



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(12): 1887-1891
© 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 01-09-2023
Accepted: 04-10-2023

PN Patel
Department of Floriculture and
Landscape Architecture,
S.D.A.U., Jagudan, Gujarat,
India

MK Sharma
Assistant Professor, College of
Horticulture, S. D. Agricultural
University, Jagudan, Gujarat,
India

DK Vasoya
Department of Floriculture and
Landscape Architecture,
S.D.A.U., Jagudan, Gujarat,
India

Patel Rahul
S.D.A.U., Jagudan, Gujarat,
India

Corresponding Author:
PN Patel
Department of Floriculture and
Landscape Architecture,
S.D.A.U., Jagudan, Gujarat,
India

Effect of bulb size and soaking treatment on growth, yield and quality of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) hybrid Suvasini under North Gujarat condition

PN Patel, MK Sharma, DK Vasoya and Patel Rahul

Abstract

The present investigation “Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on growth, yield and quality of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) hybrid Suvasini under North Gujarat condition” was carried out during April 2022-2023 at College of Horticulture, SDAU, Jagudan (Gujarat). The two factors of experiment was laid out with Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. Factor A comprises of three size of bulb, i.e. small (1.25-1.75 cm), medium (3.00-3.50 cm) and large (4.00-4.50 cm) and Factor B includes the application of soaking treatments, i.e. control (water soaking), Thiourea @ 500 ppm, Paclobutrazol @ 10 ppm, GA₃ @ 200 ppm, NPK Consortium @ 10 ppm and Novel @ 5 ppm. It was observed that large bulb size with the treatment of GA₃ resulted in vigorous growth, maximum yield and greater quality as compared to other treatments.

Keywords: Bulb size and soaking treatment, growth, yield, tuberose, *Polianthes tuberosa* L.

Introduction

Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) commonly called as “Rajnigandha”, a member of Asparagaceae family is an ornamental bulbous plant native to Mexico. The etymology of *polianthes* is *poly*, meaning several and *anthes*, meaning flowers—“bearing or contains several flowers.” (Khan *et al.*, 2016) [8]. In tropical and subtropical regions, it is one of the most important cut flower. Commercial cultivation of tuberose in India is confined to West Bengal, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra (Nain *et al.*, 2019) [11]. The florets of tuberose are used in making creative garlands, ornaments and buttonholes, while the long spikes are utilised for vase decoration and bouquet creation.

The successful cultivation is influenced by various bulb size and different soaking treatment. Raja and Palanisamy reported that in bulbous flowers, variable bulb sizes had variable effects on the production and quality of the flowers. Bulb size influences the sprouting of bulb and the time required is inversely proportional to size of bulb (Sadhu and Das, 1978; Pathak *et al.*, 1980) [15, 13]. Larger bulbs normally have more stored food than smaller ones and capable of producing more side shoots. Growth hormones also regulates the development and growth of bulbous plants. At ideal concentrations, it is known that the different growth chemicals can coordinate several stages of growth and development that includes flowering. The importance of tuberose and unavailability of limited information regarding the optimum bulb size and soaking treatments, the present research was undertaken to explore the optimum size of bulbs which can produce good quality flowers.

Materials and Methods

In April 2022–2023, a field experiment on tuberose was carried out at College Farm, College of Horticulture, S.D. Agricultural University, Jagudan, Gujarat. It has hot and dry windy summer, warm and humid monsoon and cold winter. The soil at the experimental site had a texture similar to loamy sand, was non-saline, had a low organic carbon content and accessible nitrogen, was moderately alkaline in reactivity, and had a medium amount of potassium and phosphorus available. Bulbs of tuberose variety Suvasini were planted on the raised beds (1.5 m × 1.5 m) at a spacing of 30 cm × 30 cm. The experiment was designed with two factors. Eighteen treatment combinations with three replications are included in the factorial concept with Randomised Block Design. There were three different sizes of bulbs that is small B₁ = 1.25-1.75 cm, medium B₂ = 3.00-3.50 cm and large size B₃ = 4.00-4.50 cm and six different soaking treatments S₁ = Control, S₂ = Thiourea @ 500 ppm, S₃ = Paclobutrazol @ 10 ppm,

S₄ = GA₃ @ 200 ppm, S₅ = NPK Consortium @ 10 ppm and S₆ = Novel @ 5 ppm. The diameter of the bulb was measured using a Vernier calliper to determine its size. The time of the soaking treatments was six hours for Thiourea @ 500 ppm and Paclobutrazol @ 10 ppm, and twelve hours for GA₃ @ 200 ppm, NPK consortium @ 10 ppm, and Novel @ 5 ppm. A small amount of ethyl alcohol was used to dissolve GA₃. Separate solutions of Thiourea, Paclobutrazol, NPK Consortium and Novel were prepared using distilled water. When these substances were completely dissolved, volume was made with measured quantity of water and stirred gently while adding water to keep material in solution. Using urea, Single Super Phosphate (SSP) and Muriate of Potash (MOP) as the basal, the prescribed amount of manure (FYM @ 15t/ha), 50% nitrogen, and 100% of each of phosphorus and potassium of RDF (200:200:200 kg ha⁻¹) were applied. Remaining 50 percent nitrogen of recommended dose was applied in three splits at 30, 45 and 60 days after planting.

Results and Discussion

Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on growth parameters

The vegetative growth parameters were significantly influenced by different bulb size and soaking treatments. Small sized bulbs (1.25-1.75 cm) sprouted significantly earlier (13.19 days) than large sized bulb. Larger sized bulbs took more time for sprouting due to the presence of more layers of membranous scales which interfered in the exchange of gases and inhibited metabolic process (Raja and Palanisamy, 2000) [14]. 4.00-4.50 cm diameter sized bulbs recorded maximum plant height (24.27 cm) at the stage of 45 days which was found at par with treatment B₂ (23.83 cm) and (44.56 cm) at 90 days after planting. The taller plants with large sized bulbs in tuberose might be due to presence of more reserves photosynthates in planting material *i.e.*, large

sized bulbs. The highest number of leaves clump⁻¹ (33.73 and 55.60, respectively) observed in large sized bulbs (4.00-4.50 cm). Similar variation were reported by Sadhu and Das (1978) [15], Dhua *et al.* (1987) [5], Raja and Palanisamy (2000) [14], Ahmad *et al.* (2009) [1], Akand *et al.* (2016) [2], Khan *et al.* (2016) [8], Nain *et al.* (2019) [11] and Islam *et al.* (2022) [7] in tuberose.

The soaking treatments had significant influence on vegetative growth parameters. The minimum days taken to bulb sprouting (12.62) was recorded in S₂ treatment (Thiourea @ 500 ppm) closely followed (12.76) by treatment S₄ and it was at par with treatment S₅ (13.98), S₁ (13.89) and S₃ (13.84). It seems that treating thiourea causes early sprouting because it breaks the dormancy of tuberose bulbs. The maximum plant height (25.82 cm and 44.74 cm) was recorded under treatment S₄ at the stage of 45 and 90 days after planting. Plant height was increased at the soaking treatment which might be due to enhancement of cell in terms of division and elongation occurring at shoot tips and this effect was due to increase in photosynthetic efficiency, improvement in mobilization of photosynthates, rapid increase in reducing sugars which leads to change in membrane permeability. Maximum number of leaves (24.08) at 45 days after planting was recorded in treatment S₄, which was at par with treatment S₆, S₂ and S₅ (22.86, 22.72 and 22.67, respectively) and (46.87) recorded under the treatment S₄ (GA₃ @ 200 ppm) at 90 days after planting followed by treatment S₆ (43.13). Gibberellic acid has beneficial effect on vegetative growth, which include boosting cambial activity, cell elongation, and activating RNA and protein synthesis, allowed for the maximum amount of leaves to be produced. Similar variation was observed by Dhua *et al.* (1987) [5], Tak and Nagda (1999) [18], Raja and Palanisamy (2000) [14], Singh *et al.* (2008) [16], Tyagi and Singh (2008) [19] and Dhumal *et al.* (2018) [6] in tuberose.

Table 1: Effect of different bulb size and soaking treatments on growth parameters

| Treatments | Days taken to germination of bulb | Plant height (cm) | | Number of leaves per clump | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|
| | | 45 Days | 90 Days | 45 Days | 90 Days |
| Bulb size (B) | | | | | |
| B ₁ | 13.19 | 19.94 | 33.59 | 10.01 | 24.84 |
| B ₂ | 13.70 | 23.83 | 41.63 | 24.27 | 44.99 |
| B ₃ | 13.97 | 24.27 | 44.56 | 33.73 | 55.60 |
| S.E.m± | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.96 | 0.39 | 1.00 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 0.62 | 1.14 | 2.74 | 1.11 | 2.84 |
| Soaking treatments (S) | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 13.89 | 20.87 | 35.79 | 21.30 | 38.42 |
| S ₂ | 12.62 | 23.01 | 39.88 | 22.72 | 40.59 |
| S ₃ | 13.84 | 22.58 | 40.07 | 22.40 | 40.99 |
| S ₄ | 12.76 | 25.82 | 44.74 | 24.08 | 46.87 |
| S ₅ | 13.98 | 22.64 | 39.21 | 22.67 | 40.86 |
| S ₆ | 14.62 | 21.17 | 39.88 | 22.86 | 43.13 |
| S.E.m± | 0.31 | 0.56 | 1.36 | 0.55 | 1.41 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 0.87 | 1.61 | 3.88 | 1.58 | 4.01 |
| Interaction (B × S) | | | | | |
| S.E.m± | 0.53 | 0.98 | 2.36 | 0.96 | 2.44 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. (%) | 6.75 | 7.47 | 10.24 | 7.32 | 10.11 |

Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on flowering characters

The data presented in Table 2 shows significant influence in flowering characters of different bulb size. The minimum days taken to emergence of first spike was (77.46 days) recorded in large sized bulb (4.00-4.50 cm), being at par with treatment B₃ (79.48) and earliest opening of first floret (97.94

DAP) recorded in treatment B₃ closely followed by B₂ (102.87 DAP). The maximum longevity of intact spike (18.81 days) was found under the B₃ treatment, being at par with treatment B₂ (18.41 days). This could be the result of plants from small bulbs not producing enough nutrients, enzymes or hormones for flowering. The longest duration of flowering was recorded under large sized bulb B₃ (187.82 days) being at

par with treatment B₂ (185.24 days). Similar findings were earlier reported by Dhua *et al.* (1987)^[5], Raja and Palanisamy (2000)^[14], Ahmad *et al.* (2009)^[1], Akand *et al.* (2016)^[2],

Khan *et al.* (2016)^[8], Nain *et al.* (2019)^[11], Islam *et al.* (2022)^[7] in tuberose.

Table 2: Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on flowering characters

| Treatments | Days taken for emergence of first spike | Days taken for opening of first florets | Longevity of intact spike | Duration of flowering |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Bulb size (B) | | | | |
| B ₁ | 175.24 | 199.79 | 15.91 | 94.76 |
| B ₂ | 79.48 | 102.87 | 18.41 | 185.24 |
| B ₃ | 77.46 | 97.94 | 18.81 | 187.82 |
| S.Em± | 1.57 | 1.52 | 0.24 | 1.62 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 4.46 | 4.33 | 0.67 | 4.62 |
| Soaking treatments (S) | | | | |
| S ₁ | 115.74 | 139.94 | 16.89 | 150.70 |
| S ₂ | 110.74 | 133.48 | 18.31 | 156.48 |
| S ₃ | 111.23 | 134.56 | 17.00 | 155.43 |
| S ₄ | 105.36 | 126.68 | 18.96 | 161.31 |
| S ₅ | 108.69 | 131.93 | 17.21 | 157.98 |
| S ₆ | 112.61 | 134.60 | 17.89 | 153.72 |
| S.Em± | 2.22 | 2.15 | 0.33 | 2.29 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 6.31 | 6.13 | 0.95 | 6.53 |
| Interaction (B × S) | | | | |
| S.Em± | 3.84 | 3.73 | 0.58 | 3.97 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. (%) | 6.00 | 6.84 | 5.67 | 4.41 |

The soaking treatment had significant influence on flowering characters of tuberose. Minimum days taken for first spike initiation (105.36 days) recorded in treatment S₄ and this can be due to early flower primordial development, cell differentiation and early utilization of nutrients. The minimum days required for opening of first floret (126.68 DAP) reported with treatment S₄, being at par to treatment S₅ (131.93 DAP). The maximum longevity (18.96 days) recorded under the treatment S₄ being at par to treatment S₂ (18.31 days). Maximum duration (161.31 days) was recorded with treatment S₄, being at par to treatment S₅ (157.98 days), S₂ (156.48) and S₃ (155.43) treatments. Gibberellic acid treatment results in a continuous supply of photosynthetic assimilate for a longer period of time. Similar results were observed by Panwar *et al.* (2006)^[12], Singh *et al.* (2008)^[16], Singh *et al.* (2010)^[17], Kurve *et al.* (2018)^[10] and Dhumal *et al.* (2018)^[6] in tuberose.

Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on yield attributes

The results given in Table 3 exhibited that the effect of bulb size on yield attributes was observed significant. The maximum number of florets (41.81 spike⁻¹) recorded in large sized bulb B₃, which was found at par to treatment B₂ (40.75). The weight of spike (156.39 g) number of spikes (52.78 plot⁻¹ and 651.58 thousand ha⁻¹), florets yield (3.13 kg plot⁻¹ and 38.68 t ha⁻¹) and total number of harvesting of spike (5.22) were higher in large sized bulbs (4.00-4.50 cm diameter) followed by medium sized bulbs. Larger bulbs produced more flowers than smaller bulbs, because larger bulbs contain more stocks of metabolites. These results are in agreement with the finding of Sadhu and Das (1978)^[15], Raja and Palanisamy (2000)^[14], Ahmad *et al.* (2009)^[1], Akand *et al.* (2016)^[2], Khan *et al.* (2016)^[8] and Islam *et al.* (2022)^[7] in tuberose.

Table 3: Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on yield attributes

| Treatments | No. of florets spike ⁻¹ | Weight of spike (g) | Spike yield (number) | | Florets yield | | Weight of 100 florets (g) | No. of harvestings of spike |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Plot ⁻¹ | in '000 ha ⁻¹ | kg plot ⁻¹ | tonne ha ⁻¹ | | |
| Bulb size (B) | | | | | | | | |
| B ₁ | 32.36 | 121.50 | 37.67 | 465.02 | 2.87 | 35.49 | 206.04 | 2.89 |
| B ₂ | 40.75 | 149.89 | 50.50 | 623.46 | 2.95 | 36.44 | 207.38 | 4.50 |
| B ₃ | 41.81 | 156.39 | 52.78 | 651.58 | 3.13 | 38.68 | 209.79 | 5.22 |
| S.Em± | 0.54 | 1.99 | 0.68 | 8.39 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 2.54 | 0.15 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 1.55 | 5.66 | 1.93 | 23.87 | 0.12 | 1.43 | NS | 0.44 |
| Soaking treatments (s) | | | | | | | | |
| S ₁ | 35.70 | 136.22 | 44.67 | 551.44 | 2.87 | 35.40 | 200.00 | 3.33 |
| S ₂ | 37.49 | 140.56 | 47.00 | 580.25 | 2.91 | 35.97 | 204.67 | 4.22 |
| S ₃ | 37.94 | 144.78 | 46.22 | 570.64 | 2.96 | 36.57 | 214.39 | 4.33 |
| S ₄ | 42.38 | 149.56 | 49.44 | 610.43 | 3.28 | 40.55 | 204.88 | 5.00 |
| S ₅ | 37.57 | 142.67 | 46.67 | 576.13 | 2.96 | 36.52 | 212.63 | 4.11 |
| S ₆ | 38.78 | 141.78 | 47.89 | 591.22 | 2.93 | 36.20 | 209.87 | 4.22 |
| S.Em± | 0.77 | 2.81 | 0.96 | 11.86 | 0.06 | 0.71 | 3.59 | 0.22 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 2.19 | 8.00 | 2.73 | 33.76 | 0.16 | 2.03 | NS | 0.62 |
| Interaction (B × S) | | | | | | | | |
| S.Em± | 1.33 | 4.87 | 1.66 | 20.54 | 0.12 | 1.23 | 6.21 | 0.38 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | NS | NS | 4.74 | 58.47 | 0.28 | 3.50 | NS | NS |
| C.V. (%) | 6.02 | 5.92 | 6.13 | 6.13 | 5.79 | 5.79 | 5.18 | 15.49 |

It is evident from the Table 3 that soaking treatments showed significant effect on yield attributes of tuberose. The maximum number of florets (42.38), total number of harvesting of spike (5.00) and maximum weight of spike (149.56 g) was recorded with treatment S₄, found at par to treatment S₃ (144.78 g) S₅ (142.67) and S₆ (141.78). This could be because of enhanced mobilisation and photosynthates to the spike, which led to the buildup of respirable substrates in the spike and possibly increased fresh weight of cut spikes. The highest number of spikes (49.44 plot⁻¹ and 610.43 thousand ha⁻¹) and florets yield (3.28 kg plot⁻¹ and 40.55 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in treatment S₄, which can be due to the action of GA, stimulating the conversion of storage polymers (polysaccharides, proteins and fats) into sucrose or mobile amino acids to facilitate their translocation via phloem into and throughout the young root and shoot system and thus influencing spike production. Similar

findings was earlier reported by Panwar *et al.* (2006)^[12], Singh *et al.* (2008)^[16], Kumar and Gautam (2011)^[9], Dhumal *et al.* (2018)^[6] and Kurve *et al.* (2018)^[10] in tuberose. The maximum number of spikes (57.33 plot⁻¹ and 707.82 thousand ha⁻¹) and florets yield per plot (3.80 kg plot⁻¹ and 46.87 t ha⁻¹) was obtained in treatment combination of B₃S₄.

The data presented in Table 4 shows significant influence on bulblets yield of different bulb size. The maximum number of bulblets (31.04 clump⁻¹, 288.40 plot⁻¹ and 3560.49 thousand ha⁻¹) was recorded with large sized bulbs (4.00-4.50 cm). An increase in bulb size was shown to correspond with an increase in bulblets. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Sadhu and Das (1978)^[15], Reddy and Singh (1997)^[21], Arya *et al.* (2006), Ahmad *et al.* (2009)^[11], Choudhury *et al.* (2010)^[4], Wagh *et al.* (2012)^[20], Khan *et al.* (2016)^[8] and Islam *et al.* (2022)^[7] in tuberose.

Table 4: Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on bulblets yield

| Treatments | Number of bulblets | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | Clump ⁻¹ | Plot ⁻¹ | in '000 ha ⁻¹ |
| Bulb size (B) | | | |
| B ₁ | 22.80 | 214.20 | 2644.44 |
| B ₂ | 29.11 | 271.00 | 3345.68 |
| B ₃ | 31.04 | 288.40 | 3560.49 |
| S.Em± | 0.53 | 4.78 | 59.03 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 1.51 | 13.61 | 168.01 |
| Soaking treatments (S) | | | |
| S ₁ | 25.96 | 242.60 | 2995.06 |
| S ₂ | 28.30 | 263.70 | 3255.56 |
| S ₃ | 28.40 | 264.60 | 3266.67 |
| S ₄ | 30.01 | 279.10 | 3445.68 |
| S ₅ | 26.87 | 250.80 | 3096.30 |
| S ₆ | 26.38 | 246.40 | 3041.98 |
| S.Em± | 0.75 | 6.76 | 83.48 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 2.14 | 19.25 | 237.61 |
| Interaction (B × S) | | | |
| S.Em± | 1.30 | 11.71 | 144.59 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. (%) | 8.15 | 7.87 | 7.87 |

The tuberose plants from treatment S₄ responded maximum number of bulblets (30.01 clump⁻¹, 279.10 plot⁻¹ and 3445.68 thousand ha⁻¹) being at par to treatment S₃ (28.40 clump⁻¹, 264.60 plot⁻¹ and 3266.67 thousand ha⁻¹) and S₂ (28.30 clump⁻¹, 263.70 plot⁻¹ and 3255.56 thousand ha⁻¹). These results are in harmony with those obtained by Choudhury *et al.* (2010)^[4], Kumar and Gautam (2011)^[9] and Dhumal *et al.* (2018)^[6] in tuberose.

Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on quality parameters

The bulb size had significant influence on quality parameters of tuberose. Significantly longer spike length (78.19 cm), rachis length (56.05 cm) and vase life (9.06 days) reported with treatment B₃, being at par with treatment B₂ (8.72 days). Better vegetative development of the plant was most likely the cause of the increased spike length from the large bulb. Similar finding was earlier reported by Sadhu and Das (1978)^[15], Dhua *et al.* (1987)^[5], Ahmad *et al.* (2009)^[11], Akand *et al.* (2016)^[2] and Islam *et al.* (2022)^[7] in tuberose.

The data given in Table 5 exhibits the maximum length of spike (73.68 cm) with the treatment S₄, was at par to S₂ (69.88) and S₆ (69.54). The reason for the increased spike length could be attributed to the rapid elongation of internodes resulting from enhanced cell proliferation and elongation in the intercalary meristem. Maximum length of rachis (56.58 cm) was found with treatment S₄ was found at par to S₆ (53.68). Because GA₃ enhanced the conversion of storage polymers into sucrose or mobile amino acids to ease their transportation via vascular bundles to various regions such as root and shoot system and dry matter accumulation, taller plants were produced, which also resulted in higher rachis lengths. Maximum vase life of tuberose spike (9.33 days) was recorded with treatment S₄. This might be due to accumulation of more food materials in spike due to mobilization and translocation of photosynthates from increased number of leaves and leaf area in treated bulbs. Similar results were earlier reported in tuberose Panwar *et al.* (2006)^[12], Singh *et al.* (2008)^[16], Kumar and Gautam (2011)^[9], Dhumal *et al.* (2018)^[6] and Kurve *et al.* (2018)^[10] in tuberose.

Table 5: Effect of bulb size and soaking treatments on quality parameters

| Treatments | Spike length (cm) | Rachis length (cm) | Vase life (days) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| B ₁ | 53.93 | 44.47 | 8.44 |
| B ₂ | 74.97 | 54.41 | 8.72 |
| B ₃ | 78.19 | 56.05 | 9.06 |
| S.Em± | 1.18 | 0.75 | 0.13 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 3.36 | 2.14 | 0.36 |
| Soaking treatments (S) | | | |
| S ₁ | 65.78 | 48.48 | 8.67 |
| S ₂ | 69.88 | 51.81 | 8.78 |
| S ₃ | 68.54 | 50.12 | 8.44 |
| S ₄ | 73.68 | 56.58 | 9.33 |
| S ₅ | 66.77 | 49.21 | 8.44 |
| S ₆ | 69.54 | 53.68 | 8.78 |
| S.Em± | 1.67 | 1.06 | 0.18 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | 4.75 | 3.02 | 0.51 |
| Interaction (B × S) | | | |
| S.Em± | 2.89 | 1.84 | 0.31 |
| C.D.(P = 0.05) | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. (%) | 7.25 | 6.16 | 6.19 |

Conclusion

Based on the result of the study, it can be concluded that treatment of bulb size and soaking treatment, large sized bulb 4.00-4.50 cm along with the application of soaking treatment GA₃ @ 200 ppm was found superior in terms of growth, yield and quality of tuberose.

References

- Ahmad I, Ahmad T, Asif M, Saleem M, Akram A. Effect of bulb size on growth, flowering and bulb production of tuberose. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2009;25(3):391-397.
- Akand MSH, Sultana Z, Khatun M, Patwary NH, Amin R. Effect of bulb size on growth and flowering of tuberose cv. Single. *International Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*. 2016;3(2):30-37.
- Arya JK, Singh PV. Effect of bulb size on bulb production in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. *Plant Archives*. 2006;6(1):371-372.
- Choudhury S, Amin MR, Uddin AKM, Uddain J. Influence of Bulb Size and GA₃ on Bulb and Bulblets Production of Tuberose. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 2010;1(3):180-183.
- Dhua RS, Ghosh SK, Mitra SK, Yadav LP, Bose TK. Effect of bulb size, temperature treatment of bulbs and chemicals on growth and flower production in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). *Acta Horticulture*. 1987;205:121-128.
- Dhumal S, Kaur M, Dalave P, Garande VK, Pawar RD, Ambad SS, *et al.* Regulation of growth and flowering in tuberose with application of bio-regulators. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7(9):1622-1626.
- Islam MM, Mondal MMA, Reza MS, Hasan MJ, Mamun MSAAA, Nadim MKA, *et al.* Bulb size effects on flowering and bulb production of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. *Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research*. 2022;9(4):123-128.
- Khan S, Jan I, Ullah H, Iqbal J, Iqbal S, Shah SHA, *et al.*

Influence of phosphorus and bulb size on flower and bulblet production of tuberose. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*. 2016;16(1):191-197.

- Kumar A, Gautam DK. Effect of plant growth regulators on spike yield and bulb production of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.) cv. Hyderabad Double. *Progressive Horticulture*. 2011;43(2):234-236.
- Kurve G, Vidhya SM, Kumar A, Singh OP. Effect of pre-soaking of bulbs in plant growth regulators on flowering and vase life of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6(1):1485-1490.
- Nain S, Beniwal BSB, Shiwani, Pooja. Effect of bulb size, spacing, and planting method on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Prajwal. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*. 2019;8(1):S83-S88.
- Panwar RD, Sindhu SS, Sharma JR, Gupta RB. Response of bulb dipping in gibberellic acid on growth, flowering, and bulb production in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.). *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*. 2006;9(1):49-51.
- Pathak S, Choudhuri MA, Chatterjee SK. Effect of bulb size on flower production in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 1980;3(2):81-82.
- Raja K, Palanisamy V. Vegetative growth, flower and bulb yield as affected by different grades of bulbs of tuberose in cv. Single. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*. 2000;28(2):93-97.
- Sadhu MK, Das PC. Effect of bulb size, planting density and depth of planting on growth, flowering and bulb production of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 1978;35(2):147-150.
- Singh PK, Singh SN, Singh DB. Response of GA₃ and NAA on growth, flowering, bulb production, and vase life of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2008;3(1):183-186.
- Singh R, Goyal RK, Gupta AK. Effect of plant growth regulators on germination, flowering, and bulb production in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. 2010;39(3/4):310-312.
- Tak D, Nagda CL. Effect of growth regulators on growth and flower yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. *Scientific Horticulture*. 1999;6:147-150.
- Tyagi AK, Singh CN. Effect of GA₃ and IBA on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. *Progressive Agriculture*. 2008;8(1):25-26.
- Wagh VK, Chawla SL, Gaikwad AR, Parolekar SS. Effect of bulb size and GA₃ on vegetative and floral characters of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Prajwal and Calcutta single. *Progressive Horticulture*. 2012;44(1):27-31.
- Singh J, Rivenon A, Tomita M, Shimamura S, Ishibashi N, Reddy BS, *et al.* Bifidobacterium longum, a lactic acid-producing intestinal bacterium inhibits colon cancer and modulates the intermediate biomarkers of colon carcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis*. 1997 Apr 1;18(4):833-341.