



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(12): 2992-2998  
© 2023 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 08-09-2023  
Accepted: 18-10-2023

**Avinash P Karjule**  
Junior Research Assistant, Seed  
Technology Research Unit,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**Uday G Kachole**  
Seed Production Officer, Seed  
Cell, Seed Technology Research  
Unit, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**Vijay R Shelar**  
Seed Research Officer, Seed  
Technology Research Unit,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**Narayan A Musmade**  
Seed Technology Research Unit,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Avinash P Karjule**  
Junior Research Assistant, Seed  
Technology Research Unit,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

## Effect of varieties, seed rate and sowing methods on seed yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.)

**Avinash P Karjule, Uday G Kachole, Vijay R Shelar and Narayan A Musmade**

### Abstract

Quality seed plays an important role to increase the production and productivity in soybean, however availability of quality seed is one of the major problem in soybean seed production. The field study was undertaken to investigate the effect of varieties, reduced seed rate and sowing methods on soybean seed quality and yield. It was observed that the seed yield (26.42 qt ha<sup>-1</sup>) of soybean variety KDS 344 sown on Ridges and furrows was significantly higher at seed rate 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par (25.03 qt) with the seed rate 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The cost benefit ratio was (1.53) and (1.49) for 70 kg and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seed rates respectively. The plant population (52.45/m<sup>2</sup>), plant height (69.17 cm) were also the highest at seed rate 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the same variety. The seed quality parameters viz., initial germination (93.25%), seed vigour index I (2683) and vigour index II (109.55) and plant growth parameters were significantly higher in the soybean variety KDS 344 sown on Ridges and furrows at reduced seed rate of 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords:** Variety, seed rate, ridges and furrow, seed yield, germination

### Introduction

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is known as “golden bean” due to its various usages. Majority of the area under soybean –wheat based cropping system is in Central India and is covered under vertisols and associated soils (Bhatnagar and Joshi, 1999) [4]. As enrich in protein and accounts over half of the world’s oilseed production it plays a key component for global food security (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2011) [1]. Soybean area is increasing probably due to higher returns with lower inputs and management practices. It gives stable cost effective yields in varied agro-climatic conditions (Kumar *et al.* 2008) [16]. For the sake of higher stabilize soybean yields, a revision is to be undertaken that includes both practical agronomic management and genetics. The cost of production of soybean could be minimized by introducing of new technology. In India there is huge gap between demand and supply of quality seeds of soybean to the stake holders. Higher yields with reduced seed rate will be one of the strategy for higher benefits and availability of quality seeds during shortage of soybean seeds. Increase in seed rate above optimum level may only enhance production cost without any increase in grain yield (Rafique *et al.*, 2010) [23]. Optimizing the seed production by reduced seed rates is possible by using proper management and responsive cultivars.

The management practice like land treatments (raised sunken bed system, ridges and furrows, broad bed and furrows) increased in situ soil moisture conservation, minimized runoff, and soil erosion (Singh *et al.*, 1999) [31]. Water logging conditions creates excess moisture during monsoon season causes unfavourable conditions like reduced soil porosity, reduced soil aeration resulted in reduced root growth, nodulation and nutrient uptake which ultimately affects the physiology and biochemistry of a soybean plant ultimately reflects lower productivity. Land management practices plays an important role in minimizing soil erosion and improves water use efficiency. The seed production on ridges and furrows can save considerable amount of irrigation water and maximize water productivity (Dhindwal *et al.*, 2006) [9]. Ram and Kler, (2007) [28] reported that the raised bed planting reduces seed rate and provides favourable environment for the growth and development of the soybean. More studies were undertaken on the effect of seed rate on performance of genotypes on conventional planting methods in soybean. However, little work has been published on effect of seed rates on different varieties with ridges and furrow planting method.

In view of this, present study was planned to evaluate the effect of reduced seed rates on varietal performance with conventional and ridges and furrow planting methods on productivity, insect and disease infestation economic viability of low seed rate and seed yield and quality of soybean

### Materials and Methods

The field study was undertaken with the soybean varieties KDS 344 (Phule Agrani) (V<sub>1</sub>) and JS-9305 (V<sub>2</sub>) sown with reduced seed rates *i.e.* @ 60kg/ha (T<sub>1</sub>) and @ 50kg/ha (T<sub>2</sub>) along with recommended seed rate @ 70 kg/ha (T<sub>0</sub>) along with reduced seed rates with two types of planting method *viz.*, Ridge & furrow (S<sub>1</sub>) and Flat bed (S<sub>2</sub>) in four replications. The plant to plant spacing was adjusted according to seed rates and 100 seed weights of the selected varieties. The 100 seed weight of var. JS-9305 is 14 g and plant to plant distance is 4.4 cm, 5.2 cm and 6.2 cm for 70 kg,

60 kg and 50 kg seed rate respectively whereas 100 seed weight of var. KDS 344 (Phule Agrani) is 12 g and plant to plant distance is 3.8 cm, 4.5 cm and 5.3 cm for 70 kg, 60 kg and 50 kg seed rate respectively. The seed yield and quality attributes were recorded during the crop growth and after harvest. The data obtained were analysed through analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique for factorial controlled randomized design and presented at 5% level of significance (P = 0.05) suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967)<sup>[22]</sup>.

### Results and Discussion

The data on influence of varieties, sowing methods and sowing rates on seed yield, yield contributing traits and quality are presented in Table 1 and 2. From the data it is revealed that the seed yield and seed quality of soybean influenced significantly due to varieties, sowing types and seed rates.

**Table 1:** Effect of variety, sowing method and seed rate on seed yield and quality parameters of soybean

Treatments	Plant population /m <sup>2</sup>	Plant height (cm)	Plant canopy diameter (cm)	No. of branches/plant	No. of pods /plant	Seed yield (g)/plant
<b>Sowing method</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> -Flat bed	41.53	57.14	38.61	6.43	69.26	17.35
S <sub>2</sub> -Ridge & furrow	42.28	59.56	43.35	6.73	72.32	18.97
SE ±	0.271	0.785	0.160	0.046	1.046	0.311
CD at 5%	NS	2.261	0.461	0.131	3.013	0.894
<b>Seed rate</b>						
T <sub>0</sub> - Recommended seed rate @ 70 kg/ha	48.48	61.14	38.32	6.04	68.26	16.80
T <sub>1</sub> - Reduced seed rate @ 60kg/ha	42.00	58.02	41.26	6.59	71.14	18.23
T <sub>2</sub> - Reduced seed rate @ 50kg/ha	35.23	55.89	43.35	7.11	72.96	19.45
SE ±	0.332	0.962	0.196	0.056	1.282	0.380
CD at 5%	0.955	2.769	0.565	0.161	3.690	1.095
<b>Variety</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> : Phule Agrani (KDS 344)	45.81	64.73	44.70	6.95	75.13	19.83
V <sub>2</sub> : JS 9305	37.99	51.96	37.26	6.21	66.45	16.49
SE ±	0.271	0.785	0.160	0.046	1.046	0.311
CD at 5%	0.780	2.261	0.461	0.131	3.013	0.894

**Table 1:** Continue....

Treatments	Seed yield Kg/plot	Seed yield qt/ha	100 seed weight (g)	Germination (%)	Root shoot length (cm)	Dry matter content (g)	Vigour index I	Vigour index II
<b>Sowing method</b>								
S <sub>1</sub> -Flat bed	4.01	20.70	13.15	91.46 (73.03)	26.89	1.15	2460	104.92
S <sub>2</sub> -Ridge & furrow	4.43	22.86	13.22	92.33 (73.96)	27.51	1.16	2540	106.97
SE ±	0.063	0.326	0.059	0.109	0.204	0.002	19.58	0.277
CD at 5%	0.182	0.939	NS	0.314	0.588	0.007	56.37	0.768
<b>Seed rate</b>								
T <sub>0</sub> - Recommended seed rate @ 70 kg/ha	4.44	22.91	13.05	91.06 (72.62)	26.26	1.14	2392	104.16
T <sub>1</sub> - Reduced seed rate @ 60kg/ha	4.24	21.86	13.21	91.88 (73.47)	27.13	1.15	2493	105.84
T <sub>2</sub> - Reduced seed rate @ 50kg/ha	3.99	20.57	13.29	92.75 (74.39)	28.20	1.16	2616	107.83
SE ±	0.077	0.399	0.072	0.133	0.250	0.003	23.98	0.339
CD at 5%	0.223	1.150	NS	0.384	0.720	0.008	69.04	0.977
<b>Variety</b>								
V <sub>1</sub> : Phule Agrani (KDS 344)	4.50	23.20	12.18	92.21 (73.83)	27.64	1.17	2549	107.44
V <sub>2</sub> : JS 9305	3.95	20.36	14.19	91.58 (73.16)	26.76	1.14	2451	104.45
SE ±	0.063	0.326	0.059	0.109	0.204	0.002	19.58	0.277
CD at 5%	0.182	0.939	0.169	0.314	0.588	0.007	56.37	0.768

\*Figures in parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

**Table 2:** Interaction effects of variety, sowing method and seed rate on seed yield and quality parameters of soybean

Treatments	Plant population/m <sup>2</sup>	Plant height (cm)	Plant canopy (cm <sup>2</sup> )	No. of branches/plant	No. of pods /plant	Seed yield/ plant (g)												
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	50.40	65.42	39.61	6.35	70.90	17.90												
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	47.25	62.68	42.79	6.80	74.15	19.48												
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	38.05	61.20	44.66	7.20	76.30	20.57												
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	52.45	69.17	45.18	6.70	74.10	18.85												
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	48.10	66.00	47.19	7.05	76.80	20.19												
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	38.60	63.93	48.77	7.60	78.50	21.97												
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	46.45	54.35	32.58	5.35	61.95	14.45												
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	34.45	51.41	34.60	6.15	65.50	15.22												
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	32.55	47.76	37.44	6.70	66.75	16.50												
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	44.60	55.61	35.93	5.75	66.10	16.01												
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	38.20	52.01	40.49	6.35	68.10	18.02												
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	31.70	50.66	42.54	6.95	70.30	18.76												
SE ±	0.664	0.439	0.392	0.112	2.563	0.761												
CD at 5%	1.911	NS	1.130	NS	NS	NS												
CV	3.17	7.59	1.92	3.40	7.24	8.38												
	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T
SE ±	0.383	0.469	0.469	0.111	1.360	1.360	0.227	0.277	0.277	0.065	0.079	0.079	1.480	1.812	1.812	0.439	0.538	0.538
CD at 5%	NS	1.351	1.351	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.227	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Table 2:** Continue...

Treatments	Seed yield /plot (kg)	Seed yield /ha (kg)	B:C ratio	100 seed weight(g)	Initial Germination (%)	RS length (cm)	Dry matter content (g)	Vigour index I	Vigour index II									
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	4.39	22.63	1.38	12.03	90.50 (72.05)	26.52	1.148	2400	103.85									
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	4.27	22.05	1.35	12.19	91.25 (72.80)	27.14	1.155	2476	105.40									
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	4.12	21.24	1.32	12.20	92.75 (74.39)	28.19	1.168	2614	108.29									
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	5.12	26.42	1.53	12.07	92.25 (73.84)	26.78	1.160	2497	108.17									
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	4.85	25.03	1.49	12.27	93.25 (74.95)	28.12	1.173	2622	109.34									
V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	4.23	21.84	1.31	12.34	93.25 (74.95)	29.09	1.188	2683	109.55									
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	4.05	20.90	1.27	14.04	90.75 (72.30)	25.57	1.130	2320	102.55									
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	3.76	19.39	1.19	14.14	91.25 (72.80)	26.46	1.138	2414	103.80									
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	3.49	17.99	1.12	14.30	92.25 (73.84)	27.50	1.145	2536	105.63									
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>0</sub>	4.21	21.70	1.26	14.08	90.75 (72.30)	26.19	1.138	2376	103.23									
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>1</sub>	4.06	20.96	1.25	14.25	91.75 (73.31)	26.83	1.143	2462	104.83									
V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	4.11	21.22	1.27	14.32	92.75 (74.39)	28.04	1.150	2600	106.66									
SE ±	0.155	0.799		0.144	0.267	0.500	0.006	47.95	0.679									
CD at 5%	0.446	2.299		NS	0.769	NS	NS	NS	NS									
CV	8.33	8.33		2.19	1.55	3.68	2.02	3.84	1.28									
	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T	V X S	V X T	S X T
SE ±	0.089	0.109	0.109	0.461	0.565	0.565	0.083	0.103	0.103	0.154	0.189	0.189	0.289	0.354	0.354	0.003	0.004	0.004
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Figures in parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

**A. Effect of seed rates**

It was observed that the seed yield per hectare (22.91 qt) were significantly higher at seed rate 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was at par with the seed rate 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The plant population (48.48/m<sup>2</sup>), plant height (61.14 cm) were also the highest at seed rate 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Lone *et al.* (2010) [10] also recorded higher soybean plant height in higher seed rate treatments. The seed quality parameters *viz.*, initial germination (92.75%), seed vigour index I (2616) and vigour index II (107.83) and plant growth parameters were significantly higher at seed rate 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The higher plant density per unit area resulted in increased plant height and there is more competition between the plants for solar energy utilization in higher seed rates. Similar results were reported by Cox and Cherney (2011) [5], Rahman and Hossain (2011) [24] and Akond *et al.*, (2013) [2]. Reduction in seed rates contributed to yield changes but not to changes in

profitability with the seed rates of 70 and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Adopting narrow row spacing and reduced seed rates as compared to current production recommendations could be used to reduce production costs and increase yield and profitability. Increased plant growth and yield attributes was associated with plant population. Higher yields might be due to higher plant populations however, in case of reduced seed rate plants get more space for growth ultimately resulted in higher yield attributes and could achieve the yield up to yield levels of higher seed rates. The results were obtained by Jason *et al.* (2008) [13], Naoki in soybean, Kilic and Gursoy (2010) [15] in wheat.

**B. Effect of sowing method**

The sowing method also affected the seed yield and quality attributes. The yield contributing parameters *viz.*, number of

branches (6.73), number of pods (72.32 plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (18.97 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (4.43 kg plot<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (22.86 qt ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as the seed quality parameters viz., initial germination (92.33%) and vigour index I (2540) and vigour index II (106.97) were significantly higher in the sowing method of ridges and furrows over the flat bed sowing. Higher seed yield and quality might be due to better growing environment and proper drainage of lodged water in ridge planting than flat sowing which ultimately beneficial for better crop growth. Dhakad *et al.* (2014) [8] found higher growth and yield attributes of soybean in ridge and furrow system compared to the flat bed sowing which subsequently resulted in yield enhancement to the extent of 27.2 percent. Ralli and Dingra (2003) [27] reported higher growth rates of soybean in case of ridge and furrow because of less stagnation of water due to furrow and proper aeration due to ridges leading to satisfactory physical environment for plant growth. Devrat *et al.* (2012) [6] also reported significantly higher plant population on furrow irrigated raised bed than on normally adopted flat bed. The results are in accordance to Nagavallema *et al.* (2005) [18], Verma *et al.* (2018) [34].

### C. Effect of varieties

The plant height (64.73 cm), plant canopy diameter (44.70 cm), number of branches (6.95), number of pods (75.13 plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (19.83 g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (4.50 kg plot<sup>-1</sup>), seed yield (23.20 qt ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as the seed quality parameters viz., initial germination (92.21%) and vigour index I (2549) and vigour index II (107.44). The plant growth and yield contributing parameters were significantly higher in soybean variety Phule Agrani (KDS 344) over JS 9305. Newer variety development is a regular phenomenon as per the requirements of farmers. Different soybean varieties gave varied response to environmental changes and land management practices. It was found that soybean genotype Phule Agrani (KDS 344) possessed relatively better growth attributes and responding more positively to land management practices and seed rates as compared to other genotypes JS 9305. These results are in accordance to Negi *et al.* (2018) [20] who reported varied response of different cultivars of soybean under changing environmental conditions. There are development different types of micro climate in dense population in higher seed rates and plants with more space for growth due to reduced seed rates. Similar varietal responses for varied ecological conditions were reported by findings were reported by Ashard *et al.*, (2006) [3], Rajanna *et al.*, (2000) [25].

### D. Interaction effect

The interaction effect of varieties, sowing type and seed rates showed significant effect on yield parameters. The seed yield (5.12 kg plant<sup>-1</sup> and 26.42 qt ha<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly higher in the ridges and furrow sowing method of soybean variety Phule Agrani (KDS 344) with the seed rate 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The same variety sown with ridges method and with the seed rate 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> showed at par values for yield and quality parameters. The seed quality parameters viz., initial germination (93.25%) was higher in the same treatment combination with seed rate 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Ram *et al.* (2012) [29] advocated that raised bed, raised broad bed and ridge furrow sowing of soybean should followed over flat bed sowing mainly due to their ability to save irrigation water and better root development resulting higher benefits. At low plant density in reduced seed rates favours better plants developed

and more branching in soybean due to reduced competition for light. Lower number of lateral branches was observed in case of higher seed rates and more density. These results are in agreement with the findings of Hosseini *et al.* (2001) [12]; Dapaah *et al.* (2005) [7]; Worku and Astatkie (2011) [35] and Shamsi and Kobraee (2011) [30].

### E. Cost benefit ratio

The highest cost benefit ratio (1.53) was observed in the raised bed sowing of the soybean variety Phule Agrani with 70 kg seed rate and was (1.49) in the raised bed with seed rate of 60 kg/ha. The cost benefit ratio was found the lowest (1.12) in the flat bed sowing of the soybean variety JS 9305 with 50 kg/ha seed rate.

### References

1. Ainsworth EA, Yendrek CR, Skoneczka JA, Long SP. Accelerating yield potential in soybean: potential targets for biotechnological improvement. *Plant Cell Environ*; c2011. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02378.x.
2. Akond AG, Bobby M, Bazzelle R, Clark W, Kantartzi SK, Meksem K, Kassem A, *et al.* Effect of two row spaces on several agronomic traits in Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.]. *Atlas J Plant Biol*. 2013;1(2):18-23.
3. Arshad M, Ali N, Ghafoor A. Character correlation and path coefficient in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. *Pakistan J Botany*. 2006;38:121-130.
4. Bhatnagar PS, Joshi OP. Soybean in cropping systems in India. *Integrated Crop Management Series*. FAO, Rome. 1999;3:1-39.
5. Cox WJ, Cherney JH. Growth and yield responses of soybean to row spacing and seeding rate. *Agron J*. 2011;03(1):123-128.
6. Devrat S, Ramteke R, Vyas AK, Billore SD, Khan IR. Tractor operated furrow irrigated raised bed system (FIRBS) seed drill for rainfed soybean in vertisols. *Directorate of Soybean Res*. 2012;10:88-92.
7. Dapaah HK, Ennin SA, Asafu-Agyei JN. Soybean management for increased production: effects of plant population density and planting method. *Ghana J Agric Sci*. 2005;1:43-48.
8. Dhakad SS, Agrawal V, Verma S. Effect of ridge and furrow system on the growth character and productivity of rainfed soybean in Vidisha district of M.P. *Res Environ Life Sci*. 2014;7(3):211-212.
9. Dhindwal AS, Hooda IS, Malik RK, Kumar S. Water productivity of furrow-irrigated rainy-season pulses planted on raised beds. *Indian J Agron*. 2006;51:49-53.
10. El-Herty EH, Rizk AMA, Gendy EK, El-Aal AHT. Performance of soybean genotypes under four sowing dates at middle Egypt. *Egypt J Plant Breed*. 2010;14:283-293.
11. Grau CR, Hartman GL. Sclerotinia stem rot. *Compendium of Soybean Diseases*. Fourth ed. G.L. Hartman, J.B. Sinclair, J.C. Rupe, Eds. APS Press: St. Paul, MN; c1999. p. 47-49.
12. Hosseini NM, Ellis RH, Yazdi-Samadi B. Effects of plant population density on yield and yield components of eight isolines of cv. Clark (*Glycine max* L.). *J Agric Sci Technol*. 2001;3:131-139.
13. Jason L, Bruin D, Palle P. Effect of row spacing and seeding rate on soybean yield. *Agron J*. 2008;100(3):704-710.



14. Kandil AA, Sharief AE, Morsy AR, El-Sayed MAI. Performance of some promising genotypes of soybean under different planting dates using biplots analysis. *J Basic Appl Sci.* 2012;8:379-385.
15. Kilic H, Gursoy S. Effect of seeding rate on yield and yield components of durum wheat cultivars in cotton-wheat cropping system. *Sci Res Essays.* 2010;5(15):2078-2084.
16. Kumar A, Pandey V, Shekh AM, Kumar M. Growth and yield response of Soybean (*Glycine max.* L.) in relation to temperature, photoperiod and sunshine duration at Anand, Gujrat, India. *Am Eurasian J Agron.* 2008;1(2):45-50.
17. Lone BA, Hassan B, Ansar-ul-haq S, Khan MH. Effect of seed rate, row spacing and fertility levels on relative economics of soybean (*Glycine max.* L.) under temperate conditions. *Afr J Agric Res.* 2010;5:322-24.
18. Nagavallema KP, Wani SP, Reddy MS, Pathak P. Effect of landform and soil depth on productivity of soybean-based cropping systems and erosion losses in Vertic Inceptisols. *Indian J Soil Conserv.* 2005;33:132-136.
19. Naoki M, Tetsuya Y, Yoshitake T, Koichiro F, Makita H. Effect of plant density on growth and yield of new soybean genotypes grown under early planting conditions in southwestern Japan. *Plant Prod Sci.* 2018;21(1):16-25.
20. Negi A, Chandra S, Chilwal A, Bora R. Growth analysis of soybean varieties under different land configurations in Mollisols of Himalayan Tarai. *J Pharmacogn Phytochem.* 2018;7(6):793-796.
21. Ngalamu T, Ashraf M, Meseka S. Soybean (*Glycine max* L) genotype and environment interaction effect on yield and other related traits. *Am J Exp Agric.* 2013;3(4):977-987.
22. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; c1967.
23. Rafique SM, Rashid M, Akram M, Ahmad J, Hussain R, Razzaq A, *et al.* Optimum seed rate of wheat in available soil moisture under rainfed conditions. *J Agric Res.* 2010;47(2):143-151.
24. Rahman MM, Hossain MM. Plant density effects on growth, yield and yield components of two soybean varieties under equidistant planting arrangement. *Asian J Plant Sci.* 2011;10(5):278-286.
25. Rajanna MV, Pramilarani B, Satyanarayana A. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in soybean. *J Oilseeds Res.* 2000;17(1):32-35.
26. Rajput RP, Kauraw DL, Bhatnagar RK, Bhavsar M, Velayutham M, Lal R. Sustainable management of vertisols in central India. *J Crop Improvement.* 2009;23:119-35.
27. Ralli S, Dhingra KK. Response of soybean to different planting methods. *Ann Biol.* 2003;19(2):151-55.
28. Ram H, Kler DS. Growth analysis of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill.] and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. emend. Fiori and Paol) in sequence under no tillage and permanent raised bed planting. *Indian J Ecol.* 2007;34:154-57.
29. Ram H, Singh G, Aggarwal N, Kaur J. Soybean (*Glycine max*) growth, productivity and water use under different sowing methods and seeding rates in Punjab. *Indian J Agron.* 2012;56(4):377-380.
30. Shamsi K, Kobraee S. Soybean agronomic responses to plant density. *Ann Biol Res.* 2011;(4):168-173.
31. Singh P, Alagarwamy G, Pathak P, Wani SP, Hoogenboom G, Virmani SM, *et al.* Soybean-chickpea rotation on Vertic Inceptisol: I. Effect of soil depth and landform on light interception, water balance and crop yields. *Field Crops Res.* 1999;63:211-224.
32. Singh D, Ramteke R, Vyas AK, Billore SD, Khan IR. Tractor operated furrow irrigated raised bed system (FIRBS) seed drill for rainfed soybean in vertisols. Directorate of Soybean Research. 2012;10:88-92.
33. Sudarić A, Vratarić M, Rajcan I. Evaluation of agronomic performance of domestic and exotic soybean germplasm in Croatia. *Izvorni Znanstveni Članak; c2006.* p. 1-7.
34. Verma PD, Parmanand, Jain V, Rajput AS. Evaluation of sowing methods of Soybean in Bhatapara District of Chhattisgarh. *J Krishi Vigyan.* 2018;6(2):109-112.
35. Worku M, Astatkie T. Row and plant spacing effects on yield and yield components of soya bean varieties under hot humid tropical environment of Ethiopia. *J Agron Crop Sci.* 2011;197:67-74.
36. Yari V, Frnia A, Maleki A, Moradi M, Naseri R, Ghasemi M, *et al.* Yield and yield components of soybean cultivars as affected by planting date. *Bull Environ Pharmacol Life Sci.* 2013;2(7):85-90.