



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(12): 2767-2773
© 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 06-09-2023
Accepted: 10-11-2023

PJ Gohil
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

SK Chhodavadia
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

MS Vasava
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

Nutrient management in Isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk) under South Saurashtra Agroclimatic Zone

PJ Gohil, SK Chhodavadia and MS Vasava

Abstract

An experiment was conducted during *Rabi* seasons of 2019-20 to 2021-22 at Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh entitled “Nutrient management in isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk) under South Saurashtra Agroclimatic Zone”. The treatments of the experiment comprised with 3 levels of nitrogen *viz.*, 30, 60 and 90 kg N/ha, two levels of phosphorus *viz.*, 30 and 60 kg P₂O₅/ha and two levels of potash *viz.*, 15 and 30 kg K₂O/ha conducted on factorial randomized block design with three replications. The nitrogen was applied in tow splits, half at the time of sowing and the remaining half at 45 DAS. Common application of FYM 5 t/ha was done in all the plots. The results of the experiment revealed that significantly higher growth parameters and yield attributes like plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of spikes per plant, number of seeds per spike and spike length of Isabgol were registered under the application of 90 kg N/ha, 60kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha. However, number of spikes per plant and number of seeds per spike were remained at par with application of 60 kg N/ha. While number of seeds per spike were not affected significantly by levels of phosphorus. Application of 90 kg N/ha (N₃) and 30 kg K₂O/ha (K₃) registered significantly higher seed yield of 1448 and 1439 kg/ha, respectively. However, in case of nitrogen, it was remained at par with application of 60 kg N/ha (N₂). Application of phosphorus did not exert any significant effect on seed yield of Isabgol. The stover yield was differed significantly due to different treatments and significantly higher stover yield was recorded under application of 90 kg N/ha, 60kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha than rest of the levels. Significantly, the highest nutrient content and uptake of seed and stover were registered under application of 90 kg N/ha, 60 kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha. The highest net return and B:C ratio of ` 73504 and 2.58, respectively was obtained under application of 60 kg N, 30 kg P and 30 kg K₂O/ha (N₂P₁K₂).

Keywords: Nutrient, isabgol, yield, nitrogen, potash

Introduction

Isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk) is one of the important medicinal plants grown during *rabi* season. The name is derived from two Persian words “Isab” and “Ghol” meaning horse’s ear. This derivation fits well with the shape of the seed which resembles the ear of horse. Seeds of isabgol are mainly valued for their mucilaginous rosy white husk. In addition to medicinal uses, it has a place in dyeing, printing, ice-cream, confectionary and cosmetic industries. In India, Isabgol is cultivated commercially in Gujarat, Rajasthan, Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar. It is a late *rabi* season cash crop. In Gujarat, isabgul is largely grown in Kuchchh, Banaskantha, Patan, Mahesana and Ahmedabad covering 9968 ha, with a total production of 7591 tones and productivity of 761.56 kg/ha. (Anonymous, 2022) [1]. Due to lower production cost and higher market price, it is known as a low volume but high value crop. Though, isabgol does not have heavy soil nutrients demand but application of nutrients regarded as one of the basic factors and judicious use of fertilizers is chief important to get potential production. Among the nutrients, the application of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium have been found to play significant role in improving the yield potential and quality isabgol under variable range of soil and agro-climatic conditions and their application in proper amount and in proper time will go for higher crop production. NPK are considered as major nutrients for growth and development of plants and its doses greatly varies according to the soil types in the cultivation of isabgol. Keeping these facts in view, the present investigation was conducted to test effect of NPK levels on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by isabgol under South Saurashtra Agro-climatic Zone with a view to find out the effect of NPK on growth and yield of isabgol and to find out the effect of NPK on nutrient content, uptake and soil available nutrients after harvest of the crop.

Corresponding Author:
PJ Gohil
Department of Agronomy,
College of Agriculture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh (Gujarat) during *Rabi* seasons of 2019 to 2022. The soil of the experimental field was medium black low in available nitrogen (181.6 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (31.65 kg/ha) and high in available potash (259.73 kg/ha) with 7.8 pH and EC 0.28 dSm⁻¹. The treatments of the experiment comprised with 3 levels of nitrogen *viz.*, 30, 60 and 90 kg N/ha, two levels of phosphorus *viz.*, 30 and 60 kg P₂O₅/ha and two levels of potash *viz.*, 15 and 30 kg K₂O/ha conducted on factorial randomized block design with three replications. The nitrogen was applied in tow splits, half at the time of sowing and the remaining half at 45 DAS. Common application of FYM 5 t/ha was done in all the plots. The Isabgol variety Gujarat Isabgol-3 was sown at 30 cm x 10 cm spacing in the experiment. Data recorded for various growth and yield parameters were statistically analyzed using the method of analysis of variance as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) [10].

Results and Discussion

Based on the pooled results of three years it is apparent that significantly higher growth parameters and yield attributes like plant height, number of tillers per plant, number of spikes per plant, number of seeds per spike and spike length of Isabgol were registered under the application of 90 kg N/ha, 60kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha. However, number of spikes per plant and number of seeds per spike were remained at par with application of 60 kg N/ha. While number of seeds per spike were not affected significantly by levels of phosphorus. This could be attributed to better root proliferation, higher root development, increased availability and uptake of nutrients, energy transformation and metabolic processes in plant. These findings are in agreement of Reddy (2014) [6], Jajoria *et al.* (2013) [3], Mor *et al.* (2014) [4], Patel *et al.* (2015) [5] and Sahu *et al.* (2021) [7].

The data advocated that application of 90 kg N/ha (N₃) and 30 kg K₂O/ha (K₃) registered significantly higher seed yield of 1448 and 1439 kg/ha, respectively. However, in case of

nitrogen, it was remained at par with application of 60 kg N/ha (N₂). Application of phosphorus did not exert any significant effect on seed yield of Isabgol. Interaction effect was found non-significant with respect to seed yield. Significantly the lowest seed yield was recorded under application of 30 kg N/ha and 15 kg K₂O/ha. The stover yield was differed significantly due to different treatments and significantly higher stover yield was recorded under application of 90 kg N/ha, 60kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha than rest of the levels. Significantly the lowest seed yield was recorded under application of 30 kg N/ha and 15 kg K₂O/ha. Significant increment in seed yield of isabgol may be due to the increase in the tillers per plant, spikes per plant, seeds per spike, test weight with the fact that N P K is expected to hasten plant development and longer period for movement of photosynthates from source to sink. These reasons are similar with the findings of, Patel *et al.* (2015) [5], Shivran (2016) [8], Sojitra *et al.* (2019) [2], Sahu *et al.* (2021) [7] and Qureshi and Dewangan (2022) [9].

Significantly, the highest nutrient content and uptake of seed and stover were registered under application of 90 kg N/ha, 60 kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha. Application of 30 kg N/ha, 30 kg P₂O₅/ha and 15 kg K₂O/ha recorded significantly lower nutrient content and uptake of seed and stover. The interaction effect of nitrogen and phosphorus in case of nutrient uptake by seed and stover was significant and N₃P₂ level registered significantly the highest NPK uptake by seed and stover. Significantly higher available N, P and K in the soil were noted with application of 90 kg N/ha, 60 kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O, respectively. Interaction effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash was found significant in case of available phosphorus. Significantly higher available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash were recorded under application of 90 kg N, 60 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂O/ha (N₃P₂K₂) which was remained at par with N₂P₂K₁.

The highest net return and B:C ratio of `73504 and 2.58, respectively was obtained under application of 60 kg N, 30 kg P₂O₅ and 30 kg K₂O/ha (N₂P₁K₂). Comparatively, the lowest gross return, net return and BCR of `88652, `42562 and 1.93, respectively under N₁P₁K₁.

Table 1: Effect of NPK levels on plant height and yield attributes (Pooled)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers per plant	No. of spikes per plant	No. of seeds per spike	Spike length (cm)
N Levels					
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	26.67	5.60	43.47	56.99	4.10
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	28.20	6.32	47.83	64.82	4.54
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	29.70	7.34	50.06	66.56	4.92
S.Em.±	0.36	0.17	0.76	1.03	0.09
C.D. at 5%	1.02	0.48	2.24	2.92	0.27
P Levels					
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	27.70	6.15	46.09	62.11	4.36
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	28.68	6.69	48.15	63.46	4.68
S.Em.±	0.30	0.14	0.60	0.84	0.08
C.D. at 5%	0.84	0.39	1.70	NS	0.22
K Levels					
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	27.50	5.98	45.08	60.16	4.36
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	28.88	6.86	49.16	65.41	4.68
S.Em.±	0.30	0.14	0.60	0.84	0.08
C.D. at 5%	0.84	0.39	1.70	2.38	0.22
NxP					
S.Em.±	0.51	0.24	1.04	1.46	0.13
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxK					

S.Em.±	0.51	0.24	1.04	1.46	0.13
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
PxK					
S.Em.±	0.42	0.20	0.85	1.19	0.11
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxPxK					
S.Em.±	0.73	0.34	1.48	2.07	0.19
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	7.72	16.01	9.40	9.87	12.58

Table 2: Effect of NPK levels on seed yield of isabgol

Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha)			
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
N Levels				
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	1421	1352	995	1256
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	1548	1402	1088	1346
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	1619	1571	1154	1448
S.Em.±	45	59	30	36
C.D. at 5%	131	173	89	105
P Levels				
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	1507	1440	1048	1332
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	1552	1444	1110	1369
S.Em.±	37	48	25	22
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
K Levels				
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	1448	1308	1028	1261
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	1611	1575	1130	1439
S.Em.±	37	48	25	22
C.D. at 5%	107	142	73	62
NxP				
S.Em.±	63	84	43	38
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxK				
S.Em.±	63	84	43	38
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
PxK				
S.Em.±	52	68	35	31
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxPxK				
S.Em.±	90	118	61	53
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	10.15	14.21	9.79	11.88
Y				
S.Em.±				26
C.D. at 5%				75
Y x T				NS

Table 3: Effect of NPK levels on stover yield of isabgol

Treatments	Stover yield (kg/ha)			
	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
N Levels				
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	2424	2282	1986	2230
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	2565	2819	2057	2480
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	2706	3334	2223	2754
S.Em.±	72	152	56	59
C.D. at 5%	210	447	163	167
P Levels				
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	2532	2675	2048	2418
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	2598	2949	2130	2559
S.Em.±	58	124	45	48
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	136
K Levels				
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	2459	2596	2018	2358
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	2671	3027	2159	2619
S.Em.±	58	124	45	48
C.D. at 5%	171	365	133	136

NxP				
S.Em.±	101	216	78	84
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxK				
S.Em.±	101	216	78	84
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
PxK				
S.Em.±	83	176	64	68
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxPxK				
S.Em.±	143	305	111	118
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	9.66	18.78	9.21	14.25
Y				
S.Em.±				27
C.D. at 5%				76
Y x T				NS

Table 4: Effect of NPK levels on nutrient content of seed and stover of isabgol (Pooled)

Treatments	N content in seed (%)	N content in stover (%)	P content in seed (%)	P content in stover (%)	K content in seed (%)	K content in stover (%)
N Levels						
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	0.99	1.36	1.19	1.60	1.18	1.41
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	1.21	1.60	1.43	1.88	1.41	1.70
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	1.38	1.83	1.64	2.17	1.62	1.93
S.Em.±	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03
C.D. at 5%	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.07
P Levels						
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	1.12	1.52	1.33	1.79	1.31	1.57
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	1.27	1.67	1.51	1.98	1.49	1.79
S.Em.±	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
C.D. at 5%	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06
K Levels						
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	1.15	1.55	1.36	1.83	1.35	1.61
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	1.24	1.65	1.47	1.94	1.46	1.75
S.Em.±	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
C.D. at 5%	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06
NxP						
S.Em.±	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxK						
S.Em.±	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
PxK						
S.Em.±	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxPxK						
S.Em.±	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	9.20	9.51	8.51	10.25	8.61	9.01

Table 5: Effect of NPK levels on nutrient uptake by seed and stover of isabgol (Pooled)

Treatments	N uptake by seed (kg/ha)	N uptake by stover (kg/ha)	P uptake by seed (kg/ha)	P uptake by stover (kg/ha)	K uptake by seed (kg/ha)	K uptake by stover (kg/ha)
N Levels						
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	12.90	30.62	15.24	35.74	15.27	31.51
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	16.94	40.05	19.94	46.64	19.94	42.33
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	20.41	50.81	24.02	59.78	24.07	53.57
S.Em.±	0.31	0.76	0.36	1.04	0.37	0.84
C.D. at 5%	0.87	2.14	1.01	2.92	1.04	2.36
P Levels						
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	15.32	37.41	18.07	43.57	18.09	38.51
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	18.18	43.57	21.40	51.20	21.43	46.43
S.Em.±	0.25	0.62	0.29	0.85	0.30	0.68

C.D. at 5%	0.71	1.75	0.82	2.39	0.85	1.93
K Levels						
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	15.16	37.08	17.89	43.55	17.92	38.47
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	18.34	43.91	21.57	51.22	21.60	46.47
S.Em.±	0.25	0.62	0.29	0.85	0.30	0.68
C.D. at 5%	0.71	1.75	0.82	2.39	0.85	1.93
NxP						
S.Em.±	0.44	1.07	0.50	1.46	0.52	1.18
C.D. at 5%	1.23	3.02	1.42	4.14	1.47	3.34
NxK						
S.Em.±	0.44	1.07	0.50	1.46	0.52	1.18
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
PxK						
S.Em.±	0.36	0.87	0.41	1.20	0.43	0.96
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
NxPxK						
S.Em.±	0.62	1.51	0.71	2.07	0.74	1.67
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	11.02	11.22	10.84	13.11	11.20	11.81

Table 6: Interaction effect of NPK levels on nitrogen uptake by seed and stover (Pooled)

P \ N	Nitrogen uptake by seed (kg/ha)				Nitrogen uptake by stover (kg/ha)			
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean
P ₁	14.04	19.71	21.68	18.48	33.96	45.37	50.77	43.36
P ₂	17.12	20.92	27.12	21.72	40.49	46.60	60.28	49.12
Mean	15.58	20.32	24.40		37.22	45.98	55.52	
S.Em.±	0.44				1.07			
C.D. at 5%	1.23				3.20			

Table 7: Interaction effect of NPK levels on phosphorus uptake by seed and stover (Pooled)

P \ N	Nitrogen uptake by seed (kg/ha)				Nitrogen uptake by stover (kg/ha)			
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean
P ₁	16.15	22.66	24.91	21.24	37.66	50.31	56.30	48.09
P ₂	19.69	24.06	31.19	24.98	44.90	51.73	68.58	55.07
Mean	17.92	23.36	28.05		41.28	51.02	62.44	
S.Em.±	0.50				1.46			
C.D. at 5%	1.42				4.14			

Table 8: Interaction effect of NPK levels on potassium uptake by seed and stover (Pooled)

P \ N	Nitrogen uptake by seed (kg/ha)				Nitrogen uptake by stover (kg/ha)			
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean
P ₁	16.85	23.65	26.02	22.17	35.38	49.67	53.36	46.13
P ₂	20.55	25.11	32.54	26.07	43.73	51.74	68.39	54.62
Mean	18.70	24.38	29.28		39.55	50.70	60.88	
S.Em.±	0.52				1.18			
C.D. at 5%	1.47				3.34			

Table 9: Effect of NPK levels on available nutrients in soil after harvest (Pooled)

Treatment	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha)	Available Potash (kg/ha)
N			
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	224.8	35.8	256.1
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	241.7	38.0	257.3
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	253.2	38.9	257.4
S.Em.±	2.1	0.6	1.9
C.D. at 5%	5.9	NS	NS
P Levels			
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	235.1	35.8	253.9
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	244.7	39.3	259.9
S.Em.±	1.7	0.5	1.5
C.D. at 5%	4.8	1.3	4.4
K Levels			
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	238.8	37.2	251.9
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	241.0	37.9	261.9
S.Em.±	1.7	0.5	1.5

C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	4.4
NxP			
S.Em.±	2.9	0.8	2.7
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS
NxK			
S.Em.±	2.9	0.8	2.7
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS
PxK			
S.Em.±	2.4	0.7	2.2
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS
NxPxK			
S.Em.±	4.1	1.2	3.8
C.D. at 5%	NS	3.3	NS
C.V. %	5.2	9.3	4.4
Initial	181.66	31.65	259.73

Table 10: Interaction effect of N, P and K levels on available phosphorus in soil after harvest

NxPKK	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha)			
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean
P ₁ K ₁	36.3	36.7	39.2	37.4
P ₁ K ₂	36.7	37.8	38.3	37.6
P ₂ K ₁	39.0	43.0	39.6	40.5
P ₂ K ₂	38.0	41.7	46.0	41.9
S.Em.±	1.2			
C.D. at 5%	3.3			

Table 11a: Effect of NPK levels on economics of Isabgol (Mean of 3 years)

Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Gross return (₹/ha)	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Net returns (₹/ha)	BCR
N Levels						
N ₁ : 30 kg/ha	1209	2230	97798	46911	50887	2.09
N ₂ : 60 kg/ha	1324	2493	107176	47293	59883	2.27
N ₃ : 90 kg/ha	1430	2754	115764	47681	68083	2.43
P Levels						
P ₁ : 30 kg/ha	1309	2427	105947	46547	59400	2.28
P ₂ : 60 kg/ha	1332	2559	107878	48043	59835	2.25
K Levels						
K ₁ : 15 kg/ha	1227	2358	99313	47223	52090	2.11
K ₂ : 30 kg/ha	1415	2628	114512	47368	67144	2.42

Table 11b: Interaction effect of NPK levels on economics of Isabgol (Mean of 3 years)

S. No	Treatments	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Gross return (₹/ha)	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Net returns (₹/ha)	BCR
1	N ₁ P ₁ K ₁	1095	2063	88652	46091	42562	1.93
2	N ₁ P ₁ K ₂	1226	2265	99202	46236	52966	2.15
3	N ₁ P ₂ K ₁	1115	2157	90255	47587	42668	1.90
4	N ₁ P ₂ K ₂	1398	2436	113083	47732	65351	2.37
5	N ₂ P ₁ K ₁	1259	2382	101881	46473	55409	2.20
6	N ₂ P ₁ K ₂	1485	2645	120122	46618	73504	2.58
7	N ₂ P ₂ K ₁	1119	2276	90697	47969	42729	1.89
8	N ₂ P ₂ K ₂	1433	2671	116002	48114	67889	2.42
9	N ₃ P ₁ K ₁	1328	2503	107457	46861	60596	2.30
10	N ₃ P ₁ K ₂	1463	2702	118370	47006	71364	2.52
11	N ₃ P ₂ K ₁	1444	2764	116936	48357	68580	2.42
12	N ₃ P ₂ K ₂	1485	3048	120293	48502	71791	2.49

Selling price: Seed ` 80/kg, Stover ` 0.5/kg

Conclusion

On the basis of the experimental results, it could be concluded that different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash significantly affected growth and yield of Isabgol. The application of 30 kg N/ha, 30 kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha along with FYM 5 t/ha at the time of sowing and remaining 30 kg N/ha at as top dressing at 45 DAS performed better in

terms of growth parameters, yield attributes, yield and nutrient content and uptake of Isabgol under medium black soils of South Saurashtra Agroclimatic Zone.

References

1. Anonymous. District wise area, production and yield of important food and non-food crops in Gujarat state.

- Directorate of Agriculture, Gujarat state, Gandhinagar. Available from: <http://dag.gujarat.gov.in>; c2022.
2. Sojitra HB, Kachhadiya SP, Parmar KB, Korat HV. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of Isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk.). Indian J Pure Appl Biosci. 2019;(6):42-46.
 3. Jajoria DK, Shivran AC, Narolia GP. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on yield attributes and yields of blonde psyllium (*Plantago ovata* Forsk.). Int J Plant Sci. 2013;8(2):319-321.
 4. Mor VB, Patel JJ, Choudhary AN, Choudhary MG, Choudhary RF. Influence of different sources and levels of phosphorus and biofertilizer on yields, quality, NPK content and economics of isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk.). Trends Biosci. 2014;7(22):3750-3753.
 5. Patel DM, Patel MR, Patel HK, Prajapati RB, Chauhan SA. Response of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on yield and quality of isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk.). J Pure Appl. Microbiol. 2015;9:1665-1669.
 6. Reddy GS. Effect of date of sowing and nitrogen on growth and yield of Isabgol (*Plantago ovata*). In: International Conference on Agriculture and Horticulture Sciences; 2014 Feb 03-05; Hyderabad. Omics Group Conferences; c2014. p. 46.
 7. Sahu P, Naruka IS, Shaktawat RPS, Haldar A. Effect of levels of NPK on growth and yield of Isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk.). J Appl Hort. 2021;23(1):50-53.
 8. Shivran AC. Response of blond psyllium (*Plantago ovata* Forsk) varieties to time of sowing and nitrogen fertilization under semi-arid conditions. Int J Seed Spices. 2016;6(2):50-54.
 9. Qureshi SA, Dewangan YK. Impact of different nutrient management practices on growth dynamics, husk and seed yield of isabgol (*Plantago ovata*). Pharma Innov J. 2022;11(11):890-892.
 10. Imbo TD, Sukhatme UP. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics and large-N expansions. Physical review letters. 1985 May 20;54(20):2184.