www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(5): 4466-4469 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 05-02-2023 Accepted: 16-03-2023

Geetha Devi G

Ph.D. Scholar, ANGRAU, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Padmavathi G

Principal Scientist, Crop Improvement Section, Department of Plant Breeding, IIRR, Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Jhansi Lakshmi V

Head and Principal Scientist, Department of Entomology, IIRR, Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Roja V

Scientist, Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, APGC, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

Suneetha Y

Senior Scientist, Department of Plant Breeding, RARS, Maruteru, Andhra Pradesh, India

Srinivasa Rao V

Associate Dean, Department of Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Geetha Devi G Ph.D. Scholar, ANGRAU, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh, India

Parental polymorphic survey for white backed plant hopper resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using SSR markers

Geetha Devi G, Padmavathi G, Jhansi Lakshmi V, Roja V, Suneetha Y and Srinivasa Rao V

Abstract

This study aims to find the polymorphic simple sequence repeat markers for white backed plant hopper insect resistance among the recipient parent (Narendra Dhan 359) and donor parent (MO1) of rice. A total of 856 random microsatellite markers are evenly distributed across the 12 chromosomes were used for the current polymorphic survey. Among these, 126 markers were found polymorphic by distinct banding patterns. The highest percentage of polymorphism was observed on chromosome 5 (28%), while chromosome 9 (4%) recorded the lowest polymorphism. The identified polymorphic markers across the 12 chromosomes will be harness in further linkage map construction and mapping of QTLs associated with WBPH resistance.

Keywords: White backed plant hopper, resistance, polymorphism and QTLs

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the staple food crop for more than half of the world's population. India ranks 1st in area (47m ha) and second in production (132 mt) globally (USDA/ FAO, 2023) ^[5]. The productivity of rice is being affected by a number of biotic and abiotic stresses. Among various biotic stresses affecting rice crop insect pests occupy a major position. The warm and humid environment prevalent in rice growing ecosystems is conducive for the proliferation of insect pests. Losses caused by the insect pests are the main constraint in achieving high yield of rice. Both nymphs and adults of white backed plant hopper (WBPH) suck the phloem sap and under severe infestation complete drying and death of crop occurs, popularly called as hopper-burn. Host plant resistance is the most effective breeding strategy to manage with WBPH.

White-backed plant hopper have emerged as serious pests in many rice growing states round the year. During the *Kharif*, 2008 there was a severe hoppers in Punjab and Haryana in about 120, 000 ha. These have been a regular pest in the East & West Godavari districts in Andhra Pradesh, Bellary and Sindanur areas in Karnataka and in Burdwan district of West Bengal during *kharif*, 2009-2012 (Prakash *et al.*, 2014)^[3].

With this background the present experiment is carried to understand the genetic basis of resistance and location of WBPH resistance genes/QTLs present in an universal donor namely MO1 the standard resistant check variety used in WBPH screening programmes using SSR markers. Once identified, the genes/QTLs may further be fine mapped and validated in future for utilization in marker assisted selection of WBPH resistance in breeding programs.

Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted at Indian Institute of Rice Research (Molecular breeding laboratory at Crop Improvement Division), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. In order to map QTLs for white backed plant hopper resistance trait, parents were screened by using SSR markers to establish parental polymorphism survey among them. Resistant parent (MO1) and susceptible parent (Narendra Dhan 359) were screened for polymorphism survey by SSR primers. About 856 SSR primers distributed equidistantly over the 12 rice chromosomes are utilized to conduct current study.

Leaf sample collection and DNA isolation

Total genomic DNA of 25 days old seedlings was extracted using 2% Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) method (Murray and Thomson, 1980)^[2] as described below. About 100g leaves from nursery were collected in zip lock plastic covers. These samples were then stored in -20°c deep freezer. Frozen leaf tissues about 100mg were ground to a fine powder with liquid nitrogen using mortar and pestle and finely grinded powder was transferred to 2.0 ml centrifuge tubes. 800µl of CTAB buffer was added and incubated for 30 minutes at 65 °C in water bath. During incubation, the contents were occasionally mixed two to three times by inverting the tubes gently. 800µl of chloroform: isoamylalcohol (24:1) was added to the tubes and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes at room temperature. The upper aqueous phase was transferred to fresh tubes with the help of micropipette. Care was taken to avoid debris inclusion. 700ul of chilled iso-propanol was added and mixed well by inverting the tubes. The samples were refrigerated at -20 °C for overnight and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes to deposit pellet and the supernatant was discarded. The DNA pellet was washed with 200µl of 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes. Ethanol was discarded and the pellet was air-dried and finally the purified DNA pellet was dissolved in 100 µl of 1x TE buffer. It was kept overnight at room temperature. The DNA samples were stored at -20 °C for long term stability. The extracted genomic DNA quantity and quality was analyzed by nano drop.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

A set of 856 SSR markers covering all the 12 chromosomes of rice were used for parental polymorphism survey in the present study. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in a total volume of 10 μ l containing 2 μ l of template DNA, random (forward and reverse) primers (0.5 μ l each), 4.0 μ l Takara PCR master mix and 3.0 μ l sterile distilled water. It was placed in a PCR thermal cycler with 96 wells. The protocol begins with a 5 minutes initial denaturation at 94 °C and continues with 35 cycles of 30 seconds at 94 °C for denaturation, 30 seconds at 55 °C for primer annealing, 1 minute at 72 °C for extension, and 7 minutes at 72 °C for final extension.

Agarose gel electrophoresis and gel documentation

The PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis using a 3.0% agarose gel using a gel Electrophoresis Unit. About 12.0 g of agarose and 400 ml of 1X TAE buffer added and place into a microwave oven to melt agarose. Gel-casting tray and combs were wiped with ethanol. After the agarose had cooled to room temperature, two microlitres of ethidium bromide added to the melted agarose solution and the mixture was poured onto a gel cast tray, solidification takes about 20 to 30 minutes. The gel was transferred to the electrophoresis unit

containing 1X TAE buffer at 120volts. The DNA fragments were visualized under UV transilluminator and documented using gel documentation system.

Statistical Analysis

The percentage of polymorphism was calculated by using the formula

Number of polymorphic markers

= ----- x 100

Total number of markers

To visualise the marker data, GGT 2.0 programme was used. Visualization of the distribution of polymorphic markers along the length of the chromosome according to their physical positions (Mb) was obtained by GGT, as shown in Figure.1.

Results and Discussion

Polymorphic survey carried out between WBPH resistant donor parents (MO1) and the susceptible parent (NDR 359). Total 856 SSR markers were selected equidistantly to cover the entire genome, out of 856 markers 126 markers were found to be polymorphic between the parents exhibiting 17.1% polymorphism. Total number of markers on each chromosome and percentage of polymorphism on each chromosome between parents are provided in the Table 1. The List of identified polymorphic SSR markers are provided in Table 2. The frequency distribution of markers on each chromosome depicted in Figure2. Chromosome 5 to be having the highest number (15) of polymorphic markers, followed by chromosomes 3 (14) and chromosome 1, 6, 7 shown 13 polymorphic markers each. The lowest number (5) was identified on chromosome 9, followed by chromosomes 8 and 12 shown (7) markers on each chromosome. The percentage of polymorphism ranged from 4% (Chromosome 9) to 28% (Chromosome 5) with an average of 15.4%. Highest genetic variability observed on chromosome 5 whereas lowest observed on chromosome 9. Similar polymorphic study reported by using a set of 514 SSR primers covered all the 12 chromosomes were used for testing polymorphism between parents TN1 and Sinnasivappu and of these, 128 markers were found polymorphic between the parents was reported by Ramesh et al. (2014)^[4]. Similar finding reported by Ishwarya Lakshmi et al. (2021)^[1] by using a total of 494 random microsatellite markers evenly distributed across 12 chromosomes were used for the polymorphic survey, among these 87 markers were found polymorphic between M229 and Telangana Sona parents. The identified polymorphic markers will be further utilized in construction of linkage map, mapping of quantitative trait loci, identifying the genes responsible for understanding of genetic mechanisms of the variation underlying WBPH resistance.

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

Table 1: Chromosome wise percentage of SSR markers showing polymorphism between parents NDR 359 and MO1

| Chromosome number | Total No. of markers screened for each chromosome | No. of Polymorphic markers on each chromosome | No. of monomorphic markers on each chromosome | Percentage of polymorphism on each chromosome | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | 81 | 13 | 68 | 16 | |
| 2 | 84 | 12 | 72 | 14 | |
| 3 | 81 | 14 | 67 | 17 | |
| 4 | 72 | 10 | 62 | 14 | |
| 5 | 53 | 15 | 38 | 28 | |
| 6 | 68 | 13 | 55 | 19 | |
| 7 | 79 | 13 | 66 | 16 | |
| 8 | 66 | 7 | 59 | 11 | |
| 9 | 114 | 5 | 109 | 4 | |
| 10 | 48 | 9 | 39 | 19 | |
| 11 | 52 | 8 | 44 | 15 | |
| 12 | 58 | 7 | 51 | 12 | |
| | 856 | 126 | 730 | | |



Fig 1: Distribution of 126 polymorphic SSR markers on 12 chromosomes of rice (image by GGT 2.0)



Fig 2: Histogram depicting the number of polymorphic markers on each chromosome between parents NDR 359 and MO1

| S. No. | Ch. No. | Marker |
|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| 1 | 1 | RM1 | 33 | 3 | RM426 | 65 | 6 | RM528 | 97 | 8 | RM3452 |
| 2 | 1 | RM11111 | 34 | 3 | RM15741 | 66 | 6 | RM8072 | 98 | 9 | RM23957 |
| 3 | 1 | RM493 | 35 | 3 | RM168 | 67 | 6 | RM7213 | 99 | 9 | RM23662 |
| 4 | 1 | RM1095 | 36 | 3 | RM227 | 68 | 6 | RM564 | 100 | 9 | RM24263 |
| 5 | 1 | RM6489 | 37 | 3 | RM15630 | 69 | 6 | RM402 | 101 | 9 | RM5657 |
| 6 | 1 | RM5794 | 38 | 3 | RM5686 | 70 | 6 | RM7434 | 102 | 9 | RM23736 |
| 7 | 1 | RM12276 | 39 | 3 | RM545 | 71 | 6 | RM1369 | 103 | 10 | RM1126 |
| 8 | 1 | RM10782 | 40 | 4 | RM3785 | 72 | 6 | RM3431 | 104 | 10 | RM6179 |
| 9 | 1 | RM7075 | 41 | 4 | RM3531 | 73 | 6 | RM20352 | 105 | 10 | RM6142 |
| 10 | 1 | RM3746 | 42 | 4 | RM1142 | 74 | 6 | RM527 | 106 | 10 | RM311 |
| 11 | 1 | RM4959 | 43 | 4 | RM5608 | 75 | 6 | RM19660 | 107 | 10 | RM6364 |
| 12 | 1 | RM10793 | 44 | 4 | RM5979 | 76 | 6 | RM19771 | 108 | 10 | RM484 |
| 13 | 1 | RM488 | 45 | 4 | RM1388 | 77 | 6 | RM20377 | 109 | 10 | RM25152 |
| 14 | 2 | RM3763 | 46 | 4 | RM307 | 78 | 7 | RM3555 | 110 | 10 | RM6673 |
| 15 | 2 | RM4355 | 47 | 4 | RM16335 | 79 | 7 | RM336 | 111 | 10 | RM25366 |
| 16 | 2 | RM6 | 48 | 4 | RM261 | 80 | 7 | RM21521 | 112 | 11 | RM286 |
| 17 | 2 | RM5622 | 49 | 4 | RM5633 | 81 | 7 | RM3635 | 113 | 11 | RM26063 |
| 18 | 2 | RM6367 | 50 | 5 | RM3170 | 82 | 7 | RM3186 | 114 | 11 | RM26998 |
| 19 | 2 | RM5101 | 51 | 5 | RM18297 | 83 | 7 | RM248 | 115 | 11 | RM27154 |
| 20 | 2 | RM5706 | 52 | 5 | RM6082 | 84 | 7 | RM11 | 116 | 11 | RM26281 |
| 21 | 2 | RM262 | 53 | 5 | RM169 | 85 | 7 | RM6655 | 117 | 11 | RM26569 |
| 22 | 2 | RM7485 | 54 | 5 | RM5140 | 86 | 7 | RM21963 | 118 | 11 | RM26832 |
| 23 | 2 | RM12569 | 55 | 5 | RM1024 | 87 | 7 | RM6835 | 119 | 11 | RM27134 |
| 24 | 2 | RM174 | 56 | 5 | RM5374 | 88 | 7 | RM7110 | 120 | 12 | RM1227 |
| 25 | 2 | RM13600 | 57 | 5 | RM17959 | 89 | 7 | RM2715 | 121 | 12 | RM5313 |
| 26 | 3 | RM231 | 58 | 5 | RM17960 | 90 | 7 | RM125 | 122 | 12 | RM27542 |
| 27 | 3 | RM468 | 59 | 5 | RM17962 | 91 | 8 | RM44 | 123 | 12 | RM1047 |
| 28 | 3 | RM85 | 60 | 5 | RM18349 | 92 | 8 | RM3845 | 124 | 12 | RM7018 |
| 29 | 3 | RM3525 | 61 | 5 | RM18614 | 93 | 8 | RM3644 | 125 | 12 | RM7195 |
| 30 | 3 | RM2346 | 62 | 5 | RM3381 | 94 | 8 | RM3409 | 126 | 12 | RM511 |
| 31 | 3 | RM6881 | 63 | 5 | RM249 | 95 | 8 | RM407 | | | |
| 32 | 3 | RM7576 | 64 | 5 | RM5907 | 96 | 8 | RM8264 | | | |

References

- 1. Ishwarya Lakshmi VG, Sreedhar M, Lakshmi VJ, Gireesh C, Vanisri SRS. Parental polymorphism survey using genome-wide SSR markers for brown planthopper resistance in rice, 2021.
- Murray M, Thompson WF. Rapid isolation of molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acid Res. 1980;8:4321-4325.
- 3. Prakash A, Rao J, Berliner J, Mukherjee A, Adak T, Lenka S, *et al.* Emerging pest scenario in rice in India. J

Appl. Zool. Res. 2014;25(2):179-181.

- Ramesh K, Padmavathi G, Deen R, Pandey MK, Jhansi Lakshmi V, Bentur JS. Whitebacked planthopper Sogatella furcifera (Horváth) (Homoptera: Delphacidae) resistance in rice variety Sinna Sivappu. *Euphytica*, 2014;200:139-148.
- USDA/FAO. 2023. https://apps.fas.usda.gov (United States Department of Agriculture/ Foreign Agricultural Service Circular Series WAP 2023)