www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(11): 2070-2074 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 12-08-2023 Accepted: 17-09-2023

Gloriya Smita Kispotta Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Samir Kumar Tamrakar Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India Effect of varying levels of pH and preservatives and basal cut of spike on post-harvest parameters in tuberose

Gloriya Smita Kispotta and Samir Kumar Tamrakar

Abstract

The present investigation effect of varying levels of pH and preservatives on basal cut of spike in tuberose was carried out at laboratory, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, IGKV Raipur (C.G.) during two consecutive years *i.e.* years 2020-21 and 2021-22. The experiment was designed in a completely randomized design in factorial arrangement with three levels of preservatives *viz.*, citric acid (100 ppm), aluminum sulphate (100 ppm) and 8-HQC (100 ppm), three levels of basal cut of spike *viz.*, no cut, daily cut and alternate day cut, three levels of pH *viz.*, distilled water, pH-4 and pH-5. The results revealed that the 8-HQC, alternate day cut of the basal part of the spike and pH 4 recorded a significantly maximum number of opened florets at a time per spike as well as the diameter of basal florets (mm) and vase life (days) and minimum physiological loss in weight (PLW %) was recorded in 8-HQC, alternate day cut of the basal part of the spike at pH 4.

Keywords: 8-HQC, pH, aluminum sulphate, basal cut of spike, citric acid

Introduction

Tuberose is a flowering plant that holds great importance in human life, helping as a source of ornamental usage, fragrance, health benefits, economic value and ecological support. The basal cut of a spike in tuberose refers to the cut made at the base of the stem to facilitate water uptake and prolong the vase life of the flowers. The pH level of the preservative solution and the type of preservatives can significantly impact the post-harvest quality and longevity of tuberose spikes. Cut flower post-harvest longevity is affected by many factors. Among them, the pH of the vase solution which considered an important factor in controlling water uptake, reducing embolization and slowing bacterial growth (Ichimura *et al.* 2003) ^[8]. The use of aluminium sulphate increases the post-harvest life of tuberose flowers by reducing bacterial contamination, transpiration rate, the petal of pH, stabilizing the anthocyanin and acidifying the holding solution (Mohammadi *et al.* 2012) ^[12]. 8-hydroxy quinoline citrate is a very important and effective germicide used in the floral industry (Butt, 2005) ^[1]. Taking in to consideration the above views, the present investigation entitled "Effect of varying levels of pH and preservatives and basal cut of spike on post-harvest parameters in tuberose."

Material and Methods

The present investigation entitled was carried out in floriculture laboratory, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, IGKV, Raipur (C.G.) during two consecutive years *i.e.* years 2020-21 and 2021-22. The flower was harvested at stage when lower florets (Two florets) of the spike were opened and all leaves on the lower section of the spike were removed. The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design in factorial arrangement with three levels of preservatives *viz.*, citric acid 100 ppm, aluminum sulphate 100 ppm and 8-HQC 100 ppm, three levels of basal cut of spike *viz.*, no cut, daily cut and alternate day cut, three levels of pH *viz.*, distilled water, pH-4 and pH-5. Freshly harvested spikes were placed in glass bottles filled with required vase solution as per treatment combinations. Observations *viz.*, physiological loss in weight (PLW %), number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets (mm), vase life (days) were recorded in three randomly selected and tagged spike per replication in each treatment.

Corresponding Author: Gloriya Smita Kispotta Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Results and Discussion

A perusal of data in Table 1.0 and 1.1 revealed that the effect of preservatives concentration (P), basal cut of spike (C) and pH (H) on physiological loss of weight (PLW %), number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets, longest vase life (days) were found significant in two consecutive years *i.e.* years 2020-21 and 2021-22.

Effect of preservatives concentration

The number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets, longest vase life differed significantly with different preservatives concentration during pooled data of two years, 2020-21 to 2021-22 of experimentation. Among the different preservatives concentration, treatment 8-HOC @100 ppm recorded significantly maximum number of opened floret at a time per spike (3.47), diameter of basal florets (40.44 mm) and longest vase life (8.29 days). These might be due to microbial control properties of 8-HQC which creates optimal conditions for floret opening in a spike. Increased diameter of basal florets under this 8-HOC treatment might be due to better absorption of vase solution. Tamrakar (2016) ^[18] also found that cell turgidity helpful in increasing carbohydrate levels of cut spike which results increased diameter of basal florets. The chelating properties of 8-HQC prevent blockages in the flower stems, ensuring optimal water and nutrient uptake, thus enhancing longevity of individual florets. Gigar (2006) [5] also reported that 8-HQC improves floral vase life by acidifying the water, which is thought to minimize enzymatic activity and xylem degradation while boosting solution absorption. Similar results were obtained Singh et al. (2005) [17], in Gladiolus, Meman and Dabhi (2006)^[11] in Gerbera, Das et al. (2020)^[3] in Rose.

Effect of basal cut of spike

There was a markedly difference was noticed for physiological loss of weight (PLW %), number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets, longest vase life among different method of basal cut of spike during pooled data of two years. The minimum physiological loss of weight (45.48%) was registered at alternate day cut (C_3) and it was remains at par with daily cut (C2). The maximum diameter of basal florets (40.65 mm) was recorded under alternate day cut (C_3) which was closely followed by daily cut (C₂), maximum number of opened florets at a time per spike (3.51), longest vase life (9.27 days) were registered in alternate day cut (C₃). The reduction in PLW% through alternate day basal spike cutting significantly increased the number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets, longest vase life. This might be due to the effect of basal spike cut, which facilitated the removed of damage tissue and also reduced the bacterial growth at lower portion of the spike which ultimately helps in cell turgidity in cut spikes. Chamani and Wagstaff (2019)^[2] found that at least 2 cm recut is necessary to get the highest vase life. These investigations are agreement with the findings of Dole et al. (2004)^[4] in Poinsettia, Moody et al. (2014)^[13] in cut Rose.

Effect of pH

Varying pH level of vase solution had a significant effect on physiological loss of weight (PLW %), number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets, longest

vase life. The minimum (45.26%) physiological loss in weight (PWL %) was recorded in pH 4 (H₂). however maximum number of opened floret at a time per spike (3.23), diameter of basal florets (39.48 mm), longest vase life (8.17 days) was recorded in pH 4 (H₂) and it were at par to treatment pH 5 (H₃). The results of the experiment revealed that flowers placed in pH 4 solutions observed a significant difference in number of opened floret, basal floret diameter and vase life of cut spike. The solution pH about 4 is a good pH which helped in uptake of solution faster in the water-conducting system (xylem) by preventing of plugging of the cut surface of the stem as suggested by Hajizadeh and Aliloo (2014) ^[7]. The increasing trend of pH during vase life might be associated with the higher multiplication of microorganisms in the solution, transport physiology and metabolism of cut flower Paul et al. (2021)^[14]. Similar results were also suggested by Jowkar and Salehi (2003)^[9] in Tuberose, Regan et al. (2010) ^[15] in Rose.

Interaction

Interaction of preservatives concentration and basal cut of spike (P x C)

Among the difference combination, treatment *i.e.* P_3C_3 (8-HQC 100 ppm + Alternate Day Cut) was recorded significantly maximum number of opened floret at a time per spike (3.98), diameter of basal florets (41.31 mm), vase life (10.97 days) and registered to be *at par* with P_2C_2 , P_3C_2 , and P_2C_3 treatment combination. The study found that combining 8-HQC at 100 ppm with alternate day cuts further helpful in improving water uptake and preventing microbial growth which ensured continuous hydration in spike and cut flower quality, promoting floret opening and extending vase life. 8-HQC improved water uptake, prevented microbial growth and ensured continuous hydration, enhancing overall freshness. The finding is in line with those reported by Dole *et al.* (2004) ^[14] in Poinsettia, Singh *et al.* (2005) ^[17], in Gladiolus, Moody *et al.* (2014) ^[13] and Das *et al.* (2020) ^[3] in cut Rose.

Interaction of preservatives concentration and pH (P x H)

Significantly, minimum (42.16%) physiological loss of weight (PLW %) and maximum diameter of basal florets (40.64 mm), vase life (9.47 days) was performed with the treatment combination of aluminium sulphate 100 ppm + pH 5 (P_2H_3) and it was noted at par with P_2H_2 and P_3H_3 treatment combinations. Aluminium sulphate at 100 ppm and pH 5 improved cut flower quality, prolonging vase life, enhancing basal floret diameter and minimized physiological loss of weight (PLW %). Auminium sulphate with pH 5, enhanced diameter of basal florets and delayed wilting and senescence processes, prevented microbial growth, ensuring longer vase life. This combination contributed to the extended longevity of the basal cut spike. The above results are also corroborated with the finding of Gigar (2006) ^[5] and Meman and Dabhi (2006)^[11] in Gerbera, Regan et al. (2010)^[15] in Rose, Paul et al. (2021)^[14] in tuberose.

Interaction of basal cut of spike and pH (C x H)

Regarding, significantly minimum (42.14%) physiological loss of weight (PLW %) and maximum number of opened floret at a time per spike (3.86), diameter of basal florets (41.67 mm), vase life (10.74 days) were recorded under treatment combination daily cut + pH 5 (C_2H_3) and which

were having at par C₂H₂, C₃H₂ and C₃H₃ treatment combination. The results of this study clearly showed that the combination of daily cutting and maintaining the vase solution at pH 5 significantly enhanced the quality of cut flowers. This treatment method promoted a higher number of opened floret at a time per spike, larger diameter of basal florets and prolonged overall vase life and minimized physiological loss in weight (PLW %). Daily cutting and pH 5 had facilitated better water uptake and nutrient absorption. leading to increased floret opening and promoting flower growth. The overall vase life of cut flowers had been significantly longer in this combination daily cut and pH 5 had maintained flower freshness. The results are in close conformity Jowkar and Salehi (2003)^[9] in Tuberose, Dole et al. (2004)^[4] in Poinsettia, Khan et al. (2009)^[10] in Gladiolus, Moody et al. (2014)^[13] in cut Rose.

Interaction of preservatives concentration, basal cut of spike and pH (P x C x H)

Among the different combinations of (P x C x H), the treatment combination *i.e.* $P_3C_3H_2$ (8-HQC 100 ppm + Alternate Day Cut + pH 4) resulted minimum (39.21%)

physiological loss of weight (PLW %), maximum diameter of basal florets (43.86 mm), vase life (12.83 days) in vase condition. The similar treatment combination found at par $P_2C_2H_1$, $P_2C_3H_2$, $P_3C_2H_1$, $P_3C_2H_2$, $P_3C_2H_3$. The combination of 8-HQC, alternate day cuts, and maintaining pH at 4 levels created an optimal environment that minimized bacterial growth and maximized water uptake and nutrient absorption. As a result, there was an increased number of opened florets, larger basal floret diameter, enhanced longevity of individual florets in the vase, and prolonged vase life. This might be due to the effect of 8 HQC which inhibits vascular blockage and acidifies the solution with reduced microbial growth Vilas et al. (2017) ^[19]. This might be due to reduction of microbial growth by acidified vase solution and frequent cutting of basal part of spike. Singh et al. (2018) ^[16] noted that 8-HQC improves floral vase life by acidifying the water, which is thought to minimize enzymatic activity and xylem degradation while boosting solution absorption. The finding corroborates the reports of Hepzibha (2000) ^[6] in Gladiolus, Meman and Dabhi (2006)^[11] in Gerbera, Das et al. (2020)^[3] in Rose.

Table 1: Effect of preservatives concentration	, basal cut of spike and pH on	post-harvest parameters (Pooled	l data of two years)
--	--------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------

Treatments	Physiological loss of weight (PLW %)	Number of opened floret at a time per spike	Diameter of basal florets (mm)	Vase life (days)					
Preservatives concentration (P)									
P ₁ (Citric Acid 100 ppm)	48.57	2.60	36.98	6.52					
P2 (Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm)	46.59	3.10	38.36	7.37					
P ₃ (8-HQC 100 ppm Hydroxyquinoline Citrate)	46.13	3.47	40.44	8.29					
Sem±	0.75	0.04	0.34	0.09					
CD at 5%	NS	0.13	0.97	0.27					
Basal cut of spike (C)									
C ₁ (No Cut)	49.68	2.67	35.37	5.39					
C2 (Daily Cut)	46.14	3.00	39.76	7.53					
C ₃ (Alternate Day Cut)	45.48	3.51	40.65	9.25					
Sem±	0.75	0.04	0.34	0.09					
CD at 5%	2.13	0.13	0.97	0.27					
	pH (H)								
H ₁ (Distilled Water)	49.44	2.89	37.40	6.28					
H ₂ (pH 4)	45.26	3.23	39.48	8.17					
H ₃ (pH 5)	46.60	3.06	38.90	7.71					
Sem±	0.75	0.04	0.34	0.09					
CD at 5%	2.13	0.13	0.97	0.27					
Interactions									
P x C	-	S	S	S					
P x H	S	-	S	S					
СхН	S	S	S	S					
P x C x H	S	-	S	S					

Table 2: Infraction effect of preservatives concentration, basal cut of spike and pH on post-harvest parameters (Pooled data of two years)

Treatments	Treatment combination	Physiological loss of weight (PLW %)	Number of opened floret at a time per spike	Diameter of basal florets (mm)	Vase life (Days)			
Preservatives concentration and basal cut of spike (P x C)								
Citric Acid 100 ppm + No cut	P_1C_1	52.10	2.43	33.14	5.04			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + No cut	P_2C_1	47.28	2.44	37.23	6.83			
8-HQC 100 ppm + No cut	P_3C_1	46.33	2.91	39.40	7.68			
Aluminium Sulphoto 100 ppm + Daily cutting	P_1C_2	45.34	2.55	34.20	5.57			
8 HOC 100 ppm + Daily cut	P_2C_2	46.27	3.14	40.73	0.11			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Alternate day cut	P_1C_3	45.79	3.02	38.70	5.76			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Alternate day cut	P ₂ C ₃	48.67	3.42	41.29	8.13			
8-HQC 100 ppm + Alternate day cut	P ₃ C ₃	44.79	3.98	41.31	10.97			
Sem±		1.30	0.07	0.59	0.16			
CD at 5%		NS	0.22	1.69	0.46			
Preservatives conc	centration and pl	H (P x H)			-			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Distilled water	P_1H_1	51.83	2.42	33.80	5.28			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Distilled water	P_2H_1	47.84	2.77	37.37	6.83			
8-HQC 100 ppm + Distilled water	P ₃ H ₁	46.03	2.60	39.77	7.44			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + pH 4	P_1H_2	47.97	3.00	37.93	6.26			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + pH 4	P ₂ H ₂	45.78	3.24	38.66	8.22			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + pH 5	P3H2 D.U.	48.27	3.07	38.47	7.02			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + pH 5	P ₁ H ₃	48.30	3.68	40.40	0.47			
$\frac{100 \text{ ppint} + \text{ pH 5}}{8 \text{-HOC 100 ppm} + \text{ pH 5}}$	P2H2	42.10	3.08	40.04	8.08			
Sem+	1 3113	1 30	0.08	0.59	0.00			
CD at 5%		3.69	NS	1.69	0.46			
Basal cut of s	pike and pH (C :	x H)	110	1107	0.10			
No cut + Distilled water	C ₁ H ₁	51.87	2.49	34.02	4.56			
Daily cut + Distilled water	C_2H_1	44.40	2.74	34.10	6.10			
Alternate day cut + Distilled water	C_3H_1	43.19	2.77	37.98	5.51			
No cut + pH 4	C1H2	49.74	2.88	38.13	6.44			
Daily cut + pH 4	C_2H_2	48.19	3.09	40.94	7.68			
Alternate day cut + pH 4	C ₃ H ₂	51.09	3.04	40.23	8.47			
No cut + pH 5	C ₁ H ₃	46.69	3.31	39.71	7.84			
Daily cut + pH 5	C ₂ H ₃	42.14	3.86	41.67	10.74			
Alternate day cut + pH 5	C ₃ H ₃	46.56	3.36	40.24	9.17			
CD at 5%		1.30	0.07	0.59	0.16			
Preservatives concentration.	hasal cut of spike	and nH (P x C	x H)	1.09	0.40			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + No cut + Distilled water		55.65	2.23	29.84	4.20			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + No cut + pH 4	P ₁ C ₁ H ₂	43.68	2.63	33.60	5.43			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + No cut + pH 5	$P_1C_1H_3$	42.50	2.47	39.33	5.50			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Daily cutting + Distilled water	$P_1C_2H_1$	53.95	2.37	31.22	4.50			
Citric Acid100 ppm + Daily cutting + pH 4	$P_1C_2H_2$	50.45	2.53	40.44	7.23			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Daily cutting + pH 5	$P_1C_2H_3$	51.89	2.43	39.95	8.77			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + Distilled water	$P_1C_3H_1$	45.90	2.70	40.34	7.13			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + pH 4	P ₁ C ₃ H ₂	49.41	3.13	37.99	7.83			
Citric Acid 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + pH 5	P ₁ C ₃ H ₃	43.69	2.90	40.04	8.07			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + No cut + Distilled water	$P_2C_1H_1$	50.82	2.50	32.64	4.70			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + No cut + pH 4	$P_2C_1H_2$	43.32	2.50	32.05	5.03			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Daily cut + Distilled water	P ₂ C ₁ H ₃	41.88	2.00	<u> </u>	6.70			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Daily cut + pH 4	P2C2H2	42.20	3.20	40.86	7.47			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Daily cut + pH 5	P2C2H2	52.74	3.27	39.78	8.70			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + Distilled water	$P_2C_3H_1$	43.24	3.53	39.54	7.37			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + pH 4	$P_2C_3H_2$	40.95	4.03	43.15	11.57			
Aluminium Sulphate 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + pH 5	$P_2C_3H_3$	50.19	3.33	40.89	8.40			
8-HQC 100 ppm + No cut + Distilled water	$P_3C_1H_1$	49.14	2.73	39.58	4.77			
8-HQC 100 ppm + No cut + pH 4	$P_3C_1H_2$	46.19	3.10	36.65	7.23			
8-HQC 100 ppm + No cut + pH 5	P ₃ C ₁ H ₃	42.03	3.23	39.88	5.27			
8-HQC 100 ppm + Daily cut + Distilled water	$P_3C_2H_1$	45.41	3.30	41.55	8.13			
8-HQC 100 ppm + Daily cut + pH 4	P ₃ C ₂ H ₂	51.93	3.53	41.43	8.33			
$\frac{8 + HQC 100 \text{ ppm} + Daily \text{ cut} + \text{pH 5}}{2 + HQC 100 \text{ prm} + A + B + B + B + B + B + B + B + B + B$	P ₃ C ₂ H ₃	48.65	3.43	40.96	7.93			
8-HQC 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + Distilled water	P ₃ C ₃ H ₁	50.94	3.70	40.25	9.03			
8 HOC 100 ppm + Alternate day cut + pH 4	P3C3H2	39.21	4.40	43.80	12.85			
Sem+	1 303113	+J.00 2.25	0.13	1.03	0.28			
CD at 5%		6 39	NS	2.92	0.20			

Conclusions

It may be concluded form the finding of the present investigation to minimize physiological loss in weight (PLW %) and maximize number of opened floret at a time per spike, diameter of basal florets (mm) and vase life (days) of cut spike of tuberose by using 8-HQC at 100 ppm concentration, basal cut of spike in alternate day and maintaining a pH of 4 of vase solution. Investigation can explore the specific physiological mechanisms behind these findings, enabling even more targeted approaches to improve the quality of cut spike.

References

- 1. Butt SJ. Extending the vase life of roses (Rosa hybrida) with different preservatives. Int. J Agri. Biol. 2005;1(7):97-99.
- Chamani E, Wagstaff C. Effects of postharvest relative humidity and various re-cutting on vase life of cut rose flowers. Int. J Postharvest Technol. Innov. 2019;6(1):70-82.
- Das S, Patra SK, Mohanty CR. Enhancing the vase life of cut Rose cv. Top secret using sucrose and other chemical preservatives. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(4):1724-1732.
- 4. Dole JM, Fisher P, Njue G. Optimizing postharvest life of cut renaissance Red' Poinsettias. Hort. Sci. 2004;39(6):1366-1370.
- 5. Gigar AP. Extension of vase life of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*) by the use of different chemicals cv. bora bora. Ph.D. Thesis, Horticulture Department, NM College of Agriculture, Navsari; c2006. p. 61-62.
- 6. Hepzibha S. Evaluation of gladioli cultivars for growth, flowering, corm yield and vase Life. Ph.D. Thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 2000, 87-92.
- Hajizadeh HS, Aliloo AA. Postharvest quality studies in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* cv. Peril) cut flower as affected by vase preservative solutions. Int. J Agri. Innov. Res. 2014;2(6):895-899.
- Ichimura K, Kawabata Y, Kishimoto M, Goto R, Yamada K. Shortage of soluble carbohydrates is largely responsible for short vase life of cut 'Sonia' rose flowers. J Japanese Soc. for Hortic. Sci. 2003;72(4):292-298.
- 9. Jowkar MM, Salehi H. Effects of different preservative solutions on the vase life of cut tuberose flowers at usual home conditions. Int. Symp. Postharvest Physiol. Ornam. Plants. 2003;669:411-416.
- 10. Khan FN, Yasmin L, Nasrin TAA, Hossain MJ, Golder PC. Effect of sucrose and pH on the vase life of gladiolus flower. A participatory approach to improve the economic condition of resource poor farmers in semi-arid regions of Rajasthan, India; c2009. p. 11-12.
- 11. Meman MA, Dabhi KM. Effects of different stalk lengths and certain hemical substances on vase life of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*) cv. 'Savana Red'. J Appl. Hortic. 2006;8(2):147-150.
- Mohammadi M, Hashemabadi D, Kaviani B. Improvement of vase life of cut tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* cv. 'Single') with aluminum sulfate. Ann. Biol. Res. 2012;3(12):5457-5461.
- 13. Moody EP, Dole JM, Barnes J. Refining postharvest handling procedures increased cut rose vase life. HortTechnology. 2014;6:676-685.

- https://www.thepharmajournal.com
- Paul D, Jannat A, Mahmud AA, Akhter MJ, Mahmood S. Preservative solutions on vase life and quality of cut *Polianthes tuberosa* L. Ornam. Hortic. 2021;27:417-424.
- Regan EM, Dole JM. Determining optimum EC levels and elements for extended vase life of cut Rosa 'Freedom', 'Charlotte', and' Classy'. In Hort. Sci. 2010;45(8):94-95
- Singh A, Singh AK, Sisodia A, Padhi M, Pal AK. Evaluation of tuberose cultivars for post-harvest characters. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7(4):1310-1312.
- 17. Singh A, Kumar J, Kumar P, Singh VP. Influence of 8-Hydroxy Quinoline (8-HQ) and sucrose pulsing on membrane stability and postharvest quality of gladiolus cut spikes. J Ornam. Hortic. 2005;8(4):243-248.
- Tamrakar SK. Effect of plant growth regulators, vermiwash and cow urine on vegetative growth, flowering, corm production and vase life of gladiolus var. Candyman. Ph.D. Thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur; c2016. p. 162-163.
- Vilas R, Karhana PK, Meena RK, Singh S. Effect of biocides AgNO₃, Al₂ (SO₄)₃, 8-HQC and sucrose on the post-harvest life of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.), Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2017;5(5):1599-1602.