08			0.24	17		0.72	24		
H x S	0.27 0.78							0.30			0.88		0.202				0.591		
S x N	0.33 0.95					0.37	1		1.08		0.247				0.724				
H x N x S	0.46				1.35			0.52			1.53			0.34	19		1.02	24	

Table 4: Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on total number of branches per plant in marigold (Tagetus erecta L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Table 5: Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on total dry matter (g plant⁻¹) in marigold (Tagetus erecta L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

							Tot	tal dı	ry ma	atter c	content	(g pla	nt ⁻¹)						
Treatments		Fir	st year ((2019-:	20)			Se	econd	l year	(2020-	21)			P	ooled da	ata mean		
	N1		N_2	N	3	Mean	N1		N	12	N3		Mean	N1 N2		N_2	N3		Mean
H_1	99.00	1	11.17	68	.83	93.00	103.0	00	115	5.67	71.6	7	96.78	101.0	0 1	13.42	70.2	5	94.89
H ₂	89.00	7	77.17	62	62.67 76.2		92.5	0	80.	.33	65.1	7	79.33	90.75	5	78.75	63.92	2	77.81
Mean	94.00	Ģ	94.17	65	.75		97.7	5	98.	.00	68.42	2		95.88	3	96.08	67.0	3	
Treatments	S ₁		S_2	Mean		S	51		S	2	N	lean	S	1	S	2	N	Iean	
H_1	98.8	9	87.11	11 93.0)0	102	2.89		90.	.67	9	5.78	100	.89	88	.89	9	4.89
H ₂	79.5	6	73.00	00 7		28	82	.78		75.	.89	7	9.33	81.	17	74	.44	7	7.81
Mean	89.2	2	80.06				92	.83		83.	.28			91.	03	81	.67		
Treatments	N ₁		N_2	N	J 3	Mean	N1		N	12	N3		Mean	N1		N ₂	N3		Mean
S 1	96.00	1	02.17	69	.50	89.22	99.8	3	106	5.33	72.33	3	92.83	97.92	2 1	04.25	04.25 70.92		91.03
S_2	92.00	8	36.17	62.00 80.06		95.67		89.	.67	64.50	0	83.28		87.92		63.2	5	81.67	
Mean	94.00	9	94.17	65.75			97.7	5	98.	.00	68.42	2		95.88	3	96.08	67.0	3	
	N	1	N	2		N3	N ₁			N	2		N ₃	N	1	N	2		N ₃
Treatments	S1	S_2	S1	S_2	S 1	S ₂	S1	S ₂	2	S1	S_2	S1	S ₂	S ₁	S_2	S1	S_2	S ₁	S ₂
H_1	100.67	97.33	123.67	98.67	72.33	65.33	104.67	101.	.33 12	28.67	102.67	75.33	68.00	102.67	99.33	126.17	100.67	73.8	3 66.67
H ₂	91.33	86.67	80.67	73.67	66.67	58.67	95.00	90.0	00 8	34.00	76.67	69.33	61.00	93.17	88.33	82.33	75.17	68.0	59.83
Source		SEm+		C	D@5	%		SEn	n±		C	D@5	%		SEm+		C	D@:	5%
Н		0.40			1.19			0.3	8			1.12			0.39			1.15	
N		0.50			1.45			0.4	7			1.37			0.48			1.40	
S		0.40 1.19						0.3	8			1.12			0.39			1.15	
H x N	0.70 2.05						0.6	i6			1.93			0.68			1.98		
H x S	0.57 1.68						0.5	4			1.58			0.55			1.62		
S x N	0.70 2.05							0.6	i6		1.93					1.98			
H x N x S	0.99 2.90						0.9	3			2.73			0.96		2.80			

Table 6: Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on total leaf area (cm²) in marigold (Tagetus erecta L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

								То	tal le	eaf area (c	m ²)																													
Treatments		ŀ	First year	(2019-2	0)			Sec	ond y	year (2020	-21)			Pooled data mean																										
	N_1		N_2	N	3	Mean	N ₁ N		12	N	3	Mean		N ₁		N_2		l	N3	Mean																				
H_1	11172.	.1 2	3558.5	496	8.7	13233.0	12289.7 259		14.2	546	5.4	14	4556.5	11730	.9	24736.4		52	17.1	13894.8																				
H_2	9580.2	2 '	7774.4	422	6.0	7193.5	10538.3 855		52.3	464	3.7	7	913.1	10059	.2	8163	3.4	44	37.3	7553.3																				
Mean	10376.	.2 1	5666.4	459	7.3		11414.0	172	33.3	505	7.1			10895	.1	16449	9.9	48	27.2																					
Treatments	S ₁		S_2		Mea	ın	S	1		S_2		M	ean	S	51		S	2	N	lean																				
H_1	1484	4.5	11621.	7	1323	3.1	1632	28.8		12784.2		145	556.5	155	86.6		1220)2.9	13	894.8																				
H_2	7737	.5	6649.6	,	7193	1.5	851	1.5		7314.7		791	13.1	812	24.5		698	2.1	75	53.3																				
Mean	1129	1.0	9135.6	5			1242	20.1		10049.4				118	55.6		959	2.5																						
Treatments	N ₁ N ₂			N	3	Mean	N1	N_2		N_3	N ₃ Mean		Mean	N1		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2		N_2			N_3	Mean
S_1	10821.	10821.6 17954.9		5096.6		11291.0	11904.0	.0 19750.1		5606.	5606.3 12420.1		2420.1	11362.8		18852.5		18852.5		11855.6																				
S_2	9930.	7 1	3378.0	4098.1		9135.6	10923.9			4507.9)	1(0049.4	10427.3		14047.3			4303.0	9592.5																				
Mean	10376.	.2 1	5666.4	459	4597.3		11414.0 17233		.3	5057.				10895	.1	16449.			4827.2																					
Treatments	Ν	N 1	1	N2	N2 N		N	1		N_2	N3		N3	N1		N2		2		N3																				
Treatments	S 1	S_2	S_1	S ₂	S ₁	S_2	S ₁	S ₂ S ₁					S_2	S_1 S_2				S_2	S_1	S_2																				
H_1	11267.4	11076.	.827750.5	19366.5	5515.6	4421.7	12394.8	2394.8 12184.7 30		24.621304	21304.0 6067.0 4863.9				30.8 29137.5 203		20335.	2 5791.3	4642.8																					
H_2	10375.8	8784.2	7 8159.3	7389.5	4677.5	3774.5	11413.3	9663.2	897	5.7 8129.	1 514	45.5	4151.8	10894.5	9223	3.9 85	67.5	7759.3	3 4911.5	3963.2																				
Source		SEm		C	CD @ 5	%		SEm±			CD @	@ 5%	%		SE	lm±			CD @	5%																				
Н		106.8	1		313.27			117.44			344	1.44			112	2.13			328.8	36																				
N		130.8	2		383.68			143.83			421	1.85			137	7.33			402.7	17																				
S		106.8	1		313.27			117.44			344	1.44			112	2.13			328.8	36																				
H x N	185.01 5				542.61			203.41			596	5.59			194	4.21		569.60		50																				
H x S	151.06 443.04							166.09			487	7.11		158.57				465.07																						
S x N	185.01 542.61						203.41				596	5.59		194.21				569.60		50																				
H x N x S		261.64	4		767.36			843.70				274	4.65			805.53																								

Conclusion

The combination of Pusa hydrogel, 75% nitrogen as CAN and foliar SNP spraying at regular weekly intervals proves highly effective in enhancing marigold growth, development, and stress tolerance. Pusa hydrogel's water retention and gradual release improve nutrient uptake and metabolic processes, boosting cell division, expansion, and overall plant height and spread. This approach aligns with similar findings in various crops. Additionally, 100% CAN ensures optimal vegetative growth, and calcium from Ca(NO₃)₂ promotes plant development. Frequent SNP spraying enhances heat stress resistance, leading to increased lateral branching and overall plant spread. This study offers valuable insights for enhancing marigold growth under hot summer conditions in horticulture.

Acknowledgement

This paper forms the part of Ph.D. thesis of the first author in the Dept. of Floriculture & Landscape Architecture to be submitted to the Dr. Y.S.R Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem, Andhra Pradesh, India.

References

- 1. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication; c1985. p. 87-89.
- Al-Harbi AR, Al-Omran AM, Shalaby AA, Choudhary MI. Efficacy of a hydrophilic polymer declines with time in greenhouse experiments. Hort Science. 1999;34(2):223-224.
- Sendur S, Kumaran S, Natarajan I, Muthvel, Sathiayamurthy VA. Efficacy of graded doses of polymers on processing quality of tomato cv. 'CO-3'. Madras Agriculture Journal. 2001;88:298-299.
- 4. Sivalapan S. Some benefits of treating a sandy soil with a cross-linked type polyacrylamide. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 2006;46(4):579-584.
- 5. Sharma A, Jadeja KB, Kataria GK, Anamika M and Dhakad JK. Plant growth promoting effect of *Trichoderma* on groundnut. AGRES-An International e-

Journal. 2014;3(4):342-352.

- 6. Sureshrao KS, Pradeeprao KT, Dyanobarao GS, Agrawal T, Kotasthane AS. Root growth stimulation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) by seed biopriming with *Trichoderma* spp. Applied Biological Research. 2006;18(1):30-38.
- Brar BS, Dhillon NS, Chhina HS. Integrated use of farm yard manure and inorganic fertilizers in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2001;71:605-607.
- Naik AHK, Chaithra GM, Kumar NK, Madhu G, Nataraja M, Umesha S *et al.* Effect of hydrogel on growth, yield and economics of rainfed castor. 2020;SP9(7):36-39.
- Shinde M, Khiratkar SD, Ganjure S, Bahadure R. Response of nitrogen and potassium levels on growth, flowering and seed yield of African marigold. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2014;24(1):89-94.
- Kumar M, Singh AK, Kumar A. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering characters of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta*). Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences. 2015, 7(85). 10.5958/2394-4471.2015.00020.9.
- 11. Chopde N, Gore KS, Kuchanwar O, Bhande MH. Response of annual chrysanthemum genotypes to different levels of nitrogen. Plant Archive. 2015;15(2):801-04.
- Siddiqui AMH, Alamria SA, Al-Khaishanya MYY, Al-Qutamia MA, Alia HM, Khanb MN. Sodium nitroprusside and indole acetic acid improve the tolerance of tomato plants to heat stress by protecting against DNA damage. Journal of Plant Interactions. 2017;12(1):177-186.
- Chohan A, Parmar U, Raina SK. Effect of sodium nitroprusside on morphological characters under chilling stress in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Environmental Biology. 2012;33(4):695-698.
- 14. Meng-Wang, Li B, Zhu Y, Niu L, Jin X, Xu Q, *et al.* Effect of exogenous nitric oxide on vegetative and reproductive growth of oriental lily 'Siberia'.

Horticulture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2015;56(5):677-686.

- 15. Kong JY, Xua DL, Liua S, Bai X. Role of exogenous nitric oxide in alleviating iron deficiency-induced peanut chlorosis on calcareous soil. Journal of Plant Interactions. 2014;9(1):450-459.
- 16. Nayanakantha NMC, Pathirana PD, Senevirathna AMWK and Seneviratne P. Exogenous nitric oxide donor sodium nitroprusside ameliorates root architecture and growth performance in young budding polybagged plants of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*). Journal of the Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka. 2014;94:9-24.
- 17. Huttermann M, Zommorodi M, Eise RK. Addition of hydrogels to soil for prolonging the survival of *Pinushalepensis* seedlings subjected to drought. Soil and Tillage Research. 1990;50(3-4):295-04.
- Yazdani F, Allahdadi I, Akbari. Impact of superabsorbent polymer on yield and growth analysis of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under drought stress condition. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2007;10(23):4190-4196.
- Dawlatzai AS, Jayanthi R, Abdiani SA. Efficacy of graded doses of Pusa hydrogel on growth and quality of coleus (*Coleus blumeil*) under polyhouse condition. SSRG International Journal of Agriculture & Environmental Science. 2017;4(4):32-36.
- Singh S, Mishra S, Jamwal SS, Bahadur V. Effect of Pusa hydrogel and plant growth regulators on vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting of strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa* Dutch) cv. 'Chandler'. International Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry. 2020;21(6):17-24.
- 21. Mehdi A, Khosh-Khui M, Eshghi S, Ramezanian A. Improvement in vase life of cut rose cv. 'Dolce Vita' by preharvest foliar application of calcium chloride and salicylic acid. International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology. 2015;2(1):55-66.
- Aparna D. Studies on propagation and summer production of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'BidhanMarigold-2'. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural university, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh, India; c2019.
- 23. Kaur R, Sharma A. Growth and flowering as affected by NPK fertilizers in tuberose cv. Single. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2012;7(2):619-620.
- 24. Ghaname AA, Mona G, Dawood GS, Riad A, El-Tohamy WA. Effect of nitrogen forms and bio-stimulants foliar application on the growth, yield and chemical composition of hot pepper grown under sandy soil conditions. Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences. 2009;5(5):840-852.
- 25. Palta JP. Role of calcium in plant responses to stresses: linking basic research to the solution of practical problems. HortScience. 1996;31(1):51-57.
- 26. Nejadalimoradi H, Nasibi F, Kalantari KM, Zanganeh R. Effect of seed priming with l-arginine and sodium nitroprusside on some physiological parameters and antioxidant enzymes of sunflower plants exposed to salt stress. Agricultural Communications. 2014;2(1):23-30.