



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(4): 2045-2050  
© 2023 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 02-01-2023  
Accepted: 06-02-2023

**Gayatri A**  
Ph.D., Department of  
Horticulture, Department of  
PSMA, COH, Dr. YSRHU,  
Venkataramannagudem,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

**Dr. B Prasanna Kumar**  
Associate Dean, COH,  
Dr. YSRHU, Parvathipuram,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

**Dr. SS Vijayapadma**  
Associate Dean, COH,  
Dr. YSRHU, Andhra Pradesh,  
India

**Dr. L Naram Naidu**  
Director of Research,  
Dr. YSRHU,  
Venkataramannagudem,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

**Dr. K Sasikala**  
Associate Professor,  
COH, Dr. YSRHU,  
Venkataramannagudem,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

**Dr. K Uma Krishna**  
Professor, COH, Dr. YSRHU,  
Venkataramannagudem,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Gayatri A**  
Ph.D., Department of  
Horticulture, Department of  
PSMA, COH, Dr. YSRHU,  
Venkataramannagudem,  
Andhra Pradesh, India

## Effect of planting date and INM on growth and yield in mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) during summer season under north coastal plains of Andhra Pradesh

**Gayatri A, Dr. B Prasanna Kumar, Dr. SS Vijayapadma, Dr. L Naram Naidu, Dr. K Sasikala and Dr. K Uma Krishna**

### Abstract

The present investigation entitled “Effect of planting date and INM on growth and yield in mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) during *Summer* season under north coastal plains of Andhra Pradesh” is carried out at COH, Parvathipuram, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, during *Summer* season 2021. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with combinations of four planting dates (first fortnight of February, second fortnight of February, first fortnight of March and second fortnight of March) and four nutrient doses (N<sub>1</sub> - 100% RDN, N<sub>2</sub> - 75% RDN+ (12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost) + Rhizobium + PSB + KMB, N<sub>3</sub> - 50% RDN + (25% FYM + 25% Vermicompost) + Rhizobium + PSB + KMB and N<sub>4</sub> - 100% organic (50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost) in *Summer* season. The results revealed that growth parameters like plant height (66.75 cm), plant spread (91.79 cm), number of primary branches (31.93) and number of secondary branches (7.63 respectively) number of leaves per plant (1073.98 at 120 DAP, 790.93 at 150 DAP) and yield parameter fresh herbage yield per plant (106.96 g plant<sup>-1</sup> at first harvest, 66.84 g plant<sup>-1</sup> at final harvest) recorded maximum when crop planted on first and second fortnights of February. Among different treatment combinations N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>3</sub> was superior in terms of growth and yield which recorded maximum plant height (66.80 cm), plant spread (89.20 cm), number of primary branches (27.57) and number of secondary branches (7.29) number of leaves per plant (925.75 at 120 DAP, 641.95 at 150 DAP) and yield parameter fresh herbage yield per plant (99.16 g plant<sup>-1</sup> at first harvest, 62.89 g plant<sup>-1</sup> at final harvest).

**Keywords:** Japanese mint, planting date, INM, biofertilizers, menthol

### Introduction

Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.), also known as menthol mint, field mint, a member of *Lamiaceae* is a perennial herbaceous aromatic plant but cultivated as annual for natural menthol. The shade dried herb upon distillation yields mint oil, further processing (chilling) provides menthol crystals and de-mentholised mint oil. It is valuable commercially because of its high oil yield and the menthol content. Over the years, the essential oils extracted from these plants have become precious and indispensable ingredients of the fragrance, pharmaceuticals and flavour industry (Joy *et al.*, 1998) <sup>[12]</sup>. Since the research done on this crop under north coastal plains of Andhra Pradesh itself is very meager, there is a need for development of package of practices for its large scale cultivation for betterment of the farming community. Hence, the study was undertaken with an objective to standardize optimum planting date and the effect of INM on growth and yield in mint during *Summer* season under north coastal plains of Andhra Pradesh.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *Summer* 2021 at college farm, COH, Parvathipuram, Parvathipuram Manyam Dist. The experimental fields were located at 18°47' N latitude, 83° 26' E longitude and 18° 48' N latitude, 83° 30' E longitude respectively. The average monthly maximum and minimum temperatures were 37.26 °C and 14.26 °C respectively. The monthly mean relative humidity ranged from 51.44% to 69.57%. A total rainfall of 320.45 mm was recorded during the crop period. Soil of the experimental field belongs to Visakhapatnam soil series, taxonomically typical haplustept, fine, mixed, semiactive, iso-hyperthermic, non-calcareous and sandy loam texture. The initial soil characteristics revealed that the soil was neutral in pH, non- saline with low available N, medium P, K and Organic carbon.

In the present study among different cultivars grown in India, the Kosi variety released from CIMAP, Lucknow was taken having the characteristics of early maturing habit, resistant to diseases like leaf spot, rust and powdery mildew, oil yield of 200-250 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and menthol content of 75-80% at our experimental field. The experimental site was brought to fine tilth by ploughing deeply with tractor drawn reversible double mouldboard plough followed by passing rigid type cultivator and rotovator for clod crushing and weed removal. Then the plots of 3m width and 4m length were laid out and separated by bunds of 30 cm width and irrigation channels were provided as per experiment lay-out.

The planting material (stolons) of uniform thickness were separated. They were cut into 7.0 to 10.0 cm long cuttings having 2-3 nodes and dipped in 0.3 per cent Copper oxy chloride (COC) for 5-10 minutes before planting in the nursery. The plants from nursery were uprooted, once they attain stage of 4-5 leaves and sturdy growth after 25-30 days period they were transplanted into the main field and then irrigated.

The furrows were opened at 40 cm interval in each plot. Healthy and disease free plant saplings were collected from the nursery and planted at 15 cm spacing, at a depth of 2.5 to 4.0 cm and later covered with soil. The seed rate followed was 400 kg stolons ha<sup>-1</sup>. FYM was applied during land preparation. N at the rate of 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as per treatments in the form of urea was applied in two split doses at the time of transplanting and after first harvest. P @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K @ 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were given as basal doses. Biofertilizers like PSB, Rhizobium and KMB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> each were mixed together with vermicompost and applied as per the treatment dose.

The crop was harvested at 100 and 150 days after planting when the crop was at fifty per cent flowering. The plants were cut at 1 to 4 cm height from the ground level by using sharp sickle during late morning hours. The data on plant height, plant spread, number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, and fresh herbage yield plant<sup>-1</sup> were recorded from five randomly selected plants from each treatment in each replication.

Statistical Analysis: Statistical analysis for the data recorded was done by following the analysis of variance for randomized block design with factorial concept as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The significance was tested by applying F-test at 0.05 level of probability and critical differences were calculated for those parameters which turned to the significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) in order to compare the effects of different treatments.

## Results and Discussion

### Plant height (cm)

Significant difference for plant height at different planting dates was observed at 120 and 150 DAP. Among the planting dates, the highest plant height of 66.75 cm was recorded for S<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>1</sub> of 62.70 cm and lowest plant height of 49.75 cm was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. Among different levels of nutrient combinations plant height was significant at 120 and 150 DAP. The highest plant height of 66.80 cm for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>3</sub> (63.43 cm) and lowest plant height of 55.53 cm was recorded in N<sub>1</sub> at 150 DAP (Table 1).

Significant difference for interaction effects was recorded at 150 DAP. Among the interaction effects the highest plant height of 72.33 cm in S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> with 70.39 cm and the lowest plant height of 42.73 cm was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub>

at 150 DAP (Table 2).

Increased plant height at second fortnight of February (S<sub>2</sub>), N<sub>2</sub> (75% RDN + 12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost + PSB + Rhizobium + KMB) and in S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> treatments could be due to favourable weather conditions at early planting date which might have influenced the plants by available nutrients in the soil and there by increasing cell division and cell elongation. The combination of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers facilitated better availability and uptake of nutrients. Lowest plant height was recorded in second fortnight of March due to increase in temperature and N<sub>1</sub> treatment due to nutrient leaching losses and lack of moisture in the soil. The results are in conformity with the findings of Akhtar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[1]</sup>, Chauhan *et al.* (2012)<sup>[6]</sup>, Taleie *et al.* (2012)<sup>[22]</sup>, Sharma (2012)<sup>[19]</sup>, Brar *et al.* (2014)<sup>[5]</sup>, Elrasheed *et al.* (2014)<sup>[7]</sup>, Sakr *et al.* (2014)<sup>[17]</sup>, Nilofer *et al.* (2015)<sup>[15]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2017)<sup>[13]</sup>, Jnanesha *et al.* (2018)<sup>[11]</sup>, Swetha *et al.* (2018)<sup>[20]</sup>, Amir and Mensure (2021)<sup>[2]</sup>, Aswani *et al.* (2021)<sup>[3]</sup>, Hamed *et al.* (2021)<sup>[9]</sup>.

### Plant spread (cm)

Significant difference for plant spread at different planting dates was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. Among the dates of planting, the highest plant spread of 91.79 cm for S<sub>1</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub> of 89.40 cm and lowest plant spread of 70.04 cm was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. Significant difference for plant spread among different levels of nutrients was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. The highest plant spread of 89.20 cm for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>1</sub> (84.26 cm) and lowest plant spread of 82.84 cm was recorded in N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. (Table 1)

Significant difference for interaction effects was recorded at 150 DAP. Among the interaction effects the highest plant spread of 101.35 cm in S<sub>1</sub>N<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> of 94.81 cm whereas the lowest plant spread of 61.67 cm was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. (Table 2)

Higher plant spread under the scenario of S<sub>1</sub> (first fortnight of February), nutrients of N<sub>2</sub> (75% RDN + 12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost + PSB + Rhizobium + KMB) and in S<sub>1</sub>N<sub>2</sub> might be due to moderate temperature at the time of planting, which favoured better establishment and growth of shoots and root, thus plants could utilize balanced nutrition more efficiently which might have increased the spread whereas the lowest plant spread was recorded in second fortnight of March due to rise in temperatures and N<sub>4</sub> treatment due to slower release of nutrients into soil ecosystems which were not available to plants during their growth period. The results are in conformity with the findings of Akhtar *et al.* (2009)<sup>[1]</sup>, Sharma *et al.* (20012)<sup>[19]</sup>, Jayanthi *et al.* (2013)<sup>[10]</sup>, Brar *et al.* (2014)<sup>[5]</sup>, Elrasheed *et al.* (2014)<sup>[7]</sup>, Nilofer *et al.* (2015)<sup>[15]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2017)<sup>[13]</sup>, Jnanesha *et al.* (2018)<sup>[11]</sup>, Swetha *et al.* (2018)<sup>[20]</sup>, Mahantesh *et al.* (2019)<sup>[14]</sup> and Aswani *et al.* (2021)<sup>[3]</sup>.

### Primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>

Significant difference for primary branches at different planting dates was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. Among the dates of planting, the highest number of primary branches of 31.93 for S<sub>1</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub> of 30.95 and lowest number of primary branches of 14.52 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. Significant difference for primary branches among different levels of nutrients was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. The highest number of primary branches of 27.57 for N<sub>3</sub> followed by N<sub>2</sub> (27.55) and lowest number of primary branches of

22.20 was recorded in N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. (Table 1) Non-significant difference was observed at 150 DAP. Among the interaction effects the highest number of primary branches 36.47 in S<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> followed by S<sub>1</sub>N<sub>2</sub> of 36.00 whereas the lowest number of primary branches of 12.27 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. (Table 2)

Highest number of primary branches under planting at S<sub>1</sub> (first fortnight of February), nutrients of N<sub>2</sub> (75% RDN + 12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost + PSB + Rhizobium + KMB) and in S<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> might be due to appropriate climatic conditions at the time of planting. And also availability of more nitrogen to the plants due to the combined application of fertilizers enhanced the production of plant growth hormones and assisted in better utilization of reserved carbohydrates available in soil which leads to profuse branching and luxuriant growth of plants.

Whereas the number of primary branches were lowest in second fortnight of March and nutrients (N<sub>4</sub>) treatment because of unfavourable climate and slow release of nutrients. The results are in conformity with the findings of Akhtar *et al.* (2009) [1], Chauhan *et al.* (2012) [6], Sharma *et al.* (2012) [19], Jayanthi *et al.* (2013) [10], Brar *et al.* (2014) [5], Nilofer *et al.* (2015) [15], Kumar *et al.* (2017) [13], Swetha *et al.* (2018) [20] and Aswani *et al.* (2021) [3].

### Secondary branches plant<sup>1</sup>

The significant differences for secondary branches at different planting dates was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. Among the dates of planting, the highest number of secondary branches of 7.63 for S<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>3</sub> of 7.24 and lowest number of secondary branches of 5.64 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP. However significant differences for secondary branches among different levels of nutrients was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. The highest number of secondary branches of 7.29 for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>1</sub> (7.04) and lowest number of secondary branches of 5.97 was recorded in N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP (Table 1).

The significant differences for interaction effects was recorded at 150 DAP. Among the interaction effects the highest number of secondary branches 9.40 in S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> followed by S<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> of 8.47 whereas the lowest number of secondary branches of 5.20 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub> at 150 DAP (Table 2).

The number of secondary branches were minimum at initial stages of plant growth and as plant age proceeds the growth of secondary branches are in increasing trend and the highest number of secondary branches under second fortnight of February and nutrients of N<sub>2</sub> (75% RDN + 12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost + PSB + Rhizobium + KMB) was due to congenial climatic conditions at the time of planting and also the availability of more nitrogen to the plants due to the combined application of fertilizers with biofertilizers enhanced the production of plant growth hormones might have triggered the rapid cell division, proliferation of cells and speedy growth of plants. The lowest number of secondary branches were recorded in second fortnight of March and nutrients of N<sub>4</sub> treatments, this might be due to low nutrient availability and unfavourable climatic conditions. The results are in conformity with the findings of Akhtar *et al.* (2009) [1], Chauhan *et al.* (2012) [6], Sharma *et al.* (2012) [19], Jayanthi *et al.* (2013) [10], Brar *et al.* (2014) [5], Nilofer *et al.* (2015) [15], Kumar *et al.* (2017) [13], Swetha *et al.* (2018) [20] and Aswani *et al.* (2021) [3].

### Number of leaves plant<sup>1</sup>

The significant differences for number of leaves at different

dates of planting was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. Among the planting dates, the highest number of leaves of 1073.98 for S<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>1</sub> of 1041.70 and lowest number of leaves of 532.20 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 120 DAP. Similarly the highest number of leaves of 790.93 for S<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>1</sub> of 682.50 and lowest number of leaves of 398.78 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP.

The significant differences for number of leaves among different levels of nutrients was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. The highest number of leaves of 925.75 for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>3</sub> (886.90) and lowest number of number of leaves of 615.28 was recorded in N<sub>4</sub> at 120 DAP. However, the highest number of leaves of 641.95 for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>3</sub> of 612.63 and lowest number of leaves of 468.87 was recorded in N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP (Table 1).

The significant differences for interaction effects was recorded at 120 and 150 DAP. Among the interaction effects the highest number of number of leaves 1238.93 in S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> of 1220.47 whereas the lowest number of number of leaves of 326.20 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> at 120 DAP. And also the highest number of number of leaves 865.00 in S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> of 861.27 whereas the lowest number of leaves of 197.13 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP (Table 2).

The number of leaves were increased with increase in age of the crop but at 150 DAP the number of leaves per plant decreased due to leaf shedding. The increased growth parameters like plant height, number of primary and secondary branches further increased the number of leaves per plant. Number of leaves were highest in early planting dates might be due to the added benefits of organic fertilizers, biofertilizers to soil in conjunction with inorganic fertilizers which increases availability of nutrients due to improvement in physical and biological properties of soil, which in turn results in formation of more number of leaves and also due to appropriate temperature prevailed during the growth period. The lowest number of leaves was recorded in last date of planting and organic treatments at 150 DAP this might be due to slowed down of growth rate, leaf senescence and low availability of nutrients. Similar results were also observed by Akhtar *et al.* (2009) [1], Sharma *et al.* (2012) [19], Taleie *et al.* (2012) [22], Brar *et al.* (2014) [5], Elrasheed *et al.* (2014) [7], Sakr *et al.* (2014) [17], Nilofer *et al.* (2015) [15], Kumar *et al.* (2017) [13], Jnanasha *et al.* (2018) [11] Aswani *et al.* (2021) [3] and Hamed *et al.* (2021) [9].

### Fresh herbage yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>)

The yield parameter fresh herbage yield per plant was recorded at 100 DAP (First harvest) and 150 DAP (Final harvest) for the effect of planting dates, nutrients and their interaction effects (Table 1).

The significant differences for fresh herbage yield per plant at different planting dates was recorded at 100 DAP (First harvest) and 150 DAP (Final harvest). Among the dates of planting, the highest fresh herbage yield per plant of 106.96 for S<sub>1</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub> of 102.22 and lowest fresh herbage yield per plant of 59.05 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 100 DAP. At final harvest the highest fresh herbage yield per plant of 66.84 for S<sub>3</sub> followed by S<sub>2</sub> of 58.55 and lowest fresh herbage yield per plant of 56.06 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub> at 150 DAP.

The significant differences for fresh herbage yield per plant among different levels of nutrients was recorded at 100 DAP (First harvest) and 150 DAP (Final harvest). The highest fresh



herbage yield per plant of 99.16 for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>3</sub> (96.43) and lowest fresh herbage yield per plant of 67.86 was recorded in N<sub>1</sub> at 100 DAP. At final harvest the highest fresh herbage yield per plant of 62.89 for N<sub>2</sub> followed by N<sub>3</sub> of 61.98 and lowest fresh herbage yield per plant of 53.21 was recorded in N<sub>1</sub> at 150 DAP.

The significant differences for interaction effects was recorded at 100 DAP (First harvest) and 150 DAP (Final harvest). Among the interaction effects the highest fresh herbage yield per plant of 137.04 in S<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> followed by S<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> of 134.98 whereas the lowest fresh herbage yield per plant of 49.15 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> at 100 DAP. At final harvest the highest fresh herbage yield per plant of 79.30 in S<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> followed by S<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> of 72.42 whereas the lowest fresh herbage yield per plant of 45.83 was recorded in S<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (Table 2).

Sustained availability of nutrients for longer periods results in luxuriant growth of plants which leads to increased growth parameters like higher plant height, number of leaves,

branches and leaf area might be the possible reason for higher fresh herbage yield per plant. Moderate temperature and ample sunshine hours at the time of planting and first harvest gave higher yields. In addition use of biofertilizers increases root biomass, nitrogen supply through fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, increases water holding capacity for prolonged period makes luxuriant nutrient availability for crop growth. As per the results N<sub>2</sub> treatment and early planting dates can be followed without reduction in herbage yield. Lowest yields in N<sub>4</sub> and S<sub>4</sub> at first and final harvest might be due to lack of soil moisture, nutrients leaching losses and volatilization of nitrogen from the soil. This was in line with the findings of Sarma and Kanjilal *et al.* (2000) [18], Rajeswararao *et al.* (2001) [16], Taleie *et al.* (2012) [22], Brar *et al.* (2014) [5], Elrasheed *et al.* (2014) [7], Nilofer *et al.* (2015) [15], Jnanesha *et al.* (2018) [11], Mahantesh *et al.* (2019) [14], Aswani (2021) [3] and Hamed *et al.* (2021) [9].

**Table 1:** Effect of planting date and nutrients on growth and yield parameters during *Summer* season in mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		Plant spread (cm)		No. of Primary branches		No. of Secondary branches		No. of Leaves		Fresh herbage yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	
	120 DAP	150 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	120 DAP	150 DAP	100 DAP	150 DAP
<b>Planting dates</b>												
S <sub>1</sub>	59.52	62.70	91.63	91.79	27.25	31.93	5.44	6.83	1041.70	682.50	106.96	56.09
S <sub>2</sub>	65.42	66.75	87.09	89.40	31.22	30.95	6.26	7.63	1073.98	790.93	102.22	58.55
S <sub>3</sub>	61.86	62.28	97.99	88.37	23.72	25.30	5.85	7.24	541.40	429.15	76.08	66.84
S <sub>4</sub>	47.58	49.75	65.33	70.04	12.08	14.52	4.23	5.64	532.20	398.78	59.05	56.06
S.Em±	0.67	0.73	0.97	1.20	1.02	0.82	0.23	0.20	12.48	11.20	3.76	1.88
CD (P = 0.05)	1.94	2.10	2.81	3.45	2.96	2.36	0.66	0.57	36.04	32.35	10.85	5.42
<b>Nutrients (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>												
N <sub>1</sub>	57.43	55.53	83.85	84.26	23.63	25.38	5.66	7.04	761.35	577.92	67.86	53.21
N <sub>2</sub>	63.80	66.80	91.92	89.20	25.17	27.57	5.90	7.29	925.75	641.95	96.43	62.89
N <sub>3</sub>	60.43	63.43	84.99	83.30	25.00	27.55	5.60	7.03	886.90	612.63	99.16	61.98
N <sub>4</sub>	52.72	55.72	81.28	82.84	20.47	22.20	4.62	5.97	615.28	468.87	80.86	59.47
S.Em±	0.67	0.73	0.97	1.20	1.02	0.82	0.23	0.20	12.48	11.20	3.76	1.88
CD (P = 0.05)	1.94	2.10	2.81	3.45	2.96	2.36	0.66	0.57	36.04	32.35	10.85	5.42

DAP- Days after transplanting

NS- Non significant

S<sub>1</sub>: First fortnight of February, S<sub>2</sub>: Second fortnight of February, S<sub>3</sub>: First fortnight of March, S<sub>4</sub>: First fortnight of March

N<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDN, N<sub>2</sub>: 75% RDN+ (12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost) + Rhizobium + PSB + KMB, N<sub>3</sub>: 50% RDN + (25% FYM + 25% Vermicompost) + Rhizobium + PSB + KMB, N<sub>4</sub>: 100% organic (50% FYM + 50% Vermicompost)

**Table 2:** Interaction effects of planting date and nutrients on growth and yield parameters during *Summer* season in mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.)

Planting dates	Plant height (cm)				Mean	Plant spread (cm)				Mean	Primary branches				Mean
	Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 150 DAP					Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 150 DAP					Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 150 DAP				
	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>		N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>		N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>	
S <sub>1</sub>	57.89	69.85	66.27	56.77	62.70	84.36	101.35	89.50	91.94	91.79	30.80	36.00	36.47	24.47	31.93
S <sub>2</sub>	57.41	72.33	70.39	66.89	66.75	87.85	94.81	88.95	86.01	89.40	31.67	31.00	32.13	29.00	30.95
S <sub>3</sub>	56.94	69.95	65.73	56.49	62.28	88.17	87.44	86.12	91.76	88.37	25.47	28.13	24.53	23.07	25.30
S <sub>4</sub>	49.87	55.05	51.33	42.73	49.75	76.67	73.21	68.62	61.67	70.04	13.60	15.07	17.13	12.27	14.52
Mean	55.53	66.80	63.43	55.72		84.26	89.20	83.30	82.84		25.38	27.55	27.57	22.20	
S.Em±	1.46					2.39					1.63				
CD (P= 0.05)	4.21					6.90					NS				

Planting dates	Secondary branches				Mean	No. of leaves				Mean	No. of leaves				Mean
	Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 150 DAP					Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 120 DAP					Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 150 DAP				
	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>		N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>		N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>	
S <sub>1</sub>	5.97	7.70	6.67	6.97	6.83	983.20	1159.40	1158.47	865.73	1041.70	683.27	724.67	713.73	608.33	682.50
S <sub>2</sub>	7.67	7.43	9.40	6.00	7.63	991.40	1238.93	1220.47	845.13	1073.98	759.13	865.00	861.27	678.33	790.93
S <sub>3</sub>	8.07	8.47	6.73	5.70	7.24	521.93	622.40	597.20	424.07	541.40	413.80	468.87	442.27	391.67	429.15
S <sub>4</sub>	6.47	5.57	5.33	5.20	5.64	548.87	682.27	571.47	326.20	532.20	455.47	509.27	433.27	197.13	398.78
Mean	7.04	7.29	7.03	5.97		761.35	925.75	886.90	615.28		577.92	641.95	612.63	468.87	
S.Em±	0.39					24.96					22.40				
CD (P=0.05)	1.13					72.09					64.70				

Planting dates	Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Mean		Nutrients (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Mean
	100 DAP						150 DAP				
	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>1</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>			
S <sub>1</sub>	80.90	126.56	134.98	85.41	106.96	57.06	55.49	56.51	55.32	56.09	
S <sub>2</sub>	80.32	108.86	137.04	82.68	102.22	53.13	57.48	66.14	57.46	58.55	
S <sub>3</sub>	57.00	81.57	59.57	106.20	76.08	54.05	72.42	61.61	79.30	66.84	
S <sub>4</sub>	53.23	68.75	65.04	49.15	59.05	48.59	66.16	63.67	45.83	56.06	
Mean	67.86	96.43	99.16	80.86		53.21	62.89	61.98	59.47		
S.Em±	7.52						3.76				
CD (P=0.05)	21.71						10.85				

## Conclusions

The impact of suitable planting date with congenial climatic conditions and integrated use of nutrients played an important role on performance of mint under north coastal plains of Andhra Pradesh. This study highlights the significance of usage of biofertilizers along with organic and inorganic source of nutrients on growth and yield characteristics. First fortnight of February and second fortnight of February planting with nutrient dose of N<sub>2</sub> (75% RDN + (12.5% FYM + 12.5% Vermicompost) + Rhizobium + PSB + KMB) followed by N<sub>3</sub> (50% RDN + (25% FYM + 25% Vermicompost) + Rhizobium + PSB + KMB).

## References

- Akhtar N, Sarker MAM, Akhter H, Nada MK. Effect of planting time and micronutrient as zinc chloride on the growth, yield and oil content of *Mentha piperita*. Bangladesh Journal of Sciences and Industrial Research. 2009;44(1):125-30.
- Amir S, Mensure O. Influence of planting date on growth, yield and volatile oil of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) under marginal land conditions. Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants. 2021;24(2):360-73.
- Aswani JS, Pushpa TN, Srikantaprasad D, Vijaykumar G, Chaya P, Biradar B. A study on comparative growth evaluation of integrated nutrient management in menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.). Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2021;13(1):169-73.
- Aswani JS, Pushpa TN, Srikantaprasad D, Vijaykumar G, Chaya P, Biradar B. Influence of biofertilizers and bioformulations on biomass, yield and essential oil of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.). Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2020;12(1):139-43.
- Brar SK, Gill BS, Brar AS, Kaur T. Straw mulch effect on biomass yield, oil yield and oil quality of Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) harvested at successive intervals. Journal of Essential Oil Research. 2014;17(4):676-95.
- Chauhan RK, Anwar M, Chand S, Patra DD. Influence of different dates of planting on growth, herb, oil yield and quality of essential oil of Menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis*) in North Indian Plain. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2012;58(2):223-32.
- Elrasheed AS, Gaafer M, Hasan EK. Effect of spacing and seasonal variation on growth parameters, yield and oil content of mint plants. Journal of Forest Products and Industries. 2014;3(2):71-74.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures in Agricultural Research. New York Chichester Wiley. 1984 2<sup>nd</sup> edition; c1984. p. 680.
- Hameed KM, Seyed AMM, Fatemeh S, Ali MB, Hossein M. Irrigation and fertilizer treatments affecting rosmarinic acid accumulation, total phenolic content, antioxidant potential and correlation between them in peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.). Journal of Irrigation Science. 2021;10(1):21-29.
- Jayanthi M, Vijayakumar A, Vananagamudi K, Rajamani K. Influence of date of planting on growth and yield attributes and resultant seed quality of davana. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013;4:1721-24.
- Jnanesha AC, Ashish K, Vijaya K. Effect of seasonal variation on growth and oil yield in *Ocimum africanum* L. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(3):73-77.
- Joy PP, Mathew S, Jose G, Joseph J. Aromatic Plants. Kerala, India; c1998. p. 1-72.
- Kumar R, Neetu V, Singh R, Upadhyay RK, Tiwari AK. Effect of sowing dates and planting distance on growth, oil and seed yield of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2017;35(1):165-69.
- Mahantesh PS, Hegde NK, Athani SI, Hegde L, Gurumurthy SB, Ratnakar M, et al. Influence of growing condition and integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of Patchouli. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;8(1):12-14.
- Nilofer P, Singh S, Singh KA, Singh HN, Kalra A. Effect of weather conditions on growth, yield and quality of Menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) cultivars transplanted in different years on different dates under sub-tropical climate of North India. Indian Journal of Agriculture Research. 2015;6(2):82-88.
- Rajeswararao BR, Kaul PN, Ramesh S. Effect of seasonal climatic changes on biomass yield and terpenoid composition of rose – scented geranium. Journal of Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2001;24(7):627-35.
- Sakr MT, Heba M, Ibrahim M, Shalan MN, Amira AA. Efficacy of some organic and biofertilizers on growth, yield and its quality of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) plants. Journal of Plant Production. 5 (7): 1209-24.
- Sarma PC, Kanjilal PB. Effect of planting time and row spacing on growth, yield and quality of patchouli (*Pogostemon patchouli*). Journal of Advances in Plant Sciences. 2000;13(1):201-04.
- Sharma S. Effect of dates of transplanting on the growth and oil yield of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.). Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012;2(7):130-132.
- Shwetha D, Pushpa TN, Srikantaprasad D, Kantharajan V, Biradar IB, Shalini RM, et al. Effects of dates of planting on growth, yield and quality of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) cultivars planted during Rabi season. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(9):625-33.
- Shwetha D, Pushpa TN, Srikantaprasad D, Kantharajan V, Biradar IB, Shalini RM, et al. Effects of dates of

- planting on growth, yield and quality of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) cultivars during Kharif season. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(10):468-78.
22. Taleie N, Hamidoghli Y, Rabiei B, Hamidoghli S. Effects of plant density and transplanting date on herbage, stevioside, phenol and flavonoid yield of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences. 2012;4(6):298-02.