www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(10): 330-332 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 05-08-2023 Accepted: 14-09-2023

Vandana A Shinde

M.Sc. Agriculture Dairy Science, Post Graduate Institute, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

SP Nage

Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

SV Lashkare

Ph.D. Scholar Dairy Science, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

RH Tayde

M.Sc. Agriculture Animal Husbandry, Post Graduate Institute, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:

Vandana A Shinde M.Sc. Agriculture Dairy Science, Post Graduate Institute, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Studies on sensory qualities of shrikhand blended with guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) pulp

Vandana A Shinde, SP Nage, SV Lashkare and RH Tayde

Abstract

This investigation was carried out to evaluate the sensory qualities and work out the cost of shrikhand prepared by blending with different levels of guava pulp. The treatment details were T₁ control sample, T₂ (95% shrikhand + 5% guava pulp), T₃ (90% shrikhand + 10% guava pulp), T₄ (85% shrikhand + 15% guava pulp) and T₅ (80% shrikhand + 20% guava pulp). For overall acceptability scores obtained were 94.00, 86.60, 89.40, 94.80 and 84.20 for the treatment T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ respectively. The treatment T₄ scored significantly highest scores for flavour, Body and Texture, colour and appearance and overall acceptability were found to be superior amongst all treatments. The cost of shrikhand preparation by using different levels of guava pulp were 128.10, 125.24, 123.92, 122.59 and 121.49 (Rs/kg) for the treatment T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ respectively. The acceptable quality of shrikhand can be prepared by using 15 per cent guava pulp.

Keywords: Shrikhand, guava pulp, sensory qualities, cost

Introduction

Shrikhand is a semi solid, sweetish-sour fermented milk product prepared from dahi, whey is drained off from dahi to yield chakka. Sugar, flavour, colour and spices are mixed into chakka to form a soft homogenous mass that resembles sweetend quarge of Germany. Shrikhand is popular desert and forms part of meal on festival occasion.

In order to improve the colour, flavour and an overall acceptability of milk product, it is common practice of adding of different colouring and flavouring agent in them. Shrikhand is not an exception to it. Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is the medicinal plant that could be incorporated into shrikhand. It is valued for its genetic influence on overall physiology of human beings. Guava fruits are higher in vitamins A and C and good source of pectin and dietary fiber. The leaves of guava are rich in flavonoids, folic acid, and the dietary minerals, potassium, copper and manganese^[5].

Materials and Methods

Materials

Cow milk was obtained from livestock instructional farm of Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science and Guava fruits (L-49) obtained from research farm of Department of Horticulture, Dr. PDKV, Akola. The freeze-dried culture of *Streptococcus lactis* was procured from National Culture Collection Unit, NDRI, Karnal (Haryana). Cane sugar was procured from the local market. Electric mixer was used for grinding the guava pulp. Plastic cups were used for storing and serving of shrikhand. Stainless steel vessels of requisite capacity, blender, knives, muslin cloth, standard weight balance, gas stove, etc. were used for preparation of shrikhand blending with guava pulp.

Preparation of shrikhand from cow milk

Method was used for preparation of shrikhand suggested by Aneja *et al.* (1977) ^[2] with slight modification. Cow milk was standardized at 4 per cent fat and then it was heated to 71 °C for 15 sec. After heating it was cooled to 30 °C and inoculated with 1 per cent starter culture. Then it was allowed for incubation for 10-12 hours. After which it was followed by break down the coagulum and hanging in muslin cloth (for 6-8 hours) for drainage of whey. After expulsion of whey, sugar was added @ 45 per cent by weight of chakka and kneading with by using blender.

Preparation of guava pulp

The normal ripe (greenish to cream coloured) guava fruits were washed with clean water, skin portion was removed and were cut into small pieces. The pieces worked in mixer to obtain pulp. Then pulp was passed through muslin cloth to remove seed material and utilized for preparation of shrikhand blending with guava pulp as per treatments.

Preparation of shrikhand blending with guava pulp

The shrikhand prepared blending with different levels of guava pulp as per treatment combinations made and kneading with by using blender in stainless steel vessels. The shrikhand was packaged in plastic coated cups and stored at refrigerated temperature for further evaluation.

Treatments Details

The treatments details for shrikhand blended with guava pulp were as follows:

 $T_1 = Control (chakka 100 per cent)$

T ₂= 95 parts of chakka + 5 parts of guava pulp

 $T_3 = 90$ parts of chakka + 10 parts of guava pulp

 $T_4 = 85$ parts of chakka + 15 parts of guava pulp

 $T_5 = 80$ parts of chakka + 20 parts of guava pulp

(In all treatments sugar was be used @ 45% by weight of chakka)

Analytical methods

Sensory evaluation of shrikhand blended with guava pulp

The samples evaluated by the 100 numeric score card as prescribed by Pal and Gupta (1985)^[8]. A panel of six semi trained judges were provided the treated samples of shrikhand blended with guava pulp for the sensory evaluation.

Cost of production of shrikhand blended with guava pulp

Calculating cost of production on the lines of procedure used by Gavane *et al.* (2010)^[4].

Statistical analysis

The data obtained analyzed by adopting Completely Randomized Design (CRD) as described by (Amble 1975) ^[1]. Treatments -05, Replication -05.

Results and Discussion

Sensory evaluation of shrikhand blended with different levels of guava pulp

Flavour

The mean score of flavour of shrikhand using guava pulp for treatment T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 and T_5 were 42.40, 39.60, 39.80, 42.80 and 38.00 respectively. The treatment T_4 was significantly superior over T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_5 treatments. It was observed from above finding that 15 per cent guava pulp using shrikhand given good flavour. These results were supported by the result reported by Narayanan and Lingam (2013) ^[7].

Body and texture

It was observed that mean score of body and texture of shrikhand using guava pulp for treatment T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 and T_5 were 32.20, 30.40, 31.60, 33.40 and 29.20 respectively. The

treatment T_4 was significantly superior over T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_5 treatments. It was also observed from above finding that 15 per cent guava pulp blended shrikhand developed rich body and texture where the lowest noticed for shrikhand prepared with 5 per cent guava pulp. These finding also similar with Gavane *et al.* (2010) ^[4].

Colour and appearance

It was observed that mean score of colour and appearance of shrikhand blended with guava pulp for treatment T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 and T_5 were 18.40, 16.40, 17.20, 18.60 and 17.00 respectively. The treatment T_4 was significantly superior over T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_5 treatments. It was also observed from above finding that 15 per cent guava pulp blended shrikhand given rich colour and appearance where the lowest recorded in shrikhand prepared with 10 per cent guava pulp. Similar result observed by Chavan *et al.* (2009) ^[3].

Overall acceptability

It was observed that mean score of overall acceptability of shrikhand blended with guava pulp for treatment T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 and T_5 were 94.00, 86.60, 89.40, 94.80 and 84.20 respectively. The treatment T_4 was significantly superior over T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_5 treatments. 15 per cent guava pulp blended shrikhand given recorded highest score for overall acceptability and shrikhand prepared with 5 per cent guava pulp was observed lowest score. Similar results were presented by Mali *et al.* (2010) ^[6].

Cost of production of shrikhand blended with guava pulp

It was noted that, cost of production of guava pulp shrikhand (per kg) for treatment T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 and T_5 were Rs.128.10, 125.24, 123.92, 122.59 and 121.49 respectively. The cost of production of plain shrikhand T_1 (control) was considered to be higher than the shrikhand prepared with addition of guava pulp and treatment T_5 having a lowest price. Increased level of guava pulp showed decreased in cost of production of shrikhand. This difference was occurred due to the increasing the quantity of guava pulp. The best treatment selected by judges was T_4 (where addition of 15 per cent guava pulp into shrikhand) and the cost of production of shrikhand in this treatment was founded to be 122.59 Rs/kg. Similar observations were found with Gavane *et al.* (2010) ^[4].

 Table 1: Score obtained of sensory evaluation of shrikhand blended

 with guava pulp

	Score of sensory evaluation*											
Treatments	Flavour (45)	Body and Texture (35)	Colour and Appearance (20)	Overall acceptability (100)								
Mean Score												
T_1	42.40	32.20	18.40	94.00								
T2	39.60	30.40	16.40	86.60								
T3	39.80	31.60	17.20	89.40								
T_4	42.80	33.40	18.60	94.80								
T5	38.00	29.20	17.00	84.20								
'F' Test	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.	Sig.								
$S.E(M) \pm$	0.424	0.303	0.352	0.632								
CD at 5%	1.260	0.901	1.046	1.879								

(* Mean of five replications)

	Particulars		Treatments									
Sr. No.			T 1		T ₂		T 3		T 4		T 5	
		Qty.	Amt.	Qty.	Amt.	Qty.	Amt.	Qty.	Amt.	Qty.	Amt.	
1	Qty. of cow milk		40	900 ml	36	850 ml	34	800 ml	32	750 ml	30	
2	Chakka obtained (gm)		-	225	-	213	-	200	-	187	-	
3	Guava pulp (gm) 40 Rs/Kg		-	25	2.7	37	4.1	50	5.5	63	7	
4	Sugar @ 45% 40 Rs/Kg		8.16	204	8.16	204	8.16	204	8.16	204	8.16	
5	Miscellaneous cost (Electricity, labour, gas)		10	-	10	-	10	-	10	-	10	
6	Total shrikhand obtained (gm)		58.16	454	58.86	454	56.26	454	55.66	454	55.16	
7	Cost of shrikhand Rs/Kg	1	128.10	1	125.24	1	123.92	1	122.59	1	121.49	

Table 2: Cost of preparation of shrikhand blended with guava pulp

Conclusion

Shrikhand prepared with blending 15 per cent guava pulp, which results in good flavour, body and texture, colour and appearance and overall acceptability having highest score. Cost of production was decreased with increased in the rate of addition of guava pulp. The cost of most acceptable treatment shrikhand prepared with 15 per cent guava pulp (T₄) was 122.59 Rs/kg. Hence, it is concluded that acceptable shrikhand can be prepared by blending 15 per cent guava pulp. The guava pulp could be successfully utilized for preparation of shrikhand.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge all the faculty members and my colleagues of Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, Post Graduate Institute, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola.

References

- Amble VN. Statistical methods in Animal science. Indian Society Agricultural Statistics, New Delhi. (1st edition); c1975. p. 199-219.
- Aneja RP, Vyas MN, Karan Nanda and Thareja VK. Development of an industrial process for manufacture of shrikhand. J Food. Sci. Technol. 1977;14(4):159.
- Chavan KD, Pawar BK and Sonawane VM. Effect of levels of strawberry pulp and sugar on sensory quality of shrikhand during storage. J of Maharashtra Agri. Universities. 2009;34(1):93-95.
- 4. Gavane PM, Zinjarde RM, Rokde SN. Studies on preparation of shrikhand blended with custard apple pulp-A new fermented milk product. Indian J of Dairy Sci. 2010;63(1):11-15.
- Hassimotto NMA, Genovese MI and Lajolo FM. Antioxidant activity of dietary fruits, vegetables and commercial frozen fruit pulps. J Agri Food Chem. 2005;53(8):292835.
- 6. Mali RS, Dhapke DH and Zinjarde RM. Effect of papaya pulp on the quality and cost structure of shrikhand. J of Soils and Crops. 2010;20(2):290-294.
- Narayanan R and Jyothi Lingam. Sensory analysis of banana blended shrikhand. African J of Agri. Research. 2013;8(44):5518-5521.
- 8. Pal D, Gupta SK. Sensory evaluation of Indian milk products. Indian Dairyman. 1985;37(10):462-467.