www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(12): 3311-3313 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 08-09-2023 Accepted: 11-10-2023

### **OB** Khot

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

### Dr. VP Sawant

Junior Entomologist, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Karjat, Raigad, Maharashtra, India

### Dr. RS Mule

Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

### Dr. VA Rajemahadik

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

### AB Narayankara

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

### SS Mali

M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### Corresponding Author: OB Khot M.Sc. Student, Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli,

Maharashtra, India

apoli, Emamec

### Efficacy of insecticides against blue beetle, *Leptispa pygmaea* Baly infesting rice

## OB Khot, Dr. VP Sawant, Dr. RS Mule, Dr. VA Rajemahadik and AB Narayankara and SS Mali

### Abstract

An experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri, during the *Kharif* 2022. The trial was set up in randomized block design with three replications using rice variety Karjat 8. The cumulative mean percent infestation of six insecticide treatments after two sprays revealed that, the treatment Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.2 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> was found most effective in controlling the blue beetle infestation (2.69%). The next best treatment was Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 gm lit<sup>-1</sup> with 3.87 percent blue beetle infestation and was at par with Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 0.1 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (4.01%), Indoxacarb 14.5 SC @ 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (4.89%) and Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC @ 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (5.02%). The treatment Quinalphos 25 EC @ 4.0 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> recorded 5.47 percent blue beetle infestation. The maximum infestation was noticed in the untreated control (14.30%).

Keywords: Blue beetle, rice, infestation, insecticides, efficacy

### 1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) belongs to the family Poaceae and sub-family Oryzoideae. It is one of the most important food crops in the world, also referred to as the "global grain". Rice is consumed by an estimated 3.5 billion people globally (Gadal et al. 2019)<sup>[1]</sup>. In Asia, where 92 percent of the world's rice is produced and consumed, rice represent the most prominent food crop (Wilson and Tabot, 2009)<sup>[7]</sup>. India is the largest rice producing country accounting for about one third of the world acreage under the crop. The rice plant is an ideal host for large number of insects and pathogens right from nursery to harvest (Mohapatra et al. 2018)<sup>[4]</sup>. The rice crop is attacked by more than 100 insect species. Out of these stem borer, brown plant hopper, gall midge, armyworm etc., are considered as major pests causing significant yield losses (Pathak and Khan, 1994)<sup>[6]</sup>. In recent days some pests of minor importance emerging as major issues in rice production. The rice blue beetle is one of these pests attained major pest status in recent years in some parts of Konkan region from Maharashtra. The adults and larvae of the blue beetle cause damage to the rice leaves by feeding and scrapping the upper surface of the leaves, which adversely affect the grain yield. The study shows that pest damaging during vegetative phase (50%) contributes more to the yield reduction than the reproductive (30%) or ripening phase (20%) (Gupta and Raghuraman, 2003)<sup>[2]</sup>. As the incidence of pests causes considerable yield loss and chemical insecticides has proven their positive effects in targeting the insect pests and controlling the damage, there is need to check the efficacy of some insecticides against blue beetle. Since the blue beetle has been so far considered as a minor pest, no much research work conducted in Maharashtra on this pest and very little information is available from elsewhere also. The blue beetle is a recent emerging problem of considerable importance for some parts of rice growers in Konkan region. Hence, the present investigation was carried out on 'Efficacy of insecticides against blue beetle, Leptispa pygmaea Baly infesting rice' with a view to test the effect of insecticides against blue beetle.

### 2. Material and Methods

An experiment was conducted at Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri, during the *Kharif* 2022 on rice variety Karjat 8. The trial was set up in randomized block design with three replications and seven treatments *viz.*, Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 gm lit<sup>-1</sup>, Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.2 ml lit<sup>-1</sup>, Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 0.1 ml lit<sup>-1</sup>, Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup>, Indoxacarb 14.5 SC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup>, Quinalphos 25 EC @ 4.0 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> and untreated control, respectively. The observations were

recorded on five randomly selected and marked hills in each treatment. Total two sprays were taken at 15 days interval. The observations were recorded on 1 day before, 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> days after spraying. The number of infested leaves per hill and total number of leaves per hill were counted and converted into percent infestation by using formula,

The percent leaf infestation =  $\frac{\text{Total number of infested leaves per hill}}{\text{Total number of leaves per hill}} x 100$ 

The data thus obtained was subjected to appropriate transformation and was analysed statistically.

### 3. Results and discussion

The data pertaining to the efficacy of different insecticides against blue beetle at pre-count, 7th and 14th days after first and second sprays are presented in the Table 1 and delineated in the Figure 1. The data recorded at fourteenth day after first spray indicated that all the treatments recorded significantly less blue beetle infestation as compared to untreated control. The infestation was ranged from 4.89 to 14.18 percent. The insecticide Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.2 ml lit-1 was found to be most effective treatment which recorded 4.89 percent infestation and was at par with the Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 gm lit<sup>-1</sup> (5.91%) and Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 0.1 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (6.07%). The treatment indoxacarb 14.5 SC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> recorded 7.21 percent blue beetle infestation and was at par with the treatment Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (7.23%) and Quinalphos 25 EC @ 4.0 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (8.18). The maximum (14.18%) blue beetle infestation was found in untreated control.

At fourteenth day after second spray the blue beetle infestation was ranged from 0.62 to 15.70 percent. The most effective treatment was Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.2 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> which recorded 0.62 percent infestation of blue beetle. The treatment Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 gm lit<sup>-1</sup> recorded 1.87 percent infestation and was at par with Flubendiamide 39.35

SC @ 0.1 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (1.94%). The treatment indoxacarb 14.5 SC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> recorded 2.38 percent infestation of blue beetle and was at par with Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (2.46%). The treatment Quinalphos 25 EC @ 4.0 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> recorded 2.76 percent infestation. The maximum (15.70%) blue beetle infestation was found in untreated control.

The results regarding cumulative mean percent leaf infestation of blue beetle after two sprays indicated that all the treatments were recorded significantly lower infestation of blue beetle as compared to untreated control. The mean blue beetle infestation ranged from 2.69 percent to 14.30 percent. The treatment Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.2 ml lit-1 was most effective which recorded least (2.69%) blue beetle infestation. The treatment Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 gm lit<sup>-1</sup> was found to be the next best treatment recording 3.87 percent blue beetle infestation and was at par with Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 0.1 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (4.01%), Indoxacarb 14.5 SC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (4.89%) and Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> (5.02%). The treatment Quinalphos 25 EC @ 4.0 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> recorded 5.47 percent blue beetle infestation. The maximum infestation of blue beetle was noticed in the untreated control (14.30%).

The current results are in close conformity with the Japur (2012) <sup>[3]</sup>, who observed that Spinosad 45% SC @ 0.2 ml/l recorded 4.24 percent leaf damage was effective in reducing the blue beetle infestation followed by Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 g/l (5.16%), Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 0.2 ml/l (5.28%), Indoxacarb 14.5 SL @ 0.5 ml/l (6.15%) and Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC @ 2 ml/l (6.43%). The present results are supported by the findings of Navale (2013) <sup>[5]</sup>, who recorded that both Flubendiamide 20 EC (0.05%) and Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC (0.003%) are effective in reducing the overall mean percent infestation of blue beetle by 5.13 percent and 6.81 percent, respectively as compared to the untreated control (13.41%).

<b>S</b>	Treatments	Dose per Lit. (ml or g)	Mean percent infestation of blue beetle					Constant's second
Sr. No.			Pre count	First spray		Second spray		Cumulative mean
				7 DAS**	14 DAS	7 DAS	14 DAS	percent intestation
1	Emamectin	0.25	10.90	4.65	5.91	3.03	1.87	3.87
	Benzoate 5 SG		(19.28) *	(12.44)	(14.05)	(10.00)	(7.85)	(11.09)
2	Spinosad 45 SC	0.2	11.97	3.18	4.89	2.08	0.62	2.69
			(20.23)	(10.27)	(12.77)	(8.25)	(4.52)	(8.95)
3	Flubendiamide	0.1	11.41	4.92	6.07	3.09	1.94	4.01
	39.35 SC		(19.72)	(12.81)	(14.25)	(10.10)	(8.00)	(11.29)
4	Lambda	0.5	13.30	6.34	7.23	4.04	2.46	5.02
	Cyhalothrin 5 EC		(21.38)	(14.58)	(15.57)	(11.43)	(9.02)	(12.65)
5	Indoxacarb 14.5	0.5	12.12	5.94	7.21	4.04	2.38	4.89
	SC		(20.35)	(14.10)	(15.51)	(11.51)	(8.87)	(12.50)
6	Quinalphos 25 EC	4	13.21	6.68	8.18	4.28	2.76	5.47
			(21.27)	(14.97)	(16.61)	(11.94)	(9.56)	(13.27)
7	Untreated control	-	11.11	12.38	14.18	14.92	15.70	14.30
			(19.46)	(20.36)	(22.12)	(22.71)	(23.24)	(22.11)
SEm (±)			0.52	0.98	0.69	0.75	0.19	0.65
CD (p=0.05)			NS	3.01	2.14	2.30	0.59	2.01

Table 1: Cumulative efficacy of different insecticides on against infestation of blue beetle, L. pygmaea on rice

\*Figures in the parenthesis are Arc sine transformed values

\*\*DAS: Days after spraying



Fig 1: Cumulative efficacy of different insecticides against infestation of blue beetle, L. pygmaea

### 4. Conclusion

On the basis of the results of the present investigation, it can be concluded that among the insecticide treatments, Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.2 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> was found superior and most effective in controlling infestation of blue beetle followed by Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 0.25 gm lit<sup>-1</sup>, Flubendiamide 39.35 SC @ 0.1 ml lit<sup>-1</sup> and Indoxacarb 14.5% SC 0.5 ml lit<sup>-1</sup>.

### 5. Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dr. B. S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli-415712, Dist-Ratnagiri, Maharashtra (India) for providing necessary facilities and help during investigation.

### 6. References

- 1. Gadal N, Shrestha J, Poudel MN, Pokharel B. A review on production status and growing environments of rice in Nepal and in the world. Arch. agric. environ. sci. 2019;4(1):83-87.
- Gupta GP, Raghuraman M. Plant protection in suppressing for food security. Indian Farming. 2003;53:31-34.
- 3. Japur K, Prabhu ST, Balikai RA, Mallapur CP. Management of rice blue beetle (*Leptispa pygmaea*) under rainfed ecosystem using botanicals, entomopathogenic fungi and new insecticides. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 2012;15(2):655-659.
- Mohapatra SD, Raghu S, Prasanthi G, Baite MS, Prabhukarthikeyan SR, Yadav MK, *et al.* Bio-ecology of rice insect pests and diseases: Paving the way to climatesmart rice protection technologies. ICAR-NRRI, 2018.
- Navale SS. Seasonal incidence and management of some pests of Rice. M.Sc. thesis submitted to Dr. B. S. K. K. V., Dapoli, 2013.
- 6. Pathak MD, Khan ZR. Insect pests of rice. Int. Rice Res. Inst., 1994.
- 7. Wilson RA, Talbot NJ. Under pressure: investigating the

biology of plant infection by *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Nat. rev. Microbiol. 2009;7:185-195.