www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; SP-12(12): 2098-2100 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 14-09-2023 Accepted: 18-10-2023

Revati B Shitole

M.Sc. Scholar, Division of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Dhule, Maharashtra, India

SP Poul

Assistant Professor, Division of Animal husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Dhule, Maharashtra, India

TR Bhosale

Assistant Professor, Division of Animal husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Muktainagar, Dist- Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

PS Bodhare

M.Sc. Scholar, Division of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Dhule, Maharashtra, India

MR More

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Dhule, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Revati B Shitole M.Sc. Scholar, Division of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, College of Agriculture, Dhule, Maharashtra, India

Effect of feeding of powdered coriander seeds (*Coriandrum sativum*) on the meat characteristics of broiler chicken

Revati B Shitole, SP Poul, TR Bhosale, PS Bodhare and MR More

Abstract

In the poultry farm of the Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science section of the College of Agriculture, Dhule (Maharashtra), the current study, titled "Effect of feeding Powdered Coriander Seeds (*Coriandrum sativum*) (PCS) on growth performance and meat characteristics of broiler chicken," was carried out from June to August 2023. For forty-five days, 120 broiler chicks were raised in a deep litter system. With four treatment blocks and five replications, thirty chicks per group were randomly assigned to one of four groups. While PCS was added to the experimental meals at doses of 0.5%, 1.0%, and 1.5% for treatments T₁, T₂, and T₃, respectively, the control group (T₀) received any dietary treatment. The outcomes demonstrated that there was a statistically non-significant variation in the proximate composition of thigh meat between the various treatments and the carcass features. Data showed that there were statistically non-significant (p>0.05) differences between the treatments in terms of dressing percentage, percentage of cut-up sections such as breast, giblet, drumstick and thigh, as well as proportion of edible and nonedible components. At 45 days of age, the proximate composition of breast meat revealed non-significant (p>0.05) differences between the treatments for moisture, dry matter, ash, crude protein, crude fat and nitrogen-free extract.

Keywords: Broiler, coriander, PCS, growth performance, meat characteristics

Introduction

One of the most prosperous and quickly expanding industries that offers premium protein at a reasonable cost is poultry. India has one of the biggest and fastest-growing poultry businesses in the world, with 851.81 million birds and an estimated 130 billion eggs produced annually. From 729.21 million to 851.81 million, the total number of chickens in India has grown by about 16.81% (20th Livestock Census, 2019, GOI). Poultry produces 4.06 million tonnes (MT) of meat annually, or about half of all meat produced in the country (BAHS, 2021) ^[6].

One of the world's most significant developing nations is India. India, a country of 1.30 billion people, places a strong emphasis on "Development," which includes improved living circumstances, wholesome food, and improved health for everybody. People can now afford higher-quality nourishment in the form of chicken meat and eggs thanks to economic growth, and in only 40 years, the poultry business has grown from a modest backyard endeavour to a major commercial enterprise. Poultry is the most prolific animal when it comes to other animals. Protein, vitamins, and minerals like zinc, iron, selenium, and B vitamins are all present in sufficient amounts. It has been determined that chicken flesh is a nutrient-dense meat. India ranks sixth globally in terms of meat output, with the fastest-growing section of the meat business being broiler meat.

This worry has led to ongoing investigation of a variety of feed additives as possible feed antibiotic substitutes in recent years. It has been demonstrated that aromatic herbs enhance feed intake and endogenous digestive enzyme release. It has been demonstrated that adding herbs to a chicken's feed can enhance its health and performance due to the antibacterial properties of their phytochemical components. Over the past few years, phytobiotics have drawn more attention from the broiler production industry as natural feed additives that support growth. Due to their numerous therapeutic advantages and lack of lingering side effects, they are the best substitutes for antibiotic growth promoters (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2018, 2019) ^[10].

One plant in the parsley family, coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), is native to the Mediterranean region and is grown throughout most of the world.

The relevance of coriander has expanded due to its potential antimicrobial and digestive system-stimulating qualities. *Coriandrum sativum*, also known as Dhania, has long been used for its anti-diabetic, anti-helminthic, analgesic, sedative, anti-parasitic, and antiseptic properties (Samojlik *et al.*, 2010) ^[16]. It also possesses biological qualities such as antimicrobial activity, hypocholesterolemia, and antioxidants. CSM enhances hens' digestive processes by increasing the production of digestive enzymes and improving the use of digested leftovers. Furthermore, it enhanced liver function (Hernandez *et al.*, 2004) ^[11].

Materials and Methods

The above study was carried out in the poultry farm of the College of Agriculture, Dhule (MH) India. At the Division of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science from June 23 to August 6, 2023. For this investigation, 120 day-old broiler chicks (Vencobb-400) were acquired from *Nidhi Poultry Medicare, Dhule*. Each of the thirty experimental chicks in each of the four treatment groups (Table 1) was randomly assigned based on weight after each chick had been individually weighed.

Using the AOAC (1990)^[4] and AOAC (2005)^[5] standard procedure, proximate analysis of PCS and proximate analysis of meat were conducted over the study period. At the conclusion of their sixth week of life, the birds were slaughtered.

Table 1: Details of Dietary Treatments and Feedings

Treatment	Treatment details
T ₀	Basal diet without Coriander seed powder
T1	Basal diet + Coriander seed powder @ 0.5% each
T ₂	Basal diet + Coriander seed Powder @ 1% each
T3	Basal diet + Coriander seed Powder @ 1.5% each

Carcass Characteristics

Carcass traits were evaluated after slaughtering, dressing and evisceration of birds. Five birds from each treatment were slaughtered at the end of the experiment to study carcass characteristics. Live weight was recorded prior to the slaughter of birds.

Dressing Percentage

Dressing percentage
$$= \frac{\text{Carcass weight}}{\text{Final body weight}} X 100$$

Weight of Liver and Other Organs & Live Weight

Different cut-up parts of the carcass were weighed, including the breast, thigh, drumstick, heart, gizzard and liver. Birds were weighed before slaughtering using an electronic balance.

Dressed weight

Dressed weight (g) =
$$\frac{\text{Weight of dressed bird (g)}}{\text{Live weight of the bird (g)}}$$

Eviscerated Weight

Eviscerated weight (g) =
$$\frac{\text{Eviscerated weight (g)}}{\text{Live weight of bird (g)}}$$

Edible Weight

Edible weight (g)
$$= \frac{\text{Edible weight (g)}}{\text{Live weight of bird (g)}}$$

Giblet Weight

Giblet weight (g) =
$$\frac{\text{Giblet weight (g)}}{\text{Live weight of bird (g)}}$$

Statistical Analysis

The data collected throughout the trial were statistically analyzed by Completely Randomized Design (CRD) given by Snedecor and Cochran (1994)^[18].

Results and Discussion

Proximate Composition of Breast Meat

The impact of adding PCS to the diet on the meat composition of breast muscle is depicted in table no 2. All treatment groups (T₀, T₁, T₂, and T₃) showed statistically non-significant (p>0.05) differences in the proximate composition of breast meat. This suggests that while the addition of varying amounts of PCS to the diet increased feed conversion efficiency, meat quality remained the same. The findings of this investigation were in line with those of Singh *et al.*, (2012) ^[17], who found that the addition of PCS did not significantly alter the proximate composition of the breast muscle in broiler meat across treatment groups or the control group. The findings of Chandel *et al.*, (2021) ^[8], who observed a non-significant (p>0.05) influence on the proximate composition of breast meat supplementing PCS in broiler chicks, were consistent with the results.

Proximate Composition of Thigh Meat

It is presented in table no 3, the impact of adding PCS to the diet on the amount of meat in the thigh muscle. According to statistical analysis, there was no difference (p>0.05) in the proximate composition of thigh meat across treatment groups T₀, T₁, T₂, and T₃ in terms of moisture, dry matter, ash, crude protein, fat content, and NFE. The findings of this investigation were in line with those of Singh *et al.*, (2012) ^[17], who found that the addition of PCS did not significantly alter the proximate composition of the breast muscle in broiler meat across treatment groups or the control group. The findings of Chandel *et al.*, (2021) ^[8], who observed a non-significant influence on the proximate composition of thigh meat supplementing coriander seed powder in broiler chicks, were consistent with the results.

Carcass Traits

Data on carcass traits (%) due to different dietary treatments of coriander seed powder during the experimental period are represented in Table 4. The carcass characteristics data indicated a statistically non-significant (p>0.05) difference in carcass traits (%) among the different treatment groups. The breast yield was highest in the treatment T_3 group. Giblet (%) was observed higher in treatment T₃. Although, slight variation was observed in drumstick and thigh percent of carcass weights of different treatment groups. However, the difference among all the treatments was non-significant (p>0.05). The results were in line with the reports of Rashid *et* al., (2014)^[14], Sahoo et al., (2008)^[15], Aissaoui et al., (2011) ^[2] reported a non-significant (p>0.05) difference in carcass trait due to feed supplementation with PCS at varying concentrations. The present results were in accordance with the reports of Abou-Elkhair et al., (2014)^[1], Naeemasa et al., (2008) ^[13] reported a non-significant (p>0.05) difference in any carcass characteristics due to herbal plants fed at different levels.

Parameter	Treatments				SE (1)	CD @ 5%	
Farameter	T ₀	T ₁	T ₂	T 3	5E (±)	CD @ 5%	
Moisture	71.44	71.39	71.63	71.51	3.09	NS	
DM	24.15	24.45	24.76	24.96	1.06	NS	
Ash	1.22	1.25	1.27	1.30	0.054	NS	
Crude Protein	17.59	18.41	18.68	19.03	20.80	NS	
Crude Fat	3.15	3.29	3.56	3.37	0.14	NS	
NFE	75.20	75.94	75.72	76.0	3.28	NS	

 Table 2: Proximate composition of breast meat in different treatments by feeding coriander seed powder

SE: Standard Error; CD: Critical Difference.

Table 3: Proximate composition of thigh meat in different treatments by feeding coriander seed powder

	Treat	ments	SE (+)	CD @ 5%		
T ₀	T ₁	T_2	T ₃	$SE(\Xi)$	CD @ 576	
68.79	68.75	68.82	68.92	2.98	NS	
31.27	31.67	31.45	31.53	1.36	NS	
1.28	1.32	1.21	1.15	0.053	NS	
19.22	19.56	19.82	20.45	0.19	NS	
2.18	2.89	3.14	2.92	0.12	NS	
77.39	75.19	76.82	76.52	3.30	NS	
	68.79 31.27 1.28 19.22 2.18	T₀ T₁ 68.79 68.75 31.27 31.67 1.28 1.32 19.22 19.56 2.18 2.89	68.7968.7568.8231.2731.6731.451.281.321.2119.2219.5619.822.182.893.14	T₀ T₁ T₂ T₃ 68.79 68.75 68.82 68.92 31.27 31.67 31.45 31.53 1.28 1.32 1.21 1.15 19.22 19.56 19.82 20.45 2.18 2.89 3.14 2.92	T0 T1 T2 T3 SE (±) 68.79 68.75 68.82 68.92 2.98 31.27 31.67 31.45 31.53 1.36 1.28 1.32 1.21 1.15 0.053 19.22 19.56 19.82 20.45 0.19 2.18 2.89 3.14 2.92 0.12	

SE: Standard Error; CD: Critical Difference.

 Table 4: Effect of feeding different levels of coriander seed powder on carcass traits of broilers

Carcass Traits		Treat	SE	CD @		
(%)	To	T 1	T_2	T 3	(±)	5%
Live body weight	2128.21	2132.85	2145.37	2185.58	93.38	NS
Breast (%)	28.25	28.36	28.52	29.93	1.25	NS
Giblet (%)	4.69	4.76	4.82	4.85	0.20	NS
Drumstick (%)	19.76	19.81	19.89	19.99	0.86	NS
Thigh (%)	17.04	17.24	17.55	17.80	0.75	NS
Edible (%)	64.32	64.68	64.92	65.78	2.82	NS
Non-Edible (%)	35.68	35.32	35.08	34.22	1.51	NS
Dressed Weight	1586.34	1611.52	1620.36	1665.16	70.69	NS
Dressing (%)	74.53	75.55	75.52	76.18	3.28	NS

SE: Standard Error; CD: Critical Difference.

Conclusion

It is concluded that the effect of feeding PCS in the diet at different levels on the proximate composition of thigh meat was found to be statistically non-significant. Also, the carcass characteristics and the proximate composition of breast meat containing moisture, dry matter, ash, crude protein, crude fat and nitrogen-free extract had a non-significant effect in different treatments.

References

- 1. Abou-Elkhair R, Ahmed HA, Selim S. Effects of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*), turmeric powder (*Curcuma longa*), and coriander seeds (*Coriandrum sativum*) and their combinations as feed additives on growth performance, carcass traits, some blood parameters, and humoral immune response of broiler chickens. Asian Australas J Anim Sci. 2014;27(6):847.
- Aissaoui A, Zizi S, Israili ZH, Lyoussi B. Hypoglycemic and hypolipidemic effects of *Coriandrum sativum* L. in *Meriones shawi* rats. J Ethnopharmacol. 2011;137(1):652-661.
- Anonymous. GOI, 20th Livestock census, Department of Animal husbandry and Dairying, Government of India; 2019.
- 4. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 16th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington,

Virginia, USA; c1990.

- AOAC. Official method of Analysis. 18th Edition, Association of Officiating Analytical Chemists, DC, Method 935.14 and 992.24; 2005.
- 6. Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics 2021. [Internet]. [Cited 2023 Dec 30]. Available from: http://www.dahd.nic.in/Division/statistics.
- 7. Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS). Div.-Food and Agriculture, Livestock feeds, equipment, and systems; 2007.
- Chandel RS, Sihag ZS, Singh A, Kumar S. Effect of dietary supplementation of Coriander seed powder on growth performance, metabolizability of nutrients, and economics of broiler chicks. Indian J Anim Prod Manag. 2021;6:111-115.
- 9. Choudhury D, Mahanta JD, Sapcota D, Saikia BN. Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder on the carcass quality of commercial broiler chicken. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2019;4(1):27-31.
- Choudhury D, Mahanta J, Sapcota D, Saikia B, Islam R. Effect of dietary supplementation of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) powder on the performance of commercial broiler chicken. Int J Livestock Res. 2018;8(7):182-191.
- Hernandez F, Madrid J, Garcia V, Orengo J, Megias MD. Influence of two plant extracts on broilers' performance, digestibility, and digestive organ size. Poultry Sci. 2004;83(2):169-174.
- Hessain EG. Ph.D. Thesis. Food Industry Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University; Mansoura, Egypt: Chemical and Biological Studies on Some Hypocholesterolemic and Hypoglycemic Food. J Krishi Vigyan. 2014;8(1):51-54.
- 13. Naeemasa M, Seidavi A, Norris D, Ginindza M. Effects of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) seed powder and extract on growth, carcass character, and biochemical activities of broiler chickens. S Afr J Anim Sci. 2008;87(9):379-382.
- Rashid MM, Ahammad MU, Ali MS, Rana MS, Ali MY, Sakib N. Effect of different levels of Dhania seed (*Coriandrum sativum*) on the performance of broiler. Bangladesh J Anim Sci. 2014;43(1):38-44.
- 15. Sahoo N, Mishra S, Swain R, Acharya A, Pattnaik S, Sethy K, Sahoo L. Effect of turmeric, coriander, and ginger supplementation on immunity, antioxidant, liver enzyme activity, gut bacterial load, and histopathology of broilers. Indian J Anim Sci. 2008;9:774-779.
- Samojlik I, Lakic N, Mimica-Dukic N, Đaković-Švajcer K, Bozin B. Antioxidant and hepatoprotective potential of essential oils of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) and caraway (*Carum carvi* L.) (Apiaceae). J Agric Food Chem. 2010;58(15):8848-8853.
- 17. Singh VP, Pathak V, Verma AK, Gaurav K, Raj K. Effect of different cooking methods on quality of broiler chicken meat chat. Haryana Veterinarian. 2012;51:38-40.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. 8th edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA; c1994.