



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(3): 2613-2616
© 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 09-12-2022

Accepted: 19-01-2023

Suraj Choudhary

Research Scholar,
Department of Agriculture
Economics and Management,
Maharana Pratap University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Hari Singh

Associate Professor,
Department of Agriculture
Economics and Management,
Maharana Pratap University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Latika Sharma

Associate Professor,
Department of Agriculture
Economics and Management,
Maharana Pratap University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

GL Meena

Associate Professor,
Department of Agriculture
Economics and Management,
Maharana Pratap University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Pramendra

Scientist, Central Arid Zone
Research Institute, Jodhpur,
Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author:

Suraj Choudhary

Research Scholar,
Department of Agriculture
Economics and Management,
Maharana Pratap University of
Agriculture & Technology,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

Economic analysis of milk production of buffalo and cow in southern Rajasthan

Suraj Choudhary, Hari Singh, Latika Sharma, GL Meena and Pramendra

Abstract

The present study was conducted in Rajasthan during the year 2018-19. An attempt has been made in this investigation to work out the cost and returns from milk production across different milch species of animal viz., local cow, crossbred cow and buffalo. The study covered 160 dairy households from Bhilwara and Chittorgarh districts. The results of the study revealed that the small herd size category (standard animal units) contributes 66.25 per cent of total households followed by medium (20.63 per cent) and large (13.12 per cent) categories in the study area. The overall cost of milk production was found lowest for crossbred (₹ 21.66/lit.), followed by buffalo (₹ 27.80/lit.) and local cow (₹ 30.99/lit.). Feed cost was higher for buffalo (55.29%) followed by local cow (54.59%) and crossbred cow (52.01%). However, the expense was higher in case of crossbred cow (24.37%) followed by local cow (24.26%) and buffalo (23.82%) and decreased with the increase in size of herd. The overall of cost of milk production was lowest for crossbred cow (21.66/lit.), followed by indigenous cow (30.99/lit.) and buffalo (27.80/lit.).

Keywords: Milk, cost, production, livestock

Introduction

Livestock farming in Rajasthan state is closely interwoven with agriculture and plays an important role in determining the rural economy by providing gainful employment to small and marginal farmers, agriculture laborers, farm women and other deprived groups. Rajasthan is the second largest milk producing state (with share of 12.61 per cent to total milk production of India) in the country where per capita per day availability of milk was 785 grams (NDDDB, 2016-2017). Rajasthan is the only state in India where the local breeds of animal are abundantly available. The buffaloes and cows are the primary sources of milk. Few outstanding research work on the economics of milk production has been conducted earlier by the different researchers such as Bairwa (2004) ^[1], Singh (2005) ^[15], Meena *et al.* (2010) ^[8], Chand and Sirohi (2012) ^[3] in Rajasthan while Singh *et al.* (1994) ^[10, 12] and Shiyani and Singh (1995) ^[5, 11], Kalra *et al.* (1995) ^[5], Singh and Agrawal (2007) ^[14], Bardhan and Sharma (2012) ^[2], Sunil *et al.* (2016) ^[18] and Chand *et al.* (2017) ^[4] studied economics of milk production at different part of the country. But economics of milk production differs from region to region and district to district, animal to animal and year to year. Production cost, at given level of prices, plays an important role in portraying economic viability of a dairy enterprise. It is a critical economic indicator for milk producers, consumers and policy makers in order to provide an effective linkage between the milk producers and consumers for fixing the price of milk rationally. Generally, a milk producer can increase his daily income in two ways either by increasing the milk production or by reducing cost of milk production. Cost of milk production often becomes a policy issue, when milk producers complain that the price of milk they are getting does not the cover cost of milk production. In view of the overwhelming importance of the milk production in devising the rural economy of Rajasthan, the present investigation was carried out and an attempt has been made to work out the cost and returns from milk production.

Materials and Methods

The study pertains to the state of Rajasthan. The Bhilwara and Chittorgarh districts were selected purposively from Rajasthan on the basis of highest milk production in southern Rajasthan. From each selected district, four tehsils were selected randomly. From each tehsil, one village was selected randomly.

Thus, Aashind, Jahajpur, Bijoliya and Mandal tehsils from Bhilwara district and Gangrar, Rashmi, Kapasan, Chittorgarh tehsils from Chittorgarh district were selected randomly. The village Govindpura, Bheempura, Ummedpura and Mandal from Bhilwara district and Kharkhanda, Rood, Kashmir and Singhpur from Chittorgarh district were selected randomly. Total four tehsils and four villages of two selected districts were taken in sample. The final sampling unit was dairy household. From each selected village, 20 dairy households having at least one lactating animal were selected randomly. Thus, a total of 160 dairy households were randomly selected for the present study. The study was based on primary data which were collected with the help of well-structured pre-tested schedule by personal interview method. This study was conducted during the year 2018-19. Certain expenses were incurred by the farmers for the entire herd on the farm. Fixed assets like cattle shed; other fixed equipments and miscellaneous items are jointly used for animals of all age groups of either sex. Hence, the total expenses of a household on the joint cost items; depreciation and interest on fixed assets (other than value of milch animal that is animal specific), human labour, miscellaneous cost were apportioned on the basis of standard animal units (SAUs) as suggested. The depreciation on milch local cows, crossbred cows and buffaloes were calculated by straight line method and rates of depreciation were considered as 12, 8 and 10 per cent, respectively, assuming a productive life of 8 years for local cows, 12 years for crossbred cows and 10 years for buffaloes. The depreciation for other fixed assets was taken based on the appropriate assumptions regarding their useful economic life. The overall maintenance cost of milk production is an aggregate of expenditure incurred on the fixed and variable items. Net cost was obtained by subtracting the imputed value of dung from the gross cost. The net cost of maintenance per milch animal per day was divided by the respective average milk yield per milch animal per day to arrive at per litre cost of milk production. Various cost concepts and income measures were employed given as under. Returns from milk production: The gross returns considered to take into account two items *i.e.* milk and dung. The sale of calves and/or adult animals was not taking into account in calculation of return. The following cost concepts and income measures were computed.

Cost A = Expenditure on feeds and fodders (+) Veterinary expenditure (+) Expenses on hired human labour (+) Miscellaneous expenditure (+) Depreciation on fixed assets
 Cost B = Cost A (+) Interest on fixed capital
 Cost C = Cost B (+) Imputed value of family labour
 Gross Income = (Quantity of milk * Prevailing price of milk + Quantity of dung * Price of dung)
 Farm business income = Gross Income - Cost A
 Family labour income = Gross Income - Cost B
 Net income = Gross Income - Cost C

Results and Discussion

The herd strength and the number of milch animals in the household directly affect the economy of the milk producers. Different breeds, species and types of animals were maintained in various households. There was no draught animal due to the adoption of farm mechanization. It is clear that milk producer households were having more buffalo as compared to crossbred cow and local cow in livestock resource.

The ultimate objective of any dairy development programme is to attain increased income level of the milk producers through higher average milk yield of milch animals. It is evident from the table that the average milk yield per day per animal was highest for crossbred cows (7.19 litres) followed by buffaloes (5.44 litres) and local cows (4.06 litres). The state average milk yield was 7.78 litres for crossbred cows, 4.75 litres for buffaloes and 3.44 for local cows (Government of Rajasthan, Directorate of Animal Husbandry, Jaipur). The productivity of buffaloes and local cows in study area was higher as compared to state average milk yield, while it was lower in case of crossbred cows.

Table 1: Average cost of milk production for districts. (₹ /Lit.)

Category/ Season	Overall
Local Cow	
Small	32.09
Medium	30.60
Large	30.30
Overall	30.99
Crossbreed Cow	
Small	22.16
Medium	21.45
Large	21.37
Overall	21.66
Buffalo	
Small	29.02
Medium	27.64
Large	26.74
Overall	27.80

Table 2: Average net maintenance cost for milch animals across herd size categories (₹/ milch animal/day)

S. No.	Components/ Categories	Local Cow	Cross Breed	Buffalo
		Overall	Overall	Overall
1	Total fixed cost	25.58 (18.01)	34.70 (19.89)	30.68 (17.43)
I	Deprecation on fixed assets	9.78 (6.89)	12.81 (7.34)	10.95 (6.22)
II	Interest on fixed assets	15.79 (11.12)	21.89 (12.55)	19.74 (11.21)
2	Total variable cost	116.46 (81.99)	139.73 (80.11)	145.34 (82.57)
I	Feed cost	77.53 (54.59)	90.72 (52.01)	97.68 (55.49)
II	Labour cost* (Family labour)	34.46 (24.26)	42.51 (24.37)	41.92 (23.82)
III	Vet. & Misc. Exp	4.47 (3.14)	6.50 (3.72)	5.73 (3.26)
3	Gross cost (1+2)	142.03 (100)	174.43 (100)	176.02 (100)
4	Imputed value of dung	16.13	18.75	24.94
5	Net cost (3-4)	125.90	155.68	151.09
6	Average milk yield (lit)	4.06	7.19	5.44
7	Net cost of milk production/lit (5/6)	30.99	21.66	27.80

In order to understand milk production from its economic perspective, it is essential to study the costs, be it implicit or explicit that goes into its production. The analysis of cost of milk production across the milch species forms an important aspect in bovine husbandry. The comparative analysis of overall average daily maintenance cost for milch animals is presented in Table 2. A perusal of the data revealed that the

overall average per day net maintenance cost per milch animal was found to be ₹ 151.09 for buffalo, ₹ 155.68 for crossbred cow and ₹ 125.90 for local cow. The results of study revealed that net maintenance cost was higher in crossbred cows followed by buffaloes and local cows. These results are in line with the findings observed by Sirohi *et al.* (2007) [16], Lal and Chandel, (2016) [6], Sonawane (2016) [17], Sunil *et al.* (2016) [18], Chand *et al.* (2017) [4] and Meena *et al.* (2019) [9] while Bairwa (2004) [1] found higher maintenance cost in buffaloes followed by crossbred cows and local cows. The component wise analysis of maintenance cost indicated that fixed and variable costs accounted for 17.43 and 82.57 per cent in case of buffaloes, 19.89 and 80.11 per cent in case of crossbred cows, and 18.01 and 81.99 per cent in case of local cows, respectively of gross cost. Sharma and Singh (1994) [10, 12] and Kalra *et al.* (1995) [5] also observed the share of variable and fixed cost to be approximately 85 and 15 per cent of gross cost respectively. The component wise break-up of variable cost component indicated that the feed cost accounted for 55.49 per cent of gross cost for buffaloes, 52.01 per cent for crossbred cows and 54.59 per cent for local cow. Singh *et al.* (1994) [10, 12] and Shiyani and Singh (1995) [5, 11] also observed that feed cost accounted for 55 to 70 per cent of the gross cost in the case of buffaloes. The share of labour cost in gross cost was found to be almost similar at 23.82 per cent for buffaloes, 24.37 per cent for crossbred cows and 24.26 per cent for local cows. Thus, it can be concluded from the study, by keeping maintenance cost in view, that rearing of crossbred cows was costly as compared to buffaloes and local cows. Cost of milk production per unit is an important indicator of efficiency of milk production. A major issue in fixation of milk prices is whether, the milk price should be fixed on the basis of total cost of milk production, which entails the value of family labour computed at the on-going wage rates for permanent farm labour or only for the paid out costs, which naturally excludes a major chunk of unpaid costs. Under these circumstances, an attempt has been made in this study to compute maintenance cost of milk production inclusive and exclusive of family labour and fixed cost. A comparative analysis of maintenance cost, per litre cost of milk production and various income measures for buffaloes and cows have been presented in Table 1&2. A perusal of the data revealed that the overall average Cost-A, Cost-B and Cost-C per milch animal per day for buffalo milk production were observed to ₹ 114.36, ₹ 134.10 and ₹ 176.02 while corresponding costs were ₹ 91.28, ₹ 113.17 and ₹ 174.43 for crossbred cow and ₹ 91.78, ₹ 126.24 and ₹ 135.85 for local cow. On an average, the per litre cost of milk production for buffaloes, crossbred cows and local cows was ₹ 27.80, ₹ 21.66 and ₹ 30.99, respectively. Thus, it can be concluded from this study that the per litre cost of milk production was higher in case of local cows followed by buffaloes and crossbred cows. This finding is in line with the observation of Kalra *et al.* (1995) [5]. Thus, the results clearly indicate that by keeping net income in view, that buffalo keeping was more profitable than crossbred cow and local cow.

Conclusions

It may be concluded from the study that the total fixed cost of milk production of milch animals varied from 17.43 per cent in buffaloes to 19.89 per cent in crossbred cows. Per animal per day feeding cost ranged from 52.01 per cent in crossbred cow to 55.49 per cent in buffalo of the total cost for dairy

animals. The feed and fodders accounted for a major part of the total cost followed by human labour. The per litre cost of local cow milk was high as compared to buffalo and crossbred cows due to lower milk yield of local cows. The cost of milk production and income measures obtained in the present study revealed that buffalo milk production was relatively more profitable than crossbred cow in the study area while rearing of local cow was not profitable in study area. Thus, sound economic logic exists for persuading dairy households to continue buffalo as well as crossbred cow rearing to enhance their income from milk production and there is need for improvement in the local non-descript / indigenous cows to increase milk productivity. The local cows are more adaptive to climate change. Therefore, instead of ignoring local cow they may be upgraded to recognized indigenous breed and further genetic improvement is required for economic traits.

References

1. Bairwa H. Economics of Milk Production in the Rural Areas of Tonk District of Rajasthan. M.Sc. Dissertation. National Dairy Research Institute (Deemed University), Karnal-132001, Haryana, India; c2004.
2. Bardhan D, Sharma ML. Economics of milk production in Kumaun region of Uttarakhand. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2012;65:416-422.
3. Chand P, Sirohi S. Dairying in semi-arid eastern zone of Rajasthan: Input productivity and net returns. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2012;65:79-83.
4. Chand P, Sirohi S, Mishra A, Chahal VP. Estimation of costs and returns from dairying in Malwa region of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J Animal. Sci.* 2017;87:381-386.
5. Kalra KK, Singh RV, Chauhan A. Economic analysis of milk production and disposal in rural areas of Haryana. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 1995;48:546-550.
6. Lal P, Chandel BS. Economics of milk production and cost elasticity analysis in Sirsa district of Haryana. *Economic Affairs.* 2016;61:405-411.
7. Meena GL. Impact of Dairy Cooperatives on the Economy of Rural Households in Alwar District of Rajasthan. Ph. D. Dissertation. National Dairy Research Institute (Deemed University), Karnal-132001, Haryana, India; c2008.
8. Meena GL, Jain DK, Chandel BS. Economic analysis of milk production in Alwar district of Rajasthan. *J Dairy Foods Home Sci.* 2010;29:1-7.
9. Meena GL, Sharma L, Mishra S, Choudhary S. An Economic Analysis of Milk Production of Buffalo and Cow in Rajasthan. *Indian J Anim. Nutr.* 2019;36(2):158-163
10. Sharma VP, Singh RV. An economic analysis of milk production by different breeds of milch animals in humid-temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 1994;47:749-755.
11. Shiyani RL, Singh R. Economics of milk production – A comparative study. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 1995;48:21-26.
12. Singh B, Kalra KK, Legha RA. Economics of buffalo milk production in Mohindergarh district of Haryana state- A case study. *J Dairying, Foods & Home Sci.* 1994;13:15-20.
13. Singh KM, Meena MS, Bharati RC, Kumari A. An economic analysis of milk production in Bihar. *Indian J Animal Sci.* 2012;82:1233-1237.
14. Singh RK, Agarwal SB. Economics of milk production in

- Imphal west district of Manipur. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2007;60:441-446.
15. Singh RN. Economic Analysis of Milk Production in Tribal Area of Udaipur (Rajasthan). M.Sc. Dissertation. National Dairy Research Institute (Deemed University), Karnal-132001, Haryana, India; c2005.
 16. Sirohi S, Joshi BK, Kumar Y. Economics of milk production: variations across productivity levels. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2007;60:124-128.
 17. Sonawane TS, Nimbalkar SS, Kolekar PL. Economic analysis of dairy farms in Amravati district. *International Res. J Agric. Ecol. Stat.* 2016;7:118-126.
 18. Sunil VR, Chandel BS, Makarabbi G. Economics of milk production in Mandya district of Karnataka. *Economic Affairs.* 2016;61:659-665.