www.ThePharmaJournal.com

## The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(4): 755-758 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 03-02-2023 Accepted: 13-03-2023

#### Sarfaraj

Department of Fruit Science, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **AK Srivastava**

Department of Fruit Science, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Subhash Chandra Singh

Department of Fruit Science, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Om Prakash

Department of Fruit Science, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Vishal Chugh

Department of Basic and Social Science, College of Horticulture, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Vikas Kumar

Department of Fruit Science, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Sarfaraj Department of Fruit Science, Banda University of Agriculture & Technology Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of different time and growing conditions on success and growth rate of softwood grafting in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)

### Sarfaraj, AK Srivastava, Subhash Chandra Singh, Om Prakash, Vishal Chugh and Vikas Kumar

#### Abstract

An experiment was conducted different time and growing conditions on success and growth rate of softwood grafting in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Deign in a Factorial with 18 treatments with three replication. The treatment on Different time ( $15^{th}$  September,  $15^{th}$  October,  $15^{th}$  November,  $15^{th}$  December,  $15^{th}$  January,  $15^{th}$  February) and different field condition (Open field, Shade net house and Poly house). Among the Result revealed are the significant that the maximum Graft success percentage 30 days (63.74), Graft success percentage 60 days (73.48), sprouts/graft at 30 (2.06), sprouts/graft at 60 (5.97), sprout length at 30 (2.75), days sprout length at 60 days (7.55), leaf length (cm) at 30 days(6.90), leaf length (cm) at 60 days (9.07), leaf width (cm) at 30 days after (3.65), leaf width (cm) at 60 days (4.94) was recorded with C<sub>1</sub> (open field) condition. Days taken to bud sprouting (21.56), days taken to bud swell (23.82), expand full leaf (41.47) was recorded C<sub>3</sub> (Poly house) condition. Therefore, on the basic of result, open field condition from  $15^{th}$  February found best for highest success full growth condition.

Keywords: growing, softwood, grafting, guava, Psidium guajava L.

#### Introduction

Guava (Psidium guajava L.) is one of the most important fruit crops in India. It is the most important crop species within the Myrtaceae family Rai et al., 2007 [10] and with chromosome number 2n=22. It is also known as "apple of the tropics" and "poor man's apple." Guava is originated to tropical America stretching from Peru. The most important guava growing states in India are Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, Bihar, Karnataka, West Bengal, Orissa, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan, Kerala and Punjab. It is a good source of Vitamin C (150-200 mg/100 g of pulp) Lal 1983, Tiwari et al. 1992 [6, 13]. When medium has the right conditions, such as appropriate aeration, proper watering, and enough nutrients available, it encourages the development of an extensive root system, which leads to exceptional plant growth Neelam and Ishtiaq, 2001. Guava is propagated by both sexually like by seed Zamir et al. 2003 <sup>[15]</sup> and asexually methods like layering, and cutting budding Chandra et al. 2004 <sup>[1]</sup>. Hence efforts are made to grow guava by cuttings. Cutting is the most economical method of vegetative propagation Davies and Hartman, 1988<sup>[2]</sup>. It succeeded in growing guava softwood cutting by using root growth regulators Mukhtar et al. 1998 and *et al.* 1988<sup>[3]</sup>. The primary factor determining whether grafts survive in the long run is the time of grafting. Guava softwood grafting offers a good response by boosting the percentage of quality grafts that survive and succeed with the least chance of dying, leading to better and more uniform orchard establishment.

#### Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried Fruit Nursery, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda during 2021-22. The different time and growing conditions on success and growth were applied ( $T_1$ = 15<sup>th</sup> September,  $T_2$ = 15<sup>th</sup> October,  $T_3$ = 15<sup>th</sup> November,  $T_4$ = 15<sup>th</sup> December,  $T_5$ = 15<sup>th</sup> January and  $T_6$ = 15<sup>th</sup> February). The experiment was conducted under ( $C_1$ = Shade house,  $C_2$ = Poly house and  $C_3$ = Open field) conditions. There were eighteen treatment combination replicated thrice in Factorial Random Block Design.

#### **Treatment details**

The treatment combinations *i.e.*  $T_1C_1=15^{th}$  September in open field,  $T_2C_1=15^{th}$  October in open field,  $T_3C_1=15^{th}$  November in open field,  $T_4C_1=15^{th}$  December in open field,  $T_5C_1=15^{th}$  January in open field,  $T_6C_1=15^{th}$  February in open field,  $T_1C_2=15^{th}$  September in Shade net house,  $T_2C_2=15^{th}$  October in Shade net house,  $T_3C_2=15^{th}$  December in Shade net house,  $T_4C_2=15^{th}$  January in Shade net house,  $T_6C_2=15^{th}$  February in Shade net house,  $T_1C_3=15^{th}$  September in Poly house,  $T_2C_3=15^{th}$  October in Poly house,  $T_3C_3=15^{th}$  November in Poly house,  $T_4C_3=15^{th}$  December in Poly house,  $T_5C_3=15^{th}$  January in Poly house and  $T_6C_3=15^{th}$  February in Poly house.

#### **Observation details**

The observation on days required for graft success percentage 30 and 60 days, taken to bud sprouting, days taken to bud swell, expand full leaf, sprouts /graft at 30 and 60, sprout length at 30 and 60 days, leaf length (cm) at 30 and 60 days, leaf width (cm) at 30 and 60 days.

#### **Graft success percentage**

Graft success percentage 
$$= \frac{\text{Number of sprouted grafts}}{\text{Total number of grafts}} \times 100$$

#### **Results and Discussion**

Graft successful percentage: It is clear from the experiment that different time and growing conditions had a significant effect on graft success percentage 30 and 60 days (Table-1) maximum graft success percentage was recorded with C1 (73.13) and (81.93) and minimum graft success percentage C<sub>3</sub> (55.82) and (66.88) in Open field. For the graft success percentage time it was significant higher in  $T_6$  (73.13) and (81.93) in 15th February and minimum graft success percentage  $T_4$  (43.78) and (50.03) interaction effect on Graft success percentage was significant higher with  $T_6C_1$  (77.10) and (85.17) and minimum with  $T_4C_3$  (41.03) and (47.23). The higher graft success during February month might be due to enhanced the metabolic activity involved in production of cell tissue and cell activity reported by Visen et al. (2010) [14]. High humidity around the graft scion reduce the aridity of active tissue of graft scion resulting less possibelity for mort ability of graft union under poly house condition reported by Jholgiker P. et al. (2019)<sup>[4]</sup> in soft wood grafting in guava.

#### Days taken to bud sprouting

Significant maximum days taken bud sprouting (21.56) was observed with C<sub>3</sub> (poly house) whereas minimum days taken bud sprouting  $C_1$  (14.95) was recorded with open field. For the days taken bud sprouting it was significant maximum T<sub>4</sub> (23.04) and minimum  $T_6$  (12.54) interaction effect on days taken to bud sprouting was significant maximum  $T_3C_3$  (26.41) and minimum of days taken bud sprouting  $T_6C_1$  (9.25). The minimum day taken to bud sprouting may due to better contact of cambial layers of stock and scion resulting in early callus formation and beginning of subsequent growth. These finding are also supported by the result Kholi et al. (2017)<sup>[5]</sup> in guava and similarly result visen et al. (2010) <sup>[14]</sup> in guava. Temperature and water availability increase the rate of photosynthesis it is most important to the accumulation of carbohydrate facilitate improved growth and development Raghavendra et al. (2009).

#### Days taken to bud swell

Significant effect (table -1) higher days taken to bud swell (23.82) was observed with  $C_3$  (poly house) whereas minimum days taken to bud swell  $C_1$  was recorded open field condition. For the days taken to bud swell it was significant higher  $T_4$  (24.91) and minimum  $T_6$  (17.37) interaction effect on days taken to bud swell was significant higher  $T_4C_3$  (26.10) and minimum  $T_6C_1$  (12.56). Might be due to more photosynthetic adaptation at source and reduced sink activity in the grafting scion along with capable for translocation of assimilates from mature leaves and roots Sing *et al.* (2014) in guava.

#### Expand full leaf and Sprouts/graft

Significant effect maximum expand full leaf (41.47) was observed with C<sub>3</sub> poly house whereas minimum expand full leaf (37.13) was recorded with C<sub>1</sub> open field condition. For expand full leaf it was significant maximum  $T_4$  (42.62) and minimum  $T_6$  (36.08) interaction significant effect on expand full leaf maximum  $T_5C_3$  (45.36) and minimum  $T_6C_1$  (34.26). Humidity play vital role in sprouting of graft scion as higher humidity enhance in expand full leaf Visen et al. (2010)<sup>[14]</sup>. Significant higher Sprouts/graft at 30 and 60 days (2.06) and (5.97) was observed with C<sub>3</sub> (open field) whereas minimum Sprouts/graft at 30 and 60 days (1.28) and (4.88) was recorded with C<sub>3</sub> poly house. Significant higher Sprouts/graft 30 and 60 days (2.11) and (5.78) was observed  $T_6$  whereas minimum Sprouts/graft (1.23) and (4.66) was observed  $T_4$ interaction maximum effect on Sprouts/graft at 30 and 60 days (2.53) and (6.55) was recorded T<sub>6</sub>C<sub>1</sub> Whereas minimum (0.93) and (4.46) with T<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>.

#### Sprout length

The experiment (Table -2) that different time and growing conditions had a significant effect maximum sprout length at 30 and 60 days (2.75) and (7.55) was observed with C<sub>1</sub> Open field whereas minimum sprout length (1.82) and (6.33) was observed with C<sub>3</sub> poly house. Significant maximum sprout length 30 and 60 days (2.84) and (8.81) was observed T<sub>6</sub> and minimum sprout length (1.37) and (5.06) was observed T<sub>4</sub> interaction maximum effect on sprout length 30 and 60 days (3.50) and (9.14) was recorded T<sub>6</sub>C<sub>1</sub> whereas minimum sprout length 30 and 60 days (1.00) and (4.40) with T<sub>4</sub>C<sub>3</sub>. Highest number of sprout and sprout length this may be due to the high rate of photosynthesis and higher accumulation of carbohydrate which easily served as a reservoir of food for new growth reflected to higher number of sprout and sprout length reported by Padmapriya *et al* (2021) <sup>[9]</sup>.

#### Leaf length and leaf width

Leaf length 30 and 60 days are significant effect higher (6.90) and (9.07) was observed with  $C_1$  Open field whereas minimum leaf length (6.22) and (8.32) was observed with  $C_3$  poly house. Significant effect higher leaf length at 30 and 60 days (7.16) and (9.23) was observed  $T_6$  and minimum leaf length at 30 and 60 days (6.02) and (8.05) was observed  $T_4$  interaction higher leaf length 30 and 60 days (7.50) and (9.60) was recorded  $T_6C_1$  whereas minimum leaf length at 30 and 60 days (5.63) and (7.73) with  $T_4C_3$ . Significant effect maximum leaf width at 30 and 60 days are (3.65) and (4.94) was observed with  $C_1$  Open field whereas minimum leaf width (3.11) and (4.48) was observed with  $C_3$  poly house. Significant effect higher leaf width at 30 and 60 days (3.85) and (5.07) was observed  $T_6$  and minimum leaf width at 30 and

60 days (2.76) and (4.23) was observed  $T_4$  interaction higher leaf width at 30 and 60 days (4.26) and (5.43) was recorded

 $T_6C_1$  whereas minimum leaf width at 30 and 60 days (2.80) and (4.06) with  $T_4C_3.$ 

Treatment	Graft success	Graft success	Days taken to	days taken	Expand	Sprouts	Sprouts
	percentage 30 days	percentage 60 days	bud sprouting	to bud swell	full leaf	/graft at 30	/graft at 60
T1	65.36	76.00	17.01	20.73	38.17	1.73	5.34
$T_2$	57.23	68.71	19.00	22.38	39.71	1.57	5.19
T <sub>3</sub>	53.58	65.36	22.29	23.56	41.24	1.43	5.03
$T_4$	43.78	50.03	23.04	24.91	42.62	1.23	4.66
T <sub>5</sub>	66.61	79.05	14.09	19.68	37.16	1.73	5.55
T6	73.13	81.93	12.54	17.37	36.08	2.11	5.78
S.Em±	0.09	0.04	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.04	0.04
CD at 5%	0.27	0.13	0.59	0.86	0.29	0.12	0.12
C1	63.74	73.48	14.95	18.24	37.13	2.06	5.97
C2	60.29	70.17	17.89	22.26	38.90	1.56	4.93
C3	55.82	66.88	21.56	23.82	41.47	1.28	4.88
S.Em±	0.13	0.06	0.29	0.42	0.14	0.06	0.62
CD at 5%	0.39	0.18	0.83	1.22	0.42	0.17	0.17
$T_1 C_1$	68.33	79.33	13.83	17.13	36.36	2.30	6.10
T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	62.10	72.30	16.46	19.10	37.26	2.03	5.99
T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	58.23	68.43	18.48	21.63	39.36	1.76	5.76
T <sub>4</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	46.40	53.36	20.91	23.83	40.23	1.50	5.03
T <sub>5</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	70.30	82.37	10.41	15.20	35.20	2.24	6.39
T <sub>6</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	77.10	85.17	9.25	12.56	34.26	2.53	6.55
T1 C2	66.33	76.26	16.67	21.63	37.90	1.50	5.07
$T_2 C_2$	57.40	68.40	18.71	21.63	39.70	1.46	4.83
T3 C2	54.23	65.43	21.62	23.73	40.73	1.36	4.68
T4 C2	43.93	49.30	22.52	24.80	42.26	1.26	4.49
T5 C2	66.56	79.26	15.24	20.60	36.63	1.50	5.20
T <sub>6</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	73.30	82.33	12.57	19.06	36.16	2.26	5.30
T1 C3	61.43	72.40	20.52	23.43	40.26	1.40	4.87
T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	52.20	65.43	21.84	24.33	42.16	1.23	4.75
T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	48.30	62.23	26.41	25.33	43.63	1.16	4.65
T4 C3	41.03	47.23	25.71	26.10	45.36	0.93	4.46
T5 C3	62.96	75.56	19.05	23.26	39.60	1.46	5.06
T <sub>6</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	69.00	78.27	15.82	20.50	37.73	1.53	5.50
S.Em±	0.23	0.11	0.50	0.73	0.25	0.10	0.10
CD at 5%	0.67	0.31	1.44	2.11	0.72	0.29	0.30

Where  $C_1$ = Open field,  $C_2$ = Shade net house,  $C_3$ = Poly house,  $T_1$ = 15<sup>th</sup> September,  $T_2$ = 15<sup>th</sup> October,  $T_3$ = 15<sup>th</sup> November,  $T_4$ = 15<sup>th</sup> December,  $T_5$ = 15<sup>th</sup> January,  $T_6$ = 15<sup>th</sup> February,  $T_1C_1$ = 15<sup>th</sup> September in open field,  $T_2C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> October in open field,  $T_3C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> November in open field,  $T_5C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> January in open field,  $T_6C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> February in open field,  $T_1C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> September in Shade net house,  $T_2C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> October in Shade net house,  $T_3C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> November in Shade net house,  $T_4C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in Shade net house,  $T_3C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> January in Shade net house,  $T_1C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> September in Poly house,  $T_2C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> October in Poly house,  $T_3C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> November in Poly house,  $T_4C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in Poly house,  $T_3C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> September in Poly house,  $T_4C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in Poly house,  $T_3C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in Poly house,  $T_4C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> February in Poly house,  $T_4C_3$ =

**Table 2:** Effect of grafting time, growing conditions and their interaction on sprout length at 30 and 60 days, leaf length (cm) at 30 and 60 days,leaf width (cm) at 30 and 60 days.

Treatment	Sprout length at	Sprout length at	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Leaf width (cm)
	30 days	60 days	at 30 days	at 60 days	at 30 days	60 days
$T_1$	2.46	7.37	6.71	8.81	3.54	4.80
T <sub>2</sub>	2.12	6.76	6.50	8.63	3.23	4.54
T <sub>3</sub>	2.07	5.31	6.21	8.45	3.00	4.43
$T_4$	1.37	5.06	6.02	8.05	2.76	4.23
T5	2.70	8.33	6.90	9.02	3.63	4.86
T <sub>6</sub>	2.84	8.81	7.16	9.23	3.85	5.07
S.Em±	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04
CD at 5%	0.16	0.23	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.12
C1	2.75	7.55	6.90	9.07	3.65	4.94
$C_2$	2.21	6.94	6.61	8.70	3.25	4.50
C3	1.82	6.33	6.22	8.32	3.11	4.48
S.Em±	0.08	0.11	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06
CD at 5%	0.23	0.33	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.17
$T_1 C_1$	3.20	7.93	7.00	9.30	3.93	5.30
$T_2 C_1$	2.40	7.66	6.80	9.16	3.43	4.70

T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	2.40	5.90	6.50	8.66	3.23	4.50
$T_4 C_1$	1.70	5.84	6.30	8.33	2.96	4.33
T5 C1	3.21	9.13	7.30	9.40	4.06	5.33
T <sub>6</sub> C <sub>1</sub>	3.50	9.14	7.50	9.60	4.26	5.43
T1 C2	2.30	7.60	6.70	8.66	3.30	4.56
T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	2.30	6.63	6.40	8.46	3.00	4.43
T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	2.20	5.30	6.30	8.50	2.76	4.40
T4 C2	1.40	4.96	6.06	8.10	2.50	4.30
T5 C2	2.60	7.96	6.93	9.16	3.40	4.66
T <sub>6</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	2.40	8.83	7.26	9.33	3.70	4.90
T1 C3	1.90	6.53	6.43	8.46	3.40	4.53
T <sub>2</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.66	6.00	6.30	8.26	3.26	4.43
T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	1.53	4.73	5.80	8.20	3.00	4.40
T4 C3	1.00	4.40	5.63	7.73	2.80	4.06
T5 C3	2.30	8.20	6.43	8.50	3.43	4.60
T <sub>6</sub> C <sub>3</sub>	2.50	8.16	6.73	8.78	3.60	4.83
S.Em±	0.14	0.20	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.10
CD at 5%	0.41	0.58	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.30

Where  $C_1$ =Open field,  $C_2$ = Shade net house,  $C_3$ =Poly house,  $T_1$ = 15<sup>th</sup> September,  $T_2$ = 15<sup>th</sup> October,  $T_3$ = 15<sup>th</sup> November,  $T_4$ = 15<sup>th</sup> December,  $T_5$ = 15<sup>th</sup> January,  $T_6$ = 15<sup>th</sup> February,  $T_1C_1$ = 15<sup>th</sup> September in open field,  $T_2C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> October in open field,  $T_3C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> November in open field,  $T_4C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in open field,  $T_5C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> January in open field,  $T_6C_1$ =15<sup>th</sup> February in open field,  $T_1C_2$ = 15<sup>th</sup> September in Shade net house,  $T_2C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> October in Shade net house,  $T_3C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> November in Shade net house,  $T_4C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in Shade net house,  $T_3C_2$ =15<sup>th</sup> January in Shade net house,  $T_1C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> September in Poly house,  $T_2C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> October in Poly house,  $T_3C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> November in Poly house,  $T_2C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> December in Poly house,  $T_3C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> September in Poly house,  $T_4C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> February in Poly house,  $T_3C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> September in Poly house,  $T_4C_3$ =15<sup>th</sup> February in Poly house.

#### Conclusion

It can concluded that study of different time and growing conditions on success and growth rate of softwood grafting in guava the best during February ( $M_6$ ) month under poly house ( $C_1$ ) condition is more favorable for better success rate and better graft survived from Bundelkhand region.

#### References

- Chandra RM, Mishra A, Bajpai R, Kishun AK, Mishra GS, Chandra R. Biotechnological interventions for improvement of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Proceeding of 1<sup>st</sup> International Guava Symposium, CISH, Lucknow, India; c2004. p. 19-25.
- Davies FT Jr. Hartman HT. The physiological basis of adventitious root formation. Acta Hort. 1988;227:113-120.
- Hafeez UR, Khan MA, Niazi ZM, Khan DA, Rehman C. Rooting of different types of guava stem cutting using growth regulator. Pak. J. Agric. Res. 1988;9:363-365.
- Jholgiker P, Manga B, Swamy GSK, Prabhuling G, Sandhyarani N. Studies on effect of propagation environment for softwood grafting in Guava (*Psidium* guajava L.) cv. Sardar Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(6):2779-2783.
- 5. Kholia A, Bharad SG, Satkar K. Response of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) varieties to different Time of softwood grafting. Progressive Horticulture. 2017;49:1.
- Lal S. Effect of pruning on crop regulation in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lucknow-49. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar; c1983. p: 83.
- Mukhtar A, Iftikhar A, Lagari MH, Hidayatullah. Effect of growth regulators on rooting in softwood cuttings of Guava under mist conditions. Sarhad J Agric. Res. 1998;14:423-425.
- Neelam A, Ishtiaq M. Response of Eucalyptus camaldulensis seedlings to different soil media. Sarhad J Agric. 2001;17:75-79.
- 9. Padmapriya S, Kavino M, Vijayakumar RM. Standardization of grafting methods under different

seasons of grafting in guava (*Psidium gujava* L). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):257-260.

- Rai PK, Rai NK, Rai AK, Watal G. Role of LIBS in elemental analysis of P. guajaua responsible for glycemic potential. Inst. Sci. Tech. 2007;35:507-522.
- Rghavendra VN, Angadi SG, Mokashi AN, Allolli TB, Venugopal CK, Mummigatti UV. studies on softwood grafting in wood apple (*Feronia limonia* L.). Acta Hort. 2009;890.
- 12. Singh G, Gupta S, Mishra R, Singh AS, Gupta S, Mishra R, Singh A. Technique for rapid multiplication of guava (*Psidium guajava* L). Acta Hort; c2007, 735.
- Tiwari RB, Tiwari JP, Lal S. Effect of shoot pruning, NAA and urea on cropping pattern of guava. Indian J Hort. 1992;49:305-08.
- Visen A, Singh JN, Singh SP. Standardization of wedge grafting in guava under north Indian plains. Indian J Hort. 2010;67:111-113.
- Zamir R, Khattak GSS, Mohammad T, Ali N. In vitro mutagenesis in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Pak. J Bot. 2003;35:825-828.