



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(6): 5000-5003  
© 2023 TPI

[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)

Received: 15-03-2023

Accepted: 28-04-2023

**Janhavi P Sawant**

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

**Dr. Nidhi Dubey**

Assistant Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

**Dr. Harshal Avinash**

Assistant Professor, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

**Corresponding Author:**

**Janhavi P Sawant**

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

## Studies on genetic variability in F5 segregating generation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

**Janhavi P Sawant, Dr. Nidhi Dubey and Dr. Harshal Avinash**

### Abstract

The current investigation involved the analysis of 90 wheat genotypes from an F5 segregating population to assess variability, heritability, and genetic advance. The analysis of variance demonstrated significant variability among the genotypes for 13 traits. The F5 progeny resulting from the cross DBW-110 X MP-3382 exhibited the highest grain yield per plant based on mean performance. Among the traits, high percent coefficient of variation (PCV) was observed in biological yield (34.51), followed by harvest index (33.01), grain yield per plant (30.23), ear weight (22.74), number of productive tillers per plant (21.81), chlorophyll content (18.45), test weight (15.1), number of grains per ear (13.67), number of spikelets per ear (13.54), ear length (11.9), plant height (10.52), days to maturity (6.98), and days to 50% heading (5.3). Similarly, high genetic coefficient of variation (GCV) was observed in biological yield (34.28), followed by chlorophyll index (32.99), harvest index (30.28), ear weight (20.53), number of productive tillers per plant (20.25), grain yield per plant (18.28), grain weight (14.44), number of spikelets per ear (13.18), number of grains per plant (12.91), ear length (11.21), plant height (10.18), days to maturity (6.9), and days to flowering (5.06). Furthermore, all the traits exhibited high broad sense heritability estimates. In particular, plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, ear length, number of spikelets per ear, ear weight, number of grains per ear, test weight, biological yield per plant, grain yield per plant, harvest index, and chlorophyll content demonstrated high heritability along with significant genetic advance. These results indicate that these traits can be effectively improved through direct selection.

**Keywords:** Genetic, segregating, wheat, population, *Triticum aestivum* L.

### 1. Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the second most cultivated crop in India, following rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). It serves as the staple food for approximately 40% of the global population. With India's population growing at a rate of 1.2%, ensuring sufficient food supply becomes a challenging task for plant breeders. Their goal is to enhance productivity and overall crop production, particularly of staple crops, to meet the increasing demand and achieve food security. Since the cultivable land area cannot be expanded indefinitely, the focus lies on augmenting production through the utilization of superior varieties, hybrids, efficient resource management, and effective management of biotic and abiotic stresses. These approaches provide the best available options to enhance agricultural output and sustainably address the food requirements of the country.

The initial and authentic form of cultivated bread wheat was primarily comprised of landraces. These landraces were chosen by farmers from diverse and wild wheat populations based on their exceptional performance, particularly in terms of yield and other favorable traits. This early method of selection was non-scientific in nature. However, during the process of domestication and the introduction of new wheat varieties to local environments, specific genetic characteristics were favored, causing them to diverge from their wild counterparts. This divergence was driven by the need to meet the increasing food demands of a rapidly growing population. To address the challenge of feeding a growing population and ensuring food security, it became crucial to develop novel and improved wheat varieties that exhibited high resistance to both biotic stresses (Such as diseases, insects, and pests) and abiotic stresses (such as drought, nutrient deficiencies, and heat). This necessitated the development of innovative breeding methods and approaches to unlock the genetic potential of wheat crops.

The success of crop improvement depends significantly on the extent of genetic variability and the heritability of desirable traits. Genetic variability is a crucial factor in the process of evolution, as it determines how individuals respond to environmental stresses.

This, in turn, leads to the differential survival of organisms within a population, favoring the selection of the most suitable variants through natural selection. In crop breeding, heritability and genetic advance are two important parameters for selection.

Breeders are interested in selecting superior genotypes based on their phenotypic expressions. Heritability estimates serve two primary purposes in this context. Firstly, they provide information about the phenotypic expression of traits, helping breeders understand how these traits manifest themselves. Secondly, heritability estimates offer insights into the transmission of traits from parent to progeny, aiding breeders in predicting the inheritance patterns of desired traits.

Yield and its component traits are influenced by multiple genes, and their expression is greatly influenced by environmental factors. Traits controlled by non-additive gene action tend to have high heritability but low genetic advance. On the other hand, traits controlled by additive gene action exhibit both high heritability and genetic advance.

Grain yield is a multifaceted trait that is influenced by a multitude of genetic factors and environmental fluctuations. To develop high-yielding varieties, it is crucial to have a comprehensive understanding of the existing genetic variation for yield and its various components. The observed variability in yield is a result of both genetic and environmental factors, although only the genetic component is heritable. However, relying solely on estimates of heritability does not provide insight into the expected improvement in the next generation. It is necessary to consider these estimates in conjunction with measures of genetic advance and the change in mean value between generations to gain a more accurate understanding of the potential progress (Shukla *et al.*, 2006) [41].

## 2. Materials and Methods

The study utilized a total of 90 genetically diverse genotypes of F5 segregating generation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) along with four local check varieties. The evaluation was conducted at the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics field, located within Lovely Professional University in Phagwara, Punjab. The climate in this area follows a seasonal pattern, with summers occurring between April and June and winters prevailing from November to February. The district where the university is situated primarily consists of alluvial deposits from the Indus-Ganga River system, covering approximately 18,000 square kilometers and accounting for 36% of Punjab's total area. The specific geographical coordinates of the location are approximately 31.2446771° north latitude and 75.695430° east longitude, with an altitude of around 300 feet above sea level.

The study recorded observations on 13 traits namely days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, no. of productive tillers per plant, ear length, ear weight, number of spikelets per ear, no. of grains per ear, grain weight, biological yield per plant, harvest index, grain yield per plant and chlorophyll index using an augmented design of experimentation. The planting of the material took place during the Rabi season of 2021-22, with a row-to-row spacing

of 22.5 cm. All recommended agronomic field practices were followed throughout the crop growth period. The analysis of variance was performed to partition the total variation into components attributed to treatments and replications, following the procedure outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1957) [32]. The current study involved the estimation of three types of coefficients of variation, namely phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), and error/environmental coefficient of variation (ECV), as well as heritability. These coefficients were calculated using the formulas provided by Burton (1952) [7] and Burton and Devane (1953) [8]. The estimates of genetic advance were obtained using the formula given by Johnson *et al.* (1953) [14].

## 3. Results and Discussion

The mean sum of square was highly significant for Days to maturity, plant height, no. of productive tillers per plant, ear length, no. of spikelets per ear, ear weight, grain weight, biological yield per plant grain yield per plant, harvest index and chlorophyll index however it was not significant for remaining characters indicates that there is an ample scope for selection of promising genotype from present genotypes for yield improvement. The wide range of variation observed in all the characters offer scope of selection for development of desirable type of wheat. The presence of large amount of variability might be due to diverse source of material as well as environmental influence affecting the phenotypes. Similar findings in wheat were also reported by Mahmood *et al.* (2005) [28]; Nagireddy and Jyothula (2009) [30]; Nayeem *et al.* (2002) [31]; Ahmad *et al.*, (2003) [40] and Khiziri *et al.* (2010) [15] Kumar *et al.* (2014) [19].

The heritability estimates for various traits in a study showed high values, high heritability was recorded for the harvest index(99.9%) followed by grain yield per plant (99%), biological yield (98.67%), chlorophyll content (98.1%), days to maturity (97.63%), no. of spikelets per ear (94.8%), plant height (93.71%), days to 50% flowering (91.04), no. of grains per ear (89.25%), ear length (88.72%), no. of productive tillers per plant (86.2%), ear weight (81.48%), test weight (80.41%). Similar findings were reported in studies conducted by Kumar *et al.* in 2018 [42], Bayisa *et al.* in 2020 [6], Kumar *et al.* in 2021 [20], and Prasad *et al.* in 2021 [43] for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity and number of spikelets per ear. The high heritability observed in these traits suggests that selecting individuals based on their phenotypic characteristics could be an effective approach.

The genetic advance percent per mean varied across different traits, highest for biological yield (70.25) followed by harvest index (68.03%), grain yield per plant (61.75%), no. of productive tillers per plant (38.78%), ear weight (38.22%), chlorophyll content (37.35%), test weight (26.71%), no. of spikelets per ear (26.48%), no. of grains per ear (25.16%), ear length (21.78%), plant height (20.34%), days to maturity (14.06%) and days to 50% heading (9.96%). Similar results were observed by Kumar *et al.*, 2018 [42], Bayisa *et al.*, (2020) [6] Kumar *et al.*, (2021) [20].

**Table 1:** Analysis of variance

Source	DF	DTF	DM	PH	NPT/P	EL	NS/E	EW	NG/P	GW	BY/P	GY/P	HI	CI
Treatment (ignoring Blocks)	93	39.02	**83.14**	**136.08**	**1.17**	**1.39**	**6.79**	**0.45**	**32.48**	**56.26**	**56.4**	**6.48**	**159.3**	**46.8**
Treatment: Check	3	147.11	**240.6**	**39.63**	**1.8**	**2.95**	**0.71**	0.06 <sup>ns</sup>	**37.46**	**121.7**	**76.2**	**1.57**	**139.9**	**47.6**
Treatment: Test	89	24.31	**77.8**	**108.51**	**1.01**	**1.13**	**3.27**	**0.2**	**29.46**	**54.1**	**56.2**	**6.3**	**161.3**	**47**
Treatment: Test vs. Check	1	1023.6	**86.23**	**2878.7**	**13.7**	**20.3**	**338**	**23.8**	**286.4**	**52.14**	**10.8**	**37.1**	**40.66**	**28.9**
Block (eliminating Treatments)	5	4.57	<sup>ns</sup> 8.57	**38.08**	**7.77**	**7.87**	**6.28**	**7.51**	<sup>ns</sup> 7.13	**36.77**	**12.8**	**7.46**	**7.29**	**6.9**
Residuals	15	2.18	1.84	6.83	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.04	3.17	10.6	0.75	0.06	0.17	0.89
CV(%)	-	1.61	1.08	2.69	7.86	3.92	2.93	9.09	4.41	7.17	3.96	2.94	1.07	2.53

\*, \*\*=significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

<sup>ns</sup>  $p > 0.05$ ; \*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$

**Table 2:** Estimates of variability, heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean in wheat (Segregating F5 generation population)

Characters	Range		Mean	Co-Variance		Heritability (BS) %	Genetic advance	GA % mean
	Min.	Max.		GCV%	PCV%			
Days to 50% heading	80.58	104.42	92.95	5.06	5.3	91.04	9.26	9.96
Days to maturity	94.33	135.33	126.38	6.9	6.98	97.63	17.77	14.06
Plant height (cm)	78.31	124.71	99.01	10.18	10.52	93.71	20.14	20.34
No. of productive tillers per plant	1.13	7.96	4.61	20.25	21.81	86.2	1.79	38.78
Ear length(cm)	4.79	12.96	8.92	11.21	11.9	88.72	1.94	21.78
No. of spikelets per ear	8.16	19.7	13.36	13.18	13.54	94.8	3.54	26.48
Ear weight(g)	0	4	1.98	20.53	22.74	81.48	0.76	38.22
Number of grains per ear	28.21	57.21	39.72	12.91	13.67	89.25	9.99	25.16
Test-weight(g)	29.13	66.93	45.67	14.44	16.1	80.41	12.2	26.71
Biological yield	8.96	42.58	21.72	34.28	34.51	98.67	15.26	70.25
Grain yield per plant (g)	2.27	19.86	8.31	30.08	30.23	99	5.13	61.75
Harvest index (%)	11.99	78.07	38.47	32.99	33.01	99.9	26.17	68.03
Chlorophyll content	21.05	52.66	37.15	18.28	18.45	98.1	13.88	37.35

**Table 3:** Crosses showing high mean performance in F5 segregating population

Sr. no	Character	Genotypes
1	Days to 50% flowering	GW- 273 X LOK-1
2	Days to maturity	MP-1203 X GW-273
3	Plant height (cm)	MP-1203 X GW-273
4	No. of productive tillers/plant	DBW-110 X MP-3382
5	No. of spikelets/ear	DBW-110 X MP-3382
6	No. of grains/ear	DBW-110 X MP-3382
7	Ear length (cm)	DBW-110 X MP-3382
8	Ear weight (g)	DBW-110 X MP-3382
9	1000 grain weight (g)	DBW-110 X MP-3382
10	Biological yield/ plant (g)	MP-1203 X GW-273
11	Grain yield/ plant (g)	DBW-110 X MP-3382
12	Harvest index (%)	DBW-110 X MP-3382
13	Chlorophyll index	DBW 110 X MP-3382

#### 4. Conclusion

As per the traits, high mean performance for the characters along with high performing crosses has been given in the table no.3. In the evaluation of F5 segregating population plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, ear length, number of spikelets per ear, ear weight, number of grains per ear, test weight, biological yield per plant, grain yield per plant, harvest index, and chlorophyll content exhibited high heritability along with high genetic advance. These findings indicate that direct selection can effectively improve these traits. Furthermore, the number of days to maturity displayed high heritability along with a moderate genetic advance, suggesting the presence of additive gene action in determining this trait. In contrast, high heritability with low genetic advance was observed for days to 50% flowering, indicating the influence of non-additive gene action on this particular trait.

#### 5. References

- Ahmad T, Kumar A, Pandey D, Prasad B. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for Yield and its attributing traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell). Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2018;10(4):1078-1084.
- Ahmed N, Chowdhry MA, Khaliq I, Maekawa M. The inheritance of yield and yield components of five wheat hybrid population under drought conditions. Indonesian J Agric. Sci; c2007. p. 53-59.
- Allard RW. Principles of Plant Breeding, John Willey and Sons. Inc; c1960. p. 96. (ISBN 0-471-023159).
- Anonymous 2011. <http://www.jkapd.nic.in>
- Anonymous. Global wheat supply and demand brief crop prospectus and food situation. FAO Global Information and early warning system on food and agriculture. 2010;1:7.
- Bayisa T, Tefera H, Letta T. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance among bread wheat genotypes at Southeastern Ethiopia. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. 2020;9(4):128.
- Burton FW, Devane EH. Estimating heritability in tall fescues (*Tevisia arundica*) from replicated clonal natural materials. Agron, J. 1952;45:171-181.
- Burton GW, Devane EH. Estimating heritability in table fercue from replicating clonal material Agron J. 1953;45:45-481.
- Cheema NM, Mian MA, Ihsan M, Rabbani G, Mahmood A. Studies on variability and some genetic parameters in spring wheat. Pak. J Agric. Sci, 2006, 43(1-2).
- Heritability and Correlation Studies of Yield and Yield Related Traits in Bread Wheat. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 1:97-101.

11. Jagshoran. Estimation of variability parameters and path coefficient for certain metric traits in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian J Genetics. & Plant Breeding. 1995;55(4):399-405.
12. Jagshoran, Mishra B. Progress Report of Project Director's Report: Directorate of Wheat research Karnal; c2005.
13. Jan Nusrat, Kashyap Subhash Chander. Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY Studies on Genetic Variability in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Em Thell) Under Temperate Conditions of Kashmir; c2013.
14. Johnson VA, Schmidt JW, Mattern PJ, Haunold A. Agronomy and quality characteristics of high protein F2-derived families from a soft red hard red winter wheat cross. Crop Sci. 1963;6:7-10.
15. Kahrizi D, Maniee M, Mohammadi R, Cheghamirza K. Estimation of genetic parameters related to morpho-agronomic traits of Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* var. durum) Biharean Biol. 2010;4(2):93-97.
16. Kallimullah Khan SJ, Irfaq M, Rahman HU. Genetic variability, correlation and diversity studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. J Animal and Plant Sci. 2012;22(2):330-333.
17. Kamboj RK. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Em. Thell) under salinity stress condition. Madars Agric. J. 2010;97(1/3):29-30.
18. Khan I, Khalil IH, Nisir-ud-Din. Genetic parameters for yield traits in wheat under irrigated and rainfed environments. Sarhad. J Agric No; c2007. p. 4.
19. Kumar Navin, Markar Shailesh, Kumar Vijay. Studies on heritability and genetic advance estimates in timely sown bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Bioscience Discovery. 2014 Jan;5(1):64-69.
20. Kumar A, Bahadur V, Kumar A, Kumar R, Chaudhary AK, Verma OP. Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell) under salt affected soil. The Pharma Innovation. 2021;10(4):815-818.
21. Kumar D, Dubey S, Bahadur V, Tiwari A, Singh V. Estimation of Genetic variability, Heritability and Genetic advance in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell) under sodic soil. The Pharma Innovation. 2021;10(4):819-822.
22. Kumar M, Avinash H, Dubey N, Kaur S, Kalubarme S, Reddy P, et al. 2019.
23. Kumar M, Kumar S. Estimation of heritability and genetic advance in 24 genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):1110-1113.
24. Kumar M, Avinash H, Dubey N, Kaur S, Kalubarme S, Reddy P, et al. Heritability and correlation studies of yield and yield related traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry; c2019. p. 97-101.
25. Kumar R, Singh SK, Singh M, Singh SV, Singh L, Kumar S, et al. 2022.
26. Kumar S, Singh B, Verma SP, Pathak VN, Jee C, Singh JP. Studies on Genetic Variability, Heritability, Genetic Advance, Correlation and Path Analysis for Grain Yield and its Contributing Traits in Indigenous Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(8):1408-1416.
27. Kumar A, Tarkeshwar Singh M, Gupta A, Singh S, Gaur SC. Character Association and Path Coefficient Studies in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;11:1323-1330.
28. Mahmood Qariser, Qureshi AS, Khan MR, Tajammal MA, Yousuf Hayat. Genetic variability, heterosis, character correlation and path analysis of yield components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Int. J. of Bio and Biotech. 2005;2(4):995-999.
29. Mukherjee S, Gupta S, Bhowmik M. Genetic variability of important quantitative characters in modern wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars in Takai Region of West Bengal. Env. and Eco. 2008;26(20):683-686.
30. Nagireddy AV, Jyothula DPB. Heritability and interrelationship of yield and certain agronomic traits in wheat. Res. On Crop. 2009;10(1):124-127.
31. Nayeem KA, Baig KS, Karad NS. Genetic variability and character association studies for export quality parameters in T. durum wheat. J Res. ANGRAU. 2002;30(4):5-10.
32. Panse VS, Sukhatame PV. Statistical method for Agricultural workers I.C.A.R. New Delhi; c1957.
33. Patel AK, Jain S. Studies of genetic variability in wheat under rainfed condition JNKVV Res. J. 2002;36(1/2):25-28.
34. Sharma AK, Garg DK. Genetic variability in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crosses under different normal and saline environments. Annals Agric. Res. 2002;23(3):497-499.
35. Singh RK, Chowdhury BD. Biometrical method in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani publishers, Ludhiana, New Delhi; c1985. p. 54-57.
36. Singh G, Chaudhary H. Selection parameters and yield enhancement of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different moisture stress condition. Asian J Plant Sci. 2006;5:894-898.
37. Singh V, Singh D, Singh N, Kumar S. Genetic analysis of wheat varieties for yield and its components. Agric. Sci. Digest. 2005;25(2):145-146.
38. Studies on variability, heritability and genetic advance in some quantitative characters in bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 8(4):402-404.
39. Study on correlation for quantitative and some quality traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal, 11(1):799.
40. Ahmad A, Senapati S, Khan MI, Kumar R, Ramani R, Srinivas V, et al. Intracellular synthesis of gold nanoparticles by a novel halotolerant actinomycetes, *Rhodococcus* species. Nanotechnology. 2003 Jun 6;14(7):824.
41. Shukla MK, Lal R, Ebinger M. Determining soil quality indicators by factor analysis. Soil and Tillage Research. 2006 Jun 1;87(2):194-204.
42. Kumar NM, Mallick PK. Blockchain technology for security issues and challenges in IoT. Procedia Computer Science. 2018 Jan 1;132:1815-23.
43. Prasad K, McLoughlin C, Stillman M, Poplau S, Goelz E, Taylor S, et al. Prevalence and correlates of stress and burnout among US healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: A national cross-sectional survey study. Eclinical Medicine. 2021 May 1;35:100879.