The Pharma Innovation Journal 2023; 12(7): 2929-2932

www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation

ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(7): 2929-2932

www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 15-05-2023
Accepted: 22-06-2023

Heera Lal
Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Madhavi Khilari
Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Lilagar Singh Verma
Associate Professor, Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Ram Singh
Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author:
Heera Lal
Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Effect of different packaging materials on shelf life of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) loose flower

Heera Lal, Madhavi Khilari, Lilagar Singh Verma and Ram Singh

Abstract
The present investigation was done on effect of different packaging material on keeping quality of loose African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) flower with objective to Study the Effect of Different Packaging Materials on Shelf life of Marigold loose flower. The research was arranged in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 11 treatments. Treatment consist different packaging material i.e. polyethylene 200 gauge (0, 1, 2% ventilation), polyethylene 400 gauge (0, 1 and 2% ventilation), gunny bags, onion mesh bag, card board box, crates and control (without packaging) and treatments replicated thrice. Among the treatments, The maximum values for parameters including freshness index, shelf life, relative water content, minimum physiological loss in weight and minimum spoilage percentage has been observed in T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) followed by T_1 (polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation). Card board box has found better than gunny bag, onion mesh bag and crates. Polyethylene 400 gauge 0% Ventilation has been beneficial for increase shelf life of loose marigold flowers as compared to crates. Therefore, it may be concluded that as far as packaging material is concerned, polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation has been found to be superior and may be recommended for transition of loose marigold flowers.

Keywords: Packaging material, marigold, loose flower, Shelf life, polyethylene, card board box, crates, gunny bag, onion mesh bag and ventilation

Introduction
African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) is one of the important loose flowers grown commercially in different parts of India. The chromosome number of marigold is 2n=24. It is belongs to family Asteraceae and native to Central and South America specially Mexico. Common name in English is marigold derived from Mary's gold, which is initially applied to similar European native plant *Calendula officinalis*. Marigold is commonly known as *Gainda* in Hindi, belt of Central and northern India. Marigold introduced India by the Portuguese and they indiscriminately applied marigold name is several genera of Asteraceae with golden or yellow Capitulum and there are about 33 species of the genus Tagetes, out of which, five species have been introduced into the Indian gardens *viz*. *Tagetes erecta* L. (Aztec or African Marigold), *Tagetes minuta* L. (*Tagetes glandulifera* Schrank), *Tagetes patula* L. (French Marigold), *Tagetes lucida* (Sweet-Scented Marigold), *Tagetes tenuifolia* (Striped Marigold). It is grown as annual flowers, short duration, free blooming and taller type crop. It has gained popularity because of adaptability to various soils and climatic conditions and longer blooming period. Flower colour varies from lemon yellow, bright yellow, golden yellow, orange and nearest to white. The Marigolds spread quickly because of the easy to cultivation, longer blooming period and beautiful flowers with excellent shelf life. They are extensively used for making garlands, religious offerings and exhibitions.
The acreage of marigold cultivation in India is about 64.65 thousand ha with a production of 608.97 thousand MT loose flowers and 7.90 thousand MT cut flowers (Anonymous, 2016-17). The area under Chhattisgarh is 5,131 ha with a production of 40448 MT, although marigold is the leading loose flower crop in the Chhattisgarh market with 6th ranks in term of production in India. (Anonymous, 2018-19). It has gained popularity in Chhattisgarh on accounts of its easy cultivation, wide adaptability and popularity throughout the year. The market and climatic condition of Chhattisgarh is favourable for marigold. Hence an ample scopes for increasing area and production.
Flowers are being produced at an increasing rate each year. Although managing flowers is a laborious operation and the potential longevity of flowers is almost entirely determined at harvest, while post-harvest factors like temperature, relative humidity, packaging and

transportation are influence 30 percent of the effects. There is an immense loss in value of loose flowers during marketing channel which can be 50 percent of the farm value (Bhattacharjee and De, 2005). Post harvest behaviour and long-lasting quality of loose flower considerably vary from species to cultivars; generally flowers are extremely perishable maintaining their physiological functions very active even after harvest. The beginning of flower senescence often depends on ethylene production.

Retaining the freshness and turgidity, extending the vase life, and enhancing flower quality are critical for post-harvest care of flowers, typically marigold flowers. Loss of cell turgidity is the most prevalent and visibly visible senescence indicator in flower petals, which results in wilting and death are lost in 3-4 days after harvest depending on the season. The packaging plays a key role in controlling senescence of flower and prolonging the shelf life of many ornamental crops. Besides this, the packaging has also play a key role in enhancing the quality parameters. For efficient marketing, packaging and storage are crucial components of the floriculture sector. An essential tool for managing highly perishable commodities after harvest is packaging. Marigolds' shelf life is extended by packaging, which keeps their beauty.

Material and Methods

The experiment was done at Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh during the year 2019-2020. The study was designed under Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with three replications. The harvested fully opened loose flowers of marigold are packaged in different packaging material. The packaging materials used for this study were polyethylene 200 gauge (0, 1, 2% ventilation), polyethylene 400 gauge (0, 1 and 2% ventilation), gunny bags, onion mesh bag, card board box, crates. The experiments included 11 treatments namely, polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation, polyethylene 200 gauge 1% ventilation, polyethylene 200 gauge 2% ventilation, polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation, polyethylene 400 gauge 1% ventilation, polyethylene 400 gauge 2% ventilation, gunny bag and control (without packaging) onion mesh bag. The packaging of loose flowers was done in different packaging material and each packages carried 125 gm loose African marigold flowers. In each treatment contains five packages and arranging it in three replications. In control treatment, loose flowers are stored without packaging materials and stored under room temperature. The data on different parameters that is physiological loss in weight (%), spoilage percentage, freshness index, shelf life and relative water contents were recorded during experiment. The recorded data analysed for the completely randomized design *viz.* computation of mean, standard error and critical difference (CD) value P = 0.05 were carried out using ANOVA table.

Table 1: Details of different treatment

Treatments	Details
T_0	Control (without packaging)
T_1	Polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation
T_2	Polyethylene 200 gauge 01% ventilation
T_3	Polyethylene 200 gauge 02% ventilation
T_4	Polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation
T_5	Polyethylene 400 gauge 01% ventilation
T_6	Polyethylene 400 gauge 02% ventilation
T_7	Gunny bag
T_8	Onion mesh bag
T_9	Card board box with no ventilation
T_{10}	Crates

Results and Discussion

In the current study, the collected observational data was statistically analysed using the method of analysis of variance at 5% level of significance. ANOVA revealed that the influence of different packaging material showed significant on parameters at 1st 2nd and 3rd DAS.

The minimum physiological loss in weight 3.41, 6.48 and 10.07% respectively had observed in treatment T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge with 0% ventilation) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd DAS. The maximum physiological loss in weight 20.87, 25.60 and 33.43% respectively had recorded in T_0 (without packaging) followed by treatment T_8 (onion mesh bag) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd day after storage during rainy season. The minimum physiological loss in weight was noted in PE 400 gauge 0% ventilation under ambient condition which is mainly due to maintains humidity inside the packages which in turn slows down the process of moisture loss and proper balance of carbon di-oxide and oxygen concentrations which lower down the respiration.(Anzueto and Rizve 1985) [3] The results of this study are close agreement with the findings of Nagaraja *et al.* (2004) [10] and Khongwir *et al.* (2017) [8] in tuberose and Ravi *et al.* (2004) [15], Karuppaiah *et al.* (2006) [7] in jasmine.

The minimum spoilage percentage 0.00, 1.07 and 1.60% had observed in T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) which was *at par* with T_1 (polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd DAS and maximum spoilage percentage 5.68%, 10.26% and 15.90% had recorded in T_0 (without packaging) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd DAS. The minimum spoilage percentage had observed in T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) reduce rotting in flowers resulting reduced spoilage of flower which is mainly due to maintaining optimum humidity and ratio of oxygen and carbon dioxide, moisture retention and thus reducing the rate of evapo-transpiration and respiration leading to lower spoilage. Similar finding was reported by Madaiah and Reddy (1994) [9] in tuberose, Nirmala and Reddy (1994) [12] in jasmine flowers, and Verma and Jhanji (2019) [14] in loose marigold flowers where minimum spoilage was recorded in polyethylene.

The maximum freshness index 5.00 had recorded in T_1 (polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation) and T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) at 1st DAS, 5.00 and 4.77 had

recorded in T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) at 2nd and 3rd DAS and the minimum freshness index 3.80, 2.80 and 2.33 had observed in T_0 (without packaging) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd DAS. Polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation has attributed to reduces the permeability of moisture leading to the reduction in the loss of moisture and preventing the wilting of flower thus maintaining the freshness of flowers by delaying the symptoms of senescence. Stated retention of freshness of flowers in polyethylene due to their ability to maintain humid condition in the vicinity of flowers by acting as a barrier for loss of moisture inside the packaging. Similar results were reported by Khongwir *et al* (2017) [8] with tuberose loose flowers.

The maximum shelf life 4.67 days had recorded in T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) which was *at par* with T_1 (polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation) and T_5 (polyethylene 400 gauge 1% ventilation). The minimum shelf life 2.33 days had noticed in T_0 (without packaging). The maximum shelf life had recorded in polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation might be reduce physiological loss in flowers resulting increased shelf life of flower which is mainly due to the moisture retentive nature of polyethylene packaging material prevents moisture loss and increase the relative humidity inside the packed flowers resulting rate of respiration and other enzymatic activity was probably much lower, there by delaying the senescence process in the petals. Similar findings reported by Madaiah and Reddy (1994) [9], Khongwir *et al.* (2017) [8] in tuberose loose flower, Nirmala and Reddy (1994) [12] in jasmine, Verma and Jhanji (2019) [14] in marigold under ambient condition.

The maximum relative water content 74.23%, 70.46% and 64.80% had recorded in T_4 (polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation) which has *at par* with T_1 (polyethylene 200 gauge 0% ventilation) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd DAS and the minimum relative water content 65.47, 61.29 and 57.73% had found in T_0 (without packaging) at 1st, 2nd and 3rd DAS. Polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation increase relative water content of flowers which is mainly due to maintenance of relative humidity inside the packages results least moisture loss and maintaining the membrane integrity. Relative water contents manifest the water status of the petals it's higher when moisture content is high and weight loss is low. Similar findings also noted by Nagaraja *et al* (1999) [10] in loose flower of jasmine, Khongwir *et al.* (2017) [8] in tuberose loose flower and Verma and Jhanji (2019) [14] in marigold under ambient condition.

Table 2: Effect of different packaging material on Physiological loss in weight (%), Spoilage percentage, Freshness index (Score Card), Relative Water Contents (%) and Shelf life (Days) of loose marigold flower under ambient condition

Treat.	Physiological loss in weight (%)			Spoilage percentage			Freshness index (Score Card)			Relative Water Contents (%)			Shelf life (Days)
	1st DAS*	2nd DAS	3rd DAS	1st DAS	2nd DAS	3rd DAS	1st DAS	2nd DAS	3rd DAS	1st DAS	2nd DAS	3rd DAS	
T_0	20.87	25.60	33.43	5.68	10.26	15.90	3.80	2.80	2.33	65.47	61.29	57.73	2.33
T_1	5.60	9.40	13.52	0.57	1.27	2.72	5.00	4.73	4.53	73.13	69.00	63.53	4.17
T_2	9.20	11.95	17.60	1.04	3.66	5.21	4.60	4.33	3.67	72.80	68.17	62.20	3.67
T_3	11.99	14.76	22.43	2.51	5.62	9.62	4.40	4.27	3.43	70.73	67.33	60.42	3.33
T_4	3.41	6.48	10.07	0.00	1.07	1.60	5.00	5.00	4.77	74.23	70.46	64.80	4.67
T_5	6.51	10.57	14.58	1.01	2.13	4.94	4.80	4.40	3.87	72.93	68.48	62.37	4.00
T_6	9.97	13.95	18.33	1.61	4.50	7.69	4.60	4.20	3.80	70.43	67.97	60.31	3.87
T_7	17.40	21.33	29.41	4.07	8.16	13.84	4.00	3.07	2.80	66.51	62.23	59.07	2.83
T_8	18.78	21.82	30.49	5.23	9.76	14.54	3.93	2.80	2.67	66.68	61.53	58.87	2.43
T_9	9.63	15.16	20.61	1.40	3.65	10.45	4.40	3.87	3.37	70.03	68.00	60.78	3.33
T_{10}	17.24	23.40	29.56	5.63	10.11	14.08	3.87	3.00	2.67	67.84	61.92	58.14	3.00
$S.E_{m\pm}$	0.30	0.39	0.35	0.20	0.39	0.26	0.22	0.19	0.26	0.57	0.70	0.75	0.25
CD	0.88	1.16	1.04	0.59	1.14	0.78	0.65	0.57	0.78	1.69	2.07	2.20	0.74

Conclusion

The results revealed that polyethylene packaging material with 0% ventilation would be the best packaging material for increasing shelf life of loose marigold flowers. Among the entire treatments polyethylene 400 gauge 0% ventilation maintained minimum physiological loss in weight, maximum relative water content, maximum freshness index and lower spoilage percentage resulting maximum shelf life of loose marigold flowers.

Reference

1. Anonymous. Agricultural Statistics Division, Directorate of Economics and Statistics Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Govt. of India; c2016-17.
2. Anonymous. Chhattisgarh Database. Area and production of horticultural crops in Chhattisgarh; c2018-19.
3. Anzueto GR, Rizve SSH. Individual packaging of apples for shelf life extension. J Food Science. 1985;50:897-900.
4. Bhattacharjee SK, De LC. Post-harvest technology of flowers and ornamental plants. Jaipur India: Pointer publication; c2005. p. 144-145.
5. Bhullar JS, Farmohan HL. Studies on the ripening and storage behaviour of Safeda guava (*Psidium guajava*). Indian Food Packer. 1980;34:5-7.
6. Jawaharlal M, Thamaraiselvi SP, Ganga M. Packaging technology for export of Jasmine (*Jasminum sambac* Ait.) flowers. Journal Horticulture Science. 2012;7(2):180-189.
7. Karuppaiah P, Kumar SR, Rajkumar M. Effect of different packages on the post-harvest behaviour and shelf life of Jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*). International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006;2:447-449.
8. Khongwir NKL, Singh MC, Singh KP, Arora A. Influence of different polyethylene packaging on shelf life of Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberose* Linn.). Progressive Horticulture. 2017;49(2):181-186.
9. Madaiah D, Reddy TV. Influence of polyethylene packaging on post-harvest life of Tuberose (cv. Single) florets. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences.

1994;7:154-157.
10. Nagaraja GS, Gowda JVN, Farooqi AA. Influence of chemical and packaging on shelf life of Tuberose flowers. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1999a;12:130-136.
11. Nichols S, Kulwiec LJ. flowers preservatives and flower dyes. 71-73. in a manual of Carnation production (ed. H. Kingham). Ministry of Agriculture, fish and food bulletin, London; c1967. p. 151.
12. Nirmala S, Reddy TV. Shelf life of Jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) flowers as influenced by packaging and ventilation. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1993;27:272-276.
13. Saidulu Y, Girvani A. Effect of pre harvest foliar sprays, packaging and storage temperatures on growth, yield and storability of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Pusa Narangi' Gainda. M.Sc. thesis submitted to Dr. Y.S.P Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem; c2013. p. 51-66.
14. Verma T, Jhanji S. Evaluation of packaging material for improvement in keeping quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) flowers. M.Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana; c2019. p. 1-76.
15. Ravi G, Gopinath G, Ravi MV. Influence of preservative chemicals with or without polyethylene packaging on post-harvest behaviour of Jasmine (*Jasminum auriculatum* Vahl. and *Jasminum grandiflorum*) flowers. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2004;38:526-532.