



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(12): 3950-3955  
© 2023 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 18-10-2023  
Accepted: 26-11-2023

## NM Bhut

Research Associate, Main  
Oilseeds Research Station,  
Junagadh Agricultural  
University, Junagadh, Gujarat,  
India

## RM Chauhan

Hon. Vice Chancellor, S. D.  
Agricultural University,  
Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,  
India

## BR Parmar

Junior Research Scientist,  
Jivakar Seeds Pvt. Ltd.,  
Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

## PT Patel

I/c Registrar, S. D. Agricultural  
University, Sardarkrushinagar,  
Gujarat, India

## KN Prajapati

Assistant Professor, C. P. College  
of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural  
University, Sardarkrushinagar,  
Gujarat, India

## YA Viradiya

Assistant Research Scientist,  
Department of Seed Technology,  
S. D. Agricultural University,  
Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat,  
India

## PA Vavdiya

Assistant Professor, College of  
Agriculture, Navsari  
Agricultural University, Waghai,  
Dangs, Gujarat, India

## Corresponding Author:

### NM Bhut

Research Associate, Main  
Oilseeds Research Station,  
Junagadh Agricultural  
University, Junagadh, Gujarat,  
India

## Heterosis studies in Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) by using CMS lines

NM Bhut, RM Chauhan, BR Parmar, PT Patel, KN Prajapati, YA Viradiya and PA Vavdiya

### Abstract

The present investigation was carried out to estimate the magnitude of heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard checks (GAVCH-1) for yield and its contributing traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and developed 30 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids by crossing two lines with fifteen testers using line x tester mating design. The hybrids differed significantly for all the traits studied, as evident from their significant mean square values in all the traits. Among 30 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, eleven, five and thirteen hybrids exhibited significant positive heterosis for yield per plant over mid parent, better parent, and standard checks, respectively and the hybrid A<sub>1</sub> × GP-18 registered significant standard positive heterosis (106.77 per cent) followed by A<sub>1</sub> × GAVC-112 (67.32 per cent) and A<sub>1</sub> × JC-AV-2019-9-(HP) (64.98%) over check GAVCH-1. Among the 30 hybrids, the hybrid A<sub>1</sub> × GAVC 112 was found to be superior for plant height and number of seeds per fruit, A<sub>1</sub> × JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R) for fruit girth, A<sub>2</sub> × JC-AV-2019-5(HP-R) for number of fruits per plant, A<sub>1</sub> × JC-AV-2019-9-(HP) for fresh weight of fruit and dry weight of fruit recorded maximum standard heterosis in desirable direction. The hybrid A<sub>2</sub> × JC-AV-2019-5(HP-R) for days to first fruit ripening, A<sub>1</sub> × GCH-3 for fruit length recorded maximum heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis in desirable direction. The hybrid A<sub>1</sub> × GP-18 was recorded highest magnitude of significant positive heterobeltiosis for yield per plant and capsaicin content. These hybrids can be exploited commercially after multilocation testing and further can be identified for important of yield and its contributing traits through selection of transgressive segregants in F<sub>2</sub> and subsequent generations in chilli.

**Keywords:** Chilli, Cytoplasmic male sterility, relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis, standard heterosis

### Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is an indispensable vegetable cum condiment used in every Indian cuisine due to its pungency, spice, appealing colour and flavour. Development of hybrids for having high productivity and desirable qualities is identified as a key factor to increase the production of chilli in the country. The hybrid seed production is carried out by hand emasculation and pollination. Therefore, the cost of hybrid seeds is comparatively high due to higher labour cost. However, the use of male sterile lines in chilli has been found a effective tool in decreasing the cost of hybrid seed production. Moreover, genetic male sterility (Kumar *et al.*, 2000) [6] and cytoplasmic male sterility (Reddy *et al.*, 2002) [10] systems are also feasible to produce the hybrid seeds on a commercial scale. Heterosis is useful in deciding the direction of future breeding programmes and identifying the cross combinations which are promising in conventional breeding programmes. Therefore, the magnitude of heterosis of the hybrids for yield and its components based on CMS lines was studied.

### Materials and Methods

The present investigation was undertaken to estimate heterosis in chilli for twelve characters. Two lines JC-AV-2019-1-(SP) or A<sub>1</sub> and JC-AV-2019-3-(HP) or A<sub>2</sub> and fifteen testers (AVNPC-131, GP-18, GP-21, GP-26, JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R), JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R), JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R), JC-AV-2019-8-(HP), JC-AV-2019-9-(HP), JC-AV-2019-10-(HP), GCH-3, GAVC-112, JCH 785, JCH 787-1 and JCH 802) along with thirty hybrids (developed by line × tester) and one check (GAVCH-1) were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications. The experiment was conducted at Seed Spices Research Station, Jagudan (E<sub>1</sub>); Seed Technology, Sardarkrushinagar (E<sub>2</sub>) and Potato Research Station, Deesa (E<sub>3</sub>), Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during the *kharif* 2021-2022. Each entry was accommodated in single row of 7.5 m length spaced at 60 cm

apart with plant to plant spacing of 60 cm. For *kharif* 2021-22 planting, the seeds were sown in nursery during last week of June 2021. Seedlings were transplanted in the field during third week of August in all the three location (environments).

### Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was done by using average value of selected plants from each genotype and replication in all the three location (environments) for the following aspects. The result of pooled analysis over locations is presented in table 1. Heterosis was estimated as *per cent* increase or decrease in the mean value of  $F_1$  crosses over mid parent *i.e.*, relative heterosis Briggles (1963) [2], over better parent *i.e.*, heterobeltiosis Fonseca and Patterson (1968) [4] and over standard check *i.e.*, standard heterosis Meredith and Bridge (1972) [8] for each character. Hence, the standard heterosis was also worked-out by using standard check hybrid, GAVCH-1.

### Result and Discussion

The pooled analysis of variance (Table 1) revealed a significant mean square due to environments, genotypes, parents, females, males, females vs. males, parents vs hybrids and hybrids for all the traits. Mean square due to hybrids  $\times$  environments were significant for days to flowering, plant height, fruit length, fruit girth, number of seeds per fruit, dry weight of fruit per plant and ascorbic acid revealing that the hybrids were sensitive to environments. Likewise, the mean square due to (parents vs hybrids)  $\times$  environments was significant only for days to flowering, days to first fruit ripening, plant height, fruit length, fruit girth, dry weight of fruit per plant, capsaicin content and ascorbic acid indicating the presence of average heterosis for these traits.

The earliest hybrid over mid-parent  $A_1 \times$  JCH 802 (-14.14%) followed by  $A_2 \times$  JCH 802 (-11.61%), hybrid over better parent was  $A_1 \times$  JCH 802 (-22.4%) followed by  $A_2 \times$  JCH 802 (-21.1%) and hybrid over standard check  $A_2 \times$  JC-AV-2019-5(HP-R) (-14.82%) followed by  $A_2 \times$  JC-AV-2019-10(HP) (-10.15%) for days to flowering. For days to first fruit ripening, the highest desirable heterosis over the mid-parent, better parent and standard check was recorded by the hybrid  $A_2 \times$  JC-AV-2019-5(HP-R) followed by  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-5(HP-R). The majority of the hybrids exhibited significant heterosis in the desired direction and hence this character could be exploited in the new hybrid development programme for earliness. The results were in agreement with reports of Spaldon *et al.* (2015) [12].

The cross combination  $A_1 \times$  GAVC 112 exhibited the highest magnitude of significant and positive standard heterosis for plant height (40.76%) followed by  $A_1 \times$  GCH-3 (40.02%) and  $A_1 \times$  JCH 802 (36.26%). These results were in agreement with reports of Janaki *et al.* (2018) [5], Meena *et al.* (2020) [7] and Rani *et al.* (2021) [9] as they observed significant and positive heterosis for plant height.

The highest significant and positive relative heterosis,

heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for fruit length was recorded in the hybrid  $A_1 \times$  GCH-3. For fruit girth, the hybrid  $A_2 \times$  JC-AV-2019-10-(HP) exhibited the highest magnitude of heterobeltiosis (21.37%). The highest desirable standard heterosis was recorded by the hybrid  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R) (202.25%). The results conformed with reports of Vijeth *et al.* (2019) [13], Meena *et al.* (2020) [7] and Rani *et al.* (2021) [9].

For number of fruits per plant, hybrid  $A_1 \times$  JCH 802 (121.63%) recorded the highest heterobeltiosis followed by  $A_2 \times$  GP-21 (78.61%) and  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-10-(HP) (68.32%). The hybrid  $A_2 \times$  JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R) recorded the highest magnitude of standard heterosis (74.15%) followed by  $A_2 \times$  GP-21 (60.17%) and  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R) (19.63%). The hybrid  $A_2 \times$  JCH 802 (74.51%),  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-10-(HP) (70.47%) and  $A_2 \times$  GAVC 112 (78.88%) recorded highest significant and positive relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively for number of seeds per fruit. The findings were in accordance with the reports of Vijeth *et al.* (2019) [13] and Meena *et al.* (2020) [7] as they reported similar trends for this character.

The highest magnitude of standard heterosis was recorded in the hybrid  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-9-(HP) for fresh weight of fruit and dry weight of fruit. The results were in agreement with reports of Abraham *et al.* (2017) [1].

Among 30 hybrids, 11, 5 and 13 hybrids showed significant and positive relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard check for yield per plant, respectively (Table 4). The highest relative heterosis for yield per plant was recorded in the hybrid  $A_1 \times$  GP-18 (67.81%) followed by  $A_2 \times$  GP-21 (56.42%) and  $A_2 \times$  JCH 787-1 (53.11%). The hybrid  $A_1 \times$  GP-18 (49.34%) recorded. The highest heterobeltiosis followed by  $A_2 \times$  GP-21 (26.40%) and  $A_1 \times$  GAVC 112 (20.85%). The hybrid  $A_1 \times$  GP-18 recorded the highest magnitude of standard heterosis (106.77%) followed by  $A_1 \times$  GAVC-112 (67.32%) and  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-9-(HP) (64.98%). In the present investigation, the hybrids showed significant and positive estimates of heterosis indicating possibilities of its use in a future breeding programme. The results conformed with reports of Spaldon *et al.* (2015) [12], Abraham *et al.* (2017) [1], Vijeth *et al.* (2019) [13], Meena *et al.* (2020) [7] and Rani *et al.* (2021) [9] positive and significant heterosis for yield per plant.

The highest heterobeltiosis for capsaicin content was recorded in the  $A_1 \times$  GP-18 (30.39%) followed by  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R) (16.26%) and  $A_2 \times$  JCH 787-1 (12.58%). The results were in agreement with the findings of Chaudhary *et al.* (2013), Sharma *et al.* (2013) and Meena *et al.* (2020) [7] as they reported significant and desired direction heterosis. For ascorbic acid, the hybrid  $A_2 \times$  JCH 787-1 recorded the highest significant and positive relative heterosis (4.90%) followed by  $A_1 \times$  GAVC 112 (4.86%) and  $A_1 \times$  JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R) (4.75%). A similar trend for ascorbic acid in chilli was also observed by Meena *et al.* (2020) [7].

**Table 1:** Analysis of variance (mean squares) over environment for different characters in chilli

Source of variation	df	Days to flowering	Days to first fruit ripening	Plant height	Fruits length	Fruit girth	Number of fruits per plant
Rep./Env.	2	12.86	40.48 **	12.81	0.08	0.20 *	26.62
Environment (E)	2	1492.15**	229.87 **	985.18 **	53.07**	39.21 **	295.28 *
Genotypes (G)	46	285.99**	391.23 **	1341.36 **	77.89**	25.82 **	12890.24 **
Parents (P)	16	476.06**	453.72 **	1656.96 **	68.69**	34.77 **	18761.06 **
Females (F)	1	32.00*	346.72 **	1127.18 **	20.12**	133.28 **	16101.75 **
Males (M)	14	531.27**	478.74 **	1796.59 **	74.38**	20.14 **	19728.17 **
F vs. M	1	147.21**	210.52 **	231.87 **	37.65**	141.05 **	7880.88 **
P vs. F <sub>1</sub>	1	3100.37**	2142.53 **	8053.85 **	191.58**	76.96 **	12232.83 **
Hybrids (F <sub>1</sub> )	29	84.08**	296.36 **	935.77 **	79.05 **	19.11 **	9673.83 **
G × E	92	19.19**	11.15	57.89 **	6.49 **	0.78 **	105.6
P × E	32	14.11**	8.71	44.00 **	3.25 **	1.17 **	89.13
F×E	2	1.17	1.06	9.59	0.16	0.03	7.66
M×E	28	15.10**	9.83	48.21 **	3.66 **	1.31 **	99.81
(F vs. M)×E	2	13.18	0.66	19.50	0.69	0.31 **	21.12
(P vs. F <sub>1</sub> ) × E	2	115.28**	91.12 **	349.76 **	14.72 **	0.17 *	97.01
F <sub>1</sub> × E	58	18.68**	9.75	55.49 **	7.99 **	0.58 **	114.99
Pooled error	276	4.87	8.57	22.98	0.36	0.05	92.81

  

Source of variation	df	Number of seeds per fruit	Fresh weight of fruit per plant	Dry weight of fruit per plant	Yield per plant	Capsaicin content	Ascorbic acid
Rep./Env.	2	50.48	0.01	0.002	10906.92	0.00001	0.09
Environment (E)	2	278.52 **	7.76 **	0.106 **	38795.81**	0.00009 **	3517.5 **
Genotypes (G)	46	5240.38 **	182.65 **	3.279 **	560131.66**	0.00765 **	23598.95 **
Parents (P)	16	3963.90 **	353.91 **	2.435 **	369434.90**	0.01200 **	36609.6 **
Females (F)	1	936.43 **	2193.65 **	2.531 **	112477.07**	0.00569 **	47533.9 **
Males (M)	14	4395.90 **	47.97 **	1.506 **	240138.02**	0.01146 **	31597.93 **
F vs. M	1	943.43 **	2797.35 **	15.342 **	2436549.06**	0.02588 **	95848.55 **
P vs. F <sub>1</sub>	1	20991.93 **	203.07 **	36.768 **	7788202.23**	0.01851 **	95293 **
Hybrids (F <sub>1</sub> )	29	5401.49 **	87.45 **	2.59 **	416099.85**	0.00488 **	13948.46 **
G × E	92	52.10 **	0.16	0.013 **	5554.80	0.00010 **	62.49 **
P × E	32	17.39	0.20	0.010 **	3278.50	0.00028 **	43.06 **
F×E	2	3.99	0.69 *	0.008	1515.22	0.00000	14.00
M×E	28	18.33	0.09	0.010 **	3572.59	0.00031 **	45.00 **
(F vs. M)×E	2	17.59	1.13 **	0.008	924.59	0.00003 **	44.94 **
(P vs. F <sub>1</sub> ) × E	2	5.34	0.42	0.056 **	5257.49	0.00011 **	123.31 **
F <sub>1</sub> × E	58	72.86 **	0.13	0.014 **	6820.95	0.00001	71.12 **
Pooled error	276	17.58	0.19	0.004	6718.76	0.00001	4.66

\*, \*\* Significant at 5 and 1 per cent levels, respectively

**Table 2:** Magnitude of heterosis for days to flowering, days to first fruit ripening, plant height and fruit length on pooled basis analysis

Sr. No	Hybrids	Days to flowering			Days to first fruit ripening			Plant height			Fruit length		
		MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH
1.	A <sub>1</sub> ×AVNPC-131	1.04	1.04	0.12	-3.19*	-6.7**	0.26	24.80**	14.28*	34.87 **	-33.67**	-48.50**	-41.27 **
2.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-18	-3.29*	-3.68*	-4.56 **	-6.37**	-9.66**	-2.92 *	10.75*	7.23	35.14 **	0.26	-2.53	17.72 **
3.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-21	-3.08*	-4.03*	-4.9 **	-3.54*	-6.54**	0.43	-0.76	-2.18	15.44 **	-2.82	-12.86*	-0.63
4.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-26	-5.02*	-6.86**	-3.99 **	-4.28*	-7.1**	-0.18	2.03	0.48	18.58 **	9.75*	-3.08	44.28 **
5.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R)	-0.69	-0.92	-1.37	-5.65**	-7.34**	-0.44	-32.64**	-46.73**	-37.14 **	-14.67*	-37.29**	-28.48 **
6.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R)	-4.93*	-8.98**	-9.81 **	-9.65**	-16.28**	-10.04 **	3.24	-4.83	12.31 *	-4.38	-29.57**	-19.68 **
7.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R)	1.81	0.12	-0.80	-3.59*	-6.7**	0.26	2.92	-17.49*	-2.64	15.17**	1.78	16.09 **
8.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-8-(HP)	-0.97	-1.70	-1.14	-1.32	-4.79*	2.32	4.15	-18.59*	-3.94	6.73*	-0.01	14.05 **
9.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-9-(HP)	-2.92*	-4.37*	-5.25 **	-4.29*	-8.22**	-1.38	5.44	-9.30	7.04	32.86**	32.30**	52.17 **
10.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-10-(HP)	-2.34	-3.91*	-4.79 **	-7.13**	-12.13**	-5.58 **	16.28*	-5.61	11.39 *	25.06**	5.52	20.35 **
11.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GCH-3	-4.88*	-7.13**	-3.42 **	-4.55*	-7.82**	-0.95	19.18**	18.65*	40.02 **	51.19**	35.44**	54.48 **
12.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GAVC 112	-5.55**	-5.98**	-6.84 **	-8.6**	-12.21**	-5.67 **	35.76**	19.28*	40.76 **	30.20**	25.97**	43.68 **
13.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 785	-8.10**	-13.96**	-2.28	-6.3**	-7.54**	2.06	3.75	-3.69	32.68 **	-2.94	-16.87**	-5.20
14.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 787-1	-7.69**	-8.17**	-9.01 **	-6.22**	-8.62**	-1.81	0.35	-4.54	24.82 **	32.97**	24.04**	41.48 **
15.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 802	-14.14**	-22.4**	-4.79 **	-9.37**	-12.66**	1.20	45.45**	15.46*	36.26 **	7.76*	-12.19*	0.16
16.	A <sub>2</sub> ×AVNPC-131	-3.15*	-4.49*	-5.36 **	0.43	-0.09	0.60	31.34**	26.09**	23.72 **	-41.49**	-51.33**	-53.76 **
17.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-18	-3.34*	-4.29*	-5.93 **	-6.97**	-7.33**	-6.70 **	19.76**	2.78	29.53 **	4.01	-7.08*	12.23 **
18.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-21	-4.18*	-4.58*	-7.30 **	-2.26	-2.3	-1.55	14.07*	1.96	16.87 **	0.31	-2.08	-6.97 *
19.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-26	-5.55**	-8.63**	-5.82 **	-1.74	-1.95	-0.87	10.19	-1.44	12.78 **	-7.16*	-23.95**	13.21 **
20.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R)	-3.61*	-5.15*	-5.59 **	-2.94	-4.3*	-0.87	11.15	-2.17	-11.68 *	27.64**	-0.18	-5.16
21.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R)	-8.90**	-11.60**	-14.82 **	-15.92**	-19.68**	-19.13 **	13.03*	7.77	7.29	29.84**	1.79	-3.29
22.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R)	-1.72	-2.01	-5.59 **	0.26	0.17	0.86	33.67**	19.54*	7.93	16.02**	11.45*	5.91

23.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-8-(HP)	-1.45	-3.51*	-2.97 *	0.30	-0.09	0.60	30.02**	12.87	1.90	9.12*	6.58	6.22 *
24.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-9-(HP)	-4.27*	-4.38*	-7.87 **	-2.41	-3.41	-2.75 *	2.85	-0.16	-9.86 *	-4.20	-12.52*	0.62
25.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-10-(HP)	-6.52**	-6.75**	-10.15 **	-5.41 *	-7.67**	-7.04 **	24.35**	12.84	1.88	-23.26**	-29.97**	-33.46 **
26.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GCH-3	-5.98**	-9.43**	-5.82 **	-1.84	-2.13	-1.47	27.65**	13.09*	32.27 **	-20.98**	-22.94**	-26.79 **
27.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GAVC 112	-3.05*	-3.95*	-5.70 **	0.60	-0.26	0.43	5.21	4.67	-5.51	11.40*	5.33	12.34 **
28.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 785	-7.01**	-14.06**	-2.40	-3.94*	-8.16**	1.37	3.73	-14.15*	18.28 **	27.46**	18.26**	12.38 **
29.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 787-1	-5.22*	-6.05**	-7.87 **	-5.54*	-6.14*	-4.30 **	9.05	-7.83	20.51 **	21.11**	18.83**	17.34 **
30.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 802	-11.61**	-21.10**	-3.19 *	-5.35**	-11.55**	2.49	41.62**	25.20*	13.04 **	4.81	-7.98	-12.57 **
	S.Em±	0.86	0.99	1.27	1.28	1.48	1.67	1.93	2.23	2.74	0.25	0.29	0.34
	Min.	-14.14	-22.40	-14.82	-15.92	-19.68	-19.13	-32.64	-46.73	-37.14	-41.49	-51.33	-53.76
	Max.	1.81	1.04	0.12	0.60	0.17	2.49	45.45	26.09	40.76	51.19	35.44	54.48
	No. of positive significant	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	8	19	16	7	15
	No. of negative significant	23	25	24	20	22	9	1	4	3	6	12	8

\*, \*\* Significant at 5 and 1 per cent levels, respectively

**Table 3:** Magnitude of heterosis for fruit girth, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit and fresh weight of fruit on pooled basis analysis

Sr. No	Hybrids	Fruit girth			Number of fruits per plant			Number of seeds per fruit			Fresh weight of fruit		
		MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH
1.	A <sub>1</sub> ×AVNPC-131	-24.16**	-42.09**	56.15 **	37.37**	-10.57	-16.24 **	-100.00**	-100.00**	-100.00 **	-55.64**	73.92**	29.74 **
2.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-18	-13.64**	-40.16**	61.35 **	80.75**	19.22*	5.64	65.38**	59.51**	30.30 **	-35.28**	-60.07**	98.67 **
3.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-21	-12.49**	-37.93**	67.35 **	45.63**	-4.22	-14.11 **	20.80**	9.46	2.26	-39.17**	-64.74**	75.43 **
4.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-26	-17.01**	-42.06**	56.21 **	49.33**	9.07	-33.07 **	31.74**	0.07	46.25 **	-40.78**	-63.41**	82.01 **
5.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R)	18.77**	12.10**	202.25 **	58.11*	45.41*	-58.88 **	37.90**	29.18**	12.22 **	-36.77**	-55.78**	119.99 **
6.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R)	-26.26**	-52.94**	26.90 **	11.56*	-35.75**	19.63 **	33.62**	9.85*	29.42 **	-54.68**	-75.49**	21.96 **
7.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R)	-23.29**	-44.10**	50.71 **	32.23*	-1.66	-42.94 **	55.51**	49.67**	22.80 **	-46.13**	-69.03**	54.06 **
8.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-8-(HP)	-7.79*	-36.76**	70.51 **	69.24**	42.67*	-41.19 **	59.05**	34.92**	2.39	-42.71**	-65.43**	71.98 **
9.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-9-(HP)	-3.19	-28.63**	92.44 **	20.62	-14.17	-42.65 **	63.21**	41.49**	46.32 **	-11.35**	-43.72**	180.00 **
10.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-10-(HP)	-9.68**	-36.67**	70.75 **	99.44**	68.32**	-30.80 **	71.54**	70.47**	29.37 **	-51.27**	-70.40**	47.27 **
11.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GCH-3	-11.88**	-40.89**	59.37 **	-4.29	-41.42**	26.10 **	55.17**	47.96**	12.29 **	-27.91**	-58.98**	104.07 **
12.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GAVC 112	-6.02*	-35.14**	74.89 **	10.74	-30.41**	-23.36 **	27.95**	-4.48	47.04 **	-25.67**	-56.43**	116.73 **
13.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 785	-5.82*	-32.94**	80.83 **	22.16*	-15.85*	-36.99 **	12.41*	-11.25*	16.31 **	-23.86**	-53.48**	131.44 **
14.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 787-1	-15.54**	-34.32**	77.10 **	33.65*	16.64	-55.75 **	32.04**	2.50	40.77 **	-32.82**	-57.07**	113.57 **
15.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 802	-10.37**	-43.29**	52.90 **	147.56**	121.63**	-20.71 **	37.42**	1.81	-22.75 **	-47.66**	-70.07**	48.89 **
16.	A <sub>2</sub> ×AVNPC-131	-39.45**	-43.13**	-19.15 **	-11.19	-16.42*	-21.72 **	-100.00**	-100.00**	-100.00 **	-8.24*	-25.16**	3.64
17.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-18	8.92*	-0.17	24.68 **	13.23*	9.41	-3.06	50.45**	37.49**	35.67 **	4.10	-4.19	32.67 **
18.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-21	5.10	0.02	24.92 **	85.92**	78.61**	60.17 **	14.23*	11.18*	9.71 **	-18.07**	-35.58**	-10.81 **
19.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-26	4.32	-3.22	20.87 **	6.93	-6.82	-23.02 **	28.44**	7.58*	57.22 **	10.03*	1.60	40.69 **
20.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R)	-0.84	-24.55**	80.59 **	4.34	-32.84**	-44.52 **	45.54**	36.83**	35.02 **	1.35	-13.95**	70.68 **
21.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R)	14.61*	-8.50*	14.28 **	29.57**	-6.47*	74.15 **	26.14**	15.89**	36.55 **	-26.09**	-52.18**	-33.79 **
22.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R)	7.58*	6.91	33.52 **	-13.62	-26.48**	-39.26 **	53.58**	40.64**	38.78 **	14.96*	-11.59*	22.43 **
23.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-8-(HP)	11.12*	0.14	25.06 **	8.69	-18.54*	-32.70 **	51.35**	16.22*	14.68 **	-3.10	-15.55**	16.93 **
24.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-9-(HP)	10.02*	8.71*	39.07 **	-3.08	-12.35	-27.59 **	59.86**	56.20**	61.53 **	7.36*	5.72	46.38 **
25.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-10-(HP)	29.90**	21.37**	51.57 **	27.41*	-4.60	-21.18 **	57.82**	38.84**	37.00 **	-20.28**	-29.34**	-2.17
26.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GCH-3	-3.43	-16.11**	4.76	-2.07	-18.97**	2.23	40.55**	19.30**	17.72 **	-15.41*	-36.73**	-12.40 **
27.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GAVC 112	10.03*	0.19	25.12 **	6.10	-7.15	2.27	41.62**	16.19**	78.88 **	-9.91*	-27.09**	0.96
28.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 785	12.15*	7.43	34.17 **	34.21**	27.92**	5.70	10.20*	-3.42	26.58 **	4.43	-6.12	30.00 **
29.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 787-1	12.30**	2.98	54.20 **	52.48**	11.24	-8.09	29.77**	11.50*	53.13 **	2.45	2.40	41.79 **
30.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 802	16.68**	-8.23*	14.60 **	66.04**	18.97*	-1.71	74.51**	19.58**	18.00 **	-16.64**	-36.81**	-12.51 **
	S.Em±	0.10	0.11	0.12	3.45	3.99	5.52	1.66	1.91	1.76	0.18	0.20	0.25
	Min.	-39.45	-52.94	-19.15	-13.62	-41.42	-58.88	-100.00	-100.00	-100.00	-55.64	-75.49	-33.79
	Max.	29.90	21.37	202.25	147.56	121.63	74.15	74.51	70.47	78.88	14.96	5.72	180.00
	No. of positive significant	11	3	28	19	8	3	28	21	25	3	0	23
	No. of negative significant	14	19	1	0	10	20	2	3	3	22	25	4

\*, \*\* Significant at 5 and 1 per cent levels, respectively

**Table 4:** Magnitude of heterosis for dry weight of fruit, yield per plant, capsaicin content and ascorbic acid on pooled basis analysis

Sr. No	Hybrids	Dry weight of fruit			Yield per plant			Capsaicin content			Ascorbic acid		
		MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH	MP	BP	SH
1.	A <sub>1</sub> ×AVNPC-131	3.06	-24.30**	89.01 **	-1.47	-21.16*	9.16	15.67**	-8.26**	-38.89 **	-5.73**	-29.58**	-68.12 **
2.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-18	13.77**	-21.21**	96.72 **	67.81**	49.34**	106.77 **	62.11**	30.39**	-16.34 **	4.24**	-32.43**	-49.02 **
3.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-21	44.65**	4.31*	160.44 **	44.87**	9.49	51.60 **	4.86*	-14.96**	-46.62 **	-4.19**	-33.33**	-61.94 **
4.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GP-26	36.78**	5.13*	162.48 **	16.15	-11.53	22.49 **	27.68**	2.13	-33.50 **	-4.07**	-39.10**	-49.49 **
5.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R)	0.92	-7.49*	130.96 **	-2.06	-34.30**	-9.04	-3.23*	-20.44**	-51.78 **	4.75**	-25.10**	-61.05 **
6.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R)	6.49*	-37.38**	56.35 **	33.63**	5.23	45.71 **	-4.70**	-34.64**	-31.34 **	-1.33	-40.17**	-37.12 **
7.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R)	-4.20	-34.2**	64.29 **	-3.63	-36.75**	-12.44	41.60**	16.26**	-29.28 **	3.06*	-30.55**	-55.34 **
8.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-8-(HP)	14.32**	-24.57**	88.33 **	12.49	-26.46*	1.83	3.17*	-24.87**	-35.73 **	-1.85*	-37.32**	-49.43 **
9.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-9-(HP)	46.83**	18.89**	196.83 **	42.12**	19.16*	64.98 **	-2.52	-3.87	-61.39 **	1.26	-5.99*	-75.46 **
10.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-10-(HP)	-6.39*	-28.47**	78.58 **	11.92	-26.26*	2.11	-14.00**	-37.64**	-45.89 **	2.61*	-35.13**	-45.14 **
11.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GCH-3	65.93**	0.41	150.69 **	35.67**	10.20	52.58 **	5.45**	-25.25**	-30.12 **	-1.61*	-38.83**	-43.78 **
12.	A <sub>1</sub> ×GAVC 112	52.04**	5.81*	164.18 **	43.65**	20.85*	67.32 **	13.56**	-11.69**	-37.89 **	4.86**	-28.94**	-55.27 **
13.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 785	16.62**	-15.08**	112.02 **	31.99**	5.73	46.39 **	-4.38*	-19.65**	-53.89 **	-2.58*	-32.05**	-61.53 **
14.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 787-1	35.71**	8.90**	171.89 **	-0.87	-31.51**	-5.18	-0.44	-18.32**	-50.23 **	0.40	-32.00**	-57.11 **
15.	A <sub>1</sub> ×JCH 802	11.53**	-25.98**	84.81 **	45.45**	-14.06	18.98 **	-14.17**	-17.28**	-65.17 **	0.84	-27.35**	-63.16 **
16.	A <sub>2</sub> ×AVNPC-131	-33.98**	-44.66**	-4.20	-17.62	-29.03*	-18.42 **	5.94**	-1.83	-34.62 **	-1.26	-11.81**	-49.22 **
17.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-18	19.49**	-7.07*	60.89 **	15.98	12.47	29.29 **	-35.26**	-38.96**	-60.84 **	3.83**	-8.47**	-30.94 **
18.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-21	5.64	-13.49**	49.78 **	56.42**	26.40*	45.31 **	8.13**	3.01*	-35.34 **	-1.48	-1.89*	-43.51 **
19.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GP-26	-10.41*	-20.50**	37.65 **	16.21	-5.27	8.90	-10.62**	-16.30**	-45.50 **	1.02	-14.43**	-29.03 **
20.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-6-(SP-R)	33.43**	22.23**	154.31 **	16.30	-17.92	-5.65	-5.49**	-8.43**	-44.50 **	-12.04**	-16.29**	-51.81 **
21.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-5-(HP-R)	9.56*	-31.30**	18.94 **	16.13	-1.72	12.98	-22.03**	-39.93**	-36.89 **	-0.71	-23.16**	-19.24 **
22.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-7-(HP-R)	-7.32*	-28.68**	23.47 **	-5.55	-35.00**	-25.28 **	-1.32	-4.57*	-41.95 **	-11.1**	-15.75**	-45.82 **
23.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-8-(HP)	-2.02	-28.42**	23.93 **	0.49	-31.14*	-20.85 **	-3.24*	-19.48**	-31.12 **	-1.77*	-15.84**	-32.09 **
24.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-9-(HP)	19.47**	13.10**	95.81 **	2.14	-7.30	6.57	9.16**	-6.84**	-47.06 **	-4.96**	-30.93**	-60.24 **
25.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JC-AV-2019-10-(HP)	-15.39**	-25.47**	29.03 **	-2.86	-32.84*	-22.80 **	5.30**	-12.87**	-24.39 **	3.20**	-13.26**	-26.65 **
26.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GCH-3	3.52	-32.55**	16.79 **	-10.78	-21.83*	-10.14	-5.91**	-24.36**	-29.28 **	-3.86**	-21.82**	-28.15 **
27.	A <sub>2</sub> ×GAVC 112	17.49**	-8.06*	59.19 **	-1.05	-9.85	3.64	-1.18	-10.66**	-37.17 **	4.12**	-0.32	-37.26 **
28.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 785	4.82	-13.10**	50.46 **	37.60**	18.69*	36.44 **	-17.61**	-18.01**	-52.95 **	-5.83**	-6.61**	-46.23 **
29.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 787-1	22.00**	14.21**	97.74 **	53.11**	11.77	28.48 **	16.51**	12.58**	-31.39 **	4.90**	0.32	-36.71 **
30.	A <sub>2</sub> ×JCH 802	13.17*	-16.70**	44.22 **	25.04	-23.8*	-12.42	-4.77*	-17.11**	-52.89 **	-3.2**	-8.97**	-47.59 **
	S.Em±	0.03	0.03	0.04	45.07	45.07	46.82	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.80	0.92	1.26
	Min.	-33.98	-44.66	-4.20	-17.62	-36.75	-25.28	-35.26	-39.93	-65.17	-12.04	-40.17	-75.46
	Max.	65.93	22.23	196.83	67.81	49.34	106.77	62.11	30.39	-16.34	4.90	0.32	-19.24
	No. of positive significant	18	8	29	11	5	13	13	4	0	9	0	0
	No. of negative significant	5	21	0	0	12	4	13	23	30	13	28	30

\*, \*\* Significant at 5 and 1 per cent levels, respectively

## Conclusion

In the present investigation, the magnitude of heterosis varied from hybrid to hybrid for all the characters under study. Such type of results revealed that the selection of parents has an important bearing on the performance of any hybrid. In chilli, higher vigour of yield is more important. The hybrids A<sub>1</sub> × GP-18, A<sub>1</sub> × GAVC 112 and A<sub>1</sub> × JC-AV-2019-9-(HP) were found promising based on the significant heterosis over mid-parent, better parent as well as standard check (GAVCH-1) for yield per plant. The hybrids also reported significant heterosis for one or more yield contributing traits like fruit length, fruit girth, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, dry weight of fruit per plant and capsaicin content. These hybrids can be exploited commercially after multilocation testing and further can be identified for important of yield and its contributing traits through selection of transgressive segregants in F<sub>2</sub> and subsequent generations in chilli.

## References

1. Abrham S, Mandefro N, Sentayehu A. Heterosis and heterobeltiosis study of hot pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) genotypes in Southern Ethiopia. *Int J Plant Breed Genet.* 2017;11(2):63-70.
2. Briggles LW. Heterosis in Wheat - A Review I. *Crop Sci.* 1963;3(5):407-412.
3. Chaudhary A, Kumar R, Solankey SS. Estimation of

heterosis for yield and quality components in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2013;12(47):6605-6610.

4. Fonseca S, Patterson FL. Hybrid vigor in a seven-parent diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) I. *Crop Sci.* 1968;8(1):85-88.
5. Janaki M, Dilip Babu JL, Naidu NC, Ramana VK, Rao K, Krishna UK. Estimation of heterosis for earliness, yield and yield attributing traits in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Electron J Plant Breed.* 2018;9(2):543-550.
6. Kumar S, Banerjee MK, Kalloo G. Male sterility: mechanisms and current status on identification, characterization and utilization in vegetables. *Veg Sci.* 2000;27(1):1-23.
7. Meena OP, Dhaliwal MS, Jindal SK, Rani M. Cytoplasmic male sterile lines with desirable combining ability enhance the concentration of biochemical attributes and total yield in *Capsicum annum* under temperature stress conditions. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2020;145:1-17.
8. Meredith WR, Bridge RR. Heterosis and Gene Action in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Crop Sci.* 1972;12(3):304-310.
9. Rani M, Jindal SK, Meena OP. Exploitation of heterosis among phenotypically diverse capsicum parents for important fruit traits. *Braz Arch Biol Technol.* 2021;64:21200597.

10. Reddy KM, Deshpande AA, Sadashiva AT. Cytoplasmic genetic male sterility in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Indian J Genet Plant Breed. 2002;62(4):363-364.
11. Sharma VK, Punetha S, Sharma BB. Heterosis studies for earliness, fruit yield and yield attributing traits in bell pepper. Afr J Agric Res. 2013;8(29):4088-4098.
12. Spaldon SONAM, Hussain S, Jabeen N, Lay P. Heterosis studies for earliness, fruit yield and yield attributing traits in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). The Bioscan. 2015;10(2):813-818.
13. Vijeth S, Sreelathakumary I, Sarada S, Rafeekher M, Umamaheswaran K, Soni KB. Heterosis Studies for fruit yield and related traits in hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under leaf curl virus disease severity conditions. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2019;8(2):644-655.