www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(6): 2049-2051 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 16-03-2023 Accepted: 19-04-2023

Juhi Krishna

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science), NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

VM Prasad

Professor, Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Vijay Bahadur

Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Paramanand Prajapati

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science), NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Juhi Krishna M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science), NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Standardization of blended sugar free fruit jam of guava (*Psidium guajava*) and papaya (*Carica papaya*)

Juhi Krishna, VM Prasad, Vijay Bahadur and Paramanand Prajapati

Abstract

A lab study was conducted in the Fruit Science and Technology Laboratory, College of Agriculture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (Allahabad), U. P. during academic session 2019-2021. Study was laid in Completely Randomized Design. Total 6 treatments was developed under the study and thrice replicated for better result regarding physicochemical properties, shelf life and organoleptic attributes at initial, 15, 30 and 45 DAS. The result showed that there was significant differences found among, TSS (%), total titrable acidity (%), ascorbic acid, pectin content, reducing Sugar, non Reducing Sugar, pH, shelf life and organoleptic attributes viz. colour and appearance, flavour and Taste, texture and overall acceptability. Physico-chemical properties indicated maximum value of TSS (68.59 °B), total titrable acidity (27.26%), ascorbic acid (0.96 mg/100 g), pectin content (27.01%), reducing Sugar (24.44%), non Reducing Sugar (28.01%) and pH (3.93) in treatment T5 while minimum values of TSS (67.63 °B), total titrable acidity (33.34%), ascorbic acid (0.85 mg/100 g), pectin content (22.24%), reducing Sugar (21.04%), non Reducing Sugar (24.24%) and pH (3.62) found in T0 at ambient temperature. Regarding organoleptic attributes of jam data showed maximum values of colour and appearance (7.73), flavour and Taste (7.59), texture (7.56) and overall acceptability (7.07) in treatment T5 while minimum values of colour and appearance (5.51), flavour and Taste (6.04), texture (6.29) and overall acceptability (6.21) in treatment TO on Nine Point Hedonic Rating Scale. By viewing on various data regarding standardized jam it can be conclude that T5 found to be best treatment.

Keywords: Organoleptic, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, acidity, acceptability

Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is the most important and commercially cultivated fruit crop belonging to the family Myrtaceae. It was originated in tropical America, stretching from Mexico to Peru and gradually it became a commercially significant crop in several countries. Guava is a hardy plant that grows in most of soil types varying from sandy loam to clay loam with a pH of 4.5 to 8.2. Guava fruit is rich in "vitamin-C", minerals like calcium, iron and phosphorous with pleasant aroma and flavour (Dhaliwal and Dhillon 2003)^[17].

Guava (*Psidium guajava*) is a common tropical fruit cultivated in many tropical and subtropical regions. The common guava Psidium guajava (lemon guava, apple guava) is a small tree in the myrtle family (Myrtaceae), native to Mexico, Central America, the Caribbean and northern South America. Related plants may also be called guavas; they belong to other species (in Psidium) or genera (in Myrtaceae), such as the pineapple guava, Feijoa sellowiana. In 2019, 55 million tonnes of guavas were produced worldwide, led by India with 45% of the total. Botanically, guavas are berries.

Jam is distinct as semi-solid mixture, obtained upon cooking the fruit soft tissue with sugar. Jam is an in-between moisture food prepared by boiling fruit pulp with sugar (sucrose), pectin, acid and other ingredients (additive, coloring, and flavoring materials) to a rationally thick evenness, firm enough to grip the fruit tissues in position. Jam should enclose more than 68.5% total soluble solids (TSS) plus at least 45% fruit whereas, the codex alimentations commission identify that the finished jam be supposed to contain more than 65% TSS. The highest stability of the anthocyanins was found when the jams were stored at fridge temperature (4 °C). The traditional jam showed higher stability than the pressured jams but also a bigger loss of anthocyanins during the production process (Gimenez *et al.*, 2001). Jam made from lye peeled segment did not develop bitterness whereas unpeeled segment developed bitterness (Sogi and singh, 2001). In jam production, pectin can be obtained from fruit peels like orange which increases the dietary fiber of the end product and also reduces blood sugar when consume (Ozdogan and Yilmaz, 2011).

The Pharma Innovation Journal

Materials and Methods

The Experimental work of "Standardization of blended sugar free fruit jam of guava (*Psidium guajava*) and papaya (*Carica papaya*)" was conducted in the Post Harvest Laboratory, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during the year 2020. The treatments were T0 (100% Guava pulp) T1 80% Guava pulp: 20% Papaya), T2 (60% Guava pulp: 40% Papaya), T3 (40% Guava pulp: 60% Papaya), T4 (20% Guava pulp: 80% Papaya), T5 (100% Papaya),

Climatic condition in the experimental site

The area of Prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the south east of Utter Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46 °C- 48 °C and seldom falls as low as 4 °C- 5 °C. The relative humidity ranges between 20 to 94%. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4 mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months.

Experimental Findings

TSS (⁰**Brix**): TSS (⁰Brix) of the standardized jam was significantly increased with different variation in treatment. The maximum TSS value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 68.59 ⁰B followed by T4 with 68.41 ⁰B and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 67.63 ⁰B at 45 DAS of storing.

Ascorbic Acid (mg/100 g): Total titrable acidity increased significantly with various treatments. The maximum total ascorbic acid value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 27.26 followed by T4 with 24.79 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 33.34 at 45 DAS of shelf life test.

Total titrable acidity (%): It is found that there is significantly increase in ascorbic acid. The maximum titrable acidity value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 0.96 followed by T4 with 0.94 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 0.85 at 45 DAS of interval.

Pectin Content: Pectin content regarding developed jam showed maximum pectin content value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 2.71 followed by T4 with 2.51 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 2.24 at 45 DAS

of shelf life estimation.

Reducing Sugar: There was significant differences between the treatments at Initial, 15, 30, and 45 DAS. The maximum total reducing sugar value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 24.44 followed by T4 with 23.57 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 21.04 at 45 DAS of storing.

Non reducing Sugar: The maximum total reducing sugar value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 28.01 followed by T4 with 27.91 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 24.24 at 45 DAS of interval.

pH: The maximum total pH value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 3.93 followed by T4 with 3.89 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 3.62 after 45 DAS of shelf life evaluation.

Colour and Appearance: There was significantly deterioration found in colour and appearance of jam. The maximum colour and appearance value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 7.73 followed by T4 with 7.17 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 5.51 after 45 DAS.

Flavour and Taste: A significantly deterioration observed in flavour and acceptance of standardized jam. The maximum flavour and taste value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 7.59 followed by T4 with 6.71 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 6.04 after 45 DAS.

Texture: Texture of standardized jam was significantly decreased with various treatments. The maximum texture value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 7.56 followed by T4 with 7.19 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 6.29 after 45 DAS.

Overall Acceptability: overall acceptability of developed jam was significantly decreased by different variations. The maximum overall acceptability value in fruit jam was recorded in T5 with 7.07 followed by T4 with 6.81 and the minimum was recorded in T0 (Control) with 6.21 after 45 DAS.

Table 1: Effect of different treatments on TSS ⁰B, Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g) Acidity % and Pectin content of fruit jam during storage period.

Treatment		TSS	S (⁰ B)		Ascorbic Acid (mg/100 g)				Acidity %					Pectin content		
	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS
To	67.33	67.42	67.53	67.63	32.62	32.77	33.10	33.34	0.90	0.89	0.87	0.85	3.14	2.81	2.57	2.24
T1	67.41	67.51	67.61	67.81	25.21	25.41	25.67	26.13	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.90	3.27	2.91	2.61	2.21
T2	67.49	67.60	67.67	67.77	30.92	31.26	31.35	31.35	0.96	0.94	0.93	0.91	3.34	2.91	2.71	2.41
T ₃	67.59	67.73	67.83	67.93	23.87	24.17	24.41	24.79	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.95	3.41	3.17	2.94	2.51
T_4	68.03	68.13	68.21	68.41	22.97	23.26	23.66	23.99	1.00	0.98	0.97	0.94	3.54	3.14	2.81	2.51
T ₅	68.23	68.33	68.43	68.59	26.43	26.63	26.93	27.26	1.04	1.02	0.99	0.96	3.74	3.27	2.91	2.71
C.D. at 5%	0.314	0.312	0.322	0.475	4.202	4.165	4.131	4.128	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	2.34	2.23.	2.47	2.62
SE (d)	0.148	0.147	0.152	0.224	1.985	1.967	1.951	1.95	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	3.33	2.98	2.71	2.43
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

The Pharma Innovation Journal

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

Table 2: Effect of different treatments on reducing sugar, non reducing sugar, pH and Color and Appearance of fruit jam during storage period.

Treatment		Reduci	ng sugai	ſ	Non Reducing sugar				рН					Color and Appearance			
	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	
T ₀	12.81	15.47	18.51	21.04	33.47	30.21	27.67	24.24	3.34	3.42	3.52	3.62	5.86	5.76	5.62	5.51	
T1	13.77	16.44	19.34	22.21	34.97	31.41	28.41	24.61	3.49	3.57	3.67	3.75	6.86	6.75	6.64	6.52	
T_2	13.64	16.84	20.07	22.91	35.64	31.81	29.11	26.31	3.52	3.60	3.70	3.79	6.66	6.56	6.43	6.33	
T 3	14.14	17.21	20.11	23.02	36.21	33.27	31.04	27.21	3.62	3.70	3.80	3.88	7.56	7.13	7.02	6.92	
T_4	14.37	17.54	20.61	23.57	36.84	33.64	30.91	27.91	3.60	3.70	3.80	3.89	7.83	7.39	7.28	7.17	
T ₅	15.67	18.51	21.21	24.44	37.44	34.27	31.31	28.01	3.67	3.75	3.85	3.93	8.06	7.95	7.82	7.73	
C.D. at 5%	1.278	1.397	1.457	1.754	2.334	2.203.	2.474	2.602	0.174	0.175	0.167	0.155	0.528	1.180	1.554	1.498	
SE (d)	0.603	0.66	0.688	0.829	35.633	31.8	29.1	26.3	0.082	0.082	0.072	0.073	0.249	0.557	0.734	0.708	
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	

Table 3: Effect of different treatments on score of flavor and taste, texture and overall acceptability of fruit jam during storage period.

Treatment		Flavor	and Taste			Te	xture		Overall acceptability				
	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	Initial	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	
T ₀	6.34	6.24	6.14	6.04	6.64	6.54	6.42	6.29	6.52	6.42	6.32	6.21	
T_1	6.62	6.530	6.43	6.33	6.92	6.47	6.33	6.23	6.72	6.62	6.52	6.41	
T2	6.90	6.78	6.68	6.57	7.01	6.91	6.76	6.65	6.95	6.84	6.73	6.94	
T_3	7.21	6.74	6.64	6.52	7.21	7.10	6.96	6.81	7.27	6.84	6.73	6.60	
T_4	7.50	6.93	6.83	6.71	7.24	7.12	6.99	7.19	7.26	7.09	6.99	6.81	
T5	7.99	7.79	7.75	7.59	7.91	7.82	7.69	7.56	7.43	7.33	7.18	7.07	
C.D. at 5%	0.653	0.714	0.730	0.702	0.701	0.694	0.675	0.692	0.789	0.765	0.778	0.875	
SE (d)	0.308	0.337	0.341	0.332	0.331	0.328	0.319	0.327	0.372	0.361	0.367	0.413	
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	

Conclusion

From our findings it is concluded that the treatment T_5 (100% Papaya) was found to be best in the terms of TSS, Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g), Acidity %, Pectin Content (%), reducing sugar, non reducing sugar, pH, color and appearance, flavor and taste, texture, and overall acceptability.

References

- 1. Ahlawat KS, Khatkar BS, Sushil Gulia N. Development and shelf life studies of aloe vera-guava jelly. Annals of Biology. 2014;30(4):705-710.
- Ashaye OA, Babalola SO, Babalola AO, Journal O, Aina, Fasoyiro SB. Chemical and organoleptic characterization of pawpaw and guava leathers. World J Agri Sci. 2005;1(1):50-51.
- Bajaj Mehta. Studies on processing and development of ready to serve drink from bitter gourd fruit. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2007;42(3):217-220.
- 4. Basha SJ, Swamy AY, Ramu L, Sreenivas D. Organoleptic properties of guava fruit leather with effectiveness of increase in storage period. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(5):2226-2242.
- 5. Bogha TT, Sawate AR, Kshirsagar RB, Agarkar BS, Patil BM. Studies on development and organoleptic evaluation of blended guava-pineapple jelly incorporated with Aloe vera. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(1):1969-1972.
- 6. Chakrabortya I, Athmaselvi KA. Changes in Physicochemical Properties of Guava Juice during Ohmic Heating. Journal of Ready to Eat Food. 2014;1(4):152-157.
- Choudhary ML, Dikshit SN, Shukla N, Saxena RR. Evaluation of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) varieties and standardization of recipe for nectar preparation. J Hortl. Sci. 2008;3(2):161-163.
- 8. Dahiwale M, Dhurve P. Study on preparation of guava jam blended with carrot and tulsi leaves. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research. 2017;8(10):20923-20928.

- 9. Ishartani D, Rahman FLF, Hartanto R, Utami R, Khasanah LU. Physical, chemical and sensory characteristics of red guava (*Psidium guajava*) velva at different fruit ripening time. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 2018;102:1-9.
- 10. Kadam DM, Kaushik P, Kumar R. Evaluation of Guava Products Quality. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering. 2012;2(1):7-11.
- 11. Khan RU, Ayub M. Effect of different chemical preservatives on the quality attributes of guava aloe vera blended pulp at ambient conditions. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2020;36(2):411-418.
- 12. Kumar H, Katiyar SK, Rakha R, Jatav A, Singh K. Studies on physico-chemical and quality materials in fruits of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Pomace. International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science. 2018;4(1):28-29.
- Kumar J, Sharma RK, Singh R, Goyal RK Effect of different types of polythene on shelf life of summer guava. Horticultural Society of Haryana, Hisar. 2003;32(3-4):201-202.
- 14. Mehta SK, Singh KK, Rana DK, Bhartwal P, Brahmanand. Evaluation of physico-chemical parameters of different varieties of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under sub-tropical condition of Garhwal Himalaya (Uttarakhand), India. International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Management. 2018;Special Issue I:88-91.
- Misra AK. Guava diseases their Symptoms, causes and management. Citted from Naqvi (ed.), S.A.M.H. 2004. Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables. 2004;2:81-119.
- Mondhe DS, Ahire SS, Bhandare AV, Tambe MS. Development & quality evaluation of jelly prepared from guava blended with pomegranate. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2018;2(6):44-50.
- 17. Dhaliwal GS, Dhillon SK. Effect of tree size on physicochemical characteristics of fruits of guava cv. Sardar. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2003;60(4):312-7.