www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(5): 905-909 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 08-02-2023 Accepted: 12-03-2023

Shruti M Duggani

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture Arabhavi, Karnataka, India

AM Shirol

Department of Floriculture and Landscape Archtecture, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

BS Kulkarni Dean,College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

GH Krishnamurthy Department of agricultural engineering, College of Horticulture, Mysuru, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Shruti M Duggani Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture Arabhavi, Karnataka, India

Standardization of spacing and fertilizer for high yield, quality and nutrient status in bachelor's button CV.AGS-5

Shruti M Duggani, AM Shirol, BS Kulkarni and GH Krishnamurthy

Abstract

This study was carried out to investigate the standardization of spacing and fertilizer on growth and quality of Bachelor's button cv.AGS-5. The treatments consisted of six fertilizer levels (F₁:150:75:75 NPK kg per ha, F₂:150:75:150 NPK kg per ha, F₃:200:75:75 NPK kg per ha, F₄:200:75:150 NPK kg per ha, F₅:250:75:75 NPK kg per ha, F₆:250:75:150 NPK kg per ha), two spacing levels (S₁: 45 cm x 30 cm and S₂: 60 cm x 30 cm) tested in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The vegetative parameter *viz.*, plant height increased with decrease in spacing level and increase in nutrition. The interaction of S₁F₆ (45 x 30 cm: 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha) recorded higher plant height. Plant spread, number of branches, stem girth, number of leaves. Flower production was significantly influenced by spacing at 45 x 30 cm, and nutrition at 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha. The treatment combination of S₁F₆ (45 x 30 cm: 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha) not combination of S₁F₆ (45 x 30 cm; 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha). It is on par with S₁F₅ i.e 17.64 t/ha. Increasing levels of spacing and nutrition increased the duration of flowering, flower diameter, flower weight, shelf life of flower on plant and seed yield significantly. Duration of flowering, flower diameter, flower weight, shelf life of flower on plant and seed yield proved superior with 60 x 30cm spacing and nutrition at 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha.

Keywords: Spacing, fertilizer, yield, quality, nutrient status bachelors button

Introduction

Bachelor's button (*Gomphrena globosa*) belongs to the family Amaranthaceae. It is also known as Globe amaranth. It is native to India. It is an annual flower crop that grows up to 45 to 60 cm tall with linear and alternate leaves. The flower heads are about one and half cm across and are available in blue, purple, white, rose or red colours. It is a drought tolerant annual. The leaves are covered with small white hairs resulting in blue-gray appearance. The upper half of the plant is multi-stemmed and producing many flowers. Bachelor's button is a leading commercial dry flower crop with immense export potential. It occupies seventh position in the world dry flower market (Anon, 1989)^[3]. In India, it is grown in some parts of Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Kerala and Andhra Pradesh. In Karnataka, it is grown in Dharwad, Raichur, Bellary and Bangaluru districts. Nutrition is one of the most important aspects in increasing the flower yield. A suitable fertilizer dose and planting density will certainly help in deciding the yield and quality of flowers. The present investigation was, therefore undertaken in order to determine the most suitable spacing and fertilizer dose in Bachelor's button cv. AGS-5.

Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out on bachelor's button (*Gomphrena globosa*) cv.AGS-5 in factorial randomized block design with three replications during the year of 2015 under field condition at department of Floriculture and Landscape Architect, K.R.C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi. The treatments consisted of six fertilizer levels (F_1 :150:75:75 NPK kg per ha, F_2 :150:75:150 NPK kg per ha, F_3 :200:75:75 NPK kg per ha, F_4 :200:75:150 NPK kg per ha, F_5 :250:75:75 NPK kg per ha, F_6 :250:75:150 NPK kg per ha), two spacing levels (S_1 : 45 cm x 30 cm and S_2 : 60 cm x 30 cm), seeds are sown in pro- trays one month after sowing the seedlings are transplanted to main field with a two spacing levels (S_1 : 45 cm x 30 cm and S_2 : 60 cm x 30 cm) as per the treatment. The experimental plots were incorporated with well decomposed FYM, Half dose of N and full dose of P and K was applied as a basal dose and remaining half dose applied at 30 days after transplanting.

All cultural operations were carried out uniformly. Nitrogen content in leaf was estimated by Modified Kjeldahls procedure, phosphors by calorimetric method and potash with the help of flame photometer as described by Jackson (1967) ^[10]. Available nitrogen in the soil was determined by alkaline permanganate method as outlined by Subbiah and Asija (1956) ^[19], available phosphorus was estimated by colorimetric method as outlined by Olsen *et al.* (1954) ^[15] and available potassium was extracted with neutral normal ammonium acetate and the quantity was determined by using flame photometer as suggested by Stanford and English (1963) ^[21] expressed in kg per hectare. The data was recorded on different growth and yield parameters from five tagged plants and average was statistically analyzed.

Result and Discussion

Growth parameter

The treatment differences due to different levels spacing and fertilizer application were significant for plant height, number of branches, and plant spread (Table 1).

At 90 DAT except plant height, number of branches, and plant spread at both direction N-S and E-W was recorded maximum in (S₂) 60 x 30 cm spacing (18.36, 47.56 cm and 47.24 cm)which was significantly higher than S₁ (17.26, 45.05 cm and 45.59 cm).plant height was recorded maximum in S₁(61.91). In case Fertilizer doses At 90 DAT plant height (70.12 cm), number of branches (23.06), and plant spread at both direction N-S (54.13 cm) and E-W (53.78) was recorded maximum F₆.and it was minimum inF₁.

In case of interaction the wider spacing with higher fertilizer dose (S_2F_6) shows maximum plant height (68.03 cm), number of branches (25.00), and plant spread at both direction N-S (58.60 cm) and E-W (57.83 cm).

Treatment combination S_1F_6 (60 cm x 30 cm and 250:75:150 NPK kg per hectare) influenced in producing longest statured plants. While the treatment combination S_2F_1 which was found on par with certain other treatments at all stages the crop growth recoded minimum plant height. The *Gomphrena* plants in the S_1F_6 treatment, picked-up the effect in the early stages of the period of the crop growth. This effect continued till the end of the period of the crop growth. In contrast, the plants in the treatment S_2F_1 picked-up the effect at later stages resulting in shortest statured *Gomphrena* plants and found on par with other treatments.

Whereas, with regard to branches per plant, spread of plant, the treatment combination S_2F_6 (60 cm x 30 cm and 250:75:15 NPK kg per hectare) produced the maximum effect, while minimum effect was seen in treatment S_1F_1 and it was found on par with other treatments at different stages of the period of the crop growth.

This gave an indication that closer spacing of 45 cm x 30 cm coupled with higher nutrition resulted in producing longest statured *Gomphrena* plants, while wider spacing 60 cm x 30 cm coupled with higher nutrition influenced all other growth parameters except plant height.

Yield parameter

The data regarding flower and seed yield was affected by spacing, fertilizer and their interactions is presented in Table 2.

Spacing exhibited significant variation in flower and seed yield per plant. Maximum flower and seed yield per plant (189.29 g and 64.25 g) was recorded in 60 x 30 cm spacing

 (S_2) and minimum (169.29g and 52.55 g) was recorded in $S_1(45 \times 30 \text{ cm})$.but in case of per ha it was maximum in S_1 (45 x 30 cm) 15.12 t and 3.39 t/ha.

Higher fertilizer dose F6 recorded maximum seed and flower yield per plant and per ha. In case of interaction the wider spacing with higher fertilizer dose (S_2F_{6}) shows maximum flower and seed yield per plant. And yield per ha was maximum in S_1F_6 .

It is mainly due to more number of plants accommodated in the treatments comprising 45 cm x 30 cm spacing and high level of nutrition must have influenced in producing maximum weight and number of flowers per square metre, per plot and per hectare. Linkage of effect was evident from the results so obtained. Similar effects on yield with interaction was found by Venugopal (1991)^[22] in everlasting flower, John and Paul (1992)^[11] in globe amarnath, and Sodha and Dhaduk (2002)^[20] in *Solidago*, Mishra (1998)^[9] in gaillardia, Karavadia and Dhaduk (2002)^[12] in chrydanthemum, Karuppaiah and Krishna (2005)^[13] in French marigold and Sharma *et al.* 2009)^[11] in African marigold.

Quality parameters

Significant effect of interaction was seen on the quality parameter like shelf life diameter of flower and stalk length fresh and dry weight of flower (Table3).

All the quality traits recorded highest in wider spacing of 60 cm x 30 cm coupled with higher level of nutrition. It is mainly due to availability more nutrients and less competition between the plant for nutrients resulted in increase in size and weight of flower. Similar effects on yield with interaction was found by Mishra (1998)^[9] in gaillardia, Karavadia and Dhaduk (2002)^[12] in chrydanthemum, Karuppaiah and Krishna (2005)^[13] in French marigold and Sharma *et al.* (2009)^[11] in African marigold.

Available nutrient in soil after harvest

The significant difference in nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content was noticed. Nutrients supplied with $F_6:250:75:150$ NPK kg/ha recorded significantly higher available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (141.42, 9.79, and 151.67 kg/ha) respectively, and the lowest available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in soil (108.22, 7.23 and 117.13 kg/ha) respectively, was observed in the treatment F_1 (150:75:75 kg NPK/ha) after harvest. This might be due to the higher available nitrogen present in the soil and also due to external application of nitrogenous and potassium fertilizers and their preferential absorption. The linear increase in nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content upto F6 might be also due to the synergetic effect of phosphorus and potassium. Similar results were obtained by Airadevi, 2012 ^[1] in annual chrysanthemum.

Nutrient content in plant

The significant difference in nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content was noticed. Among the different nitrogen and potassium levels application of F_6 : 250:75:150 NPK kg / ha recorded higher nitrogen and potassium content respectively, (3.62% and 2.18%). and the lowest nitrogen and potassium content of 2.25 and 1.69 per cent was observed in (F_1). This might be due to the higher available nitrogen in the soil due to external application of nitrogenous and potassium fertilizers and their preferential absorption, which helps in

The Pharma Innovation Journal

higher uptake of macronutrients. The linear increase in nitrogen and potassium content upto F6 might be also due to the synergetic effect of phosphorus and potassium. Similar results were obtained by Airadevi, 2012 ^[1] in annual chrysanthemum.

Application of F_6 : 250:75:150 NPK kg / ha recorded higher phosphorus content (0.37%) in plant and the lowest

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

phosphorus content (0.24%) was observed in (F₁). It might be due to application of increased levels of nitrogen has increased phosphorus content of plant. Application of nitrogen increases the vegetative growth which in turn leads to better foraging capacity of other nutrients in order to maintain nutrient balance in the plant cell. Similar results were obtained by Airadevi, 2012^[1] in annual chrysanthemum.

Table 1: Growth parameters as influenced by different levels of spacing, fertilizer and their interaction in Gomphrena globosa cv. AGS-5

Treatment	Plant height(cm) 90 DAT	Number of branches per plant 90 DAT	Plant spread per plant (cm) N-S 90 DAT	Plant spread per plant(cm) E-W 90 DAT
		Spacing level (S)		L
S ₁ : 45 cm x 30 cm	61.91	17.26	45.05	45.59
S ₂ : 60 cm x 30 cm	58.87	18.36	47.56	47.24
S.Em.±	0.33	0.23	0.57	0.58
C.D. @ 5%	0.98	0.68	1.68	1.71
		Fertilizer (F)		
F1: 150:75:75NPK kg/ ha	53.82	14.80	42.25	42.78
F2: 150:75:150 NPKkg/ ha	55.69	16.33	44.48	44.85
F3: 200:75:75NPK kg / ha	61.31	17.54	45.95	45.78
F4: 200:75:150 NPK kg/ ha	63.73	19.42	47.12	47.28
F5: 250:75:75NPKkg/ ha	67.40	20.96	51.73	51.37
F ₆ : 250:75:150 NPK kg / ha	70.12	23.06	54.13	53.78
S.Em.±	0.58	0.40	0.99	1.01
C.D. @ 5%	1.70	1.19	2.91	2.97
<u>.</u>		Interaction (SXF)	•	
S_1F_1	54.34	13.77	41.93	42.93
S_1F_2	57.02	15.97	43.47	44.63
S_1F_3	63.77	17.54	45.07	45.10
S_1F_4	66.47	18.48	46.20	46.63
S1F5	67.93	20.57	48.60	48.64
S_1F_6	72.20	21.12	49.67	49.73
S_2F_1	53.29	15.83	42.57	42.63
S_2F_2	54.37	16.69	45.50	45.07
S_2F_3	58.85	17.54	46.84	46.47
S_2F_4	61.00	20.37	48.04	47.93
S ₂ F ₅	66.86	21.36	54.87	54.10
S_2F_6	68.03	25.00	58.60	57.83
S.Em.±	0.82	0.57	1.40	1.43
C.D. @ 5%	2.41	1.68	4.12	4.20

DAT: Days after transplanting

Table 2: Flower and seed yield as influenced by different levels of spacing, fertilizer and their interaction in Gomphrena globosa cv.AGS-5

Treatment	Flower yield per plant (g)	Flower yield per hectare(t)	Seed yield (g/plant)	Seed yield(t/ha)
	S	pacing level (S)		•
S ₁ : 45 cm x 30 cm	169.29	15.12	52.55	3.39
S ₂ : 60 cm x 30 cm	189.29	13.20	64.25	3.23
S.Em.±	2.56	0.18	0.74	0.06
C.D. @ 5%	7.52	0.53	2.19	0.18
	·	Fertilizer (F)		·
F1: 150:75:75NPK kg/ ha	151.35	12.34	49.35	2.58
F2: 150:75:150 NPKkg/ ha	160.47	13.41	52.77	2.78
F3: 200:75:75NPK kg / ha	174.58	14.35	58.64	3.22
F4: 200:75:150 NPK kg/ ha	188.68	14.63	61.39	3.60
F ₅ : 250:75:75NPKkg/ ha	221.37	16.07	69.87	4.35
F ₆ : 250:75:150 NPK kg / ha	237.82	16.68	73.30	4.80
S.Em.±	4.44	0.31	1.29	0.11
C.D. @ 5%	13.02	0.92	3.80	0.32
	In	teraction (SXF)		•
S_1F_1	150.63	12.71	42.63	2.90
S_1F_2	152.80	13.85	44.83	2.77
S ₁ F ₃	164.90	15.53	52.64	3.13
S_1F_4	174.00	15.87	55.73	3.50
S ₁ F ₅	204.10	17.64	66.93	4.63
S_1F_6	216.87	18.20	70.80	5.13
S_2F_1	152.07	11.97	56.07	2.27

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

S_2F_2	168.13	12.97	60.70	2.80
S ₂ F ₃	184.27	13.17	64.63	3.30
S ₂ F ₄	203.37	13.40	67.05	3.70
S ₂ F ₅	238.63	14.50	72.80	4.07
S_2F_6	258.77	15.17	75.80	4.47
S.Em.±	6.28	0.44	1.83	0.15
C.D. @ 5%	18.43	1.30	5.37	0.46

Table 3: Quality parameters as	s influenced by different	levels of spacing, fertilizer and th	heir interaction in Gomphrena globosa cv.AGS-5

Treatment	Flower diameter (cm)	Stalk length	Fresh weight of	Dry weight of	Shelf life
Treatment	Flower diameter (cm)	(cm)	individual flower (g)	individual flower(g)	(days)
		Spacing level	(S)		
S ₁ : 45 cm x 30 cm	1.34	19.61	0.35	0.19	3.62
S ₂ : 60 cm x 30 cm	1.38	22.34	0.44	0.23	4.21
S.Em.±	0.02	0.24	0.01	0.006	0.04
C.D. @ 5%	0.06	0.73	0.03	0.01	0.12
		Fertilizer (F)		
F1: 150:75:75NPK kg/ ha	1.18	16.64	0.25	0.13	3.30
F ₂ : 150:75:150 NPKkg/ ha	1.29	17.73	0.31	0.15	3.62
F3: 200:75:75NPK kg / ha	1.38	21.52	0.40	0.21	3.98
F4: 200:75:150 NPK kg/ ha	1.43	23.07	0.46	0.24	4.22
F5: 250:75:75NPKkg/ ha	1.52	25.93	0.56	0.31	4.45
F ₆ : 250:75:150 NPK kg / ha	1.67	28.08	0.63	0.37	4.58
S.Em.±	0.03	0.43	0.01	0.01	0.07
C.D. @ 5%	0.11	1.26	0.05	0.03	0.22
		Interaction (SX	KF)		
S_1F_1	1.18	15.85	0.25	0.13	3.27
S_1F_2	1.31	16.88	0.28	0.16	3.30
S_1F_3	1.35	19.63	0.33	0.17	3.73
S_1F_4	1.38	20.87	0.40	0.21	3.83
S_1F_5	1.50	24.83	0.46	0.28	3.97
S_1F_6	1.55	25.70	0.50	0.32	4.17
S_2F_1	1.19	17.43	0.24	0.14	3.33
S_2F_2	1.26	18.57	0.34	0.15	3.93
S_2F_3	1.41	23.40	0.47	0.24	4.23
S_2F_4	1.47	25.27	0.52	0.26	4.60
S_2F_5	1.54	27.03	0.65	0.35	4.93
S_2F_6	1.80	30.47	0.75	0.41	5.00
S.Em.±	0.05	0.61	0.02	0.015	0.10
C.D. @ 5%	0.16	1.79	0.07	0.04	0.31

 Table 4: Available nutrient status of soil and Nutrient content (%) in leaf sample as influenced by different levels of spacing, fertilizer and their interaction in *Gomphrena globosa* cv.AGS-5

Tracetore	Available nutrient status (kg/ha)			Nutrient content (%)		
Treatment	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
		Spacing le	evel (S)			
S ₁ : 45 cm x 30 cm	117.57	8.07	126.12	2.58	0.28	1.85
S ₂ : 60 cm x 30 cm	129.00	8.12	138.60	3.17	0.32	1.95
S.Em.±	0.58	0.07	0.56	0.033	0.002	0.005
C.D. @ 5%	1.71	0.22	1.66	0.097	0.006	0.015
		Fertilize	er (F)			
F1: 150:75:75NPK kg/ ha	108.22	7.23	117.13	2.25	0.24	1.69
F ₂ : 150:75:150 NPKkg/ ha	113.37	7.59	121.67	2.62	0.27	1.83
F3: 200:75:75NPK kg / ha	126.95	8.15	135.67	2.95	0.31	1.92
F4: 200:75:150 NPK kg/ ha	129.17	8.53	138.17	3.15	0.34	1.99
F5: 250:75:75NPKkg/ ha	138.73	8.97	149.17	3.40	0.35	2.07
F ₆ : 250:75:150 NPK kg / ha	141.42	9.79	151.67	3.62	0.37	2.18
S.Em.±	1.01	0.13	0.98	0.057	0.003	0.009
C.D. @ 5%	2.97	0.39	2.88	0.169	0.011	0.027
		Interaction	n (SXF)			
S_1F_1	103.10	7.17	111.60	2.17	0.22	1.64
S_1F_2	106.73	7.55	114.33	2.30	0.25	1.76
S_1F_3	120.23	8.17	128.33	2.67	0.29	1.86
S_1F_4	122.67	8.60	131.00	2.80	0.33	1.95
S_1F_5	135.13	8.89	145.33	2.97	0.34	2.03
S_1F_6	138.50	9.18	147.67	3.17	0.36	2.09

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

S_2F_1	113.33	7.30	122.67	2.33	0.25	1.73
S_2F_2	120.00	7.63	129.00	2.93	0.30	1.90
S ₂ F ₃	133.67	8.13	143.00	3.23	0.33	1.97
S ₂ F ₄	135.67	8.47	145.33	3.50	0.34	2.03
S_2F_5	142.33	9.05	153.00	3.83	0.36	2.11
S_2F_6	144.33	10.40	155.67	4.07	0.38	2.26
S.Em.±	1.43	0.19	1.39	0.081	0.005	0.013
C.D. @ 5%	4.21	0.56	4.08	0.239	0.015	0.038

Conclusion

It can be finally concluded that the combination of closer spacing (45 x 30 cm) and higher dosage of 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha was beneficial to get maximum flower and seed yield per ha. Whereas, wider spacing (60 x 30 cm) with 250:75:150 NPK kg/ ha best to get good vegetative growth, superior quality of flower in Bachelor's button cv.AGS-5.

References

- 1. Airadevi. Integrated nutrient management studies in annual chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.) Bioinfolet. 2012;9:430-434.
- 2. Amit D. Effect of plant spacing and nitrogen on growth, flowering and yield of annual chrysanthemum cv. Local White. Orissa J Hort. 2004;32(2):55-56.
- 3. Anonymous, Everlating flowers from Holland: a new product line in the ornamental range'. Agri-Holland. 19891:1-5.
- 4. Baboo R, Sharma KSK. Effect of nitrogen and potash fertilization on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). J Ornamental Hort. 1997;5(1-2):44-45.
- 5. Belgaonkar DV, Bist MA, Wakoe MB. Effect of levels of nitrogen and phosphrous with different spacings on growth and yield of annual chrysanthemums. J soils and crops. 1996;6(2):154-158.
- Deepa S, Paramesh R, Reddy DMV, Jayanthi R, Doss DD, Balakrishna P. Effect of plant density and nutrition on seed production in China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees) cv. Poornima. Environ. Ecol. 2008;26(3A):1246-1249.
- Dhatt KK, Ramesh K. Effect of planting time and spacing on plant growth, flowering and seed yield in *Coreopsis lanceolata* and *Coreopsis tinctoria*. J Ornamental Hort. 2007;10(2):105-107.
- Dorajeerao AVD, Mokashi AN, Patil VS, Venugopal CK, Lingaraju S, Koti RV. Effect of graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium*). Karnataka J Agric. Sci. 2012a;25(2):224-228.
- Gayandev B. Effect of pinching, plant nutrition and growth retardants on seed yield, quality and storage studies in China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis. Univ. of Agric. Sci. Bangalore, 2006.
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; c1967. p. 183-192.
- 11. Jhon AQ, Paul TM. Effect of spacing, nitrogen and pinching on globe amaranth (*Gomphrena globosa*). Indian J Agron. 1992;37(3):627-628.
- 12. Karavadia BN, Dhaduk BK. Effect of spacing and nitrogen on annual chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium*) cv. Local white. J Ornamental Hort., New Series. 2002;5(1):65-66.

- 13. Karuppaiah P, Krishna G. Response of spacings and nitrogen levels on growth, flowering and yield characters of French marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.). J Ornamental Hort. 2005;8(2):96-99.
- Mishra HP. Effect of nitrogen and planting density on growth and flowering of gaillardia. J Ornamental Hort. 1998;1(2):41-47.
- 15. Olsen, Sterling Robertson. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with neutral normal ammonium acetate. US Department of Agriculture, No. 939. 1954.
- 16. Sainath Uppar DS, Patil VS, Deshpande VS, Ravi H. Influence of spacing and fertilizers on growth and yield of annual chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium*). Karnataka J Agric. Sci. 2012;25(2):232-236.
- 17. Sharma DP, Nishith G, Manoj KA. Growth, yield and quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda as influenced by spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus levels. J Ornamental Hort. 2009;12(1):68-72.
- Sunitha HM, Ravihunje BS, Vyakaranahal, Babland HB. Effect of plant spacing and integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of seed and vegetative growth parameters in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). J ornamental Hort. 2007;10(4):245-249
- 19. Subbaiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure of the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Sci. 1956; 25:252-260.
- 20. Sodha BP, Dhaduk BK. Effect of spacing and nitrogen on solidago. J. ornamental Hort. 2002;5(1):63-64
- 21. The Stanford Quad, Stanford University, Book, 1963, 70.
- 22. Venugopal CK. Studies on the effect of plant density and nitrogen in growth and flower production in everlasting flower (*Helichrysum bracteatum* Andr.) cv. Tall Double Mixed. M.Sc (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India; c1991.