



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; SP-12(12): 908-911  
© 2023 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 24-09-2023  
Accepted: 27-10-2023

**K Deepika**  
Department of Humanities and  
Sciences, Malla Reddy  
Engineering College,  
Maisammaguda, Hyderabad,  
Telangana, India

**CH Shanmukhi**  
Department of Horticulture,  
School of Agricultural Sciences,  
Malla Reddy University,  
Maisammaguda, Hyderabad,  
Telangana, India.

**Shivaleela**  
Department of Horticulture,  
College of Agriculture, Vasantrao  
Naik Marathwada Krishi  
Vidyapeeth, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**Pawar AA**  
Department of Agricultural  
Entomology, College of  
Agriculture, VNMKV, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**K Deepika**  
Department of Humanities and  
Sciences, Malla Reddy  
Engineering College,  
Maisammaguda, Hyderabad,  
Telangana, India

## Evaluation of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids for yield and yield attributing characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

**K Deepika, CH Shanmukhi, Shivaleela and Pawar AA**

### Abstract

The present study entitled “Evaluation of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)” was carried out to study the growth, yield and yield attributing characters in chilli. The field trial comprise thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, 13 parents and 3 checks sown in Randomized Block Design with two replications, during *kharif* 2020-2021.

Among the thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, the maximum yield per hectare was observed in P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (608.64 qt) compared to parents and checks. Taking growth, yield and yield attributing characters along with incidence of pest and diseases into consideration, the hybrids P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub> were found superior to parents and checks. These hybrids can be used for further improvement in chilli.

**Keywords:** Chilli, hybrids, yield, harvest, weight

### 1. Introduction

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is one of the most important vegetable cum spice crops belonging to the family Solanaceae with chromosome number 2n=24. Chilli originated from Tropical America, where it was domesticated around 5000 BC. Chilli has attained a status of high value crop in India and occupies a unique place among vegetables in Indian cuisine because of its delicate taste and pleasant flavor coupled with rich content of ascorbic acid and other vitamins and minerals. There are more than 400 different varieties of chillies found all over the world. The genus *Capsicum* has five domesticated species namely *C. annuum*, *C. frutescens*, *C. chinense*, *C. pubescens*, *C. baccatum*, of which *C. annuum* is the most widely cultivated species worldwide (Andrews, 1984). Chilli has a very beneficial effect on the circulatory system. It also lowers high blood pressure and increase peripheral circulation. Hence chilli has diverse uses as spice, condiment, culinary supplement, medicines, vegetable and ornamental plant. Chillies are used in both green and dry form in all culinary preparation.

### 2. Material and Methods

The experimental material for the study comprise thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, three standard checks and thirteen parents which are collected from Horticulture Research Scheme, (Vegetable), Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with 30 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids, 13 parents and 3 standard checks with two Replications. The individual F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were treated as treatment and replicated randomly. The treatments consisted of T<sub>1</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>- P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>11</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>15</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>16</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>17</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>18</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>19</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>20</sub>- P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>21</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>22</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>23</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>24</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>25</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>26</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>27</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>28</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>29</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>30</sub>- P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>31</sub>- PBNC-6, T<sub>32</sub>- PBNC-26, T<sub>33</sub>- PBNC-17, T<sub>34</sub>- Parbhani Tejas, T<sub>35</sub>- PBNC-1, T<sub>36</sub>- Kokan Kirti, T<sub>37</sub>- Phule Jyoti, T<sub>38</sub>- G-4, T<sub>39</sub>- Byadgi, T<sub>40</sub>- Byadgi-341, T<sub>41</sub>- Teja, T<sub>42</sub>- Pusa Jawala, T<sub>43</sub>- Pusa Sadabahar, T<sub>44</sub>- BSS 378 (C1), T<sub>45</sub>- BSS 273 (C2) and T<sub>46</sub>- BSS 273 (C3). Each treatment was planted at a plant spacing of 60 cm x 45 cm. The recommended cultural practices, raising of seedling, seed treatment, transplanting, weeding, fertilizer, irrigation and plant protection were done. The observations on vegetative growth and yield attributes were recorded from randomized selected five plants in each treatment. The results obtained along with relevant discussion are presented.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Flowering attributes

##### 3.1.1 Days to 50 percent flowering

The hybrid P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>6</sub> (38.92 days) took minimum days to produce 50 percent flowering which was on par with P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>11</sub>

(39.33 days) compared to parents and checks while, the maximum days were taken by the parent P<sub>13</sub> (74.82 days) followed by P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> (64.19 days). The variation in days to 50 percent flowering is mainly attributed to the genetic factor of the genotypes and also due to environmental factors.

**Table 1:** Performance of chilli parental genotypes and hybrids for flowering attributes

Treatment	Name of the treatment	Days to 50% flowering
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	49.22
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	51.70
T <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	38.92
T <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	45.87
T <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	48.03
T <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	45.42
T <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	40.66
T <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	39.33
T <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	47.30
T <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	51.61
T <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	40.47
T <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	52.91
T <sub>13</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	56.79
T <sub>14</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	49.67
T <sub>15</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	60.06
T <sub>16</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	46.99
T <sub>17</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	53.03
T <sub>18</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	55.24
T <sub>19</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	52.50
T <sub>20</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	64.19
T <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	51.30
T <sub>22</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	53.06
T <sub>23</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	52.28
T <sub>24</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	47.71
T <sub>25</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	51.91
T <sub>26</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	54.40
T <sub>27</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	53.29
T <sub>28</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	59.30
T <sub>29</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	48.06
T <sub>30</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	57.07
T <sub>31</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	40.26
T <sub>32</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	49.23
T <sub>33</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	47.97
T <sub>34</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	47.96
T <sub>35</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	54.40
T <sub>36</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	53.67
T <sub>37</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	54.63
T <sub>38</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	52.77
T <sub>39</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	47.12
T <sub>40</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	60.36
T <sub>41</sub>	P <sub>11</sub>	59.08
T <sub>42</sub>	P <sub>12</sub>	58.19
T <sub>43</sub>	P <sub>13</sub>	74.82
T <sub>44</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	43.19
T <sub>45</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	54.84
T <sub>46</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	45.37
	Grand Mean	51.35
	SE (m)	1.49
	CD @ 5%	4.26
	C.V.	4.1

#### 3.2 Yield parameters

##### 3.2.1 Days to first harvest

The number of days taken to start first harvesting were largest for the parent P<sub>13</sub> (87.36 days) which was at par with the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> (77.72 days) whereas, the lowest number of days were taken by the hybrid P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>10</sub> (58.53 days) which was at par with P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>6</sub> (59.56 days). The variation among genotypes is due to the genetic makeup of genotypes and

effect of hormones and environment to a little extent.

##### 3.2.2 Days to last harvest

The harvesting duration was maximum in the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> (77.19 days) which was on par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (73.82 days) compared to parents and checks while, minimum harvesting duration was observed in P<sub>3</sub> X P<sub>6</sub> (66.37 days) which was on par with P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub> (66.39 days). The harvesting duration is

influenced by the days to initiation of flowering and 50 percent flowering. The genotypes with maximum harvesting duration are desirable as they give prolonged yields.

**Table 2:** Performance of chilli parental genotypes and hybrids for yield parameters

Treatment	Name of the treatment	Days to first harvest	Days to last harvest
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	64.59	134.19
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	68.41	137.25
T <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	59.56	129.02
T <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	63.64	131.85
T <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	63.46	133.80
T <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	65.49	137.18
T <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	58.53	128.61
T <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	59.68	130.09
T <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	60.03	130.08
T <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	68.69	139.55
T <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	60.75	130.41
T <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	70.58	144.40
T <sub>13</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	71.46	143.14
T <sub>14</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	65.55	138.51
T <sub>15</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	74.54	146.51
T <sub>16</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	64.69	131.07
T <sub>17</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	70.70	142.10
T <sub>18</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	71.06	143.18
T <sub>19</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	72.13	142.26
T <sub>20</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	77.72	154.91
T <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	68.46	135.84
T <sub>22</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	68.83	135.91
T <sub>23</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	68.19	134.56
T <sub>24</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	66.42	136.51
T <sub>25</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	68.86	136.99
T <sub>26</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	70.49	142.04
T <sub>27</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	74.31	145.51
T <sub>28</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	75.96	145.94
T <sub>29</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	69.17	140.58
T <sub>30</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	70.73	140.54
T <sub>31</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	60.51	130.65
T <sub>32</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	69.11	139.96
T <sub>33</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	66.55	136.50
T <sub>34</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	65.04	135.53
T <sub>35</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	70.54	142.23
T <sub>36</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	72.53	142.51
T <sub>37</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	74.33	144.94
T <sub>38</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	72.95	144.12
T <sub>39</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	66.70	134.16
T <sub>40</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	74.89	143.66
T <sub>41</sub>	P <sub>11</sub>	76.80	144.64
T <sub>42</sub>	P <sub>12</sub>	74.65	142.56
T <sub>43</sub>	P <sub>13</sub>	87.36	154.20
T <sub>44</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	65.74	134.05
T <sub>45</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	68.19	137.73
T <sub>46</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	63.72	133.66
	Grand Mean	68.74	138.77
	SE (m)	1.78	2.22
	CD @ 5%	5.09	6.35
	C.V.	3.66	2.26

### 3.2.3 Fruit yield per hectare (qt)

The fruit yield per hectare was maximum for the hybrid P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub> (608.64 qt) which was on par with P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub> (605.31 qt) compared with parents and checks, whereas yield per hectare was minimum for the parent P<sub>6</sub> (160.68 qt) which was at par with the hybrid P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>4</sub> (209.38 qt). High yield per hectare is desirable at farmer's end. Fruit yield per hectare is highly

influenced by number of fruits per plant, fruit length and fruit weight.

**Table 3:** Performance of chilli parental genotypes and hybrids for yield parameters

Treatment	Name of the treatment	Fruit yield per hectare (qt)
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	209.38
T <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	447.72
T <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	518.33
T <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	268.40
T <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	605.31
T <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	532.35
T <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	290.25
T <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	530.37
T <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	528.89
T <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>1</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	575.25
T <sub>11</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	287.54
T <sub>12</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	608.64
T <sub>13</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	319.39
T <sub>14</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	456.48
T <sub>15</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	522.35
T <sub>16</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	558.21
T <sub>17</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	286.67
T <sub>18</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	329.63
T <sub>19</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	367.97
T <sub>20</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	560.25
T <sub>21</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>4</sub>	343.27
T <sub>22</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>5</sub>	377.17
T <sub>23</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>6</sub>	383.03
T <sub>24</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>7</sub>	428.58
T <sub>25</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>8</sub>	434.70
T <sub>26</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>9</sub>	364.45
T <sub>27</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>10</sub>	441.48
T <sub>28</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>11</sub>	346.42
T <sub>29</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>12</sub>	357.10
T <sub>30</sub>	P <sub>3</sub> X P <sub>13</sub>	344.51
T <sub>31</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	379.32
T <sub>32</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	540.06
T <sub>33</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	347.90
T <sub>34</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	416.48
T <sub>35</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	538.52
T <sub>36</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	160.68
T <sub>37</sub>	P <sub>7</sub>	286.42
T <sub>38</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	327.90
T <sub>39</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	320.74
T <sub>40</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	245.80
T <sub>41</sub>	P <sub>11</sub>	222.35
T <sub>42</sub>	P <sub>12</sub>	346.23
T <sub>43</sub>	P <sub>13</sub>	259.94
T <sub>44</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	533.15
T <sub>45</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	408.71
T <sub>46</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	575.00
	Grand Mean	402.90
	SE (m)	37.37
	CD @ 5%	106.81
	C.V.	13.12

## 4. Conclusion

Observations were recorded on quantitative characters viz., plant height, days to 50 percent flowering, days to first harvesting, days to last harvesting and fruit yield/ha. Among the thirty F<sub>1</sub> hybrids evaluated in comparison to parents and checks, the hybrids P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>8</sub>, P<sub>1</sub> X P<sub>13</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>13</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> X P<sub>9</sub> showed significantly higher yield and lower pest and disease attack.

## 5. References

1. Amit K, Ahad I, Kumar V, Thakur S. Genetic variability and correlation studies for growth and yield characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J Spices Aromat Crops. 2014;23(2):170-177.
2. Chattopadhyay A, Sharangi AB, Dai N, Dutta S. Diversity of genetic resources and genetic association analyses of green and dry chillies of Eastern India. Chilean J Agric Res. 2011;71(3):124-132.
3. Dhaliwal MS, Garg N, Jindal SK, Cheema DS. Growth and yield of elite genotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in diverse agroclimatic zones of Punjab. J Spices Aromat Crops. 2015;24(2):83-91.
4. Karak PK, Pariari A, Karak C. Varietal evaluation of chilli in the saline belt of West Bengal. J Crop Weed. 2015;11:86-89.
5. Phulari SS. Mean performance of major morphological characters in varieties of (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and (*Capsicum frutescens* L.). Indian Streams Res J. 2012;2(6):2230-7850.
6. Pramila, Singh DK, Jain SK. Evaluation of exotic and indigenous genotypes of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under foothills of Himalayas during the summer season. Pantnagar J Res. 2009;7(1):51-59.
7. Saravaiya SN, Koladiya PB, Patel HB, Patel DA, Parmar VL, Patel JB. Evaluation of different genotypes of chilli through IET under South Gujarat conditions. Asian J Hort. 2011;6(1):71-73.
8. Sharma VK, Chandel C, Parkash C, Kumar C, Meena D. Effect of planting time and variety on growth and yield characters in sweet pepper. J Hill Agric. 2015;6(1):35-39.
9. Shiva KN, Zachariah TJ, Leela NK, Mathew PA. Performance of paprika and paprika alike chillies (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under warm humid tropics. J Spices Aromat Crops. 2013;22(2):222-227.
10. Sreelathakumary I, Rajamony L. Variability, heritability, and genetic advance in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J Trop Agric. 2004;42(1-2):35-37.
11. Ukkund KM, Madalageri MB, Patil MP, Ravindra M, Kotikal YK. Variability studies in green Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Karnataka J Agric Sci. 2007;20(1):102-104.
12. Vijaya HM, Gowda APM, Nehru SD, Jyothi K. Performance of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes for growth and yield parameters in Eastern dry zone of Karnataka. J Spices Aromat Crops. 2014;23(2):250-253.
13. Yatagiri N, Sanap PB, Telugu RK. Growth, flowering behavior and physical fruit parameters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes in Coastal Maharashtra. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(7):2230-2237.