www.ThePharmaJournal.com

# The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(6): 1437-1447 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 02-04-2023 Accepted: 03-05-2023

#### Jamba R Marak

Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

#### Suneeta Singh

Assistant Professor & HOD, Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

#### Anil Kumar Saxena

Associate Professor, Department of soil Science, School of Agricultural Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

Corresponding Author: Jamba R Marak Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

# Effect of various organic growing media on growth and yield of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* FOUG) at Dehradun valley of Uttarakhand

# Jamba R Marak, Suneeta Singh and Anil Kumar Saxena

#### Abstract

A field experiment was planned and conducted during 2021-22 at Horticulture Research Block, School of Agriculture Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand to investigate the "Effect of various organic growing media on growth and yield of Gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug) at Dehradun valley of Uttarakhand". The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and ten treatments. The treatments comprised following levels of different growing media with different concentrations viz. T<sub>1</sub> (Control), T<sub>2</sub> (vermicompost + soil @3:1), T<sub>3</sub> (FYM + soil @3:1), T<sub>4</sub> (cocopeat + soil @3:1), T<sub>5</sub> (leave manure + soil @3:1) T<sub>6</sub> (cow urine + soil @20%:1), T<sub>7</sub> (Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cocopeat + Soil @1:1:1:1), T<sub>8</sub> (Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cow Urine + Soil @1:1:1:1) and T<sub>10</sub> (Vermicompost + FYM + leave manure + soil @1:1:1:20%:1:1). The observations on various attributes *viz*. growth and yield were recorded at different harvest intervals. The results showed that treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Soil + vermicompost @3:1) found to be most effective in terms of growth characters such as Plant height (33.35cm), Leaf Length (14.59cm), Leaf Width (4.39cm), Stem diameter (2.21cm), Plant spread N-S (35.86cm) and W-E (41.62cm). The maximum flower yield (0.574 kg/plot) and (57.4q/ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted in the treatment T<sub>10</sub>.

Keywords: Growing media, gaillardia, FYM, vermicompost, cow urine, plant spread

#### Introduction

Gaillardia (Gaillardia pulchella Foug) also known as blanket flower is one of the popular flower crops of India grown on commercial scale as loose flowers and grown in gardens allround the year mainly in summer and rainy season. Gaillardia is a genus of flowering plants in the family of Asteraceae, native to north and south America. It was named after M. Gaillard de charentonneau, an enthusiastic botanist. Many cultivars of Gaillardia have been bred for ornamental use. These are annual or perennial herbs or subshrubs; the stem is usually branching and erect to a maximum height around 80 cm. The leaves are alternately arranged. Some texa have only basal leaves which are varying in shapes. The inflorescence is a solitary flower head. The head can have 15 or more ray florets, they can be almost any shades of yellow, orange, red, purplish, brown, white, etc. There are many tubular disc florets at the centre of the head in the similar range of colour and usually tip with hairs. The fruits usually have pappus of scales. Gaillardia grows well in any kind of garden soil and it can also tolerate high level of light intensity, drought as well as high temperature in a better way as compared to most of the flowering plants. The flowers of all Gaillardia species are comprised of many small central disc flowers surrounded by 15 or more sterile ray flowers (although a few species lack ray flowers). The ray flowers usually are long and flat like petals with three-toothed tips, but in some they may be curled up like trumpets. Each flower head can appear single or double, with either a classic daisy form, or with the central disc filled with trumpet-shaped, 5petaled flowers. The ray flowers often have bands of color, typically with the outer half yellow and the rest of the ray red, orange, or maroon. But in other varieties the entire flower head is the same color, or they may have solid-colored rays with a different colored central disc. The 2-4"flowerheads are produced individually on stems held just above the foliage. The mounding or slightly sprawling plants are covered in summer and fall with flowers that butterflies and native bees love to visit. The taller cultivars make nice cut flowers. The flowers age to form a globular, fuzzy-looking head filled with seeds that may be eaten by birds (especially gold finches), or fall to the ground to self-sow. The alternate, gray-green leaves on these plants are generally big, soft and hairy, and strap-shaped.

The edges vary from smooth to toothed to lobed and all types can occur on the same plant. The leaves contain compounds (lactones) that can cause contact dermatitis in susceptible individuals, so gloves should be worn when handling the plants. Indian blanket flower and its related species are the wild flowers and native to much of the US (Mahr, 2021)<sup>[29]</sup>. The inflorescence is attractive with wide range of colours like red, yellow, red tipped yellow and scarlet. Single to double flowers are produced profusely on long thin stems measuring about 5-7 cm. It typically blooms from February to December. There are many known species out of which *Gaillardia pulchella* Foug, is the most widely known and cultivated. It is also referred to as fire wheel.

In this proposed trail all the growing media are made of organic manures like FYM, vermicompost, cow urine and leave manure. Farmvard Manure (FYM) refers to the decomposed mixture of dung and urine of farm animals along with litter and left-over material from roughages or fodder fed to the cattle. It contains, on an average, 0.5% N, 0.2% P, and 0.5% K. Incorporation of FYM in the soil and its subsequent decomposition results in enhanced organic carbon content of the soil. Vermicompost has much larger microbial biodiversity and act than conventional thermophilic composts. Microbes present in gut wall of earthworm responsible for the biochemical degradation of organic matter and transformed it Vermicompost (Ravimycin, 2016)<sup>[36]</sup>. Cow urine is one of the important constituents of "Panchagavya" (urine, dung, milk, curd and ghee) contains 95% water, 2.5% urea and the remaining 2.5% a mixture of salts, hormones, enzymes, and minerals (Bhandari et al., 2020)<sup>[4]</sup>. It has been considered that cow urine is very useful in agricultural operations as a biofertilizer and biopesticide. It is a liquid by-product of metabolism in cows. Leaf manure compost is a dark, rich, earthy, organic matter that can be used like soil media. It adds nutrients to the garden soil and the larger particle size helps enhance the tilth and loosen compacted earth. Compost retains moisture and repels weeds when used as a top dressing or mulch. Coco-peat is very good alternative to traditional peat moss. Its air-filled porosity and high-water holding capacity makes it ideal growing medium for the plant crops. It is 100 percent organic and eco-friendly free from soil borne pathogen and weeds. It has PH of (5.7-6.5) which is ideal for plant growth (Patel, 2021) [35]. Therefore, an experiment was

carried out to assess the effect of various organic growing media on growth and yield of gaillardia (*gaillardia pulchella* foug) at Dehradun valley of Uttarakhand.

## Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at Horticulture Research Block, Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand during the rabi season of 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design and replicated thrice. Total ten treatments were tried namely  $T_1$  – Control (Soil 100%),  $T_2$  – Vermicompost + Soil (3: 1),  $T_3$  – Farmyard Manure + Soil (3: 1),  $T_4$  – Cocopeat+ Soil (3: 1),  $T_5$  – Leaf Manure+ Soil (3: 1),  $T_6$  –Cow Urine + Soil (20%: 1), T<sub>7</sub> – Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cocopeat + Soil (1: 1: 1), T<sub>8</sub> - Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cow Urine + Soil (1: 1: 20%: 1), T<sub>9</sub> -Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Leaf Manure + Soil (1: 1: 1: 1) and T<sub>10</sub> - Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cocopeat + Cow Urine + Leaf Manure + Soil (1:1:1:20%: 1: 1). The Gaillardia (Gaillardia pulchella Foug) was taken for research purpose. The seeds of Gaillardia were sown in raised nursery bed on 28th march 2022. All the precautions were taken regarding nursery management till the seedlings were ready for transplanting. All the growing media i.e., FYM, vermicompost, cocopeat, leave manure and cow urine were prepared according to the treatments. The nursery polythene bags of 1.5kg volume were selected for growing. All the treatments were mixed with garden soil and filled in the polybags. The healthy seedlings were transplanted on 16th April 2022 in polybags. All the cultural practices were done at regular intervals as per the requirement of crop during the period of experiment. During the research trial, from each replication, randomly selected four plants were used for recording various observations on growth, flowering and yield parameters during whole of the cropping period at 30, 60 DAT and at Final harvest stage. The economics of gaillardia crop was calculated as per the fundamental market prices of the input and produced during the Rabi season 2022. The obtained data were subjected to the statistical analysis by adopting analysis of variance technique as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) <sup>[54]</sup> for the Randomized Block Design (RBD).

No. of Treatment	Combinations	Concentration/Ratio
T 1	Control	Soil @100%
T 2	Vermicompost + Soil.	3:1
T 3	Farmyard Manure + Soil.	3: 1
T 4	Cocopeat+ Soil	3:1
T 5	Leaf Manure+ Soil	3:1
Τ 6	Cow Urine + Soil.	20%:1
T 7	Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cocopeat + Soil.	1: 1: 1: 1
T 8	Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cow Urine + Soil.	1: 1: 20%: 1
T 9	Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Leaf Manure + Soil.	1: 1: 1: 1
T 10	Vermicompost + Farmyard Manure + Cocopeat + Cow Urine + Leaf Manure + Soil	1:1:1:20%: 1:1

Table 1: Treatment Details

#### **Results and Discussions**

The various growth and yield parameters like plant height, number of leaves, leaf length, leaf width, stem diameter, number of branches per plant, plant spread (N-S) (W-E), flower yield (Kg/plot) and total yield (q/ha) were significantly influenced by different doses of growing media as compared to control during the course of investigation. The data presented in Table- 2, 3 and 4 were showed that the significant improvement was noticed when applied different combinations of growing media on gaillardia as compared to control. The findings of the present investigation were recorded and are thoroughly discussed below:

#### Plant height

Data pertaining to plant height was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final harvest stage were statistically analyzed and presented in table 2 and fig. 2.1. The observation of plants height was recorded at 30, 60 DAT and at final harvest and the results were significantly differs among the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting the maximum plant height was recorded in treatment  $T_2$  (27.02cm) and it was at par with  $T_3$ (24.91cm) and T<sub>4</sub> (22.96cm). However, significant differences were observed with treatment  $T_5$  (21.16cm),  $T_6$  (20.26cm),  $T_1$ (20.13cm), T<sub>8</sub> (19.83cm), T<sub>9</sub> (19.72cm) and T<sub>7</sub> (18.80cm). The minimum plant height (18.47) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>10</sub>. In case of 60 days after transplanting the maximum plants, height was obtained in treatments  $T_2$ (35.11cm), which was at par with treatment  $T_{10}$  (33.32cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (32.79cm). The significant difference was recorded with treatment  $T_4$  (32.12cm),  $T_7$  (31.84cm),  $T_6$  (31.36cm),  $T_3$ (30.86 cm),  $T_8$  (28.38cm) and  $T_5$  (27.99cm). The minimum plant height (27.79cm) was recorded under treatment T<sub>1</sub>. At final harvest, the plant height was maximum in  $T_2$  (37.93cm) which was comparable with  $T_9$  (37.63cm) and  $T_{10}$  (37.09cm). However, significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>4</sub> (37.05cm), T<sub>6</sub> (36.64cm), T<sub>5</sub> (36.36cm), T<sub>5</sub> (36.31cm), T<sub>7</sub> (36.29 cm) and T<sub>8</sub> (35.19 cm) while, minimum plant height was obtained in the treatment  $T_1$  (34.21cm). The increase in plant height with increased doses of vermicompost might be due to enhanced amount of growth promoting substances at higher doses. Similar, results were obtained by Sardoei, (2014)<sup>[45]</sup>, Chatto et al. (2011) and Sultana et al., (2015)<sup>[44]</sup>.

## Number of leaves per plant

The number of leaves per plant counted at different stages of crops growth showed significant as presented in table 2 and fig. 2.2. The observation of number of leaves of plants was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final harvest and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting, the highest no. of leaves of Gaillardia was recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (28.20) and it was at par with T<sub>7</sub> (27.22) and T<sub>2</sub> (17.78) however, significant differences were observed with treatment  $T_3$  (16.66),  $T_6$ (16.11), T<sub>5</sub> (15.63), T<sub>1</sub> (14.33), T<sub>9</sub> (13.32) and T8 (12.44). The minimum number of leaves (12.32) was recorded under the treatment  $T_{10}$ . In case of 60 days after transplanting, the maximum number of leaves was obtained in treatments T<sub>2</sub> (39.22), which were at par with the treatments  $T_3$  (37.88) and  $T_7$  (37%). The significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_4$  (34.22),  $T_6$  (33.55),  $T_5$  (33.22),  $T_9$  (32.89)  $T_1$ (31.44) and  $T_8$  (30.99). The minimum number of leaves (27.56%) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>10</sub>. At harvest days after transplanting, the number of leaves was maximum in  $T_5$  (63.89) which was comparable with  $T_4$  (63.77) and  $T_7$ (61) however, significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_6$  (60.67),  $T_3$  (59.65),  $T_8$  (59.56),  $T_2$  (59.22),  $T_9$ (58.33) and  $T_{10}$  (53.22) while, minimum number of leaves was obtained in the treatment  $T_1$  (51.32) which is control. This variation might be due to the balanced supply of nutrients including micronutrients and could be due to the soil water holding capacity. The findings were confirmed with Thakur and Grewal, (2018)<sup>[50]</sup> and Kumar et al., (2022)<sup>[55]</sup>.

# Leaf length (cm)

The data regarding the leaf length at different growth stages are presented in the Table 2 and fig. 2.3. The observation of

leaf length was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final harvest and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after sowing, the highest value of leaf length of gaillardia was recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (14.34cm) which were at par with the treatments  $T_4$  (13.55cm) and T<sub>3</sub> (13.27cm). The significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (13.06cm), T<sub>8</sub> (12.89cm), T<sub>5</sub> (12.63cm), T<sub>6</sub> (12.63cm), T<sub>7</sub> (12.10cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (12.04cm). The lowest value (11.74cm) of leaf length was recorded under the treatment  $T_{10}$ (control). In case of 60 days after transplanting, the maximum number of leaf length was obtained in treatments T<sub>3</sub> (14.54cm), which were at par with the treatments  $T_2$ (14.44cm) and T<sub>1</sub> (14.41cm). The significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_4$  (132.81cm),  $T_5$  (13.52cm),  $T_9$ (13.42cm), T<sub>6</sub> (13.31cm), T<sub>7</sub> (12.89cm) and T<sub>8</sub> (12.84cm). The minimum leaf length (12.66cm) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>10</sub>. At final harvest days after transplanting, the leaf length was maximum in T<sub>3</sub> (15.04cm) which were at par with T<sub>2</sub> (14.98cm) and T<sub>8</sub> (14.86cm) however, significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_1$  (14.79cm),  $T_4$ (14.78cm),  $T_5$  (14.74cm),  $T_7$  (14.66cm),  $T_9$  (14.49cm) and  $T_6$ (14.47cm) while, minimum leaf length was obtained in the treatment  $T_{10}$  (14.33cm). The increase in plant height with increased doses of vermicompost might be due to enhanced amount of growth promoting substances at higher doses. Similar, results were obtained by Sardoei, (2014)<sup>[45]</sup>, Chatto et al. (2011) and Sultana et al., (2015)<sup>[44]</sup>.

# Leaf width (cm)

The data regarding the leaf width at different growth stages are presented in the Table 2 and fig. 2.4. The observation of leaf width was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final harvest and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting, the highest value of leaf width of was recorded in treatment  $T_2$  (4.43cm) and the lowest value (2.81cm) of leaf width was recorded under the treatment T<sub>9</sub>. In case of 60 days after transplanting, the maximum leaf width was obtained in treatments T<sub>3</sub> (4.52cm), which were at par with the treatments  $T_4$  (4.26cm) and  $T_2$  (4.21cm). The significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_5$  (3.77cm),  $T_6$  (3.71cm),  $T_1$  (3.58cm),  $T_7$ (3.47), T<sub>10</sub> (3.47cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (3.28cm). The minimum leaf width (3cm) was recorded under the treatment  $T_8$ . At harvest days after transplanting, the leaf width was maximum in  $T_3$ (4.81cm) which was at the par with T (4.65cm) and  $T_{10}$ (4.62cm). However, significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>7</sub> (4.56cm), T<sub>8</sub> (4.46cm), T<sub>9</sub> (4.45cm), T<sub>5</sub> (4.43cm),  $T_6$  (4.39cm) and  $T_4$  (4.32cm), while minimum leaf width was obtained in the treatment  $T_1$  (4.29cm) which is control. This could be due to release of energy rich organic compounds which increased growth and activity of microbial saprophytes and phosphatase activity. These results were in accordance with Cig and Kocak, (2019) and Chandrashekar et al., (2019) [8]

#### Stem diameter (cm)

The data regarding the stem diameter at different growth stages are presented in the Table 3 and fig. 3.2. The observation of stem diameter was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final harvest and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting the highest value of stem diameter of gaillardia was recorded in treatment  $T_8$  (1.80cm) and the lowest value

(1.06cm) of stem diameter was recorded under the treatment  $T_{10}$ . In case of 60 days after transplanting, the maximum stem diameter was obtained in treatments  $T_2$  (2.21), which were at par with the treatments  $T_3$  (2.14cm) and  $T_5$  (1.83cm). The significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>9</sub> (1.76cm), T<sub>8</sub> (1.73cm), T<sub>4</sub> (1.70cm), T<sub>7</sub> (1.67cm), T<sub>1</sub> (1.66cm) and  $T_{10}$  (1.66cm). The minimum stem diameter (1.61cm) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>6</sub>. At final days after transplanting, the stem diameter was maximum in  $T_2$  (2.65cm) which was at the par with  $T_3$  (2.57cm) and  $T_7$  (2.47cm) however, significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (2.27cm), T<sub>9</sub> (2.27cm), T<sub>10</sub> (2.24cm), T<sub>8</sub> (2.22cm), T4 (2.20 cm) and T<sub>1</sub> (2.14 cm) while, minimum stem diameter was obtained in the treatment  $T_6$  (2.07cm). Organic manures are good source of various macro and micro elements which may have affected the stem diameter of the plant. Similar findings were obtained by Shadanpour et al., (2015) [46] in marigold and Rajput et al., (2022) [40] in Pelargonium.

#### Number of branches per plant

The number of branches per plant counted at different stages of crops growth showed significant as presented in table 3 and fig. 3.2. The observation of number of branches of plants was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final harvest and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting, the highest no. of branches of Gaillardia was recorded in treatment  $T_3$  (6.66) and the lowest number of branches was recorded under the treatment T<sub>10</sub> (0.73). In case of 60 days after transplanting, the maximum number of branches was obtained in treatments  $T_2$  (13.44), which were at par with the treatments  $T_3$  (10.11) and  $T_4$ (9.78). The significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (9.78), T<sub>7</sub> (8), T<sub>9</sub> (6.78), T<sub>6</sub> (5.98) T<sub>5</sub> (5.56) and T<sub>8</sub> (4.89). The minimum number of branches (4.33%) was recorded under the treatment  $T_{10}$ . At harvest days after transplanting, the number of branches was maximum in  $T_2$  (23.56) which was comparable with  $T_8$  (21.56) and  $T_4$  (20.44) however, significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_9$  (20.33), T<sub>3</sub> (20.22), T<sub>5</sub> (20.11), T<sub>7</sub> (19.77), T<sub>1</sub> (19.22) and T<sub>6</sub> (19) while, minimum number of branches was obtained in the treatment  $T_{10}$  (18.44). This variation might be due to the balanced supply of nutrients including micronutrients and could be due to the soil water holding capacity. The similar result was found by Waseem et al., (2013) [53] in matthiola incana.

#### Plant spread North to South (cm)

The data regarding the plant spread (North to South) at different growth stages are presented in the Table 3 and fig. 3.3. The observation of plant spread was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final days and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting, the highest value of plant spread of gaillardia was recorded in treatment  $T_2$  (38.28cm) and the lowest value (27.48cm) of stalk length was recorded under the treatment  $T_{10}$ . In case of 60 days after transplanting, the plant spread was maximum in  $T_2(43.51 \text{ cm})$  which was comparable with  $T_3$ (41.20cm) and T<sub>4</sub> (39.59cm) however, significant difference was observed with treatment T<sub>5</sub> (37.50cm), T<sub>1</sub> (37.48cm), T<sub>6</sub> (36.47cm), T<sub>7</sub> (36.12cm), T<sub>9</sub> (35.88cm) and T<sub>10</sub> (35.04cm) while, minimum stalk length was obtained in the treatment T<sub>8</sub> (34.99cm). At final days after transplanting, the maximum plant spread was obtained in treatments T<sub>2</sub> (47.60cm), which

were at par with the treatments  $T_3$  (46.12 cm) and  $T_9$  (45.99cm). The significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_7$  (45.74cm),  $T_4$  (45.35cm),  $T_6$  (45.31cm),  $T_8$  (45.31cm),  $T_5$  (45.22cm) and  $T_{10}$  (45.04cm). The minimum plant spread (40.86cm) was recorded under the treatment  $T_1$  which is control. This might be due to the increase in transport of metabolites and rate of photosynthesis in the plant, which enables the plant to have quick and better upward vegetative growth. These results were in parallel with those publish by Singh *et al.*, (2018) <sup>[47]</sup> and Daiahun *et al.*, (2018) <sup>[12]</sup>.

#### Plant spread West to East (cm)

The data regarding the plant spread (West to East) at different growth stages are presented in the Table 3 and fig. 3.4. The observation of plant spread was recorded at 30 DAT, 60 DAT and at final days and the results shows significant differences between the treatments. At 30 days after transplanting, the highest value of plant spread of gaillardia was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (37.04cm) and the lowest value (27.70cm) of stalk length was recorded under the treatment  $T_{10}. \mbox{ In case of }$ 60 days after transplanting, the plant spread was maximum in  $T_3$  (41.27cm) which was comparable with  $T_2$  (40.94cm) and T<sub>4</sub> (40.06cm) however, significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_5$  (37.21cm),  $T_6$  (36.69cm),  $T_1$  (36.65cm),  $T_7$ (36.40cm), T<sub>8</sub> (35.45cm) and T<sub>9</sub> (35.22cm) while, minimum stalk length was obtained in the treatment  $T_{10}$  (34.47cm). At final days after transplanting, the maximum plant spread was obtained in treatments T<sub>2</sub> (47.30cm), which were at par with the treatments  $T_5$  (46.52cm) and  $T_3$  (46.40cm). The significant difference was observed with treatment  $T_4$  (46.41cm),  $T_7$ (46.10cm), T<sub>8</sub> (46.02cm), T<sub>6</sub> (45.85cm), T<sub>10</sub> (45.76cm) and T<sub>1</sub> (45.18cm). The minimum plant spread (44.99cm) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>9</sub> which is control. This might be due to the increase in transport of metabolites and rate of photosynthesis in the plant, which enables the plant to have quick and better upward vegetative growth. These results were in parallel with those publish by Singh et al., (2018) [47] and Daiahun et al., (2018) [12].

#### Flower Yield (kg/plot)

The data regarding yield of flower/plot of gaillardia differed significantly due to various treatments and are presented in table 4 and fig 4.1. The yield of flower/plot varied significantly from 0.440kg/plot (T<sub>1</sub>) to 0.574 kg/plot (T<sub>10</sub>). The maximum yield was noted in the treatment T<sub>10</sub>. That performance was influenced by the forms and levels of organic manure. This may be due to early breaking of apical dominance followed by easy and better translocation of nutrients to the flowers, better plant growth by the increased availability of nutrients and accelerated mobility of photosynthates from source to sink as influenced by the growth hormones released or synthesized from growing media. Similar results were obtained by Saeed *et al*, (2014) <sup>[23]</sup>.

# Total yield (q/ha)

The data regarding total yield of gaillardia flower varied significantly due to various treatments and are presented in table 4 and fig. 4.2. The total yield varied significantly from  $44q/ha^{-1}$  (T<sub>1</sub>) to 57.4q/ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>10</sub>). The maximum yield was recorded in the treatment T<sub>10</sub> which is at par with T<sub>7</sub> (55.4q/ha<sup>-1</sup>). This may be due to early breaking of apical

#### The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

growth hormones released or synthesized from growing media, as a result the yield of flower was increased. Similar result was obtained by Saeed *et al*, (2014)<sup>[23]</sup>.

Table 2: Effect of organic growing media on plant height, number of leaves, leaf length, leaf width of gaillardia at different harvest intervals

Treatment	Plant height (cm)					Number of leaves				Leaf length (cm)				Leaf width (cm)			
	30	60	At Final	Moon	30	60	At Final	Meen	30	60	At Final	Meen	30	60	At Final	Moon	
	DAT	DAT	Harvest	Mean	DAT	DAT	Harvest	Mean	DAT	DAT	Harvest	Mean	DAT	DAT	Harvest	Mean	
$T_1$	20.13	27.79	34.21	27.38	14.33	31.44	51.32	32.37	13.06	14.41	14.78	14.08	3.21	3.58	4.29	3.69	
T <sub>2</sub>	27.02	35.11	37.93	33.35	17.78	39.22	59.22	38.74	14.34	14.44	14.98	14.59	4.34	4.20	4.66	4.40	
T3	24.91	30.87	36.31	30.69	16.66	37.88	59.66	38.07	13.27	14.54	15.04	14.28	3.86	4.52	4.81	4.39	
$T_4$	22.97	32.12	37.05	30.71	28.20	34.22	63.78	42.07	13.55	13.81	14.78	14.05	3.84	4.26	4.32	4.14	
T5	21.17	27.99	36.37	28.51	15.63	33.22	63.89	37.58	12.63	13.52	14.74	13.63	3.52	3.78	4.43	3.91	
T <sub>6</sub>	20.27	31.37	36.64	29.43	16.11	33.55	60.67	36.78	12.63	13.31	14.478	13.47	3.18	3.71	4.39	3.76	
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	18.8	31.84	36.29	28.98	27.22	37	61	41.74	12.10	12.88	14.66	13.22	2.89	3.47	4.57	3.64	
T <sub>8</sub>	19.83	28.38	35.19	27.80	12.45	30.99	59.56	34.33	12.88	12.84	14.87	13.53	3.20	3	4.46	3.55	
T9	19.72	32.79	37.64	30.05	13.32	32.89	58.33	34.85	12.04	13.42	14.49	13.32	2.81	3.28	4.45	3.51	
T <sub>10</sub>	18.48	33.32	37.09	29.63	12.32	27.56	53.22	31.03	11.74	12.66	14.33	12.91	2.91	3.47	4.62	3.67	
C.D.(P=0.05)	3.02			5.61				0.69				0.51					
$SE(m) \pm$	1.01			1.87			0.23				0.17						
$SE(d) \pm$	1.42			2.65			0.33				0.24						
C.V.	5.89			8.82			2.91				7.57						

Table 3: Effect of growing media on stem diameter, number of branches, plant spread (N-S & W-E) of gaillardia at different harvest intervals

Treatment		Stem di	ameter (cm	Number of branches			Plant spread N-S (cm)				Plant spread W-E (cm)					
	30 DAT	60 DAT	At Final	Mean	30 DAT	60 DAT	At Final	Mean	30 DAT	60 DAT	At Final	Mean	30 DAT	60 DAT	At Final	Mean
	DAT	DAT	Harvest		DAT	DAT	Harvest		DAT	DAT	Harvest		DAT	DAT	Harvest	
T1	1.18	1.66	2.14	1.66	3.97	9.78	19.22	10.99	30.44	37.48	40.86	36.26	30.77	36.65	45.18	37.54
$T_2$	1.76	2.21	2.65	2.21	6.22	13.44	23.56	14.41	38.28	43.51	47.60	43.13	36.62	40.94	47.30	41.62
T3	1.67	2.14	2.57	2.13	6.66	10.11	20.22	12.33	35.88	41.20	46.12	41.07	37.01	41.27	46.40	41.56
<b>T</b> 4	1.15	1.70	2.20	1.68	3.32	9.78	20.44	11.18	35.42	39.59	45.36	40.12	35.06	40.06	46.31	40.48
T5	1.08	1.83	2.27	1.73	2.30	5.56	20.11	9.32	34.13	37.50	45.22	38.95	32.18	37.21	46.52	38.64
T <sub>6</sub>	1.80	1.61	2.07	1.83	2.30	5.98	19	9.09	31.43	36.47	44.31	37.40	30.47	36.69	45.86	37.67
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	1.12	1.66	2.47	1.76	2.42	8.0	19.78	10.07	31.80	36.12	45.74	37.89	31.03	36.40	46.10	37.84
T8	1.13	1.73	2.22	1.69	0.99	4.89	21.56	9.15	28.46	34.99	45.31	36.26	29.04	35.45	46.02	36.84
T9	1.10	1.76	2.27	1.71	1.73	6.78	20.33	9.61	27.75	35.88	45.99	36.54	28.48	35.22	44.99	36.23
T <sub>10</sub>	1.06	1.66	2.24	1.65	0.73	4.33	18.44	7.84	27.48	35.04	45.05	35.86	27.70	34.47	45.76	35.98
C.D.(P=0.05)	0.28				2.34				3.25				2.57			
SE(m) ±	0.09				0.78			1.08				0.86				
$SE(d) \pm$	0.13				1.11			1.54				1.22				
C.V.	9.21				3.04			4.90				3.87				

Table 4: Effect of different growing media on flower yield (kg/plot) and (q/ha) of gaillardia

Treatment	Flower yield (Kg/plot)	Total flower yield (q/ha)
T1	0.440	44
$T_2$	0.471	47.1
T3	0.480	48
T4	0.523	52.3
T5	0.544	54.4
T <sub>6</sub>	0.548	54.8
T7	0.554	55.4
T8	0.540	54.0
T9	0.509	50.9
T <sub>10</sub>	0.574	57.4
C.D. 5%	0.039	3.919
SE(m)	0.013	1.309
SE(D)	0.018	1.851
C.V	4.372	4.372



Fig 1: Graphical representation of the effect of Growing media on plant height (cm) at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 2: Graphical representation of the effect of growing media on number of leaves per plant at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 3: Graphical representation of the effect of growing media on leaf length (cm) at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 4: Graphical representation of the effect of growing media on leaf width (cm) at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 5: Graphical representation of the effect of growing media on stem diameter (cm) at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 6: Graphical representation of the effect of growing media on number of branches at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 7: The effect of various growing media on plant spread N-S (cm) at different harvest interval on gaillardia



Fig 8: Graphical representation of the effect of growing media on plant spread W-E (cm) at different harvest intervals on Gaillardia



Fig 9: The effect of various growing media on yield per plot at final harvest on Gaillardia



Fig 10: The effect of various growing media on total yield at final harvest on Gaillardia

## Conclusion

On the basis of present experimental research on "Effect of various organic growing media on growth, flowering and yield of Gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug) at Dehradun valley of Uttarakhand" it can be concluded that among different growing media treatments, the combination of (soil + vermicompost @3:1) i.e.,  $T_2$  was found to be most effective for increasing plant height, number of leaves per plant, plant spread (N-S & W-E), leaf width, leaf length, stem diameter, number of branches. However, the flower yield per plot (kg/plot) and total yield (q/ha) were recorded highest in the treatment  $T_{10}$  (VC + FYM + Leaf manure + cow urine cocopeat @1:1:1:20%;1).

# References

- 1. Alhajhoj. Growth and Flowering Response of *Gaillardia pulchella* Foug. to Different Plant Growing Substrates, Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2015;14(1):45-49.
- 2. Arha Arti, Kaushik RA, Lakhawat SS, Bairwa HL, Verma Arvind. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Flowering and Yield of Gaillardia. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2021;10(01):3461-3468.
- 3. Bohra M, Kumar A. Studies on effect of organic manures and bio integrated nutrient. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2014;36:93-94.
- Bhandari Ravin, Adhikari Nisha, Rana Anita, Oli Sangita, Neupane Srijana, Joshi Raj Dirgha. Study of *In vitro* Antioxidant and Antibacterial activity of leaf extract of *Azadirachta indica*, and *Ocimum sanctum* in different organic solvents and Cow urine; c2020. DOI https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v10i1-s.3908.
- Biswas S, Malakar M, Acharyyaand P. Rejoinder of diverse organic growing media on morphological attributes of nine *Heliconia* species and varieties under West Bengal condition Journal of Crop and Weed. 2019;15(1):35-44.
- 6. Cig Arzu. Effects of Different Growing Media on Plant Growth and Nutrient Contents of Petunia (*Petunia hybrida*); c2019. DOI:10.21448; ijsm.554693
- Chaudhary Veena. effect of different potting media on growth, flowering and tuber yield of dahlia (*dahlia* variabilis 1.) cv. kenya white. Int. J. Agricult. Stat. Sci. 2021;17(1):353-358.

- Chandrashekar SY, Kavana GB, Hanumantharaya L, Salimath B Sarvajna, Kumar P Hemanth. Effect of potting media on growth parameters of *Nephrolepis undulate* J. Sm under protected condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(4):2836-2839.
- Chauhan RV, Varu DK, Kava KP, Savaliya VM. Effect of different media on growth, flowering and cut flower yield of gerbera under protected cultivation. Asian J Hort. 2014;9(1):228-231.
- Chander S, Beniwal BS, Dalal RPS, Sheoran S. Effect of organic manures on growth, floral characters and yield attributes of French marigold. Annals of biology. 2015;31(2):264-269.
- Deka BC, Thirugnanavel A, Rangnamei L. Effect of different growing media on gerbera varieties under low altitude conditions of Nagaland. Green Farming. 2019;10(2):195-198
- Daiahun T, Sandeep K, Fatmi U, Priyatham K. Effect of potting media on growth and development in different species of *Nephrolepis* fern under shade net conditions (*N. falcata, N. cardifolia duffi, N. multifolia*). Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7(5):3006-3009.
- Gawade N, Bhalekar SG, Bhosale P, Katwate SM, Wadekar V. Studies on different genotype of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* L.) for quantitative and qualitative perfomence. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(03):1013-1039.
- 14. Gupta A. Growing ornamental plants in Coco-peat. Daily excelsior.com; c2015.
- 15. Ghasemi Hadi, Sardoei Salehi. Effects of different growing media on growth and flowering of zinnia (*zinnia elegans*). International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research. 2014;2(6):1894-1899.
- Gola QA, Jakhro IM, Habib Maliha, Sher A. Influence of various growing media on growth and flowering of zinnia (*Zinnia elegans*) Dreamland. Pure Appl. Biol. 2018;7(3): -954.
- 17. IGNOU, UNIT 2 GAILLARDIA (*Gaillardia pulchella*). BAPI-006 Floriculture and Landscaping; Block-2 Loose Flowers; c2021.
- 18. Idan Razzaq, Prasad VM, Saravanan S. Effect Of Organic Manures On Flower Yield Of African Marigold (*Tagetes Erecta* L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. nternational Journal

The Pharma Innovation Journal

of Agricultural Science and Research (IJASR). 2014 Feb;4(1):39-5.

- 19. Jadhav Babaji Parag, Gurav Pradip Nikhil. Extending Post-Storage Life of different loose- flowers through 24 hours temperature pull-down under Ecofrost Cold Room, journal of research. JETIR; ISSN-2349-5162; c2018.
- Jadhav Babaji Parag Extending Shelf-life of Different Cut-flowers under Cold Room Conditions, journal of research. International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB) Vol-3, Issue-5, ISSN: 2456-1878; c2016.
- Kadam MS, Malshe KV, Salvi BR, Chavan SS. Effect of plant growth regulators on flowering and flower yield in gaillardia (*Gaiilardia pulchella*) cv. Local double. IJCS. 2020;8(5):927-930.
- 22. Kazemi F, Jozay M. The effect of organic and inorganic mulches on growth and morphophysiological characteristics of *Gaillardia spp.*, Desert. 2020;5(2):155-164.
- Kareem A, Saeed S, Hammad MH. Growth and performance of *Calendula officinalis* L. on different crop residues. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;2(5):098-101.
- Karagüzel Ö. Effects of different growing media on the cut flower performances of oriental two Lilium varieties. Int J Agric & Biol Eng. 2020;13(5):85-92.
- Karagüzel Ö. Assessment of Different Growing Media on Cut Flower Performance of Two Gladiolus (*Gladiolus* grandiflorus) Cultivars, Horticultural Studies. 2023;40⊗2):36-42.
- 26. Lestari Utami Sri, Andrian Andi. Effects of Urin Cow Dosage on Growth and Production of Sorgum Plant (*Sorghum Bicolor* L) on Peat Land. Earth and Environmental Science. 2017;97:012052.
- Lalitha P, Kameswari A, Girwani AS, Padmavathamma. Effect of different potting media mixtures on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* T.). Progressive Horticulture. 2014;46(2):314-318.
- Marak S Bidanchi, Kumar Sunil, Momin Ch Kalkame. Effects of organic manures and bio-fertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of china aster (*callistephus chinensis* l. nees var. kamini), journal of research. Bangladesh J. Bot. 2020;49(4):1111-1117.
- 29. Mahr Susan. Blanket flower, *Gaillardia* spp. University of Wisconsin; article: perennial selection, Wisconsin horticulture; c2021.
- Muraleedharan A, Arunesh A, Sha K, Kumar S, Joshi JL, Kumar Sampat P, Rajan BE. Studies on the Effect of different growing media on the growth and flowering of gerbera cv. Goliath. Plant Archives. 2020;20(1):653-657.
- Mohammed Refdan. Growth and flowering response of Gaillardia pulchella Foug. To different Plant growing substrates. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2015;14(1):45-49.
- 32. Ömer Sarı, Çelikel Gürsel Fisun. Effects of different growing medium on flower quality and bulb yield of oriental lilium 'siberia'. (UTYHBD). 2017;3(2):54-60.
- 33. Prasad VM, Bahadur Vijay, Relangi Himaja. Effect of organic manures on growth, flowering, yield and quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) in Prayagraj agro climatic conditions Cv. Pusa Narangi, journal of research. TPI. 2021;10(8):1486-1488.
- 34. Patel AS, Leua HN, Parekh NS, Patel HC. Effect of

integrated nitrogen management on growth, flowering and flower yield of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* Foug.) cv. Lorenziana under middle Gujarat conditions; c2015.

- 35. Patel Meet. How Is Coco Peat Made and How Helpful Is It for Growing Hydroponics Crops? Rise hydroponics; c2021.
- 36. Ravimycin T. Effects of Vermicompost (VC) and Farmyard Manure (FYM) on the germination percentage growth biochemical and nutrient content of Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Int. J Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 2016;3(6):91-98.
- 37. Raj Rakshit Importance of Gomutra ark in human medicine and agriculture. Epashupalan.com, animal husbandry. 2021, 8620.
- Rajvanshi Kumar Sandeep, Dwivedi Hansraj D. Impact of potting mixtures on vegetative growth and flowering of Zinnia (*Zinnia elegance* L.). 2014;5(4):685-689, ISSN 0976-2612.
- 39. Riaz A, Younis A, Ghani I, Tariq U, Ahsan M. Agricultural waste as growing media component for the growth and flowering of *Gerbera jamesonii* cv. hybrid mix. International journal of recycling organic waste in agriculture. 2015;4:197-204.
- 40. Rajput DV, Esringü A, Turan M, Sushkova S, Minkina T, Glinushkin A, *et al.*, Influence of Vermicompost Application on the Growth of *Vinca rosea* valiant, *Pelargonium peltatum* L. and *Pegasus patio* rose. Horticulturae. 2022;8(6):534.
- 41. Singh J, Singh G, Brar Singh B, Kaur G. Effects of Long-Term Application of Inorganic and Organic Fertilizers on Soil Organic Carbon and Physical Properties in Maize– Wheat Rotation. Agronomy. 2015;5(2):220-238.
- 42. Singh Rajesh. Use of Cow Urine in the Field of Agriculture. Pashudhan praharee; c2022.
- Sujitha E, Shnamugasundaram K. Influence of Growing Media on Growth and Yield of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* (L.)) under Protected Environment. nternational Journal of Environment and Climate Change; c2020. DOI:10.9734/ijecc/2020/v10i430190.
- 44. Sultana S, Md Kashem Abul, Mollah M, Mohammad KA. Comparative Assessment of Cow Manure Vermicompost and NPK Fertilizers and on the Growth and Production of Zinnia (*Zinnia elegans*) Flower. Open Journal of Soil Science. 2015;5:193-198.
- 45. Sardoei AS. Vermicompost effects on the growth and flowering of marigold (*Calendula officinalis*). European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2014;4(1):651-655.
- 46. Shadanpour F, Torkashvand Mohammadi A, Majd Hashemi K. Marigold: The Possibility Using Vermicompost as the Growth Media. Journal of Ornamental and Horticultural Plants. 2015;1(3):153-160.
- 47. Singh KM, Tiwari H, Kumar Mukesh, Naresh RK, Malik Sunil, Singh SP, *et al.*, Effect of Organic Fertilizers with Foliar Application of Gibberellic Acid on Productivity, Profitability and Soil Health of Marigold (*Tagetes Erecta* L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. Int. J. Agricult. Stat. Sci. 2018;14(2):575-585.
- 48. Suwak Matt. How to grow and care for gaillardia (Blanket flowers); c2019. Gardenerspath.com.
- 49. Thumar BV, Chovatiya NV, Butani AM, Bhalu VB, Chavda JR. Effect of potting media on growth and flower yield of rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) cv. top secret under

protected condition. JPP. 2020;9(2):408-410.

- 50. Thakur Tanya, Grewal HS, Kukal SS. Impact of growing medium composition on morphological development of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat cv. Snowball). 2018;115(6):1198-120.
- 51. Tamrakar SK, Singh Prabhakar, Vijay Kumar, Tirkey T. Effect of pre-harvest foliar spray of plant growth regulators, vermiwash and cow urine on the vase life of gladiolus flower. TPI. 2021;10(3):937-941.
- 52. Thakur Rohini, Dubey RK, Kukal SS, Singh Simrat. Evaluation of different organic potting media on growth and flowering of calendula (*Calendula officinalis* Linn.). 2013;16(1&2):57-63.
- 53. Waseem K, Hameed A, Jilani SM, Kiran Mehwish Ur Rasheed M, Ghazanfarullah Javeria S. Effect of different growing media on the growth and flowering of stock (*Matthiola incana*) under the agroclimatic condition of dera ismail khan. Pak. J Agri. Sci. 2013;50(3):523-527.
- 54. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural research. ICAR, New Delhi. 1985;8:308-18.
- 55. Kumar S, Thambiraja TS, Karuppanan K, Subramaniam G. Omicron and Delta variant of SARS-CoV-2: a comparative computational study of spike protein. Journal of medical virology. 2022 Apr;94(4):1641-9.