



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(11): 1761-1766
© 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 13-09-2023
Accepted: 17-10-2023

Bhyravi BM
Ph.D. Scholar, Kittur Rani
Channamma College of
Horticulture, Arabhavi,
Karnataka, India

Dr. Athani SI
Director of Extension (Rtd),
University of Horticultural
Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka,
India

Dr. DP Prakasha
Associate Professor, College of
Horticulture, Sirsi, Karnataka,
India

Dr. Anil I Sabarad
Assistant professor, Kittur Rani
Channamma College of
Horticulture, Arabhavi,
Karnataka, India

Dr. MS Kulkarni
Director of Education (Rtd),
University of Horticultural
Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka,
India

Dr. Nagesh Naik
Professor and Dean (Rtd),
College of Horticulture, Sirsi,
Karnataka, India

Dr. Ashok
Assistant Professor, College of
Horticulture, Sirsi, Karnataka,
India

Dr. Puspha TN
Associate Professor, College of
Horticulture, Kolar, Karnataka,
India

Dr. Yallesh Kumar HS
Associate Professor and Head,
Kittur Rani Channamma College
of Horticulture, Arabhavi,
Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:
Bhyravi BM
Ph.D. Scholar, Kittur Rani
Channamma College of
Horticulture, Arabhavi,
Karnataka, India

Effect of different sterilization agents on *in vitro* culture establishment of guava cv. Arka Kiran

Bhyravi BM, Dr. Athani SI, Dr. DP Prakasha, Dr. Anil I Sabarad, Dr. MS Kulkarni, Dr. Nagesh Naik, Dr. Ashok, Dr. Puspha TN and Dr. Yallesh Kumar HS

Abstract

Bacterial and fungal contaminations are major problems during *in vitro* culture stages. Therefore, surface sterilization is an obligatory step prior to *in vitro* culture of any plant tissue and can become a critical point in establishment of certain species, especially when the explant is derived from field grown woody perennial plants. An experiment on "Effect of sterilizing agents on *in vitro* culture establishment of guava cv. Arka Kiran" was carried out at College of Horticulture, Sirsi, Uttara Kannada, Karnataka during 2021-2023. The study revealed significant influence of different sterilizing agents on initiation response, survival, contamination and health parameters. The nodal explants sterilized with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for five minutes showed maximum initiation of response (100%) and survival (100%), minimum days taken for regeneration (8.35) and contamination (0%) with better health.

Keywords: Guava, Arka Kiran, sterilizing agent, contamination, survival

Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is one of the most common and important commercial fruit crops cultivated in both subtropical and tropical regions of the world. The major share of the fruits production in India is utilized for fresh consumption. Genus *Psidium* have about 150 species, most of which are fruit bearing trees. It is native to tropical America, stretching from Mexico to Peru (Singh, 2016) [17]. It is widely adopted and tolerate in frost, drought and saline conditions. It has good amount of ascorbic acid, dietary fibres, vitamin-A (about 250 IU/100 g), pectin, calcium, phosphorus, iron and other nutrients. The roots, bark, leaves and green fruits are used as medicine for gastrointestinal problems, diarrhoea and dysentery (Rathore, 1976) [12]. In India, it has become an important fruit crop, contributing 0.4 percent of total fruit production with estimated production of 4.05 million tons from 0.26 million ha (NHB, 2018) [9]. Major guava producing states are Maharashtra, Bihar, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, West Bengal and Tamil Nadu (Singh, 2007) [15].

Arka Kiran variety of guava is gaining importance in nowadays mainly due to semi vigorous, prolific and precocious bearing nature, yields medium sized fruits (200-220 g) with firm, deep red pulp and with high lycopene content (7.14 mg/100 g). Fruits having TSS of 11-12^o Brix, good vitamin C (190-200 mg/100 g) with medium soft seeds. Crop will come to harvest after two years of planting. Economic yield starts after five years with average fruit yield of 20 ton per acre in a spacing of 4m × 3m (Mitra and Irenaeus, 2018) [7].

Bacterial and fungal contaminations are major problems during *in vitro* culture stages. Therefore, the tissue must be surface sterilized before placing it on nutritional media in order to prevent contamination in cultures especially when the explants collected from a field grown woody perennial plants. The microorganism load in cultures lowered the shoot proliferation rate, compete with plant tissues for nutrients, increased explant mortality, caused tissue necrosis and aberrant growth. In most of the commercial and research plant tissue culture laboratories, contamination caused around 3 to 15% losses for each subculture cycle. As a result, the time, effort and material waste caused by this problem, there were financial losses. In particular it was difficult to get rid of microorganism in woody plant explants (Wolella, 2017) [20]. It is important to choose the right sterilizing agent and exposure duration so that only contaminants are removed from living material not its viability. Hence, the experiment was carried out to select best sterilizing agent and duration of exposure for controlling contamination with better regeneration.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at College of horticulture, Sirsi, Uttar Kannada, (Karnataka) India. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design. There were 21 treatments of different sterilizing agents. Each treatment was replicated thrice.

Explant source and treatment

The explants used were nodal explants and were collected from plants of Arka Kiran that were maintained under polyhouse. Explants washed under running tap water for 10 minutes and cut into desired size for sterilization, treated with Bavistin (2 gm/lit) + 0.5 gm/lit Citrimide for 3 hours with Tween 20, then treated with Ascorbic acid and Citric acid at 25 mg/lit each for 15 minutes and then explants were washed with sterile water for three times. After that explants were treated with different sterilizing agents with different duration of exposure as per the treatment details and finally washed with sterile water for five times inside the laminar hood. The MS media was used as basal media along with BAP (3 mg/L) and NAA (0.1 mg/L).

Treatment details

T₁ - (Control), T₂ - (4% NaOCl for 3 min), T₃ - (4% NaOCl for 5 min), T₄ - (4% NaOCl for 8 min), T₅ - (4% NaOCl for 10 min), T₆ - (0.05% HgCl₂ for 3 min), T₇ - (0.05% HgCl₂ for 5 min), T₈ - (0.05% HgCl₂ for 8 min), T₉ - (0.05% HgCl₂ for 10 min), T₁₀ - (0.1% HgCl₂ for 3 min), T₁₁ - (0.1% HgCl₂ for 5 min), T₁₂ - (0.1% HgCl₂ for 8 min), T₁₃ - (0.1% HgCl₂ for 10 min), T₁₄ - (6% H₂O₂ for 3 min), T₁₅ - (6% H₂O₂ for 5 min), T₁₆ - (6% H₂O₂ for 8 min), T₁₇ - (6% H₂O₂ for 10 min), T₁₈ - (0.5% NaOH for 3 min), T₁₉ - (0.5% NaOH for 5 min), T₂₀ - (0.5% NaOH for 8 min) and T₂₁ - (0.5% NaOH for 10 min).

Observations

The observations taken were initiation response, days taken for regeneration, survival (%), contamination (%) and health of explant at first, second, third and fourth week of culture. For initiation of response, the explants that were healthy greenish and exhibiting some degree of shoot initiation as bulged buds or increase in length of explants were treated as response and their percentage were expressed. The average number of days it took for the first bud to sprout to form explant was recorded to calculate days taken for regeneration. The explants were scaled as +++ for least health (Dead or explants with maximum browning and deformation), ++ for moderate health (Moderate green with less browning and less deformation) and +++ for good healthy (Fully green and without any browning and deformation) based on health of explant. The survival and contamination (%) was calculated using below formula.

$$\text{Survival (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of explants survived}}{\text{Total number of explants inoculated}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Contamination (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total number of explants contaminated}}{\text{Total number of explants inoculated}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis

The data recorded for all the parameters was statistically analysed (ANOVA) by following the completely randomized design (CRD) at 1% level of significance. The analysis has been done in Web Agri-Stat Package (WASP 2.0) developed by ICAR Research Complex, Goa.

Results and Discussion

Effect on initiation response in explants (%)

The different surface sterilants showed significant influence on the initiation response in nodal segments (Table 1). In the first week, there was no initiation response noticed in all the treatments. Whereas, the initiation response started during the second week of culture, the highest response (100% at first, second and third week of culture) was noticed in T₁₁ (0.1% HgCl₂ for 5 min) which was followed by T₉ (0.05% HgCl₂ for 10 min) (88.21%, 88.38% and 88.38% at first, second and third week of culture respectively) and the lowest (0%) response was noticed in T₁ (Control), T₂ (4% NaOCl for 3 min), T₃ (4% NaOCl for 5 min), T₄ (4% NaOCl for 8 min), T₅ (4% NaOCl for 10 min), T₆ (0.05% HgCl₂ for 3 min), T₁₃ (0.1% HgCl₂ for 10 min), T₁₄ (6% H₂O₂ for 3 min), T₁₇ (6% H₂O₂ for 10 min), T₁₈ (0.5% NaOH for 3 min), T₁₉ (0.5% NaOH for 5 min), T₂₀ (0.5% NaOH for 8 min) and T₂₁ (0.5% NaOH for 10 min). The highest (100%) initiation response was recorded in treatment where explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for five minutes. The response was noticed only in treatment where explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.05% for 5, 8 and 10 minutes), HgCl₂ (0.1% for 3, 5 and 8 minutes) and H₂O₂ (6% for 5 and 8 minutes). No response was noticed in NaOCl (4% for 3, 5, 8 and 10 minutes), (0.1%) HgCl₂ at 10 minutes, H₂O₂ (6% for 3 and 10 minutes) and NaOH (0.5% for 3, 5, 8 and 10 minutes). Similarly, Zamir *et al.* (2007) [22], reported highest initiation of response (72%) when explants were surface sterilized with HgCl₂ (0.05%) for five minutes in shoot tips of guava cv. Safeda. Yadav and Singh (2011) [21] reported maximum *in vitro* germination in *Albizia lebbek*, when the seeds were treated with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for five minutes.

Effect on days taken for regeneration

The different surface sterilants showed significant influence on days taken for regeneration in nodal segments (Table 1). In the first week, there was no regeneration observed in all the treatments. Whereas, regeneration started during the second week of culture, the significant lower number of days (8.35) was taken in T₁₁ (0.1% HgCl₂ for 5 min) which was on par with T₁₂ (0.1% HgCl₂ for 8 min) (9.46) and T₉ (0.05% HgCl₂ for 10 min) (9.76). Whereas, the highest number of days (15.36) was taken in T₁₆ (6% H₂O₂ for 8 min) which was on par with T₁₅ (6% H₂O₂ for 5 min) (14.35). The average number of days taken for regeneration ranged from 8.35 to 15.36 days among the treatments. Similar to this, Mishra *et al.* (2007) [6] reported that, when explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.1% for 6 minutes) took five days for bud induction in shoots of guava.

Effect on survival (%)

As the culture time increases, survival percentage of nodal segments was decreased in almost all the treatments (Table 2). The surface sterilants showed significant influence on survival (%) of explants. At first week of culture, the highest (100%) survival was recorded in T₂, T₃, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂, T₁₃, T₁₄, T₁₅, T₁₆ and T₁₈ followed by T₂₀ (53.20%) which was on par with T₄ (55.50%). Whereas, the (0%) survivability was noticed in T₁, T₁₉ and T₂₁. At second week of culture, the highest (100%) survival was recorded in T₂, T₅, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂, T₁₆ and T₁₈ followed by T₃ (73.58%) which was on par with T₆ (68.42%). Whereas, the lowest (0%) survivability was noticed in T₁, T₁₃, T₁₇, T₁₉ and T₂₁. At third week of culture, highest (100%) survival was noticed in T₈,

T₉, T₁₁ and T₁₂ followed by T₁₀ (76.42%). Whereas, the lowest (0%) survivability was noticed in T₁, T₄, T₅, T₁₃, T₁₇, T₁₉ and T₂₁. At fourth week of culture, the highest (100%) survival was observed in T₈, T₉, T₁₁ and T₁₂ followed by T₁₀ (71.35%). Whereas, the lowest (0%) survivability was observed in T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₃, T₁₇, T₁₈, T₁₉, T₂₀ and T₂₁.

The survival percent in this study was depends on concentration and exposure time of sterilizing agents and contamination percent. The 100 percent survival was noticed in treatments where explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.05% for 8 and 10 minutes) and HgCl₂ (0.1% for 5 and 8 minutes). Whereas, zero survival was noticed in control, NaOCl (4% for 3, 5, 8 and 10 minutes), HgCl₂ (0.05% for 3 minutes), HgCl₂ (0.1% for 10 minutes), H₂O₂ (6% for 10 minutes) and NaOH (0.5% for 3, 5, 8 and 10 minutes) at fourth week of culture. The results are similar with Jan *et al.* (2013) [2]. They reported highest survival (37.77 and 31.11% in runner tip and nodal segment, respectively) when explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for four minutes in strawberry. Zamir *et al.* (2004) [23] reported that, maximum (67%) and minimum survival (22%) with HgCl₂ (0.05%) for five minutes and NaOCl (2%) for 10 minutes, respectively in shoot tip explants of guava. Similar results were also reported by Khattak *et al.* (1990) [3] in guava. Survival in this study was reduced mainly by two factors *i.e.* contamination percent and high concentration of sterilizing agents. In this study, HgCl₂ (0.1%) for 10 minutes have showed zero percent survival even though the same sterilizing agent was effective at other exposure times (3, 5 and 8 minutes). The results are similar with Meghwal *et al.* (2000) [4]. They reported maximum survival (67%) at HgCl₂ (0.05%) for five minutes but when exposure time increased from five to ten minutes the survival rate reduced to 37 percent. The probable reason for the death of explants may be the heavy metal contamination of mercury present in the HgCl₂, causing detrimental effect for the survival of the explant when they are treated for a long time (Papadatou *et al.*, 1990) [10]. The explants treated with NaOCl (4%) and NaOH (0.5%) gave zero survival at all exposure time. Which may be due to high contamination (100%) at these treatments. The contamination spread rapidly all over the explants and thereby reduces the survival rate in explants. In some studies the combination of sterilizing agents gave superior results than individual sterilants in guava (Mishra *et al.*, 2010 and Devi and Yadav, 2022) [5, 1].

Effect on contamination (%)

As the culture time increases, contamination percentage in nodal segments was increased in almost all the treatments (Table 3). The surface sterilants showed significant influence on contamination (%) of explants. At first week of culture, the highest (100%) contamination was observed in T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₂₁ followed by T₂₀ (61.37%). Whereas, the lowest (0%) contamination was observed in T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂, T₁₃, T₁₄, T₁₅ and T₁₉. At second week of culture, the highest (100%) contamination was noticed in T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₄, T₁₈ and T₂₁ followed by T₂₀ (65.68%). Whereas, the lowest (0%) contamination was noticed in T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂ and T₁₅. At third week of culture, highest (100%) contamination was recorded in T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₄, T₁₈, T₂₀ and T₂₁ followed by T₁₇ (63.29%). Whereas, the lowest (0%) contamination was recorded in T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂ and T₁₅. At fourth week of culture, the highest (100%) contamination was found in T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₄, T₁₈, T₁₉, T₂₀ and T₂₁ followed by T₁₇ (68.45%). Whereas, the lowest (0%)

contamination was found in T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂ and T₁₅.

In the present study, no contamination was noticed in treatments where explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.05% for 8 and 10 minutes), HgCl₂ (0.1% for 3, 5 and 8 minutes), H₂O₂ (6% for 5 minutes). However, 100 percent contamination was noticed control, NaOCl (4% for 3, 5, 8 and 10 minutes), HgCl₂ (0.05% for 3 minutes), H₂O₂ (6% for 3 minutes) and NaOH (0.5% for 3, 5, 8 and 10 minutes) at fourth week of culture. The bacterial contamination was not noticed much in all explants because the growth of fungal contamination was very quick in the culture and it has covered entire surface of explants. The results are similar in studies by Shah *et al.* (2008) [14]. They reported that surface sterilization of guava seeds with HgCl₂ (0.05%) for five minutes gave maximum aseptic plants. In another study, Singh and Patel (2016) [18] reported that, surface sterilization with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for five minutes resulted in reduced contamination of 23.50 percent in nodal segment and HgCl₂ (0.1%) for three minutes resulted in contamination of 12.33 percent in shoot tips of pomegranate. Among different HgCl₂ treatments the maximum aseptic cultures (43.33 and 40.00% in runner tip and nodal segment, respectively) were obtained at 0.1 percent for four minutes in strawberry (Jan *et al.*, 2013) [2]. Rattanpal *et al.* (2011) [13] reported least (20%) contamination when explants were treated with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for four minutes in strawberry. Singh *et al.* (2007) [15] obtained maximum aseptic culture (74.0%) when the explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.1%) for 10 minutes in guava. Yadav and Singh (2011) [21] reported minimum contamination (20.0%) in *Albizia lebbek*, when the seeds were treated with HgCl₂ (0.1% for 8 minutes). Puchooa (2004) [11] reported no contamination in leaf explants of lychee treated with HgCl₂ (0.1% at 10, 15 and 30 minutes). In this study, the explants treated with NaOCl (4%) and NaOH (0.5%) gave 100 percent contamination at all exposure time which indicates these sterilizing agents alone were not efficient in controlling contamination. Similar to this Meghwal *et al.* (2000) [4] also reported 100 percent contamination in NaOCl treatments when it was used alone. In contrast to this, Nelson *et al.* (2015) [8] reported that NaOCl (2% for 20 minutes) was effective for sterilization of axillary bud explants in pineapple. In Gisela-5 (*Prunus cerasus* x *Prunus canescens*) NaOCl (2.0% for 3 minutes) showed very less contamination (13.33%) in auxiliary bud explants (Thakur *et al.*, 2016) [19].

Effect on health of explant Health (+++, ++, +)

As the culture time increases, health of nodal segments was decreased in almost all the treatments (Table 4). At first week of culture, the explants in T₂, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂, T₁₃ and T₁₄ showed good health (+++), T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₅, T₁₆, T₁₇ and T₁₈ showed moderate health (++) and explants in T₁, T₂₀ and T₂₁ showed least health (+). At second week of culture, the explants in T₂, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂ and T₁₃ expressed good health (+++), T₃, T₄, T₆, T₁₄, and T₁₅ expressed moderate health (++) and explants in T₁, T₅, T₁₆, T₁₇, T₁₈, T₁₉, T₂₀ and T₂₁ expressed least health (+). At third week of culture, the explants in T₂, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, T₁₁, T₁₂ and T₁₃ showed good health (+++), T₃, T₄, T₆, T₁₀, T₁₃, T₁₄ and T₁₅ showed moderate health (++) and explants in T₁, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₆, T₁₇, T₁₈, T₁₉, T₂₀ and T₂₁ showed least health (+). At fourth week of culture, the explants in T₉, T₁₁ and T₁₂ showed good health (+++), T₇, T₈, and T₁₀ showed moderate health (++) and explants in T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₁₃, T₁₄, T₁₅, T₁₆, T₁₇, T₁₈, T₁₉, T₂₀ and T₂₁ showed least health (+).

In this study, the good health (+++) was recorded in treatments where explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.05% for 5, 8 and 10 minutes) and HgCl₂ (0.1% for 3, 5 and 8 minutes). The treatment with H₂O₂ (6% for 5 and 8 minutes) showed moderate health (++) and all other treatments showed least

health (+). The results were similar with Jan *et al.* (2013)^[2]. They reported lowest necrotic cultures (6.66 and 11.11% in runner tip and nodal segment, respectively) when explants treated with HgCl₂ (0.1% for 4 minutes) in strawberry and thereby maintaining good health in explants.

Table 1: Effect of different sterilizing agents on initiation response and days taken for regeneration in guava cv. Arka Kiran

Sl. No.	Treatments		Initiation response (%)			Days taken for regeneration
			15 Days	21 Days	30 Days	
1.	T ₁	Control	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
2.	T ₂	4% NaOCl for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
3.	T ₃	4% NaOCl for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
4.	T ₄	4% NaOCl for 8 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
5.	T ₅	4% NaOCl for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
6.	T ₆	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
7.	T ₇	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	51.48 (45.85) ^d	55.45 (48.13) ^d	55.45 (48.13) ^d	12.42 (3.65) ^{cd}
8.	T ₈	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	81.33 (64.48) ^c	86.37 (68.47) ^b	86.37 (68.34) ^c	11.10 (3.47) ^{bc}
9.	T ₉	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	88.21 (70.01) ^b	88.38 (70.11) ^b	88.38 (70.09) ^b	9.76 (3.27) ^{ab}
10.	T ₁₀	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	26.51 (30.95) ^e	31.38 (34.06) ^e	33.61 (35.43) ^e	10.28 (3.35) ^{abc}
11.	T ₁₁	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	8.35 (3.05) ^a
12.	T ₁₂	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	79.71 (63.29) ^c	81.43 (64.52) ^c	85.38 (67.53) ^c	9.46 (3.22) ^a
13.	T ₁₃	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
14.	T ₁₄	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
15.	T ₁₅	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 5 min	20.33 (26.69) ^f	23.38 (28.86) ^f	23.38 (28.91) ^f	14.35 (3.91) ^{de}
16.	T ₁₆	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 8 min	13.23 (21.12) ^g	17.47 (24.62) ^g	17.47 (24.70) ^g	15.36 (4.04) ^e
17.	T ₁₇	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
18.	T ₁₈	0.5% NaOH for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
19.	T ₁₉	0.5% NaOH for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
20.	T ₂₀	0.5% NaOH for 8 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
21.	T ₂₁	0.5% NaOH for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (1)
SEm ±			1.01	0.83	0.25	0.009
LSD at 0.01%			3.87	3.02	0.96	0.33
CV (%)			8.87	6.67	2.10	7.83

The values given in parenthesis are arc sine transformed in initiation of response (%) and square root transformed in days taken for regeneration. Values with the same letter are

stastically non-significant at LSD ($p \leq 0.01$).

NaOCl - Sodium hypochlorite, HgCl₂ - Mercuric chloride, H₂O₂ - Hydrogen peroxide and NaOH - Sodium hydroxide.

Table 2: Effect of different sterilizing agents on survival percent of explants in guava cv. Arka Kiran

Sl. No.	Treatments		Survival (%)			
			7 Days	15 Days	21 Days	30 Days
1.	T ₁	Control	0 (0.28) ^d	0 (0.28) ^e	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
2.	T ₂	4% NaOCl for 3 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	65.31 (53.93) ^{cd}	0 (0.28) ^g
3.	T ₃	4% NaOCl for 5 min	100 (90.00) ^a	73.58 (59.13) ^b	61.30 (51.55) ^{de}	0 (0.28) ^g
4.	T ₄	4% NaOCl for 8 min	55.50 (48.17) ^b	53.42 (46.96) ^d	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
5.	T ₅	4% NaOCl for 10 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
6.	T ₆	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	100 (90.00) ^a	68.42 (55.85) ^b	45.30 (42.29) ^f	0 (0.28) ^g
7.	T ₇	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	65.35 (53.97) ^{cd}	61.24 (51.50) ^c
8.	T ₈	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
9.	T ₉	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
10.	T ₁₀	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	76.42 (61.00) ^b	71.35 (57.65) ^b
11.	T ₁₁	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
12.	T ₁₂	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
13.	T ₁₃	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	100 (90.00) ^a	0 (0.28) ^e	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
14.	T ₁₄	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 3 min	100 (90.00) ^a	61.25 (51.53) ^c	56.82 (48.93) ^e	43.30 (41.15) ^f
15.	T ₁₅	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 5 min	100 (90.00) ^a	69.45 (56.49) ^b	55.32 (48.06) ^e	50.33 (45.19) ^d
16.	T ₁₆	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 8 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	59.35 (50.40) ^{de}	45.56 (42.45) ^e
17.	T ₁₇	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 10 min	48.26 (44.03) ^c	0 (0.28) ^e	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
18.	T ₁₈	0.5% NaOH for 3 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	68.32 (55.76) ^c	0 (0.28) ^g
19.	T ₁₉	0.5% NaOH for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^d	0 (0.28) ^e	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
20.	T ₂₀	0.5% NaOH for 8 min	53.20 (46.84) ^b	50.18 (45.10) ^d	33.57 (35.37) ^g	0 (0.28) ^g
21.	T ₂₁	0.5% NaOH for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^d	0 (0.28) ^e	0 (0.28) ^h	0 (0.28) ^g
SEm ±			0.50	0.93	1.03	0.33
LSD at 0.01%			1.90	3.55	3.92	1.26
CV (%)			1.21	2.78	4.32	2.01

The values given in parenthesis are arc sine transformed in survival (%). Values with the same letter are stastically non-significant at LSD ($p \leq 0.01$).

NaOCl - Sodium hypochlorite, HgCl₂ - Mercuric chloride, H₂O₂ - Hydrogen peroxide and NaOH - Sodium hydroxide.

Table 3: Effect of different sterilizing agents on contamination percent of explants in guava cv. Arka Kiran

Sl. No.	Treatments		Contamination (%)			
			7 Days	15 Days	21 Days	30 Days
1.	T ₁	Control	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
2.	T ₂	4% NaOCl for 3 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
3.	T ₃	4% NaOCl for 5 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
4.	T ₄	4% NaOCl for 8 min	61.33 (51.55) ^b	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
5.	T ₅	4% NaOCl for 10 min	53.30 (46.89) ^d	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
6.	T ₆	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^f	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
7.	T ₇	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	10.27 (18.63) ^e
8.	T ₈	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f
9.	T ₉	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f
10.	T ₁₀	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f
11.	T ₁₁	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f
12.	T ₁₂	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f
13.	T ₁₃	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	0 (0.28) ^f	30.33 (33.37) ^e	35.36 (36.45) ^e	40.35 (39.43) ^d
14.	T ₁₄	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 3 min	0 (0.28) ^f	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
15.	T ₁₅	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f	0 (0.28) ^f
16.	T ₁₆	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 8 min	52.21 (46.27) ^d	54.53 (47.61) ^c	55.38 (48.10) ^c	58.89 (50.12) ^c
17.	T ₁₇	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 10 min	55.52 (48.17) ^c	59.96 (50.77) ^c	63.29 (52.74) ^b	68.45 (55.83) ^b
18.	T ₁₈	0.5% NaOH for 3 min	48.31 (44.03) ^e	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
19.	T ₁₉	0.5% NaOH for 5 min	0 (0.28) ^f	38.37 (38.25) ^d	48.52 (44.15) ^d	100 (90.00) ^a
20.	T ₂₀	0.5% NaOH for 8 min	61.37 (51.57) ^b	65.68 (54.17) ^b	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
21.	T ₂₁	0.5% NaOH for 10 min	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a	100 (90.00) ^a
SEm ±			0.18	0.84	0.73	0.36
LSD at 0.01%			0.70	3.21	2.81	1.36
CV (%)			1.02	2.95	2.47	1.12

The values given in parenthesis are arc sine transformed in contamination (%). Values with the same letter are stastically non-significant at LSD ($p \leq 0.01$).

NaOCl - Sodium hypochlorite, HgCl₂ - Mercuric chloride, H₂O₂ - Hydrogen peroxide and NaOH - Sodium hydroxide.

Table 4: Effect of different sterilizing agents on health of explant in guava cv. Arka Kiran

Sl. No.	Treatments		Health			
			7 Days	7 Days	7 Days	7 Days
1.	T ₁	Control	+	+	+	+
2.	T ₂	4% NaOCl for 3 min	+++	+++	++	+
3.	T ₃	4% NaOCl for 5 min	++	++	++	+
4.	T ₄	4% NaOCl for 8 min	++	++	+	+
5.	T ₅	4% NaOCl for 10 min	++	+	+	+
6.	T ₆	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	++	++	+	+
7.	T ₇	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	+++	+++	+++	+++
8.	T ₈	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	+++	+++	+++	+++
9.	T ₉	0.05% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	+++	+++	+++	+++
10.	T ₁₀	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 3 min	+++	+++	++	+++
11.	T ₁₁	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 5 min	+++	+++	+++	+++
12.	T ₁₂	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 8 min	+++	+++	+++	+++
13.	T ₁₃	0.1% HgCl ₂ for 10 min	+++	+++	++	+
14.	T ₁₄	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 3 min	+++	++	++	+
15.	T ₁₅	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 5 min	++	++	++	++
16.	T ₁₆	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 8 min	+	+	+	++
17.	T ₁₇	6% H ₂ O ₂ for 10 min	++	+	+	+
18.	T ₁₈	0.5% NaOH for 3 min	++	+	+	+
19.	T ₁₉	0.5% NaOH for 5 min	+++	+	+	+
20.	T ₂₀	0.5% NaOH for 8 min	+	+	+	+
21.	T ₂₁	0.5% NaOH for 10 min	+	+	+	+

Good health (+++), moderate health (++) and least health (+).

NaOCl - Sodium hypochlorite, HgCl₂ - Mercuric chloride, H₂O₂ - Hydrogen peroxide and NaOH - Sodium hydroxide.

Conclusion

Among the various sterilizing agents, HgCl₂ was showed

superior results when compare to other sterilizing agents. Among various HgCl₂, the HgCl₂ (0.5%) at 8 and 10 minutes

and HgCl₂ (1.0%) at 5 and 8 minutes showed superior results. Overall, HgCl₂ (0.1%) at five minutes was performed better with respect to all parameters like zero contamination, maximum survival and initiation response, early regeneration and good health.

Reference

- Devi S, Yadav NR. Role of explants collecting seasons and sterilization process on *in vitro* regeneration of guava: A technology hurdle. *J Pharm. Innov.* 2022;11(5):1244-1248.
- Jan A, Bhat KM, Bhat SJA, Mir MA, Bhat MA, Imtiyaz A, *et al.* Surface sterilization method for reducing microbial contamination of field grown strawberry explants intended for *in vitro* culture. *Afr. J Biotechnol.* 2013;12(39): 5749-5753.
- Khattak MS, Malik MN, Khan MA. Effect of surface sterilization agents on *in vitro* culture of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sufeda tissue. *Sarhad J Agric.* 1990;6(2):151-154.
- Meghwal PR, Sharma HC, Singh HK. Effect of surface sterilizing agents on *in vitro* culture establishment of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *J Appl. Hortic.* 2000;2(2):94-95.
- Mishra DS, Tiwari JP, Kumar R, Kumar P, Mishra NK. *In vitro* clonal propagation studies on the nodal explants of *Psidium cujavallis*. *Ann. Hortic.* 2010;3(1):58-62.
- Mishra R, Chandra R, Pati R, Bajpai A. Micropropagation of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Acta Hortic.* 2007;735:155-158.
- Mitra SK, Irenaeus TKS. Guava cultivars of the world. *Acta Hortic.* 2018;1205:905-910.
- Nelson BJ, Asare PA, Junior RA. *In vitro* growth and multiplication of pineapple under different duration of sterilization and different concentrations of benzylaminopurine and sucrose. *Biotechnol.* 2015;14(1):35-40.
- NHB. Indian Horticulture Database; c2018. <http://www.nhb.gov.in>
- Papadatou P, Pontikis CA, Eptimiadou E, Lydaki M. Rapid multiplication of guava seedlings by *in vitro* shoot tip culture. *Sci. Hortic.* 1990;45(1-2):99-103.
- Puchooa D. *In vitro* regeneration of lychee (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). *Afr. J Biotechnol.* 2004;3(11):576-584.
- Rathore DS. Effect of season on the growth and chemical composition of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruits. *J Hortic. Sci.* 1976;51:4-47.
- Rattanpal HS, Gill MIS, Sangwan AK. Micropropagation of strawberry through meristem culture. *Acta hortic.* 2011;890:149-153.
- Shah ST, Zamir R, Ahmad J, Ali H, Lutfullah G. *In vitro* regeneration of plantlets from seedlings explants of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Safeda. *Pakistan J Biotechnol.* 2008;40(3): 1195-1200.
- Singh G. Recent development in production of guava. *Acta Hortic.* 2007;735:161-176.
- Singh G, Tiwari JP, Lal S. *In vitro* callus induction in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). In: I International Guava Symposium; c2007 Dec. p. 139-143.
- Singh KK. Rooting response of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) through cutting under garhwal himalayan region. *J plant dev. Sci.* 2016;8(3):167-169.
- Singh P, Patel RM. Factors affecting *in vitro* degree of browning and culture establishment of pomegranate. *Afr. J Plant Sci.* 2016;10(2):43-49.
- Thakur M, Sharma V, Sharma DP, Kumari G, Vivek M. *In vitro* propagation of virus indexed Gisela-5 (*Prunus cerasus* x *Prunus canescens*)-clonal cherry rootstock. *J Agric. Sci. Technol.* 2016;2(2):88-99.
- Wolella EK. Surface sterilization and *in vitro* propagation of *Prunus domestica* L. cv. Stanley using axillary buds as explants. *J Biotech Res.* 2017;8:18-19.
- Yadav K, Singh N. Effect of seed harvesting season and sterilization treatments on germination and *in vitro* propagation of *Albizia lebbeck* (L.) Benth. *Analele Univ. din Oradea Fasc. Biol.* 2011;18(2):151-156.
- Zamir R, Ali N, Shah ST, Mohammad T, Shah SA. *In vitro* regeneration of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) from shoot tips of mature trees. *Pak. J Bot.* 2007;39(7):2395-2398.
- Zamir R, Shah ST, Ali N, Khattak GSS, Muhammad T. Studies on *in vitro* surface sterilization and antioxidants on guava shoot tips and nodal explants. *Pakistan J Biotechnol.* 2004;1(2):12-16.