



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(12): 1699-1703
© 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 14-10-2023
Accepted: 29-11-2023

Shraddha G Dhore
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of
vegetable science, Dr. PDKV,
Akola, Maharashtra, India

Raut VU
Head, Horticulture Section,
College of Agriculture, Nagpur,
Maharashtra, India

Nisha R Thorat
M.Sc. Scholar, Horticulture
Section, College of Agriculture,
Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Khan SM
Ph.D. Scholar, Horticulture
Section, College of Agriculture,
Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
Shraddha G Dhore
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of
vegetable science, Dr. PDKV,
Akola, Maharashtra, India

Study on nutritional quality of aerial yam flour

Shraddha G Dhore, Raut VU, Nisha R Thorat and Khan SM

Abstract

An experiment entitled “Study On Nutritional Quality Of Aerial Yam Flour” was carried out during year 2021-2022 at Post Harvest Technology Laboratory, Horticulture section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur with the experiment, that was laid out in Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD), with two factors, as factor A Soaking pre-treatments viz., T₁ (2% Ascorbic acid), T₂ (4% Ascorbic acid), T₃ (0.5% Citric acid), T₄ (1.0% Citric acid), T₅ (2% Ascorbic acid+ 0.5% Citric acid), T₆ (2% Ascorbic acid + 1.0% Citric acid), T₇ (4% Ascorbic acid + 0.5% Citric acid), T₈ (4% Ascorbic acid + 1.0% Citric acid) and factor B drying methods viz., D₁ (Sun-drying), D₂ (Cabinet tray-drying) with 16 treatment combinations and replicated in thrice. The observations in respect of nutritional analysis of aerial yam flour were recorded from periodically at an interval of 30 days. From the findings, it was observed that, there was a gradual increase in moisture and total soluble solids content of aerial yam flour irrespective of soaking pre-treatments and drying methods used in experimentation. However, ash, protein, crude fibre, fat, carbohydrate and ascorbic acid content of aerial yam flour found to decreased with advancement of storage period.

Keywords: Aerial yam, *Dioscorea bulbifera* L., Cabinet tray drying, Sun drying

Introduction

Aerial yam (*Dioscorea bulbifera* L.) is commonly called as air potato yam or bitter yam. This bulbils bearing yam belongs to the family Dioscoreaceae is unpopular amongst the edible yam species. It is native to tropical Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (Martin, 1974) [8]. About 50 species of *Dioscorea* are found in India. Their tubers are used by the tribal population of central India as food particularly in Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand and Orissa (Subasini *et al.*, 2013) [20]. In Maharashtra it is grown as a minor vegetable crop of bulbous group in high rainfall area of Konkan and eastern Vidharbha zone (EVZ). The bulbils are very dark brown in colour and their skin is covered with many wart like rough protuberances. Aerial yam produces large numbers of aerial tubers, potato like growths from the leaf axils. Its composition includes 63-67 percent moisture, 1.1-1.5 percent proteins, 0.04 percent fat, 0.7-1.1 percent fibre, 1.08-1.5 percent ash and 22-33 percent carbohydrates (Nwosu, 2014) [11]. It is a good source of calories and minerals such as iron, calcium and phosphorous (Tindall, 1983) [21]. “Diosgenin” is pharmacologically active component of aerial yam obtained from root and rhizomes which is one of the most costly and important steroidal drug used worldwide for manufacturing of birth control pills and other steroidal formulations (Sharma, 2004) [17]. Aerial yam has been traditionally used to lower glycemic index, thus providing a more sustained form of energy and better protection against obesity and diabetes (Chandra *et al.*, 2012) [5]. Bulbs are used in India to treat piles, dysentery, and syphilis and are applied to ulcers, pain and inflammation (Gupta and Singh, 1976) [6]. The tubers of *D. bulbifera* were used by different tribal communities for intestinal colic problem, relieving dysmenorrhoea, reducing acidity, against rheumatoid arthritis, to relieve intense inflammation, in spasmodic asthma, for menopausal problems, for labor pain and the prevention of early miscarriage and to check diarrhea (Nayak *et al.*, 2004; Bhogaonkar and Kadam, 2006) [10, 4]. Apart from this the most important is nearly all local and tribal communities use the aerial tubers as source of nutrition, especially in food crises and as nutritional aid to regular diet.

The bitterness of aerial yam is due to the compound diosgenin. One cannot consume it raw due to its acidity, but if it is processed and treated chemically one may easily consume it. Because of its high moisture content and high respiration rate, yam is highly perishable. Post harvest losses of yam have been reported to range from 10-50 percent. Yam tubers are usually stored under ambient tropical condition and changes in wholesomeness occurs under such conditions. Diseases and pests infestations during storage also leads to spoilage. Aerial yam flour is very evident in Africa and is used in the production of pounded yam, etc. Flour prepared from aerial

yam bulbs has the potential for application in the bakery industry with minimal processing. One potential challenge in yam flour production is the discolouration and darkening of the final product (Akubor, 2013) ^[1]. This has been attributed to enzymatic reaction due to the presence of water-soluble phenolic substances in yam. Many approaches have been utilized in preventing the discolouration during processing of flour. Some of these are lowering of pH and use of antioxidants like ascorbic acid and citric acid. Some of the antioxidants inhibit browning, this however result in loss of nutrients. Therefore, investigation into the nutritional characteristics of the aerial yam is essential.

Materials and Methods

Aerial yam bulbs were procured from the local market of Gadchiroli, Maharashtra. The aerial yam bulbs were then washed with clean water to remove adhering soil and other undesirable material. The yam bulbs then sorted and hand-peeled using kitchen knife and sliced into sizes of 2 to 3mm in thickness. The slices were soaked in different solutions of Ascorbic acid and Citric acid according to the treatments for 20 mins. after peeling to avoid enzymatic browning and also to remove the bitter compound from the sliced samples. Half of the slices of every treatment samples were subsequently dried in open sun drying condition for 3 days (Pallavi *et al.*, 2016) ^[13] and half of the slices were dried in tray dryer at 55°C for 24 hrs. (Singh *et al.*, 2008) ^[18]. The dried yam slices were milled using locally fabricated hammer mill and screened through 1mm sieve to obtain the powdery yam flour and then packed in HDPE bags and stored in ambient storage condition.

Nutritional analysis of aerial yam flour

The chemical observations were recorded at every 30 days interval upto 90 days. Changes in nutritional composition in terms of moisture, ash, protein, crude fibre, fat, carbohydrate, total soluble solids and ascorbic acid contents of aerial yam flour were determined as per method mentioned by the Association of official Analytical Chemists (1995) ^[2].

Determination of Moisture

Oven drying method (at 105^o C for 6 hours) was used to determine the moisture content of the samples.

Determination of Ash

The ash content was determined by ashing in muffle furnace (600^o C for 6 hours).

Determination of Protein

The protein (N x 6.25) content of the samples was determined by the Kjeldhal method.

Determination of Crude fibre

The crude fibre content was determined by method described by Ranganna (1986) ^[15].

Determination of Fat

The fat content was determined by the ether extraction using Soxhlet's apparatus.

Determination of Carbohydrate

Carbohydrate content was determined by subtracting the moisture, ash, protein, crude fibre, fat content from 100.

Determination of Total soluble solids

Total soluble solids were determined with help of digital refractometer using Atago RX 1000 digital Refractometer.

Determination of Ascorbic acid

Ascorbic acid content was determined by the titration method described by Ranganna (1986) ^[15].

Each experiment was repeated in triplicate. The statistical analysis of the data was done by the method described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) ^[14] using F.C.R.D. factorial experiment.

Results and Discussion

Moisture Content

The data representing interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on moisture content of aerial yam flour at ambient storage condition are presented in Table 1 and showed a trend of gradual increase in moisture content throughout the storage period. An interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on moisture content of aerial yam flour was found to be significant at 30, 60 and 90 days. At 0 day of storage, non-significant differences were recorded. At 30 days of storage, minimum moisture content was recorded in T₃D₂ (8.13%). At 90 days of storage, minimum moisture content was recorded in treatment T₃D₂ (8.36%) and was found significantly superior to all other treatment and maximum in treatment T₂D₁ (11.26%). The increasing trend might be due to gain of moisture by the flour from the atmosphere. Similar results were also observed by Jethva *et al.* (2016) ^[7] in sweet potato flour and Mittal *et al.* (2019) ^[9] in pumpkin flour. The gain of moisture was lowest in T₃D₂ i.e., pre-treatment of 0.5% citric acid + cabinet tray drying. Similar results were also observed by Sruthy *et al.* (2020) ^[19] in banana flour.

Ash Content

The data representing the interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on ash content of aerial yam flour at ambient storage condition are presented in Table 1. The combine effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on ash content of aerial yam flour was found non-significant at all stages of observation from 0 day of storage upto the 90th day of storage.

Protein content

The data regarding the interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on protein content of aerial yam flour are presented in Table 1. An interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on protein content of aerial yam flour was found to be significant at 30,60 and 90 days. At 0 days of storage, non-significant differences were recorded. At 30 days of storage, maximum protein content was recorded in treatment T₃D₂ (5.51%) and minimum protein content in treatment T₁D₁ (2.82%). At 90 days of storage, protein content of aerial yam flour was maximum in treatment T₃D₂ (5.49%) and minimum in treatment T₁D₁ (2.77%). The decrease in protein content in aerial yam flour with an increase in storage period might be due to denaturation and breakdown into smaller peptides and thus leaching out of nitrogenous compounds due to pre-treatments. Similar results were also registered by Mittal *et al.* (2019) ^[9] in pumpkin flour and Ogbonnaya and Lawal (2015) ^[12] in yam flour. The progressive decrease in protein content

was notified in all the samples. However, maximum protein content was observed in T₃D₂ *i.e.*, pre-treatment of 0.5% citric acid + cabinet tray drying. Similar results were also observed by Sruthy *et al.* (2020)^[19] in banana flour.

Crude fibre content: The data representing interaction effect

of different pre-treatments and drying methods on crude fibre content of aerial yam flour are presented in Table 1. An interaction effects of different pre-treatments and drying methods on crude fibre content of aerial yam flour was found to be non-significant at 0, 30, 60 and 90 days of storage.

Table 1: Interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on nutritional characters of aerial yam flour during storage

Treatments	Moisture (%)				Ash (%)				Protein (%)				Crude fibre (%)			
	0	30	60	90	0	30	60	90	0	30	60	90	0	30	60	90
T ₁ D ₁	8.94	10.80	10.94	11.03	0.91	0.89	0.87	0.86	3.95	2.82	2.80	2.77	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.47
T ₁ D ₂	8.81	10.24	10.34	10.42	1.00	0.97	0.93	0.94	4.27	3.82	3.81	3.79	0.62	0.60	0.59	0.58
T ₂ D ₁	8.98	10.99	11.12	11.26	0.99	0.96	0.95	0.93	4.21	3.18	3.16	3.13	0.79	0.76	0.74	0.72
T ₂ D ₂	8.87	10.35	10.48	10.59	1.10	1.09	1.05	1.04	4.33	3.97	3.96	3.94	0.88	0.86	0.85	0.84
T ₃ D ₁	8.27	8.47	8.53	8.72	1.64	1.59	1.57	1.57	5.25	5.24	5.23	5.19	1.68	1.65	1.62	1.61
T ₃ D ₂	8.03	8.13	8.28	8.36	1.73	1.71	1.69	1.68	5.54	5.51	5.50	5.49	1.76	1.75	1.74	1.72
T ₄ D ₁	8.60	9.65	9.83	9.97	1.31	1.28	1.27	1.26	4.52	4.51	4.49	4.46	1.25	1.23	1.20	1.18
T ₄ D ₂	8.38	8.85	8.97	9.07	1.44	1.42	1.40	1.39	5.20	5.18	5.17	5.15	1.37	1.35	1.34	1.33
T ₅ D ₁	8.82	10.27	10.40	10.52	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.09	4.37	4.04	4.02	3.99	0.96	0.93	0.90	0.89
T ₅ D ₂	8.66	10.05	10.19	10.31	1.26	1.23	1.22	1.20	4.78	4.76	4.74	4.73	1.05	1.04	1.02	1.01
T ₆ D ₁	8.52	9.44	9.62	9.74	1.24	1.21	1.19	1.20	4.27	4.26	4.24	4.21	1.17	1.14	1.13	1.11
T ₆ D ₂	8.45	8.98	9.14	9.28	1.35	1.32	1.31	1.30	4.97	4.95	4.94	4.92	1.26	1.25	1.23	1.22
T ₇ D ₁	8.27	8.43	8.51	8.58	1.53	1.52	1.47	1.46	4.99	4.98	4.96	4.93	1.60	1.57	1.55	1.53
T ₇ D ₂	8.19	8.30	8.42	8.51	1.63	1.61	1.59	1.57	5.32	5.30	5.28	5.27	1.68	1.66	1.65	1.64
T ₈ D ₁	8.34	8.67	8.82	8.96	1.41	1.40	1.39	1.38	4.84	4.83	4.81	4.78	1.45	1.41	1.39	1.38
T ₈ D ₂	8.29	8.60	8.71	8.78	1.53	1.49	1.47	1.45	5.24	5.22	5.20	5.19	1.54	1.52	1.51	1.50
CD (5%)	-	0.06	0.14	0.11	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	0.09	0.09	-	-	-	-

Fat content: The data representing interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on fat content of aerial yam flour are presented in Table 2. An interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on fat content of aerial yam flour was found to be non-significant at all stages of observations from 0 day to 90 days of storage.

Carbohydrate content

The data regarding the interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on carbohydrate content of aerial yam flour are presented in Table 2. An interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on carbohydrate content of aerial yam flour was found to be significant at 0, 30, 60 and 90 days. At 0 days of storage maximum carbohydrate content was recorded in treatment T₁D₁ (84.84%) and minimum carbohydrate content in treatment T₃D₂ (81.42%). After 90 days of storage, carbohydrate content of aerial yam flour was maximum in treatment T₁D₁ (84.11%) and minimum in treatment T₃D₂ (81.27%). The decrease in carbohydrate content in aerial yam flour with an increase in storage period might be due to increase in moisture content and production of enzyme amylases that catalyze biochemical reactions which breakdown carbohydrates in food. The progressive decrease in carbohydrate content was notified in all the samples. Similar results were also obtained by Arinola *et al.* (2016)^[3] in plantain flour.

Total soluble solids

The data pertaining to the interaction effect in respect of total

soluble solids content of aerial yam flour as influenced by different pre-treatments and drying methods at ambient storage condition was recorded upto 90 days of storage and presented in Table 2. The combine effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on total soluble solids content of aerial yam flour was found to be non-significant at all stages of observations from 0 days of storage upto the 90th day of storage.

Ascorbic acid

The data pertaining the interaction effects of different pre-treatments and drying methods on ascorbic acid content of aerial yam flour are presented in Table 2. An interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on ascorbic acid content of aerial yam flour was found to be significant at 30, 60 and 90 days of storage. At 0 days of storage, non-significant differences were recorded. At 30 days of storage maximum ascorbic acid was found in treatment T₃D₂ (17.86mg/100g) and found significantly superior to all other treatment. At the end of 90 days of storage maximum ascorbic acid was found in treatment T₃D₂ (16.62mg/100g) and minimum ascorbic acids was recorded in T₁D₁ (6.05mg/100g). The decrease in ascorbic acid content in aerial yam flour with an increase in storage period might be due to degradation of ascorbic acid to dehydro ascorbic acid by oxidative enzyme. The progressive decrease in ascorbic acid content was notified in all the samples. Similar results were also obtained by Sahoo *et al.* (2015)^[16], Vithu *et al.* (2021)^[22] in banana flour.

Table 2: Interaction effect of different pre-treatments and drying methods on nutritional characters of aerial yam flour during storage

Treatments	Fat (%)				Carbohydrate (%)				Total soluble solids (°B)				Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)			
	0	30	60	90	0	30	60	90	0	30	60	90	0	30	60	90
T ₁ D ₁	0.82	0.79	0.77	0.76	84.84	84.19	84.12	84.11	3.78	3.85	3.92	4.00	8.32	7.48	6.73	6.05
T ₁ D ₂	0.88	0.86	0.85	0.84	84.42	83.51	83.48	83.42	4.00	4.08	4.15	4.23	8.51	8.31	7.01	6.40
T ₂ D ₁	0.87	0.84	0.83	0.81	84.16	83.26	83.20	83.16	3.99	4.06	4.13	4.21	9.52	8.68	7.93	7.25
T ₂ D ₂	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.88	83.90	82.84	82.78	82.69	4.21	4.29	4.36	4.44	9.74	8.87	8.22	7.61
T ₃ D ₁	1.47	1.45	1.42	1.41	81.69	81.61	81.42	80.37	5.60	5.66	5.74	5.82	18.60	17.68	16.93	16.25
T ₃ D ₂	1.52	1.51	1.49	1.48	81.42	81.39	81.31	81.27	6.03	6.09	6.15	6.23	18.71	17.86	17.21	16.62
T ₄ D ₁	1.19	1.16	1.14	1.13	83.13	82.17	82.07	81.99	4.49	4.55	4.62	4.70	13.75	12.93	12.18	11.50
T ₄ D ₂	1.25	1.23	1.22	1.21	82.36	81.97	81.90	81.85	4.71	4.78	4.86	4.93	14.01	13.16	12.51	11.92
T ₅ D ₁	1.03	1.02	1.01	0.97	83.68	82.61	82.56	82.53	4.99	5.05	5.12	5.20	9.75	8.93	8.18	7.50
T ₅ D ₂	1.09	1.07	1.06	1.05	83.16	81.85	81.69	81.68	5.21	5.28	5.34	5.42	10.03	9.16	8.51	7.90
T ₆ D ₁	1.13	1.11	1.09	1.07	83.67	82.85	82.73	82.66	3.49	3.56	3.64	3.72	12.04	11.17	10.43	9.75
T ₆ D ₂	1.19	1.18	1.17	1.15	82.78	82.32	82.20	82.12	3.70	3.77	3.83	3.91	12.21	11.37	10.72	10.11
T ₇ D ₁	1.42	1.40	1.38	1.36	82.19	82.10	82.08	81.99	4.58	4.66	4.73	4.81	15.77	14.93	14.18	13.50
T ₇ D ₂	1.48	1.46	1.45	1.44	81.70	81.66	81.56	81.53	4.81	4.88	4.96	5.04	16.06	15.22	14.57	13.96
T ₈ D ₁	1.32	1.29	1.27	1.26	1.32	1.29	1.27	1.26	5.50	5.56	5.63	5.71	15.81	14.97	14.21	13.50
T ₈ D ₂	1.39	1.38	1.37	1.35	1.39	1.38	1.37	1.35	5.81	5.89	5.97	6.05	16.13	15.28	14.63	14.02
CD (5%)	-	-	-	-	0.15	0.24	0.31	0.31	-	-	-	-	-	0.07	0.07	0.08

All values are mean \pm SEM of three replicates.

The test values along the same column carrying different superscripts for each composition contents are significantly different ($p < 0.05$) within days.

T₁ D₁ (2% Ascorbic acid+ Sun drying), T₁ D₂ (2% Ascorbic acid+ Cabinet Tray drying), T₂ D₁ (4% Ascorbic acid + Sun drying), T₂ D₂ (4% Ascorbic acid + Cabinet Tray drying), T₃ D₁ (0.5% Citric acid+ Sun drying), T₃ D₂ (0.5% Citric acid + Cabinet Tray drying), T₄ D₁ (1.0% Citric acid + Sun drying), T₄ D₂ (1.0% Citric acid + Cabinet Tray drying), T₅ D₁ (2% Ascorbic acid+ 0.5% Citric acid + Sun drying), T₅ D₂ (2% Ascorbic acid+ 0.5% Citric acid + Cabinet Tray drying), T₆ D₁ (2% Ascorbic acid+ 1.0% Citric acid + Sun drying), T₆ D₂ (2% Ascorbic acid+ 1.0% Citric acid + Cabinet Tray drying), T₇ D₁ (4% Ascorbic acid+ 0.5% Citric acid + Sun drying), T₇ D₂ (4% Ascorbic acid + 0.5% Citric acid + Cabinet Tray drying), T₈ D₁ (4% Ascorbic acid+1.0% Citric acid + Sun drying) and T₈ D₂ (4% Ascorbic acid+1.0% Citric acid + Cabinet Tray drying).

Conclusion

From the above investigation the result obtained from the study that, there was a continuous increase in the moisture and total soluble solids content of aerial yam flour with the advancement of storage period. Whereas, there was a decrease in ash, protein, crude fibre, fat and ascorbic acid content of aerial yam flour. The aerial yam flour prepared from pre-treatment with 0.5% citric acid and cabinet tray drying was found to be superior in terms of moisture, ash, fat, crude fibre, protein, carbohydrate, TSS and ascorbic acid content upto 90th days of storage. The aerial yam flour prepared from pre-treatment with 0.5% citric acid and cabinet tray drying is found with maximum consumer acceptability. The results obtained showed the feasibility of producing nutritious aerial yam flour.

Acknowledgement

This study was made possible with the help of Post-Harvest Technology Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Nagpur, Dr. PDKV, Akola by providing necessary laboratory facilities for the experiment and my guide for their support and guidelines in conducting the experiment.

References

- Akubor PI. Effect of Ascorbic acid and Citric acid treatments on the Functional and sensory properties of Yam flour. *Int J Agric Policy Res.* 2013;1(4):103-108.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Official methods of analysis (16th Edn.). Artington, VA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists; c1995. p. 806-842.
- Arinola SO, Ogunbusola EM, Adebayo SF. Effect of drying methods on the chemical, pasting and functional properties of unripe plantain (*Musa paradisiaca*) flour. *Br J Appl Sci Technol.* 2016;14(3):1-7.
- Bhogaonkar PY, Kadam VN. Ethnopharmacology of Banjara tribe Umarched taluka, district Yavatamal, Maharashtra for reproductive disorder. *Indian J Tradit Knowl.* 2006;5:336-341.
- Chandra S, Sarla S, Mishra PA, Bamrara A. Nutritional profile and phytochemical screening of Garhwal Himalaya medicinal plant *Dioscorea bulbifera*. *Int Res J Pharm.* 2012;3(5):289-294.
- Gupta D, Singh J. P-Hydroxy acetophenone derivatives from *Dioscorea bulbifera*. *Phytochemistry.* 1976;28(3):947-949.
- Jethva MH, Dharsenda TL, Vanita BV, Cholera SP. Effect of different pre-treatments methods on nutritional quality of sweet potato flour. *Adv Life Sci.* 2016;5(17):7111-7116.
- Martin FN. Tropical Yam and Their Potentials. Part 2. *Dioscorea bulbifera*. *Agric. Handbook*, No. 466. USDA; c1974. p. 20.
- Mittal S, Anju KD, Sharma A, Surekha A, Deepika K. Standardization of Recipes for Preparation of Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) Flour and its Quality Evaluation during Storage. *Int. J Curr Microbiol Appl. Sci.* 2019, 8.
- Nayak S, Behera SK, Misra MK. Ethno-medico botanical survey of Kalahandi District of Odisha. *Indian J Tradit. Knowl.* 2004;3:72-79.
- Nwosu JN. Evaluation of the proximate and sensory properties of biscuits produced from aerial yam flour (*Dioscorea bulbifera*). *Am J Res Commun.* 2014;2(3):119-126.
- Ogbonnaya C, Lawal AO. Comparative study of storage stability of Sweet potato and Yam flours. *Int. J Emerg.*

- Technol Eng Res. 2015;3(3):44-49.
13. Pallavi NB, Ekta PN, Panchbhai DM, Shweta G. Studies of aerial yam (*Dioscorea bulbifera* L.) flour cookies. J Pharmacogn Phytochem; c2016. p. 1428-1429.
 14. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi; c1985. p: 70-72.
 15. Ranganna S. Analytical methods and FPO specifications in Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products, 2ndEd. Tata Megrow-Hill Pub. Co, New Delhi; c1986. p. 1-101.
 16. Sahoo AK, Sonkamble AM, Patil SR, Bhojar RK, Papade JN. Effect of drying methods on consumer acceptability of banana powder. Asian J Dairy Food Res. 2015;34(1):63-66.
 17. Sharma R. Agro-technique of medicinal plants. Daya Publishing House, New Delhi; c2004. p. 81-82.
 18. Singh S, Riar CS, Saxena DC. Effect of incorporating sweet potato flour to wheat flour on the quality characteristics of cookies. Afr J Food Sci. 2008;2:065-072.
 19. Sruthy PM, Seeja T, Aneena ER, Sharon CI. Standardization and quality evaluation of Banana flour from Grand Naine (c.v. Musa AAA Group). South Asian J Food Technol Environ. 2020;6(2):965-976.
 20. Subasini U, Thenmozhi S, Sathyamurthy D, Vetriselvan S, Rajamanickam GV, Dubey GP. Pharmacognostic and Phytochemical Investigation of *Dioscorea bulbifera*. Int. J Pharm Life Sci. 2013;4(5):2693-2700.
 21. Tindall HD. Vegetables in the tropics. 1st Edn. Macmillan Education Ltd. Houndmills, Hampsgire; c1983. p. 207-221.
 22. Vithu P, Pritty SB, Sudheer KP, Dhanasree B, Sneha S. Optimization of the process of Banana flour preparation. Agric Eng Today. 2021, 45(3).