



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(7): 495-502
© 2023 TPI
www.thepharmajournal.com
Received: 23-05-2023
Accepted: 27-06-2023

Anamika Varma
Department of Floriculture and
Landscape Architecture, College
of Horticulture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

BV Thumar
Associate Professor, College of
Agriculture, Junagadh
Agricultural University, Mota
Bhandariya, Amreli, Gujarat,
India

Kruti Dobariya
Department of Floriculture and
Landscape Architecture, College
of Horticulture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

JS Parasana
Assistant Professor, College of
Horticulture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author:
Anamika Varma
Department of Floriculture and
Landscape Architecture, College
of Horticulture, Junagadh
Agricultural University,
Junagadh, Gujarat, India

Effect of different levels of NPK on growth, quality and nutrient uptake in gomphrena (*Gomphrena globosa* L.)

Anamika Varma, BV Thumar, Kruti Dobariya and JS Parasana

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out at Floriculture Farm, Jambuvadi, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat). The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with a factorial concept having twelve treatment combinations, consisting of three levels of nitrogen (100, 150 and 200 kg ha⁻¹), two levels of phosphorus (50 and 75 kg ha⁻¹) and two levels of potassium (75 and 100 kg ha⁻¹). The result of the experiment revealed that the effect of nitrogen at 200 kg ha⁻¹, phosphorus at 75 kg ha⁻¹, and potassium at 100 kg ha⁻¹ respectively recorded maximum plant height (36.16 cm, 35.57 cm, 35.42 cm), number of branches per plant (11.58, 11.11, 11.29), plant spread (N-S) (23.97 cm, 23.78 cm, 23.66 cm), plant spread (E-W) (30.89 cm, 30.24 cm, 30.42 cm), fresh weight of plant (333.57 g, 327.02 g, 326.99 g), dry weight of plant (110.99 g, 106.94 g, 107.45 g), vase life (6.98 days, 6.47 days, 6.56 days), shelf life (27.02 days, 26.59 days, 26.43 days), higher nitrogen uptake (3.02 g plant⁻¹, 2.48 g plant⁻¹, 2.39 g plant⁻¹), phosphorus uptake (0.39 g plant⁻¹, 0.37 g plant⁻¹, 0.36 g plant⁻¹) and potassium uptake (2.24 g plant⁻¹, 2.08 g plant⁻¹, 2.10 g plant⁻¹).

Keywords: NPK, growth, quality, nutrient uptake, *Gomphrena globosa* L.

Introduction

Gomphrena, a native plant of North America, South America, Myanmar, and India, belongs to the family Amaranthaceae and is widely cultivated for its vibrant and button-like flower heads. It typically grows up to 1-2 feet tall with erect, branched stems and oblong-ovate leaves. They thrive as an annual plant in warm temperate to tropical climates with temperatures ranging from 20 to 35 °C and an annual rainfall of 900 to 3000 mm. Gomphrena also excels as a dried flower, making it ideal for dry flower arrangements, indoor decorations, pomanders, garlands, bouquets, and vase arrangements. Gomphrena flowers contain betacyanin, including gomphrenin and isogomphrenin, which are red-violet betalain pigments. (Roriz *et al.*, 2017) [25]. Plant parts are mainly used to treat body soreness, malaria, bacterial infections and jaundice, urinary problem, high cholesterol, cough, fever, diarrhea, liver disorders, kidney disorders and cooling. (Anaswara *et al.*, 2022) [2].

Proper application of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) fertilizers is crucial for the optimal growth and flowering of gomphrena.

Nitrogen (N) is a vital nutrient that significantly impacts plant growth and yield. It is a key component of chlorophyll, facilitating photosynthesis and the production of sugars from water and carbon dioxide. It also promotes lush green foliage, encourages vegetative growth in leaves and stems, enhances nutrient uptake, including potassium and phosphorus, and regulates overall plant growth. (Leghari *et al.*, 2016) [15].

Phosphorus (P) is another vital nutrient necessary for gomphrena's growth. It serves as a component of various structural compounds in plants and catalyzes important biochemical reactions. Adding phosphorus to crops has been associated with stimulating root development, strengthening stalks and stems, improving flower formation and seed production, promoting earlier and more uniform flower maturity, and enhancing flower quality. (Razaq *et al.*, 2017) [22].

Potassium (K) is an essential activator of numerous vital enzymes involved in various plant processes. It is necessary for protein synthesis, sugar transport, nitrogen and carbon metabolism, and photosynthesis. Potassium significantly influences yield formation and quality improvement. Potassium activates ATPase in the plasma membrane, triggering acid stimulation, cell wall loosening, and hydrolase activation, thereby promoting cell growth. (Hepler *et al.*, 2001) [12].

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was conducted at Floriculture Farm, Jambuvadi, Department of Horticulture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat) during the year 2022. There were twelve different treatment combinations comprising three levels of nitrogen ($N_1 = 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $N_3 = 200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$), two levels of phosphorus ($P_1 = 50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $P_2 = 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) and two levels of potassium ($K_1 = 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ and $K_2 = 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$), which were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively. Urea was applied in two split doses, along with full doses of SSP and MOP. The remaining dose of urea was applied a month later. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomised Block Design (FRBD) and replicated three times. Forty days old healthy seedlings of gomphrena were then transplanted with a spacing of 30 x 20 cm.

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters

Effect of nitrogen

The result pointed out that plant height was found significantly maximum (36.16 cm) in treatment N_3 (200 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum (32.66 cm) in treatment N_1 (100 kg ha^{-1}). Nitrogen being an important constituent of various enzymes which take part in plant metabolism, it plays an active role in energy metabolism. Therefore, increasing the levels of nitrogen improved cell division and cell elongation which might have resulted in maximum plant height. Similar results were reported by Barad *et al.* (2015) ^[4] and Agrawal and Dorajeerao (2016) ^[1] in golden rod, Kejkar and Polara (2017) ^[13] in spider lily, and Vinayak *et al.* (2017) ^[32] in salvia.

Whereas the number of primary branches was significantly highest (11.58) in treatment N_3 (200 kg ha^{-1}) and lowest (9.53) in treatment N_1 (100 kg ha^{-1}). Nitrogen supply to roots is found to stimulate the production and export of cytokinin to the shoots, which might have caused the lateral buds to sprout giving more primary branches. These readings were in close similarity to those of Dedhia *et al.* (2021) ^[6] in gaillardia and Ghormade *et al.* (2018) ^[10] in China aster.

In terms of plant spread (N-S) and (E-W), significantly maximum plant spread (23.97 cm and 30.89 cm respectively) was observed in treatment N_3 (200 kg ha^{-1}). Likewise, the minimum (20.93 cm and 27.11 cm) plant spread (N-S) and (E-W) respectively were seen in treatment N_1 (100 kg ha^{-1}). This rise in plant spread may be attributed to the association of nitrogen in the synthesis of protoplasm and primarily in the formation of amino acids and increase in auxin activities due to nitrogen fertilization. These results were in close conformity to that of Singh *et al.* (2014) ^[29] in tuberose, Fayaz *et al.* (2016) ^[9] in gerbera and Barad *et al.* (2015) ^[4] in golden rod.

The fresh weight and dry weight of plant (333.57 g and 110.99 g respectively) were significantly maximum in treatment N_3 (200 kg ha^{-1}). Similarly, minimum fresh weight and dry weight (302.15 g and 94.92 g) were seen in treatment N_1 (100 kg ha^{-1}). The increase in weight of the plant might be due to an increase in plant height, plant spread and the number of branches per plant. These observations are following the findings of Rajan *et al.* (2019) ^[21] in chrysanthemum and Savaliya *et al.* (2019) ^[27] in golden rod.

Effect of phosphorus

Significantly maximum (35.57 cm) plant height was observed in treatment P_2 (75 kg ha^{-1}) and a minimum (33.51 cm) in treatment P_1 (50 kg ha^{-1}). The possible reason for the increase in plant height might be attributed to the fact that phosphorus is one of the major elements and a constituent of nucleoprotein, which plays a leading role in cell division and tissue formation. Similar findings were observed by Kumar *et al.* (2006) ^[14] and Patel *et al.* (2010) ^[19] in gladiolus and Kejkar and Polara (2017) ^[13] in spider lily.

Among the different phosphorus levels, the number of primary branches was significantly maximum (11.11) in treatment P_2 (75 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum (10.10) in treatment P_1 (50 kg ha^{-1}). Since phosphorus is an integral part of sugar phosphate (ATP and ADP) and necessary for photosynthetic and respiratory processes, this might be the reason for the increase in the number of primary branches. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Chaudhary *et al.* (2016) ^[5] in rose and Ozukum *et al.* (2022) ^[17] in jasmine.

The plant spread (N-S) and (E-W) were significantly maximum (23.78 cm and 30.24 cm respectively) in treatment P_2 (75 kg ha^{-1}). Similarly, minimum plant spread (N-S) and (E-W) (21.03 cm and 27.85 cm respectively) in treatment P_1 (50 kg ha^{-1}). The reason could be that phosphorus promotes root development and branching, which leads to better nutrient and water absorption, resulting in healthier plants with larger plant spread. These findings are in corroboration to Rajan *et al.* (2019) ^[21] in chrysanthemum and Savaliya *et al.* (2019) ^[27] in golden rod.

It was noted that significantly maximum fresh weight and dry weight of the plant (327.02 g and 106.94 g respectively) was observed in treatment P_2 (75 kg ha^{-1}). Whereas, minimum plant fresh weight and dry weight (310.47 g and 99.54 g) were observed in treatment P_1 (50 kg ha^{-1}). The increase in plant weight might be due to the reason that phosphorus promotes cell division and cell elongation leading to enhanced vegetative growth. These findings were in close similarity to those observed by Rajan *et al.* (2019) ^[21] in chrysanthemum and Savaliya *et al.* (2019) ^[2] in golden rod.

Effect of potassium

Plant height was significantly maximum (35.42 cm) in treatment K_2 (100 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum (33.65 cm) in treatment K_1 (75 kg ha^{-1}). In terms of the growth-promoting mechanism, potassium stimulates and controls ATPase in the plasma membrane to generate acid stimulation, which then triggers cell wall loosening, thus promoting cell growth which might be the reason for increased plant height. These results were in close conformity to that of Zeb *et al.* (2015) ^[33] in chrysanthemum and Gupta *et al.* (2016) ^[11] in dahlia.

Among the different potassium levels, the number of primary branches were significantly highest (11.29) in treatment K_2 (100 kg ha^{-1}) and lowest (9.92) in treatment K_1 (75 kg ha^{-1}). Potassium is involved in the production of some plant hormones like auxin and cytokinin, which stimulate cell division and cell elongation, which might be the reason for the increased number of primary branches. Similar findings were observed by Rajadurai *et al.* (2000) ^[20] in marigold and Sahu *et al.* (2021) ^[26] in chrysanthemum.

The plant spread (N-S) and (E-W) were significantly maximum (23.66 cm and 30.42 cm respectively) in treatment K_2 (100 kg ha^{-1}). Whereas minimum plant spread (N-S) and (E-W) (21.15 cm and 27.67 cm respectively) were observed

in treatment K_1 (75 kg ha⁻¹). Potassium promotes root growth, which allows the plant to absorb more water and nutrients, thereby increasing the rate of photosynthesis which might be the cause of improved plant growth and plant spread. These results were in close similarity to that of Singh *et al.* (2017)^[30] in China aster and Savaliya *et al.* (2019)^[27] in golden rod and Parasana *et al.* (2023)^[18] in custard apple.

The fresh weight and dry weight of the plant (326.99 g and 107.45 g) was significantly highest in treatment K_2 (100 kg ha⁻¹). Similarly, minimum plant fresh weight and dry weight (310.50 g and 99.03 g) was observed in treatment K_1 (75 kg ha⁻¹). The surge in plant weight might be due to an increase in plant height, plant spread (N-S) (E-W) and the number of branches per plant. These observations were closely similar to that of Savaliya *et al.* (2019)^[27] in golden rod, Reshma (2018)^[24] in gomphrena and Vasava *et al.* (2023)^[32] in brinjal.

Interaction effect of N and P

Significantly maximum N-S plant spread (32.90 cm) and E-W plant spread (25.06 cm) were noted in treatment combination N_3P_2 (200: 75 kg ha⁻¹). These results were in close similarity to that of Singh *et al.* (2017)^[30] in China aster and Savaliya *et al.* (2019)^[27] in golden rod. This might be due to the reason that nitrogen and phosphorus at the above rates were ideal for increasing the plant spread of gomphrena.

Interaction effect of N, P and K

Significantly maximum plant height (38.95 cm), number of primary branches (13.14), plant fresh weight (369.74 g) and plant dry weight (117.20 g) were noted in treatment combination $N_3P_2K_2$ (200: 75: 100 kg ha⁻¹). These results were in close similarity to that of Ozukum *et al.* (2022)^[17] in jasmine and Aremzungla and Topno (2022)^[3] in zinnia. This might be due to the reason that nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium at the above rates were ideal for increasing the growth parameters of gomphrena.

Quality parameters

Effect of nitrogen

Significantly maximum vase life and shelf life (6.98 days and 27.02 days) was observed in treatment N_3 (200 kg ha⁻¹) whereas minimum vase life and shelf life (5.58 days and 23.98 days) was found in treatment N_1 (100 kg ha⁻¹). This might be due to the reason that nitrogen is necessary for production of amino acids, which are building blocks of proteins. Proteins are important for maintaining the quality and appearance of flowers. These findings were similar to that of Singh *et al.* (2013)^[31] and Regar *et al.* (2016)^[23] in gladiolus and Aremzungla and Topno (2022)^[3] in zinnia.

Table 1: Effect of different levels of NPK on growth parameters

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches | Plant spread (N-S) (cm) | Plant spread (E-W) (cm) | Fresh weight of plant (g) | Dry weight of plant (g) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Nitrogen (N) | | | | | | |
| $N_1 = 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 32.66 | 9.53 | 20.93 | 27.11 | 302.15 | 94.92 |
| $N_2 = 150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 34.78 | 10.71 | 22.34 | 29.15 | 320.53 | 103.81 |
| $N_3 = 200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 36.16 | 11.58 | 23.97 | 30.89 | 333.57 | 110.99 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.598 | 0.197 | 0.547 | 0.619 | 6.349 | 2.08 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 1.75 | 0.57 | 1.60 | 1.81 | 18.62 | 6.10 |
| Phosphorus (P) | | | | | | |
| $P_1 = 50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 33.51 | 10.10 | 21.03 | 27.85 | 310.47 | 99.54 |
| $P_2 = 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 35.57 | 11.11 | 23.78 | 30.24 | 327.02 | 106.94 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.488 | 0.161 | 0.447 | 0.506 | 5.184 | 1.699 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 1.43 | 0.47 | 1.31 | 1.48 | 15.20 | 4.98 |
| Potassium (K) | | | | | | |
| $K_1 = 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 33.65 | 9.92 | 21.15 | 27.67 | 310.50 | 99.03 |
| $K_2 = 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 35.42 | 11.29 | 23.66 | 30.42 | 326.99 | 107.45 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.488 | 0.161 | 0.447 | 0.506 | 5.184 | 1.699 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 1.43 | 0.47 | 1.31 | 1.48 | 15.20 | 4.98 |
| Interactions | | | | | | |
| N x P | | | | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.846 | 0.279 | 0.774 | 0.876 | 8.978 | 2.943 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS | 2.27 | 2.57 | NS | NS |
| N x K | | | | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.846 | 0.279 | 0.774 | 0.876 | 8.978 | 2.943 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| P x K | | | | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.690 | 0.228 | 0.632 | 0.715 | 7.331 | 2.403 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| N x P x K | | | | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 1.196 | 0.395 | 1.095 | 1.239 | 12.698 | 4.162 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 3.50 | 1.13 | NS | NS | 37.24 | 12.20 |
| C.V.% | 6.00 | 6.45 | 8.47 | 7.39 | 6.90 | 6.98 |

Table 2: Interaction effect of NPK on growth parameters

| Treatment combinations (kg ha ⁻¹) | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches | Fresh weight of plant (g) | Dry weight of plant (g) |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 100: 50: 75 | 27.99 | 8.33 | 270.75 | 83.24 |
| 100: 50: 100 | 34.86 | 10.09 | 313.67 | 101.89 |
| 100: 75: 75 | 34.35 | 10.12 | 301.40 | 92.11 |
| 100: 75: 100 | 33.47 | 9.59 | 322.78 | 102.44 |
| 150: 50: 75 | 33.70 | 9.20 | 290.72 | 87.55 |
| 150: 50: 100 | 35.04 | 10.89 | 337.53 | 109.05 |
| 150: 75: 75 | 34.54 | 10.19 | 334.41 | 109.58 |
| 150: 75: 100 | 35.87 | 12.56 | 319.46 | 109.09 |
| 200: 50: 75 | 35.14 | 10.63 | 351.38 | 110.47 |
| 200: 50: 100 | 34.33 | 11.48 | 298.80 | 105.06 |
| 200: 75: 75 | 36.24 | 11.08 | 314.37 | 111.26 |
| 200: 75: 100 | 38.95 | 13.14 | 369.74 | 117.20 |
| S. Em ± | 1.196 | 0.395 | 12.698 | 4.162 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 3.50 | 1.13 | 37.24 | 12.20 |
| C. V. % | 6.00 | 6.45 | 6.90 | 6.98 |

Table 3: Interaction effect of NP on plant spread (N-S) and (E-W)

| Treatments | Nitrogen levels | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Plant spread (N-S) | | | Plant spread (E-W) | | |
| | 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 150 kg ha ⁻¹ | 200 kg ha ⁻¹ | 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 150 kg ha ⁻¹ | 200 kg ha ⁻¹ |
| Phosphorus levels | | | | | | |
| 50 kg ha ⁻¹ | 25.25 | 29.43 | 28.89 | 19.45 | 19.61 | 23.39 |
| 75 kg ha ⁻¹ | 28.97 | 28.87 | 32.90 | 21.74 | 24.54 | 25.06 |
| S. Em ± | 0.774 | | | 0.876 | | |
| C. D. @ 5% | 2.27 | | | 2.57 | | |
| C. V. % | 8.47 | | | 7.39 | | |

The probable reason for good shelf life and vase life might be that nitrogen is necessary for the production of amino acids, which are building blocks of protein. Proteins are important for maintaining the quality and appearance of loose flowers

Effect of phosphorus

Significantly longer vase life and shelf life (6.47 days and 26.59 days) were observed in treatment P₂ (75 kg ha⁻¹). Likewise, the minimum vase life and shelf life (5.58 days and 23.98 days) were observed in treatment P₁ (50 kg ha⁻¹). The reason associated with vase life and shelf life of flower might be that phosphorus help to promote the development and maintenance of healthy flower tissues, leading to longer life of flowers. This result was in close conformity to that of Fayaz *et al.* (2016)^[9] in gerbera and Singh *et al.* (2013)^[31] in gladiolus, Sendhlnathan and Manivannan (2019)^[28] in tuberose and Duggani (2016)^[8] in gomphrena.

Effect of potassium

Significantly maximum vase life and shelf life (6.56 days and 26.43 days) was observed in treatment K₂ (100 kg ha⁻¹)

whereas minimum vase life (5.92 days and 24.45 days) was found in treatment K₁ (75 kg ha⁻¹). Potassium plays a key role in maintaining turgor pressure in plant cells, essential for maintaining turgidity of cut flowers, which might be the reason that vase life and shelf life are prolonged. These results were in close conformity to that of Fayaz *et al.* (2016)^[9] in gerbera and Singh *et al.* (2013)^[31] in gladiolus, Sendhlnathan and Manivannan (2019)^[28] in tuberose and Duggani (2016)^[8] in gomphrena.

Interaction effect of N and K

Vase life and shelf life of gomphrena were significantly maximum (7.05 days and 29.10 days respectively) in treatment combination N₃K₂ (200: 100 kg ha⁻¹) These results were in close conformity to that of Fayaz *et al.* (2016)^[9] in gerbera and Singh *et al.* (2013)^[31] in gladiolus. The interaction effect of nitrogen and potassium was found to be significant because in terms of flower keeping quality, both these nutrients play an important role. Hence, this combination was found to be ideal for vase life and shelf life of gomphrena.

Table 4: Effect of different levels of NPK on quality parameters

| Treatment | Vase life (days) | Shelf life (days) |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Nitrogen | | |
| N ₁ = 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 5.58 | 23.98 |
| N ₂ = 150 kg ha ⁻¹ | 6.16 | 25.33 |
| N ₃ = 200 kg ha ⁻¹ | 6.98 | 27.02 |
| S.Em ± | 0.160 | 0.649 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.47 | 1.90 |
| Phosphorus | | |
| P ₁ = 50 kg ha ⁻¹ | 6.01 | 24.30 |
| P ₂ = 75 kg ha ⁻¹ | 6.47 | 26.59 |
| S.Em ± | 0.130 | 0.530 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.38 | 1.55 |
| Potassium | | |
| K ₁ = 75 kg ha ⁻¹ | 5.92 | 24.45 |
| K ₂ = 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 6.56 | 26.43 |
| S.Em ± | 0.130 | 0.530 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.38 | 1.55 |
| Interactions | | |
| N x P | | |
| S.Em ± | 0.226 | 0.919 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS |
| N x K | | |
| S.Em ± | 0.226 | 0.919 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.66 | 2.70 |
| P x K | | |
| S.Em ± | 0.184 | 0.750 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS |
| N x P x K | | |
| S.Em ± | 0.319 | 1.300 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS |
| C.V.% | 8.86 | 8.85 |

Table 5: Interaction effect of NK on quality parameters

| Treatments | Nitrogen levels | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Vase life (days) | | | Shelf life (days) | | |
| | 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 150 kg ha ⁻¹ | 200 kg ha ⁻¹ | 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 150 kg ha ⁻¹ | 200 kg ha ⁻¹ |
| 75 kg ha ⁻¹ | 5.35 | 5.49 | 6.91 | 22.12 | 26.32 | 24.94 |
| 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 5.81 | 6.82 | 7.05 | 25.85 | 24.35 | 29.10 |
| S. Em ± | 0.226 | | | 0.919 | | |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.66 | | | 2.70 | | |
| C. V.% | 8.86 | | | 8.85 | | |

Nitrogen uptake

Effect of nitrogen

Significantly maximum nitrogen uptake (3.02 g plant⁻¹) was observed in treatment N₃ (200 kg ha⁻¹) and minimum uptake (1.73 g plant⁻¹) was observed in treatment N₁ (100 kg ha⁻¹). The reason might be that roots absorb either nitrate or ammonium ions. When nitrogen is added into soil, they are available in nitrate form. So, increased urea application make more nitrate ions available in soil, thus increasing nitrogen uptake. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia and Nirgulkar *et al.* (2020) [16] in African marigold.

Effect of phosphorus

Significantly maximum nitrogen uptake (2.48 g plant⁻¹) was found in treatment P₂ (75 kg ha⁻¹) and minimum (2.12 g plant⁻¹) in treatment P₁ (50 kg ha⁻¹). The increase in nitrogen uptake might be due to the reason that phosphorus promotes root growth, root hair formation and mycorrhizal association. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia and Nirgulkar *et al.* (2020) [16] in African marigold.

Effect of potassium

Effect of potassium on nitrogen uptake by plant was found to be significant. Significantly maximum nitrogen uptake (2.39 g plant⁻¹) was found in treatment K₂ (100 kg ha⁻¹) and minimum nitrogen uptake (2.21 g plant⁻¹). The increased nitrogen uptake might be due to the reason that potassium helps in maintaining ion balance within the plant cells and influences the uptake of nitrogen and other nutrients. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia and Nirgulkar *et al.* (2020) [16] in African marigold.

Phosphorus uptake

Effect of nitrogen

Significantly maximum phosphorus uptake (0.39 g plant⁻¹) was found in treatment N₃ (200 kg ha⁻¹) and minimum (0.27 g plant⁻¹) in treatment N₁ (100 kg ha⁻¹). This might be due to the reason that adequate nitrogen levels promote healthy root development, thereby increasing the phosphorus uptake. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Effect of phosphorus

Significantly maximum phosphorus uptake ($0.37 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was found in treatment P_2 (75 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum ($0.30 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) in treatment P_1 (50 kg ha^{-1}). The increase in phosphorus uptake might be due to the reason that phosphorus promotes root growth, root hair formation and mycorrhizal association. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Effect of potassium

Significantly maximum phosphorus uptake ($0.36 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was found in treatment K_2 (100 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum ($0.31 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) in treatment K_1 (75 kg ha^{-1}). The increased

phosphorus uptake might be due to the reason that potassium helps in maintaining ion balance within the plant cells and influences the uptake of phosphorus and other nutrients. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Potassium uptake**Effect of nitrogen**

Significantly maximum potassium uptake ($2.24 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was observed in treatment N_3 (200 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum ($1.64 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) in treatment N_1 (100 kg ha^{-1}). This might be due to the reason that nitrogen stimulates the activity of potassium transporters, which are responsible

Table 6: Effect of different levels of nutrient uptake by plant

| Treatment | Nitrogen uptake (g plant^{-1}) | Phosphorus uptake (g plant^{-1}) | Potassium uptake s (g plant^{-1}) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Nitrogen (N) | | | |
| $N_1 = 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 1.73 | 0.27 | 1.64 |
| $N_2 = 150 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 2.15 | 0.35 | 1.99 |
| $N_3 = 200 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 3.02 | 0.39 | 2.24 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.062 | 0.008 | 0.060 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.17 |
| Phosphorus (P) | | | |
| $P_1 = 50 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 2.12 | 0.30 | 1.83 |
| $P_2 = 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 2.48 | 0.37 | 2.08 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.049 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| Potassium (K) | | | |
| $K_1 = 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 2.21 | 0.31 | 1.81 |
| $K_2 = 100 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ | 2.39 | 0.36 | 2.10 |
| S.Em \pm | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.049 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| Interactions | | | |
| (N x P) | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.088 | 0.012 | 0.084 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.25 | NS | NS |
| (N x K) | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.088 | 0.012 | 0.084 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS | NS |
| (P x K) | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.072 | 0.010 | 0.069 |
| C. D. @ 5% | NS | NS | NS |
| (N x P x K) | | | |
| S.Em \pm | 0.125 | 0.017 | 0.119 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.34 |
| C.V. % | 9.37 | 8.51 | 10.53 |

for uptake of potassium. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Effect of phosphorus

Significantly maximum potassium uptake ($2.08 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was found in treatment P_2 (75 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum ($1.83 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) in treatment P_1 (50 kg ha^{-1}). The increase in potassium uptake might be due to the reason that phosphorus promotes root growth, root hair formation and mycorrhizal association. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Effect of potassium

Significantly maximum potassium uptake ($2.10 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was found in treatment K_2 (100 kg ha^{-1}) and minimum ($1.81 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) in treatment K_1 (75 kg ha^{-1}). The increased

potassium uptake might be due to the reason that potassium helps in maintaining ion balance within the plant cells and influences the uptake of phosphorus and other nutrients. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Interaction effect of N and P

The interaction effect of N and P on nitrogen uptake by plant was found to be significant. Significantly maximum nitrogen uptake ($3.25 \text{ g plant}^{-1}$) was found in treatment combination N_3P_2 ($200: 75 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$). This might be due to the reason that nitrogen and phosphorus in the above levels are ideal for increased nitrogen uptake. This finding was found to be in agreement with Nirgulkar *et al.* (2020) [16] in African marigold.

Table 7: Interaction effect of NP on nitrogen uptake by plant

| Phosphorus levels | Nitrogen levels | | |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Nitrogen uptake (g plant ⁻¹) | | |
| | 100 kg ha ⁻¹ | 150 kg ha ⁻¹ | 200 kg ha ⁻¹ |
| 50 kg ha ⁻¹ | 1.71 | 1.85 | 2.80 |
| 75 kg ha ⁻¹ | 1.75 | 2.46 | 3.25 |
| S. Em ± | 0.088 | | |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.25 | | |
| C. V. % | 9.37 | | |

Table 8: Interaction effect of NPK on nutrient uptake by plant

| Treatment combination (kg ha ⁻¹) | Nitrogen uptake (g plant ⁻¹) | Phosphorus uptake (g plant ⁻¹) | Potassium uptake (g plant ⁻¹) |
|--|--|--|---|
| 100: 50: 75 | 1.53 | 0.21 | 1.40 |
| 100: 50: 100 | 1.90 | 0.29 | 1.73 |
| 100: 75: 75 | 1.60 | 0.27 | 1.51 |
| 100: 75: 100 | 1.89 | 0.34 | 1.93 |
| 150: 50: 75 | 1.62 | 0.25 | 1.54 |
| 150: 50: 100 | 2.08 | 0.36 | 2.17 |
| 150: 75: 75 | 2.47 | 0.39 | 2.05 |
| 150: 75: 100 | 2.45 | 0.39 | 2.23 |
| 200: 50: 75 | 2.99 | 0.36 | 2.15 |
| 200: 50: 100 | 2.62 | 0.35 | 2.03 |
| 200: 75: 75 | 3.06 | 0.40 | 2.21 |
| 200: 75: 100 | 3.44 | 0.46 | 2.57 |
| S. Em ± | 0.125 | 0.017 | 0.119 |
| C. D. @ 5% | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.34 |
| C. V. % | 9.37 | 8.51 | 10.53 |

Interaction effect of N, P and K

Significantly maximum nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake (3.44 g plant⁻¹, 0.46 g plant⁻¹ and 2.57 g plant⁻¹) was found in treatment combination N₃P₂K₂ (200: 75: 100 kg ha⁻¹). This might be due to the reason that nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the above levels are ideal for increased nutrient uptake. This finding was found to be in agreement with Divyashree *et al.* (2021) [7] in gaillardia.

Conclusion

Based on the above discussion and results, it can be inferred that the application of 200:75:100 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK significantly enhanced various growth parameters, including plant height, number of branches, plant spread (N-S), plant spread (E-W), fresh weight of plant, and dry weight of plant. Additionally, there was a notable improvement in flower-keeping quality, such as vase life and shelf life. Moreover, the nutrient uptake by plants showed favorable outcomes. Therefore, for the successful cultivation of gomphrena, it is recommended to apply 200:75:100 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK.

References

- Agrawal A, Dorajeerao AVD. Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of golden rod (*Solidago canadensis* L.). Plant Archives. 2016;16(1):349-355.
- Anaswara MR, Suresha BS, Balasubramanian Sushma YC, Jaseela NM. Medical importance of gomphrena (*Gomphrena globosa* L.): A systematic review. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Health Sciences. 2022;3:2718-2721.
- Aremzungla, Topno SE. Effect of N, P, K on plant growth and flower yield of zinnia (*Zinnia elegans* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(1):80-83.
- Barad AV, Bhosale N, Maheta P. Effect of nitrogen levels and cuttings (main and ratoon) on growth and flowering of golden rod (*Solidago canadensis* L.) during summer and rainy season planting. Hort Flora Research Spectrum. 2015;4(3):230-235.
- Chaudhary UC, Singh A, Ahlawat TR, Palagani N. Influence of nitrogen on growth parameters and leaf nutrient composition of rose (*Rosa* sp.) cv. 'Samurai' under protected conditions. The Biosca. 2016;11(3):1377-1380.
- Dedhia L, Bhalekar S, Adate P. Effect of different nitrogen levels on growth, yield and yield attributes of gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* L.) cv. 'MG-9-1'. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(11):647-651.
- Divyashree MS, Munikrishnappa PM, Seetharamu GK, Anilkumar S, Ramegowda GK, Basavara G. Effect of spacing and NPK levels on yield, cost economics, nutrient uptake and soil nutrient status in gaillardia (*Gaillardia pulchella* L.). Environment and Ecology. 2021;39(1A):287-291.
- Duggani S. Standardization of spacing and fertilizer in Bachelor's Button (*Gomphrena globosa* L.), c.v 'AGS-5'. M.Sc Thesis, KRC College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot; c2016.
- Fayaz K, Singh D, Singh VK, Bashir D, Kuller LR. Effect of NPK on plant growth, flower quality and yield of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* L.). Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2016;9(11):1361-1363.
- Ghormade AS, Sharma BP, Garg S, Kantwa OP. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorous on growth of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(10):2991-2997.
- Gupta YC, Rane V, Kashyap B, Bhatia S, Sharma P. Effect of N and K on growth, flowering and multiplication of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. 'Giani Zail Singh'. Current Horticulture. 2016;4 (2):48-53.
- Hepler P, Holdaway K, Joseph G. Cellular oscillations

- and the regulation of growth: the pollen tube paradigm. *Bioessays*. 2001;23(1):86-94.
13. Kejkar PK, Polara ND. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on growth and flower yield of ratoon spider lily (*Hymenocallis littoralis* L.). *International Journal of Horticulture and Floriculture*; c2014. p. 304-309.
 14. Kumar R, Yadav DS, Roy AR. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth, flowering and corm production of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandifloras* L.) cv. 'Pusa Shabnum' under Meghalaya conditions. *Environment and Ecology*. 2006;24(3A):939-942.
 15. Leghari SJ, Wahcho NA, Laghari GM, Laghari AH, Bhabhan GM, Talpur KH, *et al.* Role of nitrogen for plant growth and development: A review. *Advances in Environmental Biology*. 2016;10(9):209-218.
 16. Nirgulkar MB, Babhulkar VP, Reddy NS, Bhoyar KD. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on quality parameter and uptake of NPK by African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2020;8(6):34-38.
 17. Ozukum S, Prasad VM, Topno SE. Effect of NPK on plant growth, flower yield, and flower quality of star jasmine (*Jasminum nitidum* L.) cv. 'CO-1'. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2022;11(1):1423-1428.
 18. Parasana JS, Varu DK, Parmar VM, Shivani Patel, Kanzaria DR, Mishra S. Influence of Pruning and integrated nutrient management on Custard Apple (*Annona squamosa* L.) *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*. 2023;54(04):12865-12874.
 19. Patel NM, Desai JR, Saravaiya SN, Patel NB, Patel KA, Patel RB. Influence of chemical fertilizers on growth, quality, corm and cormel production of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. 'Sancerree' under South Gujarat conditions. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2010;5(1):123-126.
 20. Rajadurai KR, Manivannan K, Jawaharlal M, Beulah A. Effect of Azospirillum and VAM on growth characters of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *South Indian Horticulture*. 2000;48:83-87.
 21. Rajan K, Bhatt DS, Chawla SL, Bhatt ST, Priya S. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, flowering and yield of cut chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* L.) cv. Thai 'Chen Queen'. *Current Agriculture Research Journal*. 2019;7(3):337-342.
 22. Razaq M, Zhang P, Shen H, Salahuddin. Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and root morphology of Acer mono. *PLOS One*. 2017;12:1-13.
 23. Regar AL, Thumar BV, Mahawer LN, Chawla SL, Meena NK. Effect of spacing and nitrogen on floral and vase life parameter of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandifloras* L.) cv. 'American Beauty'. *The Bioscan*. 2016;11(1):539-542.
 24. Reshma S. Spacing and nutrient management for gomphrena (*Gomphrena globosa* L.) M.Sc Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University; 2018.
 25. Roriz CL, Barros L, Prieto MA, Morales P, Ferreira IC. Floral parts of *Gomphrena globosa* L. as a novel alternative source of betacyanins: Optimization of the extraction using response surface methodology. *Food Chemistry*. 2017;2(29):223-234.
 26. Sahu JK, Tamrakar SK, Tiwari A, Lakpale R. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10(7):1289-1292.
 27. Savaliya VM, Chovatia JV, Sindhi SJ, Kanani MK. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on growth and yield of second ratoon golden rod (*Solidago canadensis* L.) cv. 'Local', under summer season in the Saurashtra region. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(4):3078-3080.
 28. Sendhilnathan R, Manivannan K. Effect of graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield and quality of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.). *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2019;21(3):261-264.
 29. Singh D, Singh VK, Kumari S, Pandey SK, Singh D. Response of different levels of NPK on growth, flowering and yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. 'Shringar'. *New Agriculturist*. 2014;25(2):1-5.
 30. Singh M, Sharma BP, Gupta YC. Response of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L.) cv. 'Kamini' to different combinations of NPK and biofertilizers. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2017;74(3):458-461.
 31. Singh R, Kumar M, Raj S, Kumar S. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on growth and flowering in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. 'white prosperity'. *Annals of Horticulture*. 2013;6(2):242-251.
 32. Vasava HV, Tejal M. Chaudhari, Parasana, JS, Varu DK, Shivani Patel and S. Mishra. Performance of different grafted variety and mulching in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L). *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*. 2023;54(04): 12981-12988.
 33. Vinayak K, Prasad VM, Sindhuja M, Rajawade V, Singh D. Response of spacing and nitrogen levels on growth and flowering of salvia (*Salvia splendens* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017;28:2059-2062.
 34. Zeb N, Sajid M, Khattak AM, Hussain I. Effect of potassium and maleic hydrazide on growth and flower quality of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflorum* L.). *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2015;31(4):210-216.