



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
 ISSN (P): 2349-8242
 NAAS Rating: 5.23
 TPI 2023; 12(11): 1117-1123
 © 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 11-09-2023
 Accepted: 22-10-2023

Rahul Kumbhare
 Department of Agronomy, College
 of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
 Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

AK Jha
 Department of Agronomy, College
 of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
 Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Rajendra Patel
 Krishi Vigyan Kendra
 Govindnagar, Bankhedhi,
 Narmadapuram, Madhya Pradesh,
 India

Ajay Jaiswal
 Department of Genetics & Plant
 Breeding, College of Agriculture,
 Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
 Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya
 Pradesh, India

Vikas Gupta
 Department of Genetics & Plant
 Breeding, College of Agriculture,
 Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa
 Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya
 Pradesh, India

Pramod Kumar
 Department of Agronomy, College
 of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
 Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Dheerendra Kumar Dwivedi
 Department of Agronomy, College
 of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
 Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

PB Sharma
 Department of Agronomy, College
 of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
 Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
AK Jha
 Department of Agronomy, College
 of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru
 Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya,
 Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Optimum dose of fertilizer with growth regulators for higher yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Rahul Kumbhare, AK Jha, Rajendra Patel, Ajay Jaiswal, Vikas Gupta, Pramod Kumar, Dheerendra Kumar Dwivedi and PB Sharma

Abstract

The current study was conducted at the All India Coordinated Research Project (AICRP) on Wheat, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.) during the *Rabi* season of 2020. The experiment followed a randomized block design with nine treatments, including various doses of fertilizer, along with the plant growth regulators chlormequat chloride and tebuconazole applied at rates of 0.2% and 0.1%, respectively, and replicated three times. The recommended dose of fertilizer, 120:60:40 kg NPK ha⁻¹, was applied according to each treatment. The results showed that using 150% of the recommended dose of fertilizer with a growth regulator resulted in a higher plant population at 15 days after sowing (DAS) and at harvest. Additionally, the number of tillers per square meter and dry matter accumulation of wheat at all growth stages (60 DAS and 90 DAS) were higher, except for plant height, which was maximized with 150% of the recommended dose of fertilizer. The most significant number of effective tillers (431.13 per square meter) and grains per ear head (31.397) were observed with the application of 150% of the recommended dose of fertilizer with the growth regulator. The maximum grain, straw, and harvest index of wheat were recorded with 150% of the recommended dose of fertilizer with the regulator, yielding 5562.33 kg/ha, 7495.62 kg/ha, and 42.60, respectively. The grain, straw, and harvest index of wheat due to different growth retardant treatments showed no statistically significant differences. In conclusion, it can be recommended that using the optimal dose of fertilizer with a plant growth regulator, such as 150% of the recommended dose, is a sustainable strategy for enhancing the growth and productivity of wheat.

Keywords: Chlormequat chloride, fertilizer, growth parameters, plant growth regulator, tebuconazole, wheat

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is commonly referred to as the "king of cereals" and is a crucial staple food crop, providing approximately half of the world's dietary calories. Wheat is highly valued for its nutritional content, being rich in carbohydrates and protein (Desai *et al.* 2015; Patel *et al.*, 2023) [7, 35]. Wheat grains contain essential nutrients, including about 12% water, 60-80% carbohydrates, 8-15% proteins with sufficient amounts of all essential amino acids (except lysine, tryptophan, and methionine), 1.5-2% fats, vitamins (such as B complex and vitamin E), and 2.2% crude fiber (Sahu *et al.*, 2023; Tomar *et al.*, 2023) [44, 62]. Wheat also serves as a good source of trace minerals like selenium and magnesium, both crucial for maintaining good health (Kumar *et al.*, 2011; Tomar *et al.*, 2023) [28, 62].

In India, wheat is cultivated on 31.45 million hectares of land, producing 107.592 million metric tons with a productivity of 3.42 metric tonnes/ha (USDA, 2020). In Madhya Pradesh, wheat is grown on 10.02 million hectares, yielding 16.52 million metric tonnes with a productivity of 3298 kg per hectare (Nirala *et al.*, 2022; Jitendra *et al.*, 2022) [58, 20]. The global demand for wheat is projected to reach around 840 million tonnes by 2030 (Kumar *et al.* 2016; Singh *et al.*, 2013) [27, 55], and India's requirement is estimated to be about 114.6 million tonnes. Meeting this growing demand in the face of limited available land necessitates a concerted effort to enhance wheat productivity (Yadav *et al.*, 2023; Patel *et al.*, 2023) [81, 35].

Proper nutrient management is a critical factor influencing crop productivity (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011; Verma *et al.*, 2023) [59-60, 68]. Long-term studies have shown that crop productivity can decline even with the application of appropriate NPK fertilizer doses (Yadav and Kumar, 2009; Jha *et al.*, 2008) [77, 19]. Field crops demonstrate a significant economic response to increased fertility levels, and productivity can be further improved by increasing NPK fertilizer doses (Singh, 2016; Jha *et al.*, 2011) [53, 16].

Effective nitrogen (N) fertilization is vital for both economic wheat production and environmental sustainability, safeguarding ground and surface waters (Alley *et al.*, 1999; Singh *et al.*, 2013) [3, 55]. Managing nitrogen fertilizer rates and timing after planting are key tools for optimizing wheat growth and development to achieve higher grain yields per unit area (Jha & Kewat, 2013; Alley *et al.*, 1999) [15, 3].

Phosphorus is a crucial nutrient for plant growth, and its importance in plant metabolism is well established (Tiwari *et al.*, 2011; Verma *et al.*, 2023) [59-60, 68]. After nitrogen stress, phosphorus (P) deficiency is the second most widespread nutrient deficiency in cereal systems worldwide (Balemi and Negisho, 2012; Raghuwanshi *et al.*, 2023) [5, 70]. The application of potassium significantly increased wheat grain yield from 2468 kg/ha in the control to 2789 kg/ha in the treatment receiving 60 kg/ha of potassium, representing a 13% increase over the control (Verma *et al.*, 2023; Sairam *et al.*, 2023) [68, 46].

Recent reports suggest that plant growth regulators can enhance wheat growth and yield (Yang *et al.* 2006; Jha & Soni, 2008) [82, 56]. Plant Growth Regulators (PGRs) are commonly used in winter wheat cultivation with high N rates (Van *et al.*, 1989; Pahade *et al.*, 2023) [67, 34]. PGRs can be applied at various growth stages to modify plant growth and development. For instance, chlormequat chloride (CCC) can be applied at the beginning of stem elongation, and another PGR, ethephon, can be used at later stages before heading to reduce cereal straw height (Rajala and Peltonen, 2001; Jha *et al.*, 2023) [38, 18]. Evidence suggests that timely application of growth retardants like chlormequat chloride (CCC) or ethephon can increase wheat and barley grain yields, independent of lodging control (Turk and Tawaha, 2002; Verma *et al.*, 2023) [66, 68]. The application of plant growth regulators, such as chlormequat chloride (CCC) and ethephon, reduces plant height, playing a significant role in enhancing wheat grain yield by altering dry matter distribution into the spikes (Yadav *et al.*, 2023; Sahu *et al.*, 2023) [81, 44]. Notably, CCC applied at 2.20 kg/ha at Zadoks growth stage (ZGS) 25 led to a significantly higher grain yield (8.9 t/ha) compared to ethephon (8.2 t/ha) and the control (7.2 t/ha) treatments. The highest grain yield (8.9 t/ha) was achieved with 200 kg/ha of nitrogen and 2.20 kg/ha of CCC application (Shekoofa and Emam, 2008; Raghuwanshi *et al.*, 2023) [50, 37].

Considering these factors, this study was conducted to determine the optimal fertilizer dosage levels in combination with growth regulators to achieve higher wheat yields (*Triticum aestivum* L).

Material and Methods

The field experiment conducted during the *Rabi* season of the year 2020-21 at the research farm of Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalyaya in Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. This study was conducted as part of the All India Coordinated Wheat Improvement Project to investigate the optimal fertilizer dosage levels in combination with growth regulators for increasing wheat yield (*Triticum aestivum* L). The region receives an average annual rainfall of 1350 mm, with approximately 90% falling between mid-June and September, exhibiting erratic distribution over time and space. The experimental field had clay loam soil with a pH of 7.2, medium organic carbon content (0.62%), low available nitrogen (288.00 kg ha⁻¹), medium available phosphorus (16.66 kg ha⁻¹), and available potassium (302.00 kg ha⁻¹), and

soluble salts concentration (0.33 ds m⁻¹) below harmful levels. The experiment conducted in randomized block design with three replications, featuring nine treatments: T1 - 50% recommended doses of NPK, T2 - 75% recommended doses of NPK, T3 - 100% recommended doses of NPK, T4 - 125% recommended doses of NPK, T5 - 150% recommended doses of NPK, T6 - 100% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators applied at the first node stage and boot leaf stage (45 and 65 DAS, respectively), T7 - 125% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators applied at the first node stage and boot leaf stage, T8 - 150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators applied at the first node stage and boot leaf stage, and T9 - Control (No fertilizer and growth regulators spray). The plant growth regulators used were chlormequat chloride (available as Lihocin) and tebuconazole (available as Folicur).

Field preparation involved one deep ploughing using a moldboard plow, followed by two cross harrowings and planking. Wheat variety MP 3382 was sown on November 16, 2020 and harvested on April 8, 2021, with a seeding rate of 100 kg/ha at a row-to-row spacing of 20 cm. The recommended fertilizer dose, i.e., 120:60:40 kg NPK ha⁻¹, was applied according to the treatment. Half of the nitrogen was supplied through Di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and urea, with the full dose of phosphorus (P₂O₅) as DAP and potash (K₂O) as muriate of potash applied before seed sowing as basal application. The remaining half of the nitrogen was applied in two equal splits as top-dressing during the Crown Root Initiation (CRI) and jointing stage, following the treatment. The crop was grown under irrigated conditions, receiving a total of six irrigations at critical growth stages. Weed control was achieved through two manual weedings in all treatment plots using a weeding hook as needed. Gap filling was conducted ten days after planting to maintain the desired plant population. Biometric observations were recorded at intervals of 15 DAS, 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS, and at the harvest stage. Data on yield attributes and yield were collected using standard procedures (Rana *et al.*, 2014) [40]. Statistical analysis was performed using the ANOVA technique recommended by Panse and Sukhatme (1967), and treatment comparisons were made at a 5% level of significance.

Results and Discussions

Growth Parameters

Results shows that the plant population per square meter did not show significant difference among different doses of fertilizer levels and growth regulators at 15 DAS and at harvest. However, maximum stand count was recorded with the application of 150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators and lowest were recorded in 150% recommended doses of NPK. The plant height was significantly influenced by the application of different doses of fertilizers at all the stages of observation. Maximum plant height at 60 and 90 DAS were recorded under the application of 150% recommended doses of NPK in which no growth regulator was applied and only water was sprayed and the lowest height reported with control treatment (no fertilizer and growth regulators spray). The plant height of wheat increased significantly with different nutrient levels. Maximum plant height was recorded in 150% recommended dose of NPK followed by 150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators at 60 and 90 DAS because higher

nutrient levels enhanced N uptake, which might have resulted in more rapid synthesis of carbohydrates and protein that in turn led to rapid cell division and cell enlargement (Yousaf *et al.*, 2014; Verma *et al.*, 2023) ^[83, 60]. The application of growth retardants did not influence plant height at early stages, obviously due to the non-imposition of treatment at this stage of crop growth. However, Cycocel+ Folicur application significantly reduced plant height at 60 and 90. Growth retardants primarily reduced plant height due to reduction of stem elongation and decreased rate of cell division (Rajala, 2004; Toppo *et al.*, 2023) ^[39, 78]. Similar results were recorded by Emam (2011) ^[9], Verma *et al.* (2023) ^[68], Latifkar *et al.* (2014) ^[29-30].

The data reveals that the highest number of tillers at 60 and 90 days after sowing (DAS) were significantly higher in the treatment using 150% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, followed by the application of 125% recommended NPK doses with growth regulators and 100% recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, in that respective order. Each of these treatments exhibited a significant difference from one another. Conversely, the lowest number of tillers per square meter was observed in the absolute control group, where no fertilizer or growth regulators were applied. Ali *et al.* (2003) ^[84] also observed that an increase in nutrient levels led to a rise in the number of fertile tillers, likely due to a decrease in tiller mortality, allowing for more tillers to develop from the main stem. Similar findings were reported by Maqsood *et al.* (2002) ^[31] and Verma *et al.* (2016) ^[60]. The application of Cycocel spray appeared to enhance the transfer of cytokinin hormones from the root to the area responsible for branch shoot production in the plant. This lengthened the developmental period of branch-forming shoots and potentially increased the number of branches in the plant, as suggested by Mohaghegh and Imam (2007) ^[33] and Sairam *et al.* (2023) ^[46]. According to Ilikaei and Emam (2003) ^[12] and Verma *et al.* (2016) ^[60], the combination of Cycocel and Folicur (Cycocel+ Folicur) also resulted in a non-significant increase in the number of branches on each plant.

The accumulation of dry matter was not affected by the presence of growth regulators during the initial stage, but the maximum accumulation at 60 and 90 days after sowing (DAS) was observed when 150% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators were applied, while significantly lower values were recorded in the control plot. Balanced nutrition plays a vital role in achieving higher dry matter accumulation by promoting increased canopy cover, as suggested by Singh *et al.* (2017) ^[54] and Yadav *et al.* (2023) ^[81]. Similar results were reported by Alam (2013) ^[1] and Kumar *et al.* (2023) ^[26]. The use of Cycocel as a growth retardant had a reducing effect on plant height, as also observed by Chaudhari *et al.* (1980) ^[6] and Ranjan *et al.* (2016) ^[41], who reported a reduction in the dry weight of wheat due to Cycocel treatment.

Yield and yield attributes

The results demonstrated that different fertilizer doses and growth regulator treatments had a significant positive impact on yield attributes, including the number of effective tillers and the number of grains per ear head (Table 2). In this study, the maximum number of effective tillers per square meter, with significantly higher values, was observed with the application of 150% of the recommended NPK doses along

with growth regulators. This treatment was followed by the application of 125% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators and 100% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, in that order. Each treatment showed significant differences from one another, with significantly lower values recorded in the control treatment. Similar findings were observed by Thakur (2009) ^[85] and Verma *et al.* (2023) ^[68] in wheat regarding effective tillers per square meter. Latifkar *et al.* (2014) ^[29-30] and Jha *et al.* (2014) ^[14] noted that the application of growth retardants increased the number of spikes per square meter, 1000-grain weight, and grain yield significantly over the control.

The number of grains per ear head was significantly affected by the application of different fertilizer levels and plant growth regulators. The maximum number of grains per ear head (31.39) was recorded with the application of 150% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, and it was on par with the application of 125% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators. Conversely, the minimum number of grains per ear head (27.76) was observed in the control treatment. These results are consistent with the findings of Kantwa *et al.* (2019) ^[21] and Yadav *et al.* (2023) ^[81].

The data revealed that grain yield was significantly influenced by the application of different fertilizer levels and plant growth regulators. Under the practices of nutrient management and growth regulators, the application of 150% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators produced the maximum grain yield (5562.33), significantly surpassing the absolute control and the recommended dose (120:60:40). It was also at par with the application of 125% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, which produced significantly higher grain yield than all the other treatments. However, the minimum grain yield (2754.7) was recorded in the control. The beneficial effect of balanced nutrition on grain yield can be explained by the fact that nutrient application increased the number of effective tillers per square meter, the number of grains per ear head, and grain weight per ear head, ultimately contributing to a higher grain yield. Ali *et al.* (2008) ^[2] and Gautam *et al.* (2021) ^[11] also found that a combination of nutrients improved yield attributes and the yield of wheat. The increase in seed yield with growth retardant treatment was attributed to its reduction in plant height, which was found to be useful in increasing the efficiency of food material translocation towards grains. Similar results were recorded by Sharif *et al.* (2007) ^[48], Soni *et al.* (2012) ^[56], Latifkar *et al.* (2014) ^[29-30] and Sepat *et al.* (2010) ^[47].

The data revealed that different fertilizer doses and growth regulators significantly influenced the straw yield of wheat. The application of 150% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators produced the significantly highest yield (10495.67 kg/ha), primarily due to the significantly highest number of tillers per square meter in this treatment. This was followed by the application of 125% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators and 100% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, in that order. The lowest straw yield was recorded in the control due to the soil's inability to provide an adequate amount of nutrients to the plants in the absence of applied fertilizers (Jha *et al.*, 2016; Kewat *et al.*, 2009) ^[13, 22]. Similar findings were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2015) ^[25]. Increased plant height and dry matter accumulation in these treatments contributed

to a higher straw yield. The increase in biological yield was due to increased dry matter accumulation, resulting from a higher number of tillers per square meter in this treatment. Another possible reason for the increased yield may be the adequate availability of nutrients during the crucial growth period. These findings were consistent with Kumar *et al.* (2015) [25] and Shukla *et al.* (2023) [66].

The data indicated that the highest harvest index (42.60) was recorded with the application of 150% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, followed by 125% of the recommended NPK doses with growth regulators, while the lowest value (38.77) was recorded in the absolute control treatment. Similar results were also reported by Swati *et al.* (2023) [57] and Tripathi *et al.* (2013) [64].

Table 1: Effect of nutrient management on growth parameters at different time intervals in wheat

Treatments	Plant population (m ⁻²)		Plant height (cm)		Number of tillers (m ⁻²)		Dry Matter Accumulation (m ⁻²)	
	15 DAS	Harvest	60 DAS	90 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
50% recommended doses of NPK	217.50	213.21	53.89	65.02	281.35	270.65	523.51	995.43
75% recommended doses of NPK	222.59	215.61	54.96	71.78	299.40	292.55	531.55	1004.64
100% recommended doses of NPK	216.57	209.31	60.00	86.28	363.66	348.25	561.99	1090.26
125% recommended doses of NPK	219.83	212.57	62.08	84.92	380.95	367.69	606.30	1120.72
150% recommended doses of NPK	214.36	208.89	62.91	91.77	396.45	382.88	731.36	1212.14
100% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators	218.22	211.33	57.51	74.37	407.93	394.55	688.74	1115.87
125% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators	220.33	210.11	58.61	80.13	424.76	415.70	734.60	1212.45
150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators	223.71	216.95	59.28	80.48	469.15	454.30	751.72	1224.99
Control (No fertilizer and growth regulators spray)	215.69	208.23	52.53	60.42	254.17	241.49	495.81	786.98
S.Em ±	2.55	2.77	0.257	1.03	2.252	33.244	1.92	3.35
C.D. (5%)	NS	NS	0.773	3.10	6.751	99.668	5.78	10.05

Table 2: Effect of nutrient management on yield attributes and yield of wheat

Treatments	Effective tillers (m ⁻²)	Grains/ear head	Grain yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index
50% recommended doses of NPK	250.40	30.35	3113.3	4854.6	39.07
75% recommended doses of NPK	269.90	29.17	3340.3	5116.3	39.50
100% recommended doses of NPK	326.16	28.15	4114.3	6153.6	40.07
125% recommended doses of NPK	344.01	29.873	4473.3	6467.0	40.89
150% recommended doses of NPK	368.52	29.437	4787.6	6802.3	41.31
100% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators	371.78	30.28	5061.6	7138.0	41.49
125% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators	385.11	30.477	5225.6	7233.0	41.94
150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulators	431.13	31.397	5562.3	7495.6	42.60
Control (No fertilizer and growth regulators spray)	224.43	27.763	2754.6	4351.0	38.77
S.Em ±	2.76	0.45	50.13	111.63	0.81
C.D. (5%)	8.27	1.36	150.31	334.69	2.43

Conclusion

The results of this study demonstrated that cultivation of wheat with application of 150% recommended doses of NPK with growth regulator (CCC and Tebuconazole) resulted in significant improvement in the growth parameter and yield attributing character of wheat. It was found more remunerative as compare to other treatments to obtain better growth parameters, yield attributing characters and yield of wheat.

References

- Alam MS. Growth and yield potentials of wheat as affected by management practices. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(47):6068-6072.
- Ali S, Khan AR, Mairaj G, Arif M, Fida M, Bibi S. Assessment of different crop nutrient management practices for yield improvement. Australian Journal of Crop Sciences. 2008;2(3):150-157.
- Alley MM, Brann DE, Hammons JL, Brethren WE. Nitrogen Management for Winter Wheat: Principles and Recommendations. Crop and Soil Environmental Sci. 1999;(1)424-429.
- Ati AS, Hassan A, Mohammed M. Effect of water stress and NPK fertilizer on growth, yield of wheat and water use efficiency. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences. 2016;9(12):21-26.
- Balemi T, Negisho K. Management of soil phosphorus and plant adaptation mechanisms to phosphorus stress for sustainable crop production: a review. J Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2012;12(3):547-562.
- Chaudhari D, Basuchavdauri P, Gupta DKD. Effect of growth substances on growth and yield of rice. Indian Agriculturist. 1980;24(3):169-175.
- Desai SA, Biradar SS, Rudra N, Yadawad A, Veerasha BA. Study of genetic variability parameters in bread wheat. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2015;6(1):123-125.
- Dwivedi AP, Mishra A, Singh SRK, Singh RP, Jha Amit. Multiplier effect of zero tillage technology on Resource Conservation in wheat cultivation. Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development. 2012;7(1):137-140.
- Emam Y. Cereal Production. 4th Edition, Shiraz University Press, Shiraz; c2011. p. 190.
- Suchi G, Naik KR, Jha Amit, Bajpai Aprna. Soil properties as influenced by organic nutrient management practices under rice based cropping systems. Res. on Crops. 2016;17(1):8-12.
- Gautam AK, Shrivastava AK, Jha A. Design Parameters of Tractor Drawn Pressurized Aqueous Fertilizer Drill.

- AMA-agricultural mechanization in Asia Africa and Latin America. 2021;52(3):54-60.
12. Ilkai M, Emam Y. Effect of plant density on yield, yield components of two cultivars of winter rapeseed. Iranian Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2003;8(6):61-64.
 13. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Mehta AK, Billaiya SK, Raghuvanshi NS. Effect of Different Concentration of Seaweed Saps on Quality, Green Fodder and Seed Yields of Berseem (*Trifolium alexandrium*), International Journal of Bio- resource and Stress Management. 2016;27(5):1008-1011.
 14. Jha AK, Shrivastava A, Raghuvansi NS, Kantwa SR. Effect of weed control practices on fodder and seed productivity of Berseem in Kymore plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2014;35(1):61-65.
 15. Jha Amit, Kewat ML. Weed compositions and seed bank as affected by different tillage and crop establishment techniques in rice-wheat system. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(1):19-24.
 16. Jha Amit Kumar, Kewat ML, Upadhyay, Vishwakarma SK. Effect of tillage and sowing management on productivity, economics and energetics of rice- wheat cropping system for Kamore Plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2011;56(1):35-50.
 17. Jha AK, Soni M. Weed management by sowing methods and herbicides in soybean. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2013;45(4):250-252.
 18. Jha AK, Yadav PS, Shrivastava A, Upadhyay AK, Sekhawat LS, Verma B, *et al.* Effect of nutrient management practices on productivity of perennial grasses under high moisture condition. AMA, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2023;54(3):12283-12288.
 19. Jha AK, Kewat ML, Chaturvedi PL, Sharma RS, Vishwakarma SK. Effect of varying tillage and sowing methods on weed dynamics under rice-wheat cropping system in Kymore plateau and Satpura hill zone of Madhya Pradesh. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2008;40(1 & 2):37-40.
 20. Jitendra S, Sharma PB, Badal V, Muskan P, Mahendra A, Rahul Y. Influence of irrigation scheduling on productivity of wheat+ mustard intercropping system. In Biological Forum: An International Journal. 2022;14(4):244-247.
 21. Kantwa SR, Agrawal RK, Jha A, Pathan SH, Patil SD, Choudhary M. Effect of different herbicides on weed control efficiency, fodder and seed yields of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.) in central India. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2019;40(2):323-328.
 22. Kewat ML, Meena Vasudev, Sharma Neetu, Jha AK. Effect of time application on the efficacy of combi and glyphosate against Para grass (*Brachiaria mutica*) in Non cropped area. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2009;40(3&4):159-161.
 23. Khan M, Khan RU, Wahab A, Rashid A. Yield and yield components of wheat as influenced by intercropping of chickpea, lentil and rapeseed in different row proportions. Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2005;42(2):420-426.
 24. Khan R, Gurmani RA, Hussain GA, Zia Sharif M. Effect Of Potassium Application on Crop Yields Under Wheat-Rice System. Sarhad J Agric. 2007;23(2):265-268.
 25. Kumar B, Dhar S, Vyas AK, Paramesh V. Impact of irrigation schedules and nutrient management on growth, yield and root traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2015;60(1):87-91.
 26. Kumar B, Shaloo, Bisht H, Meena MC, Dey A, Dass A, *et al.* Nitrogen management sensor optimization, yield, economics, and nitrogen use efficiency of different wheat cultivars under varying nitrogen levels. Front Sustain Food Syst. 2023;7:1228221.
 27. Kumar M, Yadav VD, Kumar N, Sarvjeet K, Vimal SC. Effect of sowing methods, NPK levels and zinc sulphate on grain yield and its attributing traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Research Environmental Life Science. 2016;9(4):493-496.
 28. Kumar P, Yadava RK, Gollen B, Kumar S, Verma RK, Yadav S. Nutritional contents and medicinal properties of wheat: a review. Life Sciences and Medicine Research. 2011;22:1-10.
 29. Latifkar M, Mojaddam M. The effect of time of Cycocel hormone and plant density on growth indices and grain yield of wheat in Ahvaz climate conditions. Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences. 2014;4(4):274-283.
 30. Latifkar M, Mojaddam M, Nejad TS. The effect of application time of Cycocel hormone and plant density on yield and yield components of wheat (Chamran cultivar) in Ahvaz weather conditions. International Journal of Biosciences. 2014;4(10):234-242.
 31. Maqsood M, Ali A, Aslam Z, Saeed M. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen levels on grain yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2002;4(1):164-165.
 32. Maqsood M, Shehzad MA, Asim A, Ahmad W. Optimizing rate of nitrogen application for higher growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars. Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Science. 2013;49(4):491-496.
 33. Mohaghegh R, Imam Y. Study on the effect of sycocell hormone on two cultivars of fall rapeseed. Thesis for M.S in Shiraz University; c2007.
 34. Pahade S, Jha AK, Verma B, Meshram RK, Toppo O, Shrivastava A. Efficacy of sulfentrazone 39.6% and pendimethalin as a pre emergence application against weed spectrum of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(12):51-58.
 35. Patel R, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Toppo O, Raghuvanshi S. Performance of pinoxaden herbicide against complex weed flora in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(7):339-345.
 36. Raghav P, Jha AK, Badal V, Rahul K, Richa S. Bioefficacy of pinoxaden as post-emergence herbicide against weeds in wheat crop. Pollution research. 2023;42(1):115-117.
 37. Raghuvanshi M, Jha AK, Verma B, Sahu MP, Dubey A. Assessing the effect of weed management practices on weed flora, growth and yield of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(11):112-120.
 38. Rajala A, Peltonen SP. Plant growth regulator effects on spring cereal root and shoot growth. Agron. J.

- 2001;3(93):936-943.
39. Rajala A. Plant growth regulators to manipulate oat stands. *Agricultural and Food Science*. 2004;13:186-197.
40. Rana KS, Choudhary AK, Sepat S, Bana RS, Dass A. *Methodological and Analytical Agronomy*, ISBN: 978-93-83168-07-1. New Delhi, India: An IARI, New Delhi publication; c2014. p. 276.
41. Ranjan D, Gontia AS, Jha AK, Upadhyay A, Kumar S, Das SN. Phenology and dry matter remobilization in dual purpose wheat as affected by nitrogen levels and seeding rates. *Progressive Research: An International Journal*. 2016;11(2):261-265.
42. Bhawana S, Girish J, Jha AK, Pratik S. Efficacy of chlorimuron for controlling weeds in soybean. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2015;48(1):86-89.
43. Sahu MP, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Sondhia S, Choudhary VK, Jain N, *et al.* Weed prevalence, root nodulation and chickpea productivity influenced by weed management and crop residue mulch. *AMA, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*. 2022;53(6):8511-8521.
44. Sahu V, Kewat ML, Verma B, Singh R, Jha AK, Sahu MP, *et al.* Effect of carfentrazone-ethyl on weed flora, growth and productivity in wheat. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023;12(3):3621-3624.
45. Sairam G, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Dubey A, Meshram RK. Effect of mesotrione 40% SC on weed growth, yield and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(7):608-616.
46. Sairam G, Jha AK, Verma B, Porwal M, Sahu MP, Meshram RK. Effect of pre and post-emergence herbicides on weed flora of maize. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2023;35(11):68-76.
47. Sepat RN, Rai RK, Dhar S. Planting systems and integrated nutrient management for enhanced wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) productivity. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2010;55(2):114-118.
48. Sharifi RS, Khalilzadeh R, Vatandoost M. Effects of nitrogen and Cycocel on fv/fm and dry matter mobilization of wheat. *Agronomical Research in Moldavia*. 2017;1(169):5-17.
49. Sharma T. Response of integrated nutrient supply on yield of wheat and physical-chemical properties of soil. *The Bioscan*. 2015;10:77-80.
50. Shekoofa A, Emam Y. Effects of Nitrogen Fertilization and Plant Growth Regulators (PGRs) on Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cv. Shiraz. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*. 2008;10:101-108.
51. Shukla S, Agrawal SB, Verma B, Anjna M, Ansari T. Evaluation of Different doses and Modes of Application of Ferrous Ammonium Sulfate for Maximizing Rice Production. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2008;34(23):1012-1018.
52. Harvir S, Jha Girish, Subhash B, Jha AK. Effect of seed rate and sowing depth on growth, yield attributes and yield of irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2013;58(2):57-59.
53. Singh M. Impact of nutrient management and changing climate on productivity and carbon sequestration: A case study from long term- fertilizer experiment. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2016;64:67-76.
54. Singh V, Rana NS, Kumar R, Vivek BP, Naresh RK, Kumar A, *et al.* Integration of organic and mineral nutrient sources enhances wheat productivity, soil health and profitability in western Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of Current Microbiological Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(10):3089-3097.
55. Singh H, Jha G, Rawat A, Babu S, Jha AK. Low seed rate at surface sowing enhance resilience of physiological parameters and economics of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2013;83(8).
56. Soni Monika, Jain KK, Jha AK. Weed dynamics and yield to transplanted rice (*Oryza stiva* L.) with post emergence herbicides. *Journal of Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences*. 2012;4(2):165-167.
57. Swati S, Agrawal SB, Badal V, Singh YP, Richa S, Muskan P, *et al.* Weed dynamics and productivity of chickpea as affected by weed management practices. *Pollution Research*. 2023;42(2):21-24.
58. Nirala T, Jha AK, Verma B, Yadav PS, Anjna M, Bhalse L. Bio efficacy of pinoxaden on weed flora and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Biological Forum: An International Journal*. 2022;14(4):558-561.
59. Tiwari RK, Dwived BS, Deshmukh G, Pandey AK, Jha Amit. Effect of weed control treatments on growth of little seed canary grass and productivity of wheat. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2011;43(3 & 4):239-240.
60. Tiwari RK, Khan IM, Singh Nirmla, Amit Jha. Chemical weed control in wheat through on form demonstration in Rewa district of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2011;43(3&4):215-216.
61. Tomar DS, Jha AK, Porwal M, Verma B, Tirkey S, Khare Y, *et al.* Efficacy of Halauxifen-methyl+ Florasulam against Complex Weeds in Wheat under Kymore Plateau and Satpura Hill Zone of Madhya Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2023;35(15):161-171.
62. Tomar DS, Jha AK, Verma B, Meshram RK, Porwal M, Chouhan M, *et al.* Comparative Efficacy of Different Herbicidal Combinations on Weed Growth and Yield Attributes of Wheat. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(8):889-898.
63. Oscar T, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Yadav PS, Verma B. Effect of Sowing time and weed management practices on weed dynamics, productivity and economics of direct-seeded rice. *Eco. Env. & Cons*. 2023;29(3):80-85.
64. Tripathi SC, Chander S, Meena RP. Effect of early sowing, N levels and seed rates on yield and yield attributes of different wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) varieties. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2013;58(1):63-66.
65. Tripathi SC, Sayre KD, Kaul JN, Narang RS. Lodging behavior and yield potential of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Effects of ethephon and genotypes. *Field Crops Research*. 2004;87(2):207-220.
66. Turk MA, Tawaha AM. Response of Winter Wheat to Applied N with or without Ethrel Spray under Irrigation Planted in Semi-arid Environments. *Asian J Plant Sci*. 2002;1(4):464-466.
67. Sanford VDA, Grove JH, Grabau LJ, Kown MCT. Ethephon and Nitrogen Use in Winter Wheat. *Agron. J*. 1989;81:951-954.
68. Badal V, Manish B, Jha AK, Muskan P. Influence of weed management practices on direct-seeded rice grown under rainfed and irrigated agroecosystems. *Environment Conservation Journal*. 2023;24(3):240-248.

69. Badal V, Manish B, Jha AK, Agrawal KK, Kewat ML, Muskan P. Weed management in direct-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*) in central India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2023;68(2):217-220.
70. Badal V, Manish B, Jha AK, Shahiba K, Monika R, Lalita B, *et al.* Weeds of direct-seeded rice influenced by herbicide mixture. *Pharma Innovation*. 2022;11(2):1080-1082.
71. Badal V, Manish B, Jha AK, Muskan P, Raghav P. Assessment of different herbicides for effective weed management in direct seeded rice technology. *Eco. Env. & Cons.* 2023;29(3):211-217.
72. Verma Badal, Bhan Manish, Jha AK, Singh Vikash, Patel Rajendra, Sahu MP, *et al.* Weed management in direct-seeded rice through herbicidal mixtures under diverse agroecosystems. *AMA, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*. 2022;53(4):7299-7306.
73. Verma Badal, Jha AK, Ramakrishnan RS, Sharma PB, Agrawal KK, Gulaiya Shani. Effect of deficit irrigation and foliar application on nutrient uptake of wheat. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023;12(10):979-985.
74. Deepika V, Gontia AS, Amit J, Anita D. 2016. Study on leaf area index and leaf area duration of growth analytical parameters in Wheat, Barley, and Oat. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*. 2023;9(5):827-831.
75. Deepika V, Gontia AS, Jha Amit, Anita D. Study of cutting management on proximate analysis in wheat, oat and barley crops. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*. 2016;9(4):593-597.
76. Verma B, Porwal M, Jha AK, Vyshnavi RG, Rajpoot Alok, Nagar AK. Proximal Remote Sensing: Enhancing Precision Agriculture and Environmental Monitoring. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*. 2023;45(8):162-176.
77. Yadav DS, Kumar A. Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil health and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2009;54(1):15-23.
78. Yadav PS, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Sahu MP, Verma B, Toppo Oscar. Floristic composition of weeds as influence by sowing time and herbicides in berseem. *Eco. Env. & Cons.* 2023;29(3):64-68.
79. Yadav PK, Sikarwar RS, Verma B, Tiwari S, Shrivastava DK. Genetic divergence for grain yield and its components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): Experimental investigation. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023;13(5):340-348.
80. Yadav PS, Jha AK, Pachauri V, Verma B, Shrivastava A, Chouhan M, *et al.* Oat genotypes response to different nitrogen levels under agro-climatic condition of Kymore Plateau zone of Madhya Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2023;12(4):2371-2374.
81. Yadav PS, Kewat ML, Jha AK, Hemalatha K, Verma B. Effect of sowing management and herbicides on the weed dynamics of berseem (*Trifolium alexandrinum*). *Pharma Innovation*. 2023;12(2):2845-2848.
82. Yang WP, Hu XQ, Wu DF. Effect of Tianda-2116 on Matter Distribution and Yield of Winter Wheat. *Journal of Henan Agricultural Sciences*. 2006;2(1):20-25.
83. Yousaf M, Shaaban M, Ali SAI, Fahad S, Khan MJ, Wang Y, Shah AN, *et al.* The effect of nitrogen application rates and timings of first irrigation on wheat growth and yield. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*. 2014;2(4):645-653.
84. Ali BH. Agents ameliorating or augmenting experimental gentamicin nephrotoxicity: some recent research. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 2003 Nov 1;41(11):1447-1452.
85. Mukherjee S, Ray S, Thakur RS. Solid lipid nanoparticles: a modern formulation approach in drug delivery system. *Indian journal of pharmaceutical sciences*. 2009 Jul;71(4):349.