



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; SP-12(12): 2684-2688
© 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 22-10-2023
Accepted: 24-11-2023

Tsarila ZT Sangtam
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

N Savino
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Sapunii Stephen Hanah
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

VK Vidyarthi
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Mhachuvino Catherine Rutsa
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Nizamuddin
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Razouneino Zuyie
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Rameshwar
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Corresponding Author:
Tsarila ZT Sangtam
Department of Livestock
Production Management,
Nagaland University, School of
Agricultural Sciences, Medziphema,
Nagaland, India

Study of body condition score and its impact on dam body weight and calf growth rate during the transition period in Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) cows

Tsarila ZT Sangtam, N Savino, Sapunii Stephen Hanah, VK Vidyarthi, Mhachuvino Catherine Rutsa, Nizamuddin, Razouneino Zuyie and Rameshwar

Abstract

The present study aimed to assess the influence of BCS on the dam body weight and its impact on calf birth weight and growth rate. This is the first study on the impact of body condition score of Mithun which was conducted at ICAR – National Research Centre on Mithun, Nagaland, with 25 Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) cows in the advanced pregnant stage. The cows were grouped based on their BCS; Group 1: $BCS \leq 3.0$, Group 2: $BCS > 3.0$ to ≤ 4.0 , and Group 3: $BCS > 4.0$. The animals were examined to record BCS and body weight at fortnightly intervals from days 14 (Stage I) and 7 days (Stage II) prepartum, and on days 3 (Stage III), 14 (Stage IV), 28 (Stage V), 42 (Stage VI), and 56 days (Stage VII) postpartum. The birth weight of all the calves was recorded before feeding the colostrum, and their monthly body weight was recorded up to six months of age. The mean BCS at stage I (before calving) were 3.04 ± 0.05 , 3.76 ± 0.06 and 4.73 ± 0.12 for 1, 2 and 3 BCS groups showing a significant difference ($p < 0.05$). There was a general trend of decline in body weight from stage III until stage VI, followed by an increase at stage VII. Group 3 animals had significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher body weight than groups 1 and 2. The cows with high BCS at calving lost more body weight than the other two groups of cows. The mean calf birth weight at calving was 20.44 ± 1.18 , 19.64 ± 0.45 and 21.50 ± 0.84 for groups 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Group 3 had marginally higher calf birth weight than groups 1 and 2, and their growth rate was higher throughout the six months. However, there was a non-significant difference in calf growth rate among all the BCS groups from the third to the sixth month. In conclusion, cows with higher BCS at calving had a higher body weight but lost more weight than those with lower BCS. Calf birth weight was significantly influenced by the BCS of the dam at calving.

Keywords: Body condition score, transition period, body weight, calf birth weight

Introduction

The success of a dairy largely depends on the performance of fresh cows, making the transition or peripartum period a crucial aspect for their health, production, and profitability. This phase extends from the start of the dry period to peak yield in the following lactation and is characterized by negative energy balance, fat mobilization, and an increase in circulating non-esterified fatty acids and ketone bodies. Despite the peak incidence of production diseases during the 3 weeks pre-calving to 3 weeks post-calving, their effects on dairy cow health and productivity can extend throughout the following lactation (Seifi *et al.*, 2007) [32]. Indicators, which characterize dairy cows metabolic processes are body condition score (BCS) and live weight (LW). It is very important to evaluate the changes of these indicators. Body condition scoring has been widely recommended as a method of evaluating nutritional management of the dairy cows and is one such managerial tool which can be suitably utilized to overwhelm these problems and improve profitability of dairy farm (Gillund *et al.*, 2001; Manzoor *et al.*, 2017) [11, 17]. It is also an evaluation of the nutritional status of an animal. Body condition scoring allows you to coordinate feed resources required by the animal, that need supplemental feed or restrict intake in those animals that need less feed (Pfeiffer and Seefeldt, 2015) [26].

The birth weight of calves is a crucial factor in dairy cattle farming as a significant portion of the farm's food resources is devoted to rearing herd replacements and dry cows' maintenance. If the mother is underfed during the last three months of pregnancy, it may affect the young ones, leading to death in utero or reduced viability at birth (Mc Donald *et al.*, 1985) [18]. The nutrition of the dam during pregnancy also affects the young ones after birth since it may affect the milk yield. Sometimes, the death of fetuses may lead to abortion or stillbirth.

On the other hand, high levels of nutrition during pregnancy may reduce calf birth weight, and the cow's appetite after calving may be poor, resulting in poor milk yield (Russel *et al.*, 1969) [31].

The Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) is a unique ruminant, free-range bovine species primarily used as a meat animal and is the pride of North Eastern Hilly states of India (Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Mizoram and Nagaland). As mithun inhabits remote forests with undulating topography and adverse climatic conditions, it remains as one of the neglected and least studied bovines (Mondal *et al.*, 2004; Mondal *et al.*, 2006) [20, 21]. At present no studies on BCS has been completed in mithun. In this manner, information on BCS of mithun would incredibly help the mithun farmers just as the farm manager, to get ideal benefit. Therefore, the present study has been carried out to study body condition score and its effect on dam body weight, calf birth weight and calf growth rate during the transition period in Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) cows.

Materials and Methods

This current study was conducted at ICAR – National Research Centre on Mithun, Medziphema Dimapur district, of Nagaland is situated between 25.75° N latitude and 93.86° E longitude and at an altitude range of 360 m above the mean sea level (MSL). 25 Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) cows in the advanced pregnant stage. The cows were grouped based on their BCS; Group 1: BCS \leq 3.0, Group 2: BCS $>$ 3.0 to \leq 4.0, and Group 3: BCS $>$ 4.0. The animals were examined to record BCS and body weight at fortnightly intervals from days 14 (Stage I) and 7 days (Stage II) prepartum, and on days 3 (Stage III), 14 (Stage IV), 28 (Stage V), 42 (Stage VI), and 56 days (Stage VII) postpartum. The body condition score of the cattle was recorded through palpation and visual as per the body condition scoring chart formulated by Prasad (1994) [28]. The birth weight of all the calves was recorded before feeding the colostrum, and their monthly body weight was recorded up to six months of age.

Statistical analysis

To see the periodical variations of BCS during transition period, one way analysis of variance was applied as per the procedure of Snedecor and Cochran (1994) [34] followed by Duncan's multiple range test. Data were expressed as Mean \pm S.E. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 21.0 programme.

Results and Discussions

Body condition score

The fortnightly mean BCS of cows of the three groups are presented in Table 1, and statistical analysis revealed that differences in BCS at all seven stages were significant ($p < 0.05$) among the groups. The mean BCS at stage I (before calving) were 3.04 \pm 0.05, 3.76 \pm 0.06 and 4.73 \pm 0.12 for 1, 2 and 3 BCS groups respectively and saw a decline at stage III, which is after calving. There was a continuous decrease in BCS for all the groups from calving till it reached to 2.60 \pm 0.05, 3.15 \pm 0.06 and 3.99 \pm 0.09 at stage VII.

The results obtained regarding BCS in the present study are in close agreement to those reported by Singh *et al.*, (2009) [33], Patel *et al.*, (2018) [24], Godara *et al.*, (2016) [13], Manzoor *et al.*, (2017) [17], Ruegg and Milton (1995) [30], Yamazaki *et al.*, (2011) [37] and Gergovska *et al.*, (2011) [10] observed that body condition score (BCS) decreases gradually after calving until

the early lactation stage. The highest loss in BCS is observed in animals with higher BCS. The decrease in BCS is significant in all different BCS groups, and it starts from calving to early lactation. The highest BCS is observed before calving, and it begins to decline until 45-60 days after calving, where it remains constant for a certain period. The loss in BCS is common in cows of moderate BCS (3.0). The reduction in BCS is linear in the first month after parturition, reaches a lowest point at about 2-3 months, and then gradually recovers.

In the present study, the unit loss in BCS was higher in BCS Group 3 although it was significantly higher than group 1 and 2 throughout the study period. The present study found that changes in BCS pattern were consistent with previous research. Cows with a high genetic merit have a higher predisposition for mobilization of body fat reserves to cover milk production demands, resulting in a higher loss of BCS after calving. This loss in BCS is usually associated with negative energy balance, which normally occurs after parturition. The decrease in BCS during early lactation has been attributed to the fact that energy from body reserves gets mobilized to support milk production (Banuvalli *et al.*, 2014; Pryce *et al.*, 2000; Bauman and Currie, 1980; Bewley and Schutz 2008; Kim and Suh, 2003; Lacetera *et al.*, 2005 and Alapati *et al.*, 2020) [3, 29, 5, 7, 15, 16, 1].

Body weight

Mean body weight of dam across different BCS groups are presented in Table 2. The result revealed that differences in body weight at all seven stages were significant ($p < 0.05$) among the different BCS groups. BCS group 3 had a higher body weight than group 1 and 2. The mean body weight at stage 1 (before calving) were 326.67 \pm 7.97, 387.09 \pm 8.12, 444.60 \pm 25.80 kg for BCS group of 1, 2 and 3 respectively. At stage 3 (after calving) the body weight declined to 312.11 \pm 8.97, 367.82 \pm 7.58 and 425.00 \pm 23.58 kg for BCS Group 1, 2 and 3 respectively. There was a general decline in body weight till stage VI, subsequently their body weight showed increasing trend at stage VII even though there was a decrease in BCS in all the groups.

The present study's findings are in agreement with previous studies that reported higher body weight in buffaloes with a high body condition score (BCS) at calving (Delfino *et al.*, 2018) [8]. The body weight was found to be highest 15 days before calving and lowest at 45 days after calving, but it increased from 60 days after calving onwards (Godara *et al.*, 2016) [13]. The body weight was highest 60 days pre-calving and declined at calving in Irish Holstein Friesian animals. Body reserves decreased during early lactation until about 100 days in milk and were restored during mid and late lactation (Berry *et al.*, 2011 and Mishra *et al.*, 2016) [6, 19]. The BCS decreased after calving and continued up to 90th day postpartum in Murrah buffaloes. Loss of body weight occurred only during the first 3-4 weeks of lactation, and body weight increased for the remainder of lactation despite a decline in BCS (Patel *et al.*, 2018) [24]. The level of body fat at calving has a negative feedback effect on feed intake, and cows that calved in higher condition score could not increase feed intake sufficiently to meet energy requirements for milk production until they had lost a certain amount of body fat (Edmomonson *et al.*, 1989 and Pedron *et al.*, 1993) [9, 25]. The mobilization of adipose tissue is associated with decreasing body weight (BW), and cows in transition with higher BCS lose more (Barletta *et al.* 2017 and Treacher *et al.*, 1968) [4, 36].

Table 1: Mean BCS across different stages of lactation in different BCS groups

BCS Group	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV	Stage V	Stage VI	Stage VII
1 (11)	3.04±0.05 ^c	3.00±0.05 ^c	2.89±0.05 ^c	2.82±0.05 ^c	2.76±0.05 ^c	2.68±0.05 ^c	2.60±0.05 ^c
2 (10)	3.76±0.06 ^b	3.72±0.06 ^b	3.65±0.07 ^b	3.53±0.07 ^b	3.41±0.06 ^b	3.30±0.07 ^b	3.15±0.06 ^b
3 (4)	4.73±0.12 ^a	4.70±0.12 ^a	4.63±0.13 ^a	4.51±0.14 ^a	4.42±0.14 ^a	4.24±0.12 ^a	3.99±0.09 ^a
Total (25)	3.69±0.12	3.70±0.12	3.64±0.12	3.52±0.12	3.42±0.12	3.30±0.12	3.17±0.11

^{abc} Mean showing different superscripts in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)

Figure in parentheses indicate number of experimental animals.

Table 2: Mean Body Weight (Kg) of dam across different stages of lactation in different BCS groups

BCS Group	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Stage IV	Stage V	Stage VI	Stage VII
1 (11)	326.67±7.97 ^c	329.00±7.91 ^c	312.11±8.97 ^c	302.00±9.47 ^c	295.56±10.00 ^c	291.89±9.85 ^c	293.11±9.37 ^c
2 (10)	387.09±8.12 ^b	390.09±8.16 ^b	367.82±7.58 ^b	360.27±7.62 ^b	349.55±7.37 ^b	339.18±7.18 ^b	341.64±7.24 ^b
3 (4)	444.60±25.80 ^a	448.40±25.94 ^a	425.00±23.58 ^a	405.60±19.23 ^a	397.60±18.06 ^a	391.20±18.30 ^a	393.20±18.54 ^a
Total (25)	376.84±10.95	379.76±11.05	359.20±10.46	348.36±9.79	339.72±9.58	332.56±9.30	334.48±9.32

^{abc} Mean showing different superscripts in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)

Figure in parentheses show sample number

Calf birth weight and subsequent growth rate

The mean calf birth weight and subsequent growth rate in different BCS groups are presented in Table 3. The mean calf birth weight at calving was 20.44±1.18, 19.64±0.45 and 21.50±0.84 for groups 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The result of the present study revealed that the calf birth weight in BCS Group 3 was significantly ($p < 0.05$) different from group 1 & 2 till the 2nd month of growth rate.

The findings of Spitzer *et al.*, (1995) [35] and Godara *et al.*, (2017) [12] both observed a significant difference in calf birth weight in different body condition score (BCS) groups of cows with BCS of 3.5 to 4.0 seems to be better for fetal growth. Mukasa-Mugerwa *et al.*, (1994) [23] found that calf birth weight and subsequent weight gain were better for cows calving in better condition, with calf birth weight increasing with dam body condition and body weight at calving. Poczynek *et al.*, (2023) [27], observed that BCS class had an impact ($p < 0.01$) on calf birth weights where cows with BCS < 3.0 gave birth to lighter calves than the calves from cows with

BCS > 4.25. Meanwhile contrary to the present findings, Alharthi *et al.*, (2021) [2], found that a high maternal BCS (≥ 3.75) during late-gestation gave birth to calves with lower weights, which could be due to lower maternal intakes and a state of inflammation and metabolic stress. In the present study, the mean calf weight at 6th month were 79.56±6.37, 77.00±8.02 and 93.60±11.62 for Group 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Group 3 had a marginally higher calf birth weight than group 1 and 2, however, the statistical analysis revealed that the growth rate from 3 to 6 month was non-significant among all the groups. Khan *et al.* 2002 [14] observed a dietary energy effect on calf birth weight. The findings revealed that cows given low energy diet during pre-calving period produced lighter calves at birth. However, there was no significant effect of pre-calving energy on growth rate of calves. Calf growth rate calculated from birth to one month of age showed that growth rate was little higher in high-energy group than that of the calves of low energy group.

Table 3: Mean calf birth weight and subsequent growth up-to 6 months in different BCS groups

BCS Group	Birth Weight	1 Month	2 Month	3 Month	4 Month	5 Month	6 Month
1 (11)	20.44±1.18 ^b	30.00±1.44 ^b	39.89±2.47 ^b	48.83±3.09 ^{NS}	58.67±3.51 ^{NS}	67.33±4.97 ^{NS}	79.56±6.37 ^{NS}
2 (10)	19.64±0.45 ^b	29.68±1.72 ^b	38.64±2.86 ^{ab}	47.14±4.35 ^{NS}	56.50±5.58 ^{NS}	65.86±7.12 ^{NS}	77.00±8.02 ^{NS}
3 (4)	21.50±0.84 ^a	37.00±3.30 ^a	51.10±6.08 ^a	62.90±7.54 ^{NS}	74.90±9.51 ^{NS}	83.70±10.78 ^{NS}	93.60±11.62 ^{NS}
Total (25)	20.30±0.50	31.26±1.22	41.58±2.10	50.90±2.83	60.96±3.50	69.96±4.26	81.24±4.78

^{abc} Mean showing different superscripts in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)

Figure in parentheses show sample number

Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study found that in Mithun cows body condition score (BCS) decreases gradually after calving until the early lactation stage in cows of all BCS groups. The highest loss in BCS was observed in animals with higher BCS, and the decrease in BCS was significant in all different BCS groups. The study also revealed that cows with a high genetic merit have a higher predisposition for mobilization of body fat reserves to cover milk production demands, resulting in a higher loss of BCS after calving. Additionally, the study found that calf birth weight and subsequent growth rate were better for cows calving in better condition, with calf birth weight increasing with dam body condition and body weight at calving. Understanding the relationship between BCS, body weight, and calf growth can help farmers in making informed management decisions to enhance the productivity and health of their Mithun herd.

Conflict of interest statement

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest involved in the present work.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Director, ICAR-National Research Centre on Mithun for providing research animals and farm staff for assisting during the experiments.

References

- Alapati A, Kapa SR, Jeepalyam S. Influence of Body Condition Score on Milk Production Parameters of Murrah Buffaloes. *Journal of Applied Life Sciences International*. 2020;23(12):12-17.
- Alharthi AS, Coleman DN, Alhidary IA, Abdelrahman MM, Trevisi E, Loor JJ, *et al.* Maternal body condition during latepregnancy is associated with in utero

- development and neonatal growth of Holstein calves. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*. 2021;12(44):1-11.
3. Banuvalli N, Bhaskaran R, Krishnamurthy U, Gurraj PM, Kumar H, Ramesh HS, *et al.* Effect of Body Condition Score at parturition on post-partum productive and reproductive performance in cross breed dairy Cows. *International Journal of Livestock Research*. 2014;4(8):5-11.
 4. Barletta RV, Filho MM, Carvalho PD, Valle DTA, Netto AS, Rennó FP, *et al.* Association of changes among body condition score during the transition period with NEFA and BHBA concentrations, milk production, fertility, and health of Holstein cows. *Theriogenology*. 2017;104:30-36.
 5. Bauman DE, Currie WB. Partitioning of nutrients during pregnancy and lactation: A review of mechanisms involving homeostasis and homeorhesis. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 1980;63:1514-1529.
 6. Berry DP, Buckley F, Dillon P. Relationship between live weight and body condition score in Irish Holstein-Friesian dairy cows. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*. 2011;50:141-147
 7. Bewley JM, Schutz MM. Review: An interdisciplinary review of body condition scoring for dairy cattle. *The Professional Animal Scientist*. 2008;24:507-529.
 8. Delfino NC, Bulcao LFA, Alba HDR, Oliveria MXS, Queiroz FPS, Carvalho GGP, *et al.* Influence of body condition at calving on the metabolic status and production performance of Murrah buffaloes during the transition period. *Asian- Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 2018;31:1756-1765.
 9. Edmonson AJ, Lean IJ, Weaver LD, Fonver T, Webster G. A body condition scoring chart for HOLstein dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 1989;72:68-78.
 10. Gergovska Z, Mitev Y, Angelova T, Yordanova D. Effect of changes in body condition score on the milk yield of Holstein-Friesian and Brown Swiss cows. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2011;17(6):837-848.
 11. Gillund P, Reksen O, Grohn YT, Karlberg K. Body condition related to ketosis and reproductive performance in norwegian dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2001;84(6):1390-1396.
 12. Godara AS, Tomar AKS, Dutt T, Patel BHM, Kumari A, Singh M, *et al.* Effect of Post-Partum Body Condition Score on Productive, Reproductive and Calf Birth Weight Performance in Tharparkar Cattle. *International Journal of Livestock Research*. 2017;7(4):166-171.
 13. Godara AS, Tomar AKS, Bhat SA, Patel BHM, Godara RS. Effect of pre partum body condition score on productive, reproductive and calf birth weight performance in Tharparkar cattle. *International Journal of Science and Nature*. 2016;7(1):177-180.
 14. Khan MAA, Islam MN, Khan MAS, Akbar MA. Effect of restricted and ad libitum feeding during late pregnancy on the performance of crossbred cows and their calves. *Asian Aust. Journal of Animal Science*. 2002;15(9):1267-72.
 15. Kim IH, Suh GH. Effect of the amount of body condition loss from the dry to near calving periods on the subsequent body condition change, occurrence of postpartum diseases, metabolic parameters and reproductive performance in Holstein dairy cows. *Theriogenology*. 2003;60:1445-1456.
 16. Lacetera N, Scalia D, Bernabucci U, Ronchi B, Pirazzi D, Nardone A, *et al.* Lymphocyte functions in overconditioned cows around parturition. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2005;88:2010.
 17. Manzoor A, Pato RA, Khan HM, Nazir T, Khursheed A, Najar M, *et al.* Dynamics of body condition score and its effect on performance traits of crossbred cattle. *Indian Journal of Dairy Science*. 2017;70(4):439-442.
 18. McDonald P, Edwards RA, Greenhalgh JFD. *Animal nutrition*. Third edn. Longman Group Limited. Longman House. Burnt Mill. Harlow Essex, CM20 2 JE, England; c1985.
 19. Mishra S, Kumari K, Dubey A. Body condition scoring of dairy cattle: A review. *Research and reviews. Journal of Veterinary Science*. 2016;2:1.
 20. Mondal M, Dhali A, Rajkhowa C, Prakash BS. Secretion patterns of growth hormone in growing captive mithuns (*Bos frontalis*). *Zoological Science*. 2004;21(11):1125-1129.
 21. Mondal M, Rajkhowa C, Prakash BS. Relationship of plasma estradiol-17 β , total estrogen, and progesterone to estrus behavior in Mithun (*Bos frontalis*) cows. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2006;49(5):626-633.
 22. Mukasa-Mugerwa E, Anindo D, Lahlou-Kassi A, Umunna NN, Tegegne A. Effect of body condition and energy utilization on the length of post-partum anoestrus in PRID-treated and untreated postpartum *Bos indicus* (Zebu) cattle. *Animal Science*. 1997;65:17-24.
 23. Mukasa-Mugerwa E, Said AN, Lahlou-Kassi A, Sherington J, Mutiga ER. Birth weight as a risk factor for perinatal lamb mortality and the effects of stage of pregnant ewe supplementation and gestation weight gain in Ethiopian Menz sheep. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*. 1994;19(1):45-56.
 24. Patel M, Lakhani GP, Ghosh S, Nayak S, Roy B, Baghel RPS, *et al.* Effect of Body Condition Score on Milk Production, Milk Composition and Reproductive Performance of Lactating Murrah Buffaloes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7(11):1204-1212.
 25. Pedron O, Cheli F, Senatore E, Barroli D, Rizzi R. Effects of body condition score at calving on performance, some blood parameters and milk fatty acid composition in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 1993;76:2528-2535.
 26. Pfeiffer K, Seefeldt L. *Body condition scoring of beef cattle*. University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin; c2015.
 27. Poczynek M, Nogueira LDS, Carrari IF, Carneiro JH, Almeida RD. Associations of Body Condition Score at Calving, Parity, and Calving Season on the Performance of Dairy Cows and Their Offspring. *Animals*. 2023;13:596.
 28. Prasad S. *Studies on body condition scoring and feeding management in relation to production performance of crossbred dairy cattle*. Ph.D. Thesis, National Dairy Research Institute (Deemed University), Karnal, India; c1994.
 29. Pryce JE, Coffey MP, Brotherstone S. The genetic relationship between calving interval, body condition score and linear type and management traits in registered Holsteins. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2000;83(11):2664-2671.
 30. Reugg PL, Milton RL. *Body condition scores of Holstein*

- cows on prince Edward Island, Canada, Relationship with yield, reproductive performance and disease. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 1995;78:552-564.
31. Russel AJF, Doney JM, Gun RG. Subjective assessment of body fat in live sheep. *Journal of Agriculture Science*. 1969;72:451-454.
 32. Seifi HA, Gorji-Dooz M, Mohri M, Dalir-Naghadeh, Farzaneh N. Variations of energy related biochemical metabolites during transition period in dairy cows. *Comp. Clinical Pathology*. 2007;16:253-258.
 33. Singh RR, Dutt T, Mandal AB, Joshi HC, Pandey HN, Singh M, *et al.* Effect of body condition score on blood metabolite and production performance in crossbred dairy cattle. *Indian Journal of Animal Science*. 2009;79:629-635.
 34. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. *Statistical methods*, 8th Edition, Iowa State University Press, Ames; c1994.
 35. Spitzer JC, Morrison DG, Wettemann RP, Faulkner LC. Reproductive responses and calf birth and weaning weight as affected by body condition at parturition and post-partum weight gain in primiparous beef cows. *Journal of Animal Science*. 1995;73:1251-1257.
 36. Treacher RJ, Reid IM, Roberts CJ. Effect of body condition at calving on the health and performance of dairy cows. *Animal Production*. 1986;43:1.
 37. Yamazaki T, Takeda H, Nishiura A, Sasai Y, Sugawara Y, Togashi K, *et al.* Phenotypic Relationship between Lactation Persistency and Change in Body Condition Score in First-lactation Holstein Cows. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science*. 2011;24(5):610-615.