www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(10): 984-986 © 2023 TPI www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 08-07-2023 Accepted: 20-08-2023

### Prahlad P Bhat

Research Scholar, Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Agriculture, GKVK, UASB, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

### Sahana S

Scientist, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Sudheendra M

Professor and Head, Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Basavaraj I Halingali

Professor and Head, Department of Agricultural Statistics, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

### Chinnappa B

Retd. Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture, KSNUAHS, Shivamogga, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Prahlad P Bhat

Research Scholar, Department of Agricultural Extension, College of Agriculture, GKVK, UASB, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

### An empirical assessment of constraints in dairy farming

## Prahlad P Bhat, Sahana S, Sudheendra M, Basavaraj I Halingali and Chinnappa B

### Abstract

The present study was conducted to know constraints faced by farmers in dairy activities. Dairy farming is a family enterprise involves both the gender. Thirteen independent constraints were taken for the study based on personal interview technique using schedule. Later, they were categorized into six broad constraints viz., Marketing, Financial, Technical, Physical, Institutional and Personal constraints. Friedman rank test was performed to validate whether sample dairy farmers do differ in their opinion about six broad constraints in dairy farming. The test was found statistically significant with chi-square statistic 186.57 at five percent level of significance and five degrees of freedom. The significance indicates that sample farmers do differ in their opinion about constraints. Marketing constraints appeared as most severe with highest Mean Rank Value Score of 5.21 while Personal constraint as least severe with score of 1.79. Further identified 13 constraints were ranked considering means score assigned by sample dairy farmers. 'Non-availability of quality semen' ranked first was considered as most severe. The effectiveness of artificial insemination depends on quality of semen used. The success of dairy farming depends on regularity in parturition. 'Low price of milk' appeared as second major constraint due to failure of milk price to keep the pace of rise in input prices having negative bearing on production costs. Delay in payment of price incentives appeared as third major constraint due to the institutional rigidities & deficit budget. The study concluded that timely availability of quality semen should be made available in the veterinary hospitals located in the vicinity. Incentive prices paid by government should cover rising input prices to retain status quo position of farmers.

Keywords: Dairy farming, constraints, artificial insemination, government

### Introduction

Dairy farming is practiced as a subsidiary occupation in India to stabilize and sustain income and livelihood of farmers. India ranked first in the world with total milk production of 198.40 million tonnes in 2019-20 (NDDB 2019-20)<sup>[14]</sup>. Dairy cooperatives have revolutionized milk production in India and the credit for the same goes to Sri Varghese Kurien (Father of White Revolution). The resultant of milk revolution could be witnessed in the retention of first position in global milk production. On cooperative lines, highest milk production was observed in Gujarat followed by Karnataka. Karnataka accounts to 7,901 tonnes milk production (Statista). AMUL has been instrumental in sustaining the lead position of the state in India. Next only to Gujarat, Karnataka possessed largest cooperative in India entitled Karnataka Milk Federation (KMF). KMF is having 14 milk unions covering all the districts of the State. Its dual functioning i.e., procuring milk from dairy farmers cut across state through Primary Dairy Cooperatives Societies (DCS) assures market for milk for dairy farmers and through its distribution network, it caters to the need of customers positioned across state. SHIMUL is one among the 14 milk unions covering districts viz., Shivamogga, Davangere, and Chitradurga of Karnataka (Santosha et al., 2020)<sup>[9]</sup>. None of the production activity in the economy is free from constraints and dairy farming in specific is not an exception to this. It may be confronted with constraints related to marketing, credit availability and accessibility, technical problems associated with milk production, governmental interventions in the form of policies and programmes (Kavitha et al., 2020)<sup>[5]</sup>. In this connection, it becomes imperative to probe into constraints faced by dairy farmers and to identify the most severe constraints. This study enables policy makers to tailor suitable policies and programmes to tackle severe constraints relieving farmers from predicaments and enabling them to sustain profits.

### **Materials and Methods**

Multistage simple random sampling technique was employed to select the sample dairy farm families. Considering the highest number of functioning dairy cooperative societies and milk collection, Shivamogga district was selected from SHIMUL. Considering the same analogy, two taluks viz., Shikaripura and Bhadravathi were selected from Shivamogga district. From each taluk, 40 dairy farm families registered with SHIMUL having minimum herd size of four milch animals from past five years were selected. Thus, the total sample size constituted to 80 farm families. "Ex-post facto research design" was employed to ascertain the major constraints faced by dairy farmers. The response of farmers on constraints in dairy farming was elicited using pretested well-structured interview schedule. Around 13 specific constraints were considered in the interview schedule. The response of farm families on constraints were recorded on a 3point continuum (most severe, severe and least severe) with weightage of 3, 2 and 1 respectively (Lal S.P et al., 2016)<sup>[6]</sup>. On receiving the response from the sample farmers, the specific constraints were later categorized into six broad groups' viz., Marketing, Financial, Technical, Physical, Institutional and Personal constraints. Friedman rank test, a non-parametric test was performed (Tripathi, 2014) to validate whether or not sample dairy farmers differ in their opinion about six broad constraints in dairy farming. The Friedman statistic was computed using the formula mentioned

below,

$$\chi_r^2 = \frac{12}{Nk(k+1)} \times \sum_{j=1}^k Rj^2 - 3N$$
 (k+1) at df = k-1

N = Respondents (Subjects) = 80

k = Number of broad constraints (Treatments) = 6

 $\sum Rj^2$  = Sum of squares of row ranks in each column

If the computed statistic was found to be significant then, broad constraints will be ranked based on the magnitude of freidman mean rank score. Further, specific constraints were ranked based on severity considering the magnitude of mean score obtained from the response recorded on 3 point scale.

| Table 1: Status of District cooperative Societies (DCS) in |
|--|
| Shivamogga district  |

| Sl. No. | Taluk       | Functioning DCS |  |  |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 1       | Shivamogga  | 121             |  |  |
| 2       | Bhadravathi | 125             |  |  |
| 3       | Thirthalli  | 29              |  |  |
| 4       | Sagara      | 40              |  |  |
| 5       | Shikaripura | 114             |  |  |
| 6       | Soraba      | 79              |  |  |
| 7       | Hosanagara  | 18              |  |  |
| Total   |             | 526             |  |  |

|  | Degrange of dainy formore |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|
|  | Response of dairy farmers |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Constraints  |                           | Most<br>severe Severe | Least     | ( <b>X</b> ) | Overall |  |  |  |
|  |                           |                       | severe    | Mean Score   | rank    |  |  |  |
| Marketing (Mean Rank Value score = 5.21)                                     |                           |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Expensive dry fodder and concentrates  | 22(27.50)                 | 43(53.75)             | 15(18.75) | 2.07         | V       |  |  |  |
| Low price of milk  | 56(70.00)                 | 24(30.00)             | 0(0.00)   | 2.69         | II      |  |  |  |
| Financial (Mean Rank Value score = 2.65)                                     |                           |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Non-availability of financial assistance at right time                       | 4(5.00)                   | 34(42.50)             | 42(52.50) | 1.52         | IX      |  |  |  |
| Technical (Mean Rank Value score = 3.81)                                     |                           |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Complexities in preparation of feed mix                                      | 9(11.25)                  | 42(52.50)             | 29(36.25) | 1.74         | VIII    |  |  |  |
| Lack of improved dairy technologies  | 0(0.00)                   | 32(40.00)             | 48(60.00) | 1.41         | Х       |  |  |  |
| Non-availability of quality semen in the vicinity                            | 58(72.50)                 | 22(27.50)             | 0(0.00)   | 2.73         | Ι       |  |  |  |
| Physical (Mean Rank Value score = 3.28)                                      |                           |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Non-availability of dry fodder   | 11(13.75)                 | 41(51.25)             | 28(35.00) | 1.78         | VII     |  |  |  |
| Milking is an onerous task for dairy women                                   | 9(11.25)                  | 47(58.75)             | 24(30.00) | 1.81         | VI      |  |  |  |
| Institutional (Mean Rank Value score = 4.27)                                 |                           |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Delay in payment of incentives from milk co-operatives                       | 49(61.25)                 | 31(38.75)             | 0(0.00)   | 2.59         | III     |  |  |  |
| Lack of veterinary extension facilities                                      | 28(35.00)                 | 52(65.00)             | 0(0.00)   | 2.35         | IV      |  |  |  |
| Lack of training on dairy farming at village/block level                     | 0(0.00)                   | 26(32.50)             | 54(67.50) | 1.35         | XI      |  |  |  |
| Personal (Mean Rank Value scor   | e = 1.79)                 |                       |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| Negligence among dairy farmers in caring and management of pregnant cows and | 0(0.00)                   | 19(23.75)             | 61(76.25) | 1.23         | XIII    |  |  |  |
| new born calves  | 0(0.00)                   | 19(23.73)             | 01(70.23) | 1.25         | ЛШ      |  |  |  |
| Lack of knowledge regarding identification of diseases to milch cows         | 0(0.00)                   | 18(22.50)             | 62(77.50) | 1.23         | XII     |  |  |  |

### **Results and Discussion**

The broad constraints and specific constraints encountered by dairy farm families in Shivamogga district of Karnataka state is presented in Table 2 along with Freidman mean rank score and mean score recorded on 3 point scale considering the actual response of dairy farmers. The Friedman test was performed to test the null hypothesis that sample dairy farmers are in common consensus about their opinion on constraints in dairy farming. The Friedman test was found statistically significant with chi-square statistic of 186.57 at five percent level of significance and five degrees of freedom indicating that farmers differed in their response about constraints in dairy farming (Table 2). The result was obvious since constraints encountered by all the dairy farmers could not be akin. It may vary from farm to farm, financial status of farmer, location of dairy farm, education status of farmer etc. Among the six broad constraints, Freidman statistic enabled us to position constraints based on severity. Accordingly, Marketing constraints appeared as most severe with highest Mean Rank Value Score of 5.21 followed by Institutional (4.27), Technical (3.81), Physical (3.28), Financial (2.65) and Personal constraint (1.79). Marketing constraints appeared as most severe because of the fact that rise in prices of milk failed to keep the pace with rise in input prices having negative bearing on production cost (Selvi, 2017) [11]. Institutional rigidities in the form of delayed payment of incentives, lack of dairy extension facilities and need based training at village level constrained dairy farming (Thankachan and Joseph, 2019)<sup>[13]</sup>. Related to technical aspects like proper detection of heat in dairy animals and time of insemination, unawareness of new technologies etc. were seen. The repeat breeding problem was most severe constraint followed by poor conception rate of Artificial Insemination and lack of good breeding stock (Sarker and Ghosh, 2010)<sup>[10]</sup> and (Minhaj et al., 2019)<sup>[8]</sup>. Followed by Physical constraints related to milking and non-availability of dry fodder round the year (Kant et al., 2015)<sup>[4]</sup>. Financial constraints regarding high interest rates on loan and high cost of cross breed diary animals (Kavitha et al., 2020)<sup>[5]</sup>. Personal constraints were rated as least severe as majority of farmers had awareness about major diseases of milch cows, vitality of caring milch cows at the time of pregnancy and after calving.

Among the specific constraints, Non-availability of quality semen appeared as most crucial constraint among dairy farmers. The effectiveness of artificial insemination depends on the quality of semen used and the success of dairy farming depends on regularity in parturition among milch cows. Poor quality of semen ends up in non-profitable dairy farming (Mailk M. H et al., 2017)<sup>[7]</sup> Low price of milk was the second major specific constraint due to lapses on the part of cooperatives to offer remunerative prices at the time of surplus production (Sabapara, 2016)<sup>[6]</sup>. Delay in payment of price incentives appeared as third major constraint due to the institutional rigidities and deficit budget of the state government (Harisha et al., 2019)<sup>[3]</sup>. The fourth major constraint was lack of veterinary extension facilities in the vicinity. For successful dairy farming, maintenance of extension facilities is quintessential. It can be possible through veterinary extension facilities in the locality. Dairy farmers expressed that enough veterinary extension facility was missing in the locality (Shubeena et al., 2019) [12]. Expensive dry fodder and concentrates were found to be the fourth major constraint due to reduction in area under staple food crops like paddy due to transition exhibited by farmers to commercial crops like arecanut in the study area (Anonymous, 2015)<sup>[1]</sup> It has posed fodder insecurity. Fodder has not only become physically scarce but evinced economic scarcity and resulted in price rise. Hike in prices of ingredients such as maize, soybean etc. may be the reason for rise in prices of concentrates (Dei, 2011)<sup>[2]</sup>. In terms of severity, milking by dairy women occupied sixth position indicating the extent of drudgery involved in it. It is a time bound activity need to be performed with hygiene and care. Hence, to economize milk production, rationing of feed should be done in such a way that it should ensure least cost. Further non-availability of financial assistance at proper time and lack of improved technologies indicates that farmers in the presence of appropriate security could avail dairy loan without any hindrances from institutional sources/noninstitutional sources. Unawareness regarding identification of diseases and Negligence in caring and management of pregnant cows and new born calves were found to be the least severe constraints in dairy farming indicating that farmers had awareness about major diseases of milch cows, vitality of caring milch cows at the time of pregnancy and after calving.

### Conclusion

The study aimed at investigation of major constraints faced by

dairy farmers in Shivamogga district. Friedman test was used to identify the most severe constraints in dairy farming. Marketing constraint appeared as most severe and personal constraint as least severe from test. 'Non-availability of quality semen' appeared as most crucial specific constraint among dairy farmers followed by 'Low price of milk'. The study implied incentive prices to be paid by government to the dairy farmers should cover rising input prices to retain their status quo position. The quality semen should be made available timely in the veterinary hospitals located in the vicinity.

### References

- 1. Anonymous. Agricultural Development Policy. Government of Kerala; c2015.
- 2. Dei HK. Soybean as a feed ingredient for livestock and poultry. In Recent trends for enhancing the diversity and quality of soybean products; c2011. from http://www.intechopen.com
- 3. Harisha M, Desai A, Gopala G, Biradar C, Savanur M. Dairy Production Constraints in Kolar and Chikkaballapur Districts of Karnataka. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2019;9(10):148-154.
- 4. Kant K, Sankhala G, Prasad K. Constraints perceived by the dairy farmers in adapting to changing climate in Western Dry Region of India. Indian Journal of Dairy Sciences. 2015;68(4):399-407.
- 5. Kavithaa NV, Vimal Rajkumar N, Manokaran S. Constraints in dairy farming: A critical analysis among the dairy farmers of Tamil Nadu. 2020;9(3):407-412.
- 6. Lal SP, Kadian KS, Kale RB. Friedman based analysis of perceived constraints among dairy farmers affected by national calamity in India. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2016;69(6);725-727.
- 7. Malik MH, Verma HK, Sharma RK. Constraints about dairy farming in central zone of Punjab by garrett's ranking technique. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2017;7(11):215-19.
- 8. Minhaj SU, Shafkat AK, Rayees AB, Bharat B, Farzana C, Adil MK. Constraints Perceived by Dairy Farmers in the Adoption of Improved Animal Husbandry Practices in Doda District. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2019;9(2):319-326.
- Santhosha KM, Gaddi GM, Gracy CP. Physical and Financial Performance Analysis of Shivamogga Milk Union Limited (SHIMUL). Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. 2020;38(7):45-54.
- Sarker D, Ghosh B. Constraints of milk production: A study on cooperative and non-cooperative dairy farms in West Bengal, Agricultural Economics Research Review. 2010;23(2):303-314.
- 11. Selvi VD. Constraints of dairy marketing. Carmelight. 2017;13(1):1-9
- Shubeena S, Shafi Mm, Mirza U, Hamdani SA, Rasool S, Amin BY. Need of sound veterinary extension system for improving the livestock production. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(5):2317-2320.
- Thankachan GT, Joseph M. Constraints faced by the dairy farmers in Thrissur district of Kerala. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science. 2019;7(4):191-198.
- 14. https://www.nddb.coop/
- 15. https://www.statista.com/