www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(11): 2116-2119 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 25-08-2023 Accepted: 28-09-2023

Ramprasad Mandade

Department of Surgery & Radiology, Nagpur Veterinary College, MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Munali Kamble

Department of Surgery & Radiology, Nagpur Veterinary College, MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

SB Akhare

Department of Surgery & Radiology, Nagpur Veterinary College, MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

BK Bhadane

Department of Surgery & Radiology, Nagpur Veterinary College, MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

GR Bhojne

Department of Surgery & Radiology, Nagpur Veterinary College, MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Ramprasad Mandade Department of Surgery & Radiology, Nagpur Veterinary College, MAFSU, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Comparative evaluation of haematological and biochemical parameters in femur fracture in dogs

Ramprasad Mandade, Munali Kamble, SB Akhare, BK Bhadane and GR Bhojne

Abstract

The present study was conducted on 12 clinical cases of dogs having femur fracture presented to the Veterinary clinical complex, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur. Divided into two equal groups, viz. Group I and Group II consisting of six animals each and which was immobilized by locking compression bone plating and string of pearl bone plating. The blood samples was collected on different intervals for the estimation of haematological parameters haemoglobin (g/dL), packed cell volume (%), total erythrocyte count (x 10^6 /cu.mm), total leucocyte Count (x 10^7 /cu.mm) and differential leucocyte count (%) and biochemical parameters like serum calcium (mg/dl), serum phosphorus (mg/dl) and alkaline phosphatase (mg/dl). The haematological values are with in the normal ranges throughout the duration of healing process. The biochemical observation recorded as serum alkaline phosphatase vales are significant in both the groups whereas serum calcium and serum phosphrous vales are with the normal ranges throughout in the duration of healing process.

Keywords: Fracture, haematological parameters, biochemical parameters, dogs

Introduction

Bone is a specialized type of connective tissue that consists cells, fibers and ground substance. Fractures in bones are more commonly occurs due to an acute non-physiological forces that exceeds ultimate tensile strength over bone (Burns, 2010) ^[2]. Preservation of intramedullary and periosteal vascularization, anatomical reduction, interfragmentory compression of bone pieces and prompt restoration of normal locomotor function are the basic objectives of fracture treatment (Newton, 1985)^[8]. The assessment of haematological and biochemical parameters during fracture healing to identify fluctuations, if any in fracture healing after rigid stabilization are important (Phaneendra et al., 2016)^[10]. The bone resorption and bone formation these are two biochemical markers generally evaluated in fracture healing. The biochemical markers only provide a dynamic picture about the underlying process of bone resorption including its turnover, pathogenesis and can differentiate between normal and delayed healing and they can be used to monitor short term effects of therapy and provide early indication of any impairment of healing process (Kumar et al., 2018) ^[5]. The haematological parameters like TLC, neutrophil count were assessed to study the presence of any infection in the post-operative period of fracture stabilization (Umeshwori et al., 2015)^[14]. The present study was carried out to assess the degree of bone formation, pain elicited and infection rate at fracture site postoperatively using different serum and blood parameters after stabilizing the femur fracture by using different plates to repair femur in dog.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted on 12 clinical cases of dogs having femur fracture presented to the Veterinary clinical complex, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, divided into two equal groups, viz. Group I and Group II consisting of six animals each. In Group I, fracture was immobilized by locking compressive bone plating and In Group II fracture was immobilized by string of pearl bone plating. The blood samples to estimate the haematological parameters was collected on before surgery, after surgery, 7th, 14th, 28th and 45th day in EDTA vials from the recurrent tarsal vein. The estimation of haematological parameters was done by semi-automatic haematological analyser (Mindray, BC – 2800 Vet Auto Hematology Analyzer). The different parameters estimated as follows, Haemoglobin (g/dL), Packed cell volume (%), Total erythrocyte count (x 10⁶/cu.mm), Total leucocyte Count (x10⁷/cu.mm) and Differential leucocyte count (%).

The biochemical parameters, the blood was collected in vacutainers containing clot activator, from a recurrent tarsal vein and the vials was kept in tilted position for one hour and serum was separated and collected in Eppendorf tubes. The estimation of biochemical parameters at before surgery, after surgery, 7th, 14th, 28th and 45th day after surgery for different parameters like Serum calcium (mg/dl), Serum phosphorus (mg/dl) and Alkaline phosphatase (mg/dl).

Results and Discussion

In present study, total 12 clinical cases of the dogs with fracture of femur presented at Veterinary clinical complex, Nagpur Veterinary College Nagpur divided into two groups, each with six animals Group I and Group II. In Group I, locking compression bone plating was done and in Group II, string of pearl plating was done to immobilise the fracture. In fracture cases, the inflammatory phase is said to commence immediately after the fracture and last until cartilage or bone formation begins. After the onset of inflammation, the number of neutrophils increased, as they are the first line of defence.

Hemoglobin (mg/dl): In the present study, the mean haemoglobin concentration ranged from 9.95 ± 0.21 to 10.41 ± 0.15 gm/dl observed in Group I, while in Group II, it was ranged from 9.97 ± 0.16 to 10.41 ± 0.21 gm/dl (Table 1). A range-consistent, non-significant fluctuation in haemoglobin concentration was observed at various time intervals in both the groups. The decrease in haemoglobin is due to the transfer of fluids from the extravascular compartment to the intravascular compartment in order to maintain normal cardiac output or to the accumulation of circulating blood cells in the spleen as a result of decreased sympathetic activity (Singh *et al.*, 2015)^[13]. Similar findings observed by Dakhane $(2021)^{[3]}$.

Table 1: Mean	(±SE) of hemoglobin in	two treatment groups
---------------	------------------------	----------------------

0 day	1 st day	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
9.68±0.18	9.81±0.19	10.3±0.23	10.41±0.15	9.93±0.20	9.95±0.21
9.70±0.16	9.80±0.16	10.11±0.19	10.41±0.21	10.08±0.18	9.88±0.20
	9.68±0.18	9.68±0.18 9.81±0.19	9.68±0.18 9.81±0.19 10.3±0.23	9.68±0.18 9.81±0.19 10.3±0.23 10.41±0.15	9.68±0.18 9.81±0.19 10.3±0.23 10.41±0.15 9.93±0.20

The mean concentration of hemoglobin between groups at different interval revealed non-significant difference (p>0.05).

Packed Cell Volume (%): The average values for packed cell volume percentage in Group I and Group II ranged from 27.45±0.87 % to 27.91±0.83% and 29.61±0.89 to 30.13±1.43

% (Table 2). Similar observation also noted by Patil *et al.*, $(2017)^{[9]}$ and Dakhane *et al.*, $(2021)^{[3]}$.

Table 2: Percentage	of Packed cell	volume in	all groups o	of dogs at	different interval

	Before	After	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I	26.85±0.78	26.98 ± 0.78	27.46±0.79	27.91±0.83	27.76±0.86	27.45±0.87
Group II	27.7±0.83	27.8±0.83	28.11±0.88	28.41±0.88	28.28±0.90	28.05±0.89

Mean packed cell volume between groups revealed that there was non-'significant difference at different interval (p>0.05).

Platelets (lac/cumm): The mean platelet count ranged from 2.68 ± 0.18 to 3.41 ± 0.15 lac/cumm, was observed in Group I whereas in Group II, ranged from 3.2 ± 0.36 to 3.91 ± 0.38 lac/cumm (Table 3). The elevated values on day zero may be

a result of systemic inflammatory alterations following fracture and then after 14th day it was decreases. Similar observation also noted by Dakhane *et al.*, (2021)^[3].

Table 3: Platelet count in all dogs of different groups at o	different interval
--	--------------------

	Before	After	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I	2.68±0.18	2.81±0.19	3.3±0.23	3.41±0.15	2.93±0.20	2.9±0.22
Group II	3.2±0.36	3.3±0.36	3.61±0.37	3.91±0.38	3.75±0.35	3.55±0.36

The average count of platelets between groups revealed non-significant difference at different interval (p>0.05).

Total leucocyte count (million/cumm): The mean total leucocyte count ranged from 9.81 ± 0.25 to 10.48 ± 0.27 million/cmm in Group I, whereas in Group II, it ranged from 11.71 ± 0.25 to 12.35 ± 0.28 million/cmm (Table 4). The elevated values on day zero may be a result of systemic

inflammatory alterations following fracture. Leucocytosis occurs when there is corticosteroid release in states of tension, pain, anaesthesia, trauma and surgical manipulation was observed by Al-Bulushi *et al.* (2017) ^[1] and Dakhane *et al.* (2021) ^[3] reported similar findings.

	Before	After	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I	9.71±0.25	9.81±0.25	10.2±0.29	10.48 ± 0.27	10.35±0.28	10.08 ± 0.30
Group II	11.71±0.25	11.81±0.25	12.26±0.29	12.31±0.35	12.35±0.28	12.08±0.30

Table 4: Total leucocyte count in two treatment group

Mean Total leucocyte count between groups observed non significant difference (p>0.05).

Differential leucocyte count

1. Neutrophils (%): The mean neutrophil concentrations range from 78.867±0.47 to 81.8±0.82 % in Group I, whereas

in Group II, the range was $79.233{\pm}0.17$ to $80.8{\pm}0.55$ % (Table 5).

	O day	1 st day	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I	81.833±0.52	81.2±0.78	80.583±0.74	79.767±1.02	81.8±0.82	78.867±0.47
Group II	80.45±0.72	80.8±0.55	79.233±0.17	80.253±0.47	80.533±0.49	80.433±0.29

Table 5: Mean value (±SE) of Total Neutrophil at different time intervals

Mean neutrophil count between groups observed non significant difference (p>0.05).

2. Eosinophils (%): The mean eosinophil concentrations range from 0.99±0.17 to 1.25±0.12 %, whereas in Group II, the range was 0.81±0.06 to1.102±0.11% (Table 6).

Table 6: Mean value (±SE) of Total Eosinophils at different time intervals

	O day	1 st day	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day			
Group I	1.048 ± 0.154	1.108±0.103	1.25 ± 0.12	1.017±0.16	0.99±0.17	1.122±0.11			
Group II	1.102 ± 0.11	1.093±0.15	1.027±0.07	0.81±0.06	1.013±0.18	1.058±0.19			
Mean eosinophi	<i>A</i> ean eosinophil count between groups observed non significant difference (p >0.05).								

3. Lymphocyte (%): In Group I, mean lymphocye concentrations range from 17.383 ± 1.72 to $21.367\pm1.04\%$, whereas in Group II. the range was 18.617±0.91to21.333±1.18 % (Table 7).

Table 7: Mean value (±SE) of Total Ly	ymphocyte at different time intervals
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

	O day	1 st day	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I	19.567±1.75	17.383±1.72	18.467±1.12	19.667±1.03	20.983±0.35	21.367±1.04
Group II	19.933±0.61	20.117±0.98	21.333±1.18	20.25±1.02	18.617±0.91	19.33±0.43

Mean Lymphocyte count between groups observed non significant difference (p>0.05).

4. Monocyte (%): In Group I, mean monocyte concentrations range from 17.383±0.63 to 20.983±0.73%, whereas in Group II, the range was 18.617 ± 0.48 to $21.333 \pm 0.94\%$ Table 8.

	O day	1st day	7th day	14th day	28th day	45th day
Group I	19.567±0.74	17.383±0.63	18.467±0.95	19.667±0.94	20.983±0.73	21.367±0.97
Group II	19.933±0.82	20.117±0.73	21.333±0.94	20.25±0.81	18.617±0.48	19.33±0.62
Mean Monocya count between groups observed non significant difference $(n > 0.05)$						

Mean Monocye count between groups observed non significant difference (p>0.05).

The neutrophil, lymphocyte, eosinophil and monocyte count values obtained in this analysis were well within the physiological range during fracture healing and similar finding observed by Mirajkar (2018)^[7].

Biochemical Parameter: Blood sample was collected aseptically from the cephalic or saphenous vein prior to the surgery and subsequently on the day 0th, 7th, 14th, 28th and 45th daypost-operatively for estimation of the alkaline phosphatase, serum calcium and serum phosphorus.

1. Serum Alkaline phosphatase

In Group I, mean alkaline phosphatase ranged from 134.31±6.31 to 221.06±8.32 IU/L, whereas in Group II, it was ranged from 222.58±14.11 to 222.58±14.11 IU/L (Table 9). The serum alkaline phosphatase values in animals of group I was 175.26±16.29 IU/L on day 0, however the values were found elevated on day 7th and 14th .e. 204.46±16.25 IU/L and

221.06±14.35 IU/L and mild fluctuation seen on 28th and 45th day i.e. 163.48±8.70 IU/L and 134.31±6.31 IU/L. Animal of Group II revealed mean serum alkaline phosphatase value as 176.13±16.52 on day 0, later values were found elevated on day 7th and 14th i.e. 206.15±16.18 IU/L and 222.58±14.11 IU/L and mild fluctuation was seen on 28th and 45th day i.e. 165.63±8.63 IU/L and 135.11±6.0 IU/L and was found statistically significant. The osteoblast cells, which are responsible for bone matrix formation and mineralisation, secrete significant quantities of alkaline phosphatase in initial stage and after callus formation is complete, alkaline phosphatase level returns to normal similar findings were observed by Phaneendra et al. (2016)^[10], Kumar et al. (2018) ^[5]. Similar observations was also recorded by Hegde *et al.* (2007)^[4] carried out a comparative evaluation of ALP and concluded significant increase on the operative day as compared to postoperative days.

Table 9: Mean value (±SE) of Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L) at different time intervals

	Before	After	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I (n-6)	175.26±16.29	185.53±17.21	204.46±16.25	221.06±14.35	163.48±8.70	134.31±6.31
Group II (n-6)	176.13±16.52	187.06±17.12	206.15±16.18	222.58±14.11	165.63±8.63	135.11±6.06
There was significant difference observed between groups at different interval $(p > 0.05)$						

There was significant difference observed between groups at different interval (p>0.05).

Serum Calcium: In Group I, mean serum calcium concentration ranged from 9.36±0.35mg/dl to 9.8±0.35 mg/dl, whereas in Group II, the range was from 10.33±0.32mg/dl to 10.80±0.30 mg/dl (Table 10). Throughout the observation period, the values showed a non-significant decreasing trend; however, the values fluctuated within normal physiological

limits, indicating that the serum calcium values did not change during the fracture healing process. Similar observations were recorded by Kamaljit, 2018 but the decrease in serum calcium reflects a decrease in extracellular calcium, which stimulates the release of calcium-metabolizing hormones according to Komnenou et al. (2005)^[6].

Table 10: Mean value (±SE) of Serum Calcium at different time intervals

	Before	After	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day
Group I	9.95±0.367	9.8±0.356	9.53±0.359	9.36±0.357	9.81±0.319	9.96±0.257
Group II	10.80±0.30	10.7±0.32	10.5±0.33	10.33±0.32	10.66±0.29	10.76±0.25

There was non significant difference observed between groups at different interval (p>0.05).

Serum Phosphorus

In Group I, the range of mean serum calcium concentrations was 3.01 ± 0.19 to 3.71 ± 0.18 mg/dl, whereas in Group II, the range was 3.06 ± 0.15 to 3.7 ± 0.15 mg/dl (Table 11). Osteoclastic activity in the early stages of fracture healing,

which causes necrotic cell degeneration and resorption of dead bone at the fracture site, may be responsible for the increase in serum calcium and phosphorus and the decrease in calcium uptake from the blood for the mineralization of the callus (Singh, 2015)^[13].

	Before	After	7 th day	14 th day	28 th day	45 th day	
Group I	3.71±0.188	3.58±0.185	3.36±0.194	3.23±0.189	3.13±0.180	3.01±0.193	
Group II	3.7±0.159	3.6±0.159	3.46±0.158	3.28±0.162	3.16±0.156	3.06±0.156	
There was non significant difference observed between groups at different interval ($p > 0.05$).							

Conclusion

It is concluded that hemato-biochemical variations did not altered the fracture healing process in all the dogs in both the groups.

References

- 1. Al-Bulushi S, Shawaf T, Al-Hasani A. Some hematological and biochemical parameters of different goat breeds in Sultanate of Oman: A preliminary study, Veterinary World. 2017;10(4):461-466.
- 2. Burns CG. Influence of Locking Bolt Location on the Mechanical Properties of an Interlocking Nail in the Canine Femur. Master's Thesis, Graduate School of The Ohio State University, USA; c2010.
- Dakhane R. Evaluation of different plates to repair of long bone fracture in canine: A clinical study. M. V. Sc. Thesis submitted to Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University, Nagpur, India; c2021.
- Hegde YD, Dilipkumar S. Usturge Comparative Evaluation of Bichemical Parameters during fracture healing in Dogs, Karnataka J Agric. 20(3):694-695 in 216 dogs. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2007;8(2):225-234.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/ijlr.20170823024229.

- Kumar KM, Prasad VD, Lakshmi ND, Raju NKB. Management of distal femoral diaphyseal fractures with string of pearls locking plate in dogs. Indian Journal of Animal Research; c2018. DOI:10.18805/ijar.B-3447.
- Komnenou A, Karayannopoulou M, Polizopoulou ZS, Constantinidis TC, Dessiris A. Correlation of serum alkaline phosphatase activity with the healing process of long bone fractures in dogs. Veterinary Clinical Pathology. 2005;34(1):35-38.
- Mirajkar, Sarasi. Evaluation of string of pearls interlocking plate with or without platelet rich plasma for repair of long bone fracture in canine: A clinical study. M. V. Sc. Thesis submitted to Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University, Nagpur, India; c2018.
- Newton CD. Etiology, classification, and diagnosis of fractures in: Textbook of Small Animal Orthopaedics, 1st Ed. J. B. Lippincott Publishing Co., Philadelphia; c1985. p. 185-188.
- Patil M, Dilipkumar D, Shivaprakash BV, Kasaralikar VR, Tikare VP, Ramesh BK. Physiological and haematobiochemical changes during repair of femur fracture in dogs, The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2017;6(8):381-

385.

- Phaneendra, Lakshmi DN, Prasad DV, Raju NKB. Evaluation of biochemical parameters for assessment of fracture healing in dogs, Journal of Livestock Science. 2016;7:111-113.
- 11. Sastry GA. Veterinary clinical pathology. CBS Publishers and Distributors; c1989. p. 1-25.
- 12. Kamaljit S. Comparative evaluation of locking compression plate and cuttable plates forLong bone fracture repair in dogs. M. V. Sc. Thesis submitted to Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University, Nagpur, India; c2019.
- 13. Singh R. Composite mesh guided tissue regeneration for fracture repair in dogs. Ph. D. Thesis (Surgery and Radiology) submitted to Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur; c2015.
- Umeshwori N, Kumar A, Saini NS, Singh S. Evaluation of closed and open intramedullary pinning for repair of tibial fractures in dogs. Indian Journal of Veterinary Surgery. 2015;36(1):33-36.