



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(3): 1264-1267  
© 2023 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 05-12-2022

Accepted: 18-02-2023

**Kamir Taropi**

Department of Horticulture,  
Assam Agricultural University,  
Jorhat, Assam, India

**Sangita Mahanta**

Department of Horticulture,  
Assam Agricultural University,  
Jorhat, Assam, India

**Madhumita Choudhury Talukdar**

Department of Horticulture,  
Assam Agricultural University,  
Jorhat, Assam, India

**Nilay Borah**

Department of Soil Science,  
Assam Agricultural University,  
Jorhat, Assam, India

**Prakash Kalita**

Department of Crop Physiology,  
Assam Agricultural University,  
Jorhat, Assam, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**Kamir Taropi**

Department of Horticulture,  
Assam Agricultural University,  
Jorhat, Assam, India

## Influence of organic amendments on the growth and flowering of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Seracole

**Kamir Taropi, Sangita Mahanta, Madhumita Choudhury Talukdar, Nilay Borah and Prakash Kalita**

### Abstract

The present investigation was carried out in experimental farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, between 2020-2021 to study the impact of different organic inputs on the growth and flowering of *African marigold* cv. Seracole. The experiment was set up in a Randomized Block Design with seven treatments and three replications. The treatments were T1 {RDF (10:10:10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK) + FYM @ 4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>}, T2 {Vermicompost (2.5t/ha) + Rock Phosphate (100 kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T3 {Vermicompost (5t/ha) + Rock phosphate (100 kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T4 {compost (2.5t/ha) + Rock phosphate (100 kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T5 {Compost (5t/ha) + Rock phosphate (100kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T6 {Enriched compost (2.5 t/ha)} and T7 {Enriched compost (5 t/ha)}. From the data recorded it can be concluded that treatment T3 and T7 showed the highest levels of growth and flowering traits, even though application of all the different nutritional sources had a substantial impact.

**Keywords:** African marigold, seracole, organic, microbial consortium, enriched compost, vermicompost

### Introduction

Marigold is a flower that grows wild throughout Central and South America, particularly in Mexico. During the early 16th century, it expanded from Mexico to various regions of the world. Genus *Tagetes* belongs to subfamily Asteroideae of family Asteraceae. Four annual species *Tagetes patula*, *Tagetes lunulata*, *Tagetes erecta* and *Tagetes tenuifolia* are commonly cultivated throughout the world for ornamental purposes. The taller and large flowered *Tagetes erecta* is known as African marigold while the smaller *Tagetes patula* is called French marigold. *Tagetes erecta*, popularly known as 'Mexican marigold' or 'Aztec Marigold,' is one of the genus *Tagetes*' most important species. The chromosome number of African marigold is 2n=24. This plant reaches a height of 50-100 cm. It has a tendency to flower for a short period, resulting in marketable flowers with a wide range of appealing colours, shapes, and sizes, as well as good keeping qualities. Marigold was introduced by Portuguese in India during 16th century (Gawle *et al.* 2012) [7]. To meet the rising demand, both quantity and quality production are critical. Higher yields can be obtained by applying inorganic fertilizers, but continued use of agrochemicals degrades soil health and causes environmental imbalance by polluting the air, water and soil. Chemical fertilizer use has a negative impact on soil texture and structure, as well as organic content and microbial activity. (Alam *et al.*, 2007) [2].

### Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted during 2020-2021 at the Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. There were 7 treatments which were applied as T1 {RDF (10:10:10 g/m<sup>2</sup> NPK) + FYM @ 4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>}, T2 {Vermicompost (2.5t/ha) + Rock Phosphate (100 kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T3 {Vermicompost (5t/ha) + Rock phosphate (100 kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T4 {compost (2.5t/ha) + Rock phosphate (100 kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T5 {Compost (5t/ha) + Rock phosphate (100kg/ha) + Microbial consortium}, T6 {Enriched compost (2.5 t/ha)} and T7 {Enriched compost (5 t/ha)}. Microbial consortium slurry was prepared with water and the root dip treatment of seedlings was done an hour prior to transplanting.

Consortium used in the treatment was a mixture of Azospirillum, Azotobacter and Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB). The inputs like vermicompost, compost and enriched compost used in the experiment was procured from Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat and applied during the land preparation. The cuttings used were about 5-7 cm height when planted and were of a uniform height. The cuttings were planted in a prepared plot at a spacing of 30 cm x 30 cm.

## Results and Discussion

### 1. Vegetative parameters

**Plant height:** The highest plant height of 50.88 cm and 49.95 cm was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium) and (Enriched compost @5t/ha), both being at par. This might be due to the absorption of various micronutrients and macronutrients which was made available at optimum levels by application of different organic inputs like vermicompost, enriched compost and microbial consortium. Vermicompost includes an average of 9.15 to 17.98% organic carbon, as well as micronutrients such as sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), zinc (Zn), sulphur (S), magnesium (Mg) and iron (Fe) (Adhikary,

2012) [1]. Plant growth might have been augmented due to the abundance of Fe and Zn which enhances the microflora and enzymatic activity of soil. A similar increase in plant height was also reported by Kumar and Saravanan (2019) [8] in gladiolus, Rajan (2020) [15] in gerbera cv. Goliath and Shree *et al.* (2021) [15] in chrysanthemum cv. Basanti.

### Number of leaves

The number of leaves recorded were 259.77 and 259.70 in T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium) and T<sub>7</sub> (Enriched compost @5t/ha) respectively, both being at par. These findings have been in conformity with the findings of Kumar (2015) [9] in chrysanthemum cv. Yellow gold and Elisheba and Sudhagar (2019) [6] in tuberose cv. Prajwal. Number of leaves per plant might have increased under enriched compost on account of the increased availability of nutrient to the plants thereby manufacturing more carbohydrates. The increased availability of N in soil might be the reason why production of more number of leaves was recorded as N is an important component of Chlorophyll and protein thus promoting vegetative growth (Kumar and Singh, 2007) [10].

**Table 1:** Plant height (cm) in African marigold as influenced by different organic inputs

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP
T <sub>1</sub> = RDF (10:10:10 NPK g/m <sup>2</sup> ) + FYM @4 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .	11.89	22.62	44.66
T <sub>2</sub> = Vermicompost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	14.44	20.08	45.53
T <sub>3</sub> = Vermicompost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	15.96	26.30	50.88
T <sub>4</sub> = Compost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	10.63	19.23	40.57
T <sub>5</sub> = Compost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	12.81	23.67	43.25
T <sub>6</sub> = Enriched compost@2.5t/ha.	12.80	23.50	44.63
T <sub>7</sub> = Enriched compost@5t/ha.	15.90	25.69	49.95
S.Ed. (±)	0.50	0.66	0.53
CD0.05	1.05	1.38	1.11

**Table 2:** Number of leaves in African marigold as influenced by different organic inputs

Treatments	No. of leaves		
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP
T <sub>1</sub> = RDF (10:10:10 NPK g/m <sup>2</sup> ) + FYM @4 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	147.75	186.00	254.98
T <sub>2</sub> = Vermicompost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium	146.42	193.85	257.32
T <sub>3</sub> = Vermicompost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium	150.33	200.01	259.77
T <sub>4</sub> = Compost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium	136.63	178.97	239.63
T <sub>5</sub> = Compost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium	145.29	181.00	252.56
T <sub>6</sub> = Enriched compost@2.5t/ha	140.47	186.00	257.33
T <sub>7</sub> = Enriched compost@5t/ha	149.84	199.67	259.70
S.Ed. (±)	0.84	0.77	0.56
CD0.05	1.76	1.60	1.16

### 2. Flowering parameters

#### Flower bud visibility

The number of days required for flower bud visibility and days to full bloom (Table 3) were significantly influenced by different treatment. The treatment T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium) and T<sub>7</sub> (Enriched compost @5t/ha), both being at par resulted in the earliest period of (59.33 days and 60.33 days) respectively for flower bud visibility and (75.33 days and 75.67 days) respectively for days to full bloom, both being at par. These results were in line with the results reported by Baruati *et al.*, (2018) [4] in gladiolus and Moghadam *et al.*, (2012) [12] in Asiatic hybrid liliun var. Navona. This might be due to the effect of vermicompost which contains enzymes like amylase,

lipase, cellulase and chitinase which continue to break down organic matter in the soil and make nutrients available to the plant roots. They also increase some important soil enzymes like dehydrogenase, acid and alkaline phosphatase and urease. This increase might also be due to the presence of gibberellins in vermicompost, which are associated with the regulation of flowering.

#### Number of flowers/plant

The highest count for number of flowers/plant (85.67) was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium) which was followed by (84.00) in T<sub>7</sub> (Enriched compost @5t/ha). This might be related to the fact that vermicompost releases growth

promoting substances that helped in enhancing plant height, number of leaves and number of branches which resulted in increased number of blooms.

### Yield

The highest yield/plant was obtained in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium) and T<sub>7</sub> (Enriched compost @5t/ha) i.e.,

470.02g and 467.03g respectively. The higher values recorded for the yield attributes might be due to the active and rapid multiplication of microbes, especially in the rhizosphere, creating favorable conditions for nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization at higher rates making it available to the plants leading to more uptake of nutrient and water. These findings were in agreement with Angadi (2014) [3] in garland chrysanthemum and Ranjan *et al.*, (2014) [16] in tuberose.

**Table 3:** Days to bud visibility & Days to full bloom in *African marigold* cv. Seracole as influenced by organic inputs

Treatments	Days to bud visibility	Days to full bloom
T <sub>1</sub> = RDF (10:10:10 NPK g/m <sup>2</sup> ) + FYM @4 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .	66.00	79.67
T <sub>2</sub> = Vermicompost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	70.00	80.67
T <sub>3</sub> = Vermicompost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	59.33	75.33
T <sub>4</sub> = Compost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	75.33	86.67
T <sub>5</sub> = Compost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	65.32	80.00
T <sub>6</sub> = Enriched compost@2.5t/ha.	61.33	78.67
T <sub>7</sub> = Enriched compost@5t/ha.	60.33	75.67
S.Ed. (±)	0.95	0.72
CD0.05	1.97	1.50

**Table 4:** No. of flowers/plant & yield in *African marigold* cv. Seracole as influenced by organic inputs

Treatments	No. of flowers/plant	Yield/plant (g)
T <sub>1</sub> = RDF (10:10:10 NPK g/m <sup>2</sup> ) + FYM @4 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .	79.33	377.98
T <sub>2</sub> = Vermicompost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	80.65	414.37
T <sub>3</sub> = Vermicompost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	85.67	470.02
T <sub>4</sub> = Compost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	74.00	283.99
T <sub>5</sub> = Compost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	80.19	387.25
T <sub>6</sub> = Enriched compost@2.5t/ha.	79.71	393.21
T <sub>7</sub> = Enriched compost@5t/ha.	84.00	467.03
S.Ed. (±)	0.66	9.77
CD0.05	1.37	20.37

### 3. Physiological parameters

#### Total chlorophyll content

The highest total chlorophyll content in leaf (2.83 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight and 2.75 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> (Enriched compost @5t/ha) which was found to be at par with T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium). Vermicompost has been shown to boost the number of soil microorganisms, which creates plant growth regulators that are essential for plant development and photosynthetic activity (Levy and Taylor, 2003) [11]. The increase in chlorophyll content might also be due to the better nutrient availability especially nitrogen and micronutrients such as Mg. It is an established fact that N and Mg are the most important constituent of chlorophyll (Yawalkar *et al.*, 1967) [19]. This result is in agreement with the work of Sangwan *et al.* 2010 [17] in

marigold and Priya *et al.* (2020) [14] in tuberose.

**Net assimilation rate:** Net assimilation rate (NAR) is the measurement of average photosynthetic efficiency. Leaf chlorophyll contents are the key factors determining the rate of photosynthesis (Jain, 1972). The highest net assimilation rate in leaf (0.0070 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> and 0.0068 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in the treatment T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100 Kg/ha + Microbial consortium) and T<sub>7</sub> (Enriched compost @5t/ha) both being statistically at par. This might be due to the application of vermicompost and enriched compost which increases the chlorophyll content and as a result of which increases the rate of photosynthesis and ultimately increases the plant growth. This result is in conformity with Noorjahan, (2017) [13] in marigold and Bordoloi and Talukdar, (2019) [5] in chrysanthemum.

**Table 5:** Chlorophyll content & net assimilation rate in *African marigold* cv. Seracole as influenced by organic inputs

Treatments	Chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh wt.)	NAR (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> = RDF (10:10:10 NPK g/m <sup>2</sup> ) + FYM @4 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .	1.37	0.0028
T <sub>2</sub> = Vermicompost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	2.30	0.0060
T <sub>3</sub> = Vermicompost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	2.83	0.0070
T <sub>4</sub> = Compost@2.5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	2.05	0.0046
T <sub>5</sub> = Compost@5t/ha + Rock phosphate@100 kg/ha+ Microbial consortium.	2.36	0.0051
T <sub>6</sub> = Enriched compost@2.5t/ha.	2.21	0.0059
T <sub>7</sub> = Enriched compost@5t/ha.	2.75	0.0068
S.Ed. (±)	0.16	0.0004
CD0.05	0.37	0.0008

## Conclusion

From the foregoing discussion, it can be concluded that the treatments T<sub>3</sub> (Vermicompost @5t/ha + Rock Phosphate @100kg/ha + Microbial consortium) followed by T7 (Enriched compost @5t/ha) were found to be the most efficient treatment in terms of both vegetative, flowering and physiological parameters.

## References

- Adhikary S. Vermicompost, the story of organic gold: A review. *Agricultural Sciences*. 2012;3:905-917.
- Alam MN, Jahan MS, Ali MK, Islam MS, Khandaker SMAT. Effect of vermicompost and NPKS fertilizers on growth, yield and yield components of red amaranth. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 2007;1(4):706-716.
- Angadi AP. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, economics and nutrient uptake of garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2014;9(1):132-135.
- Baruati D, Talukdar MC, Nath D, Bhuyan T. Effect of Organic Input Application on Soil Microbial and Biochemical Properties on Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) under Jorhat Condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7:1477-1482. 10.20546/ijcmas.2018.710.165.
- Bordoloi S, Talukdar MC. Effect of Organic Inputs on Growth and Flowering Attributes of Chrysanthemum Cv. Snowball. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2019;8:2189-2196. 10.20546/ijcmas.2019.812.260.
- Elisheba BP, Sudhagar R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) Cv. Prajwal. *Plant Archives*. 2019;19(1):196-198.
- Gawle SK, Chaturvedi MK, Yadaw KN. Adoption pattern of improved marigold production technologies by the farmers in Bilaspur district of Chhattisgarh. *Agriculture Update*. 2012;7(3/4):323-329.
- Kumar CT, Saravanan SS. Effect of FYM, vermicompost and poultry manure on vegetative growth, spike quality and flower yield of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(4):523-527.
- Kumar M. Impact of different sources of nutrients on growth and flowering in chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) cv. Yellow Gold. *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*. 2015;7(1):49-53.
- Kumar V, Singh A. Effect of Vermicompost and VAM Inoculation on Vegetative Growth and Floral Attributes in China Aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees). *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*. 2007;10(3):190-192.
- Levy JS, Taylor BR. Effects of pulp mill solids and three composts on early growth of tomatoes. *Bioresource Technology*. 2003;89(3):297-305.
- Moghadam ARL, Ardebili ZO, Saidi F. Vermicompost induced changes in growth and development of Lilium *Asiatic hybrid* var. Navona. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2012;7(17):2609-2621.
- Noorjahan K. Growth, flowering and physiological response of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Arrow Gold to nutrients and Piriformospora indica (Doctoral dissertation, College of Horticulture Anantharajupeta-516 105, Ysr District, Andhra Pradesh Dr. YSR Horticultural University); c2017.
- Priya GS, Sureshkumar R, Rajkumar M, Sendhilnathan R, Barathkumar TR. Studies on the effect of organic manures, biostimulants and micronutrients on certain growth and physiological characters of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Prajwal. *Plant Archives*. 2020;20(1):941-944.
- Rajan EB. Studies on the effect of different growing media on the growth and flowering of gerbera cv. Goliath. *Plant Archives*. 2020;20(1):653-657.
- Ranjan S, Preetham SP, Satish C. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on vegetative, floral and post-harvest attributes in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa*) var. Shringar. *Asian Journal of Biological and Life Sciences*. 2014;3(1):6-9.
- Sangwan P, Garg VK, Kaushik CP. Growth and yield response of marigold to potting media containing vermicompost produced from different wastes. *The Environmentalist*. 2010;30(2):123-130.
- Shree SK, Prasad KV, Safeena SA, Saha TN, Kadam GB, Gupta N, *et al.* Effect of potting media containing industrial by-products on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum cv. Basanti. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2021;78(2):221-226.
- Yawalkar KS, Agarwal JP, Bokde S. Manures and fertilizers. *Agri-Horticultural Publishing House, Nagpur*; c1967. p. 225-240.