



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; 12(7): 1914-1917  
© 2023 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 17-04-2023  
Accepted: 20-05-2023

**Aakash**  
Department of Plant Pathology,  
CCS Haryana Agricultural  
University, Hisar, Haryana,  
India

**Sandeep Kansal**  
Department of Plant Pathology  
Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of  
Horticultural and Forestry,  
Himachal Pradesh, India

**Ashwani Kumar**  
Department of Plant Pathology,  
CCS Haryana Agricultural  
University, Hisar, Haryana,  
Haryana, India

**Anil Kumar Saini**  
Department of Plant Pathology,  
CCS Haryana Agricultural  
University, Hisar, Haryana,  
Haryana, India

**Garima**  
Department of Plant Pathology,  
CCS Haryana Agricultural  
University, Hisar, Haryana,  
Haryana, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Aakash**  
Department of Plant Pathology,  
CCS Haryana Agricultural  
University, Hisar, Haryana,  
India

## Management of tomato bacterial wilt of tomato incited by *Ralstonia solanacearum*

Aakash, Sandeep Kansal, Ashwani Kumar, Anil Kumar Saini and Garima

### Abstract

Tomato bacterial wilt incited by *Ralstonia solanacearum* is regarded as a major disease of solanaceous vegetables being its wide distribution in tropical, subtropical and in some warm temperate regions. The study was conducted at Plant Pathology laboratory, Dept. of Plant Pathology, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University, Solan, Himachal Pradesh to find out the efficacy of different chemicals and bioagents against bacterial wilt of tomato. Seven chemicals viz: Blitox, Kocide, Nordox, Bordeaux mixture, Streptocycline, Agrimycin and Bleaching powder were evaluated at three different concentration under *in-vitro* conditions. The present investigation depicted streptocycline as potent inhibitor of *R. solanacearum* while the *in vivo* studies revealed the significant efficacy of streptocycline @ 200 ppm applied as seed and seedling root dip treatment in combination with three periodic drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8%. Field evaluation studies revealed treatment consisting of application of bleaching powder @ 15 kg/ha before transplanting, amending the soil pH to neutral with lime, seedling root dip treatment with streptocycline @ 200 ppm for 5 minutes in combination with four periodic drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% at 10 days interval starting with appearance of disease as efficacious in limiting the bacterial wilt (65.47%) and enhancing the fruit yield (380.7 q/ha).

**Keywords:** Bacterial wilt, chemical, bioagents, tomato, management

### Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) is an important solanaceous vegetable crop cultivated throughout the world. Owing to its perennial cultivation on large scale and perishable nature, it remains susceptible to attack by several plant pathogens leading to poor yield (Tajul, *et al.* 2011) [10]. Among the various pathogens, *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al.*, inciting bacterial wilt is of prime importance, posing significant economic impact on sound cultivation of tomato crop throughout the world (Scherf *et al.*, 2010) [8]. The pathogen manifest by producing symptoms of leaf epinasty and browning of vascular bundles (Yahiaoui, 2016) [13]. The etiological studies indicated that pathogen invade through plant roots followed by colonizing the intercellular spaces of roots, eventually progressing towards xylem vessels, leading to wilting symptoms (Hikichi *et al.*, 2017) [1]. Planting tomato plants harbouring genetic resistance to bacterial wilt have been suggested for desirable and durable tomato productions (Jung *et al.*, 2014; Kim *et al.*, 2016; Lee *et al.*, 2015) [2, 4]. However, the cultivar resistance of tomato plants to bacterial wilt can be overcome by newly emerging pathogenic bacterial strains and changing climates such as elevated temperatures and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Lee *et al.*, 2011) [4]. Although, for the control of bacterial wilt disease in different crops, several attempts were made in the area of biological control with varied levels of success but there is still a great opportunity to provide a stable solution to this problem (Bailey and Lazarovits 2003) [14]. Therefore, there is need to develop an integrated module that could effectively manage the disease. The present study is planned to find out effective management strategy for bacterial wilt involving the use of reduced risk chemicals and biological control agents.

### Materials and Methods

#### Collection and isolation of pathogen

The diseased plant samples collected during surveys were subjected for microscopic examination to confirm the association of the bacterium. The samples showing the presence of ooze and vascular discoloration were selected for isolation. For this purpose the stem and roots of diseased plants were washed thoroughly in running tap water and small bits of 2 mm were taken from infected portion after proper surface sterilization with sodium hypochlorite (5%)

solution. Then bits were added in sterilized distilled water and placed on shaker for 2-3 days until suspension became translucent. Then loop full of bacterial cell suspension was streaked on Petri plates containing the nutrient agar medium and incubated at  $28 \pm 1$  °C. After 36-72 h, the Petri plates were examined and culture was further purified and multiplied on triphenyl tetrazolium chloride basic medium, a specific medium for the growth of *Ralstonia solanacearum*.

#### **In vitro evaluation of different chemicals against *Ralstonia solanacearum***

Seven chemicals Blitox 50 WP (1000, 2000, 3000 ppm), Kocide 3000 (1000, 2000, 3000 ppm), Nordox (1000, 2000, 3000 ppm), Bordeaux mixture (1000, 2000, 3000 ppm), Streptocycline (150, 200, 250 ppm), Agrimycin (150, 200, 250 ppm) and Bleaching powder (1000, 2000, 3000 ppm) were evaluated for their efficacy against *Ralstonia solanacearum* under *in vitro* conditions by disk diffusion method (Murray *et al*; 1995, modified by Olurinola *et al*; 1996) [6, 7]. One ml of standard bacterial suspension ( $3 \times 10^8$  c.f.u/ml) was mixed with nutrient agar medium (20 ml) in sterilized Petri plates and allowed to solidify. The filter paper disc of 5 mm in diameter was soaked to the chemical suspension of required concentration and placed on the solidified and seeded nutrient agar Petri plates. Each treatment was replicated five times. These Petri plates were incubated at  $28 \pm 1$  °C for 48 hours and observed for the production of inhibition zone around the filter paper discs.

#### **In vivo evaluation of chemicals and bio agent**

To study the efficacy of chemicals & bioagents in managing bacterial wilt, the four week old seedlings were transplanted in plastic pots (7.5 cm dia.) filled in with sterilized soil. After 7 days of transplanting the plants were drench inoculated (50 ml/kg of soil) with the nutrient broth culture (48 hrs old) of the test bacterium ( $3 \times 10^8$  c.f.u/ml) and incubated at  $30 \pm 2$  °C in relative humidity cum temperature control cabinet. A total number of seven treatment consisting of seed & seedling dip treatment and drenching with chemical and bio agent were evaluated in the present study. The details of treatment applied as T<sub>1</sub> = Control; T<sub>2</sub> = Seed treatment and seedling root dipping with streptocycline @ 200 ppm; T<sub>3</sub> = Seed treatment (10 g/kg) and seedling dip with *Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 1%; T<sub>4</sub> = Drenching of Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% thrice at 7 days interval after 10 days of transplanting; T<sub>5</sub> = Drenching of

*Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 1% thrice at 7 days interval after 10 days of transplanting; T<sub>6</sub> = T<sub>2</sub> + T<sub>4</sub>; and T<sub>7</sub> = T<sub>3</sub> + T<sub>5</sub>. Ten plants were taken per replication and the treatments were replicated three times. The data on bacterial wilt disease incidence were recorded as per scale given by Winstead and Kelmen (1952) [11].

$$\text{Disease incidence} = \frac{\text{Total number of wilted plants}}{\text{Total number of observed plants}} \times 100$$

#### **Evaluation of chemicals (fungicide, antibiotic, disinfectant) & bioagent**

In order to study the effect of treatments given through different types of chemicals and a bio agent against bacterial wilt of tomato on variety Himsona, a field trial was laid out in sick soil of farmer field at Pandah in Randomized Block Design with the plot size of 2.7 x 1.8 m<sup>2</sup> with three replications. The treatments consisted of chemicals and a bio agent which were applied during pre-sowing /sowing/post transplanting or at all the stages. The details of treatment applied: T<sub>1</sub> = Soil application of bleaching powder @ 15kg/ha before transplanting; T<sub>2</sub> = Soil amendment with lime depending upon pH of the soil to make soil neutral; T<sub>3</sub> = Seedling root dipping with streptocycline @ 200 ppm; T<sub>4</sub> = Drenching of Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% four times at 10 days interval starting after initiation of disease; T<sub>5</sub> = Seed treatment (10 g/kg) and seedling root dip @ 1% with *Pseudomonas fluorescence*; T<sub>6</sub> = Drenching of *Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 1% thrice at 10 days interval started after initiation of disease; and T<sub>7</sub> = T<sub>1</sub> + T<sub>2</sub> + T<sub>3</sub> + T<sub>4</sub>; T<sub>8</sub> = T<sub>2</sub> + T<sub>5</sub> + T<sub>6</sub>; and T<sub>9</sub> = Control.

Observations on bacterial wilt disease incidence and fruit yield in each treatment per plot were taken to work out the relative efficacy of treatment.

#### **Results and Discussions**

##### **Morphology and pathogenicity of *R. solanacearum***

The test bacterium was also purified on triphenyl tetrazolium chloride (TZC) medium, which is a specific medium for the growth *Ralstonia solanacearum*. The test bacterium produced fluidous colonies which were generally smooth, round with white margin and reddish-pink centre after 36h of incubation at  $28 \pm 2$  °C (Fig. 1).



**Fig 1:** Culture of *Ralstonia solanacearum* retrieved from wilted plant

### ***In vitro* evaluation of chemicals against *Ralstonia solanacearum***

Seven chemicals were tested against *Ralstonia solanacearum* under *in vitro* conditions through paper disc method. The data regarding the zone of inhibition by different chemicals at

different concentrations levels is given in Table 1. The study (Table 1) revealed variable inhibition responses of different chemicals against *Ralstonia solanacearum* causing bacterial wilt of tomato.

**Table 1:** *In vitro* efficacy of different chemicals against *Ralstonia solanacearum*

Chemicals	Growth inhibition (mm <sup>2</sup> ) at concentrations			Mean
	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub>	
Blitox-50 WP*	4.10	7.28	10.30	7.23
Kocide 3000*	5.70	8.80	13.80	9.43
Nordox*	4.90	7.80	13.10	8.60
Bordeaux mixture*	6.30	10.9	14.5	10.57
Streptocycline**	8.24	14.26	20.50	14.37
Agrimycin**	8.15	13.79	16.19	12.71
Bleaching powder*	6.1	10.2	14.10	10.13
Mean	6.21	9.80	14.64	

\*Concentration C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub> used were 1000, 2000 and 3000 ppm, respectively

\*\*Concentration C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub> used were 150, 200 and 300 ppm, respectively

CD<sub>0.05</sub> Chemical = 0.27, Concentration = 0.18, Chemical x Concentration = 0.47

Further perusal of data (Table 1) revealed that irrespective of different dosages level tested, streptocycline proved superior resulting in maximum zone of inhibition (14.37 mm<sup>2</sup>) followed by agrimycin (12.71 mm<sup>2</sup>). Bordeaux mixture, bleaching powder & Kocide 3000 resulted in moderate inhibition while Blitox-50 was least inhibitory. Irrespective of different chemicals tested for their *in vitro* inhibitory effect against *Ralstonia solanacearum*, the increasing inhibitory response was observed with increasing dosages levels of the chemicals.

### ***In vivo* evaluation of chemicals and bio agents against bacterial wilt of tomato under pot culture experiment**

It is evident from the data (Table 2) that different treatment

resulted in reduction of bacterial wilt incidence to varied extent. The treatment (T<sub>6</sub>) consisting of seed and seedling root dip treatment with streptocycline @ 200 ppm in combination with three drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% at 7 day interval started after 10 days of transplanting proved most efficacious in limiting the bacterial wilt. The treatment (T<sub>4</sub>) i.e. drenching with Bordeaux mixture & (T<sub>7</sub>) consisting of seed and seedling root dip treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescence* @ 1% & its periodic drenching were next efficacious. Independent usage either of streptocycline (T<sub>2</sub>) or *P. fluorescence* (T<sub>3</sub>) for seed and seedling root dip treatment were found efficacious to lesser extent.

**Table 2:** *In vivo* evaluation of chemicals and bio agents against bacterial wilt of tomato under pot culture

Treatment	Percent Disease index after days of transplantation			Mean
	14	21	28	
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	35.8 (36.75)	76.40(60.94)	100.00(90.00)	70.73(62.56)
T <sub>2</sub> – ST & SRD streptocycline @ 200 ppm	20.6(26.99)	54.6(47.64)	78.2(62.17)	51.13(45.60)
T <sub>3</sub> - ST & SRD <i>P. fluorescens</i> @ 1%	26.7(31.11)	59.2(50.30)	82.6(65.35)	56.17(48.92)
T <sub>4</sub> – Drenching Bord. Mix @ 0.8%	14.7(22.55)	36.4(37.11)	52.4(46.38)	34.50(35.35)
T <sub>5</sub> - Drenching <i>P. fluorescens</i> @ 1%	18.8(25.70)	42.9(40.92)	64.6(53.49)	42.10(40.04)
T <sub>6</sub> – T <sub>2</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	9.4(17.85)	28.6(32.33)	44.8(42.02)	27.60(30.73)
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> +T <sub>5</sub>	12.8(20.96)	38.5(38.35)	56.2(48.56)	35.83(35.96)
Mean	19.83(25.99)	48.09(43.94)	68.40(58.28)	

Values given in brackets are the arcsine transformed values

CD<sub>0.05</sub> Treatment = 2.70, Interval = 1.77, Treatment x Interval = 4.69

### **Evaluation of chemicals and bio agents against bacterial wilt of tomato under field conditions**

The results of the study (Table 3) indicated that different chemical and non-chemical treatments were effective against bacterial wilt of tomato to a variable extent. Among stall the treatments, the treatment combination (T<sub>7</sub>) consisting of soil amendment with lime, soil application of bleaching powder @ 15 kg/ha before transplanting, seedling root dipping with strep to cycling @ 200 ppm in combination with four periodic drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% at 10 days interval started after initiation of disease proved most efficacious in limiting (65.47%) the bacterial wilt on tomato and enhancing

the fruit yield (380.7 q/ha). The treatment (T<sub>4</sub>) i.e. periodic drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% and treatment (T<sub>8</sub>) i.e. soil amendment with lime in combination with seed and seedling root dip treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* & its four periodic drenching @ 1% being similar in their efficacies were rated next efficacious. Pre sowing application of bleaching powder @ 15 kg/ha also provided significant control (45.64%) of the disease. Independent usage of streptocycline and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for seed and seedling root dip were effective to lesser extent while soil amendment with lime was least effective.

**Table 3.** Efficacy of different chemical & non chemical methods against bacterial wilt of tomato under field conditions

Treatment	Bacterial wilt incidence (%)			Disease reduction (%)	Mean yield (q/ha)	Increase in yield (%)
	30 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP			
T <sub>1</sub> -SA Bleaching Powd. @ 15 kg/ha	1.0 (5.74)	12.2 (20.44)	45.5 (42.42)	45.64	256.7	85.21
T <sub>2</sub> - Soil application Lime	2.1 (8.33)	17.7 (24.88)	64.4 (53.37)	23.06	158.6	14.43
T <sub>3</sub> - SRD streptocyc@200 ppm	1.0 (5.74)	15.5 (23.19)	58.0(49.60)	30.70	198.2	43.00
T <sub>4</sub> - Bord. Mix. Drenching @ 0.8%	0.0(0.71)	9.25 (17.71)	38.2(38.18)	54.36	304.4	119.62
T <sub>5</sub> - ST &SRD P f @1%	1.0 (5.74)	17.7 (24.88)	63.7(52.95)	23.89	160.2	15.58
T <sub>6</sub> - P f Drenching @1%	1.0 (5.74)	15.5 (23.19)	45.6(42.48)	45.52	254.9	83.91
T <sub>7</sub> - T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>2</sub> + T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	0.0 (0.71)	3.3 (10.47)	28.9 (32.52)	65.47	380.6	174.60
T <sub>8</sub> -T <sub>2</sub> + T <sub>5</sub> + T <sub>6</sub>	0.0(0.71)	11.0 (19.37)	40.6(39.58)	51.49	296.8	114.14
T <sub>9</sub>	7.6(16.0)	33.2 (35.18)	83.7(66.19)	-	138.6	-
CD(0.05)	0.63	2.14	2.80		37.25	

## Conclusion

Bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al.* appearing in moderate to severe form in different tomato growing localities was observed as a threat to the tomato cultivation in these districts. The bacterium isolated from infected plants was identified as *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) Yabuuchi *et al.* on the basis of morphological, cultural and biochemical tests. The test pathogen manifested initial symptoms as loss of turgidity within 4-5 days of inoculation of 3 week old seedlings which further progressed to drooping/wilting of plants accompanied with vascular discoloration. The *in vitro* evaluation studies indicated streptomycin as potent inhibitor of *R. solanacearum* while the *in vivo* studies revealed the significant efficacy of streptomycin @ 200 ppm applied as seed & seedling root dip treatment in combination with three periodic drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8%. Field evaluation studies revealed treatment consisting of application of bleaching powder @ 15 kg/ha before transplanting, amending the soil pH to neutral with lime, seedling root dip treatment with streptomycin @ 200 ppm for 5 minutes in combination with four periodic drenching with Bordeaux mixture @ 0.8% at 10 days interval started with appearance of disease as efficacious in limiting the bacterial wilt (65.58%) and enhancing the fruit yield (380.7 q/ha).

## References

- Hikichi Y, Mori Y, Ishikawa S, Hayashi K, Ohnishi K, Kiba A, *et al.* Regulation involved in colonization of intercellular spaces of host plants in *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2017;8:967.
- Jung EJ, Joo HJ, Choi SY, Lee SY, Jung YH, Lee MH, *et al.* Resistance evaluation of tomato germplasm against bacterial wilt by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Research in Plant Diseases*. 2014;20:253-258.
- Kim SG, Hur OS, Ro NY, Ko HC, Rhee JH, Sung JS, *et al.* Evaluation of resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum* in tomato genetic resources at seedlings stage. *Plant Pathology Journal*. 2016;32:58-64.
- Lee HJ, Jo EJ, Kim NH, Chae Y, Lee SW. Disease responses of tomato pure lines against *Ralstonia solanacearum* strains from Korea and susceptibility at high temperature. *Research in Plant Diseases*. 2011;17:326-333.
- Lee JH, Jang KS, Choi YH, Kim JC, Choi GJ. Development of an efficient screening system for resistance of tomato cultivars to *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Research in Plant Diseases*. 2015;21:290-296.
- Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Tenover FC. *Manual of clinical microbiology*, sixth Edition. ASM Press, Washington, DC; c1995. p. 15-18.
- Olurinola PF. A laboratory manual of pharmaceutical microbiology, Idu, Abuja, Nigeria. 1996;69-105
- Scherf JM, Milling A, Allen C. Moderate temperature fluctuations rapidly reduce the viability of *Ralstonia solanacearum* race 3, biovar 2, in infected geranium, tomato, and potato plants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2010;76:7061-7067.
- Smith EF. A bacterial disease of the tomato, eggplant and Irish potato (*Bacillus solanacearum*). *Division of Vegetable Physiology and Pathology*. 1896;12:1-28.
- Tajul MI, Sariah M, Latif MA, Toyota K. Effect of cold-water irrigation on bacterial wilt pathogen of tomato. *International Journal of Pest Management*. 2011;57:341-345
- Winstead NN, Kelman A. Inoculation techniques for evaluating resistance to *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. *Physiopathology*. 1952;42:628-34.
- Yabuuchi E, Kosako Y, Yano I, Hott H, Nishiuchi Y. Transfer of two *Burkholderia* and an *Alcaligenes* species to *Ralstonia* genera. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection*. 1995;39:887-94.
- Yahiaoui. First report of *Ralstonia solanacearum* pathotype 1 causing bacterial wilt on Rodrigues Island, Indian Ocean. *American Phytopathological Society*. 2016;100:5-22.
- Bailey KL, Lazarovits G. Suppressing soil-borne diseases with residue management and organic amendments. *Soil and tillage research*. 2003 Aug 1;72(2):169-180.