www.ThePharmaJournal.com

The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(8): 1748-1753 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 24-05-2023 Accepted: 27-06-2023

A Kingsly Raj

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, HC&RI(W), TNAU, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

KR Vijayalatha

Associate Professor (Hort), HC&RI (W), Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

V Jegadeeswari

Associate Professor (Hort), HC&RI (W), Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

A Sabir Ahamed

Professor (SST) & Head, Department of Vegetable Science, HC&RI (W), Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

P Saravana Pandian

Professor (SS & AC) & Head, Department of NRM, HC&RI (W), Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author: A Kingsly Raj M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, HC&RI(W), TNAU, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India

Effect of media on growth and yield of lettuce under different hydroponic system

A Kingsly Raj, KR Vijayalatha, V Jegadeeswari, A Sabir Ahamed and P Saravana Pandian

Abstract

The study was contemplated to compare the performance of lettuce grown in hydroponic systems using the Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) (S₁) and the Dutch Bucket System (S₂), as well as three different media (M₁-Coco peat, M₂-LECA ball, and M₃-Rocl wool). Using a Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD), the experiment was set up with two replications at the Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute for Women, Tiruchirappalli. The observations *viz.*, Plant height (cm), root length (cm), shoot length (cm), number of leaves per plant, leaf area index (LAI), leaf Area Ratio (LAR), leaf chlorophyll content (LCC-SPAD Value), yield (g/plant) were determined. During the course of the trial, a constant pH between 6.5 and 6.8 and an EC of about 1.8 dS m⁻¹ were maintained. The results of the study revealed that (S₁M₁) NFT system with coco peat media recorded the highest yield and growth parameters when compared to other treatments. The treatment S₂M₂ dutch bucket system using LECA ball medium exhibited the lowest yield and other growth characteristics.

Keywords: Growth, yield, lettuce under, hydroponic system

1. Introduction

In a place where the ecosystem is under threat and there are more people than ever before, innovative solutions are needed to sustainably meet the demands of agriculture. Soilless culture methods stand out among these options as a shining example of effectiveness, productivity, and environmental responsibility. This cutting-edge method is transforming how to grow crops and foster a greener tomorrow by dismantling the conventional idea of soilbound farming.

Soilless culture, which encompasses both liquid culture and growing media/substrate cultivation, is a broad term for any plant production technique that doesn't employ mineral soil as the growing medium (Raviv *et al.*, 2019) ^[13]. High-value vegetable crops can also be cultivated in greenhouse soilless systems as an alternative to field cultivation (Chu and Brown, 2021; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2006) ^[4, 17]. Under soilless culture system there are many classifications and one such is "Hydroponics". Plants are cultivated hydroponically using an inert medium, such as rock wool and fertilizer solutions. Greek influences can be seen in the phrases "hydro" and "ponos" which denote water and labour respectively (Douglas, 1975) ^[5]. In this study we have evaluated two soilless culture systems such as Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) and Dutch bucket system.

Due to its low initial cost, quick turn around between crops and perfect nutrient control, NFT is currently quite popular among producers (Rabiya, 2012). NFT is a recirculated design used to continually spray highly oxygenated dissolved nutrients over the roots of plants. Plants are normally grown in baskets suspended in PVC pipes. Through irrigators at the top of each sloping pipe, the solution is fed from a holding tank and the runoff from the bottom of the channels is pumped back into the tank. The nutrition solution is subsequently continually recycled (El-Kazzaz and Kaei-Kazzaz, 2017)^[6].

Dutch and Belgian producers originally introduced the Dutch bucket technique, a soilless growing system, to the US in the early 1980s (Roberto, 2003). Tomatoes (*Solanum lycopersicum*), cucumbers (*Cucumis sativus*), peppers (*Capsicum annum*), eggplants (*Solanum melongena*), and other vine crops work well with it. A reservoir at the bottom of the Dutch bucket system's container-like design allows for more water and nutrient retention.

Hydroponic lettuce cultivation is a well-liked and effective method of growing leafy green food crops. With higher levels of the provitamin A complex beta-carotene, lettuce is a great source of vitamins K and A. 15 calories are found in 100 g of lettuce.

The Pharma Innovation Journal

With only 13 percent of the world's total lettuce growing area, the United States produces the most lettuce as a salad crop, accounting for 22% of global lettuce production (Ryder, 1999). Additionally, significant regions of Turkey, Mexico, India and Japan are devoted to growing lettuce.

2. Materials and Methods

The trial was carried out in Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD) with two replications at college orchard Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute for Women, Tiruchirappalli during 20222023. The site is located at a latitude of $10^{\circ}45'20.6"$ N and longitude of $78^{\circ}35'59.4"$ E. Seeds of lettuce were sown in protrays filled with cocopeat media. After 20 days the seedlings were transplanted into hydroponic system *viz.*, NFT system and dutch bucket system.

| | Production systems: | | Media: |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| • | S ₁ - Hydroponic NFT-Horizontal type | • | M ₁ - Coco peat |
| • | S ₂ - Dutch bucket system | • | M ₂ - LECA balls |
| | | • | M3- Rock wool |

| Index | Description | Formula | Units | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Plant height | - | cm | | | | | | | |
| | Root length | - | cm | | | | | | | |
| | Shoot length | - | cm | | | | | | | |
| | Number of leaves per plant | - | Number | | | | | | | |
| | Yield per plant | - | g/plant | | | | | | | |
| LCC | Leaf Chlorophyll Content | - | SPAD value | | | | | | | |
| LAI | Leaf area index | LA/P | - | | | | | | | |
| LAR | Leaf area ratio | LA/TPDM | Cm^2/g | | | | | | | |
| LA-leaf area; P-spacing; TPDM-total plant dry matter | | | | | | | | | | |



Fig 1: Comparative performance of 30 days lettuce on NFT system (S1) in with three media viz., M1-Cocopeat, M2- LECA balls, M3-Rock Wool



Fig 3: Comparative performance of 30 days lettuce on Dutch bucket system (S₂) in with three media viz., M₁-Cocopeat, M₂- LECA balls, M₃- Rock Wool



Fig 2: Comparative performance of 45 days lettuce on NFT system (S1) in with three media viz., M1-Cocopeat, M2- LECA balls, M3-Rock Wool



Fig 4: Comparative performance of 45 days lettuce on Dutch bucket system (S₂) in with three media viz., M₁-Cocopeat, M₂- LECA balls, M₃- Rock Wool

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

https://www.thepharmajournal.com

3. Results and Discussion Leaf chlorophyll content (LCC)

gives vital information on the potential of photosynthetic processes since leaf chlorophyll content is directly related to the capacity and effectiveness of the photosynthetic equipment. According to Lin *et al.* (2013) ^[11], leaves are highly important to calculate chlorophyll because when a leaf degrades, its colour shifts from a bright green to other colours (brown orange, yellow, and purple), and this change in colour denotes a reduction in the quality of the product. According to statistical analysis, the highest chlorophyll content (39.2 SPAD value) was found in the S₁M₁ NFT system using cocopeat media. According to Coronel *et al.* (2011) ^[19], plants with sufficient amounts of nutrients like N, Fe, Mg and Mn have increased chlorophyll content since this nutrient is directly associated to the effectiveness of photosynthetic rate. In the S₂M₂ dutch bucket with LECA ball media, the chlorophyll content was at its lowest (34.3 SPAD value). According to Castillo and Ligarreto (2010)^[15], the amount of chlorophyll in plants is directly tied to the amount of nitrogen, hence a plant's low chlorophyll content may be an indication of a nutrient deficiency. There are differences across treatments and systems and their interactions (PXM) also revealed big differences. (Table 5 & Fig.6). Given that the majority of the nitrogen in leaves is incorporated with chlorophyll, it was also discovered that chlorophyll content is directly correlated with nitrogen status. The most often used diagnostic method in Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) to measure plant chlorophyll content Barrios et al (2011)^[2]. According to Richardson et al. (2002), nitrogen status cannot be directly determined by a measurement of chlorophyll content; nevertheless, the data gained can be used to modify the rate of nitrogen fertilization.



Fig 6: Influence of different systems and three media on Leaf Chlorophyll Content (LCC) of lettuce

Plant height

The height of the plant is a crucial growth factor. For this aspect, lettuce varied greatly between production systems and medium in the current study. In the tomato plant, Zekki *et al.* (1996) ^[20] reported similar outcomes, stating that an NFT system with frequent nutrient solution recycling led to an increase in plant height. The findings of Kulkarni *et al.* (2016) in spinach and coriander confirmed that plants produced in hydroponic conditions were found to be taller than plants

grown in soil and these results are consistent with their findings. Plant height of lettuce on 30^{th} day (35.30 cm) was the highest in S_1M_1 -NFT (Fig.1) with cocopeat media and minimum (20.40 cm) in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA ball media (Table 2 & Fig.7). Where as in 45^{th} day the plant height was maximum in S_1M_1 -NFT system (Fig.2) with cocopeat media (47.3 cm) and minimum (33.85) in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA ball media.



Fig 7: Influence of different systems and media on plant height of lettuce

Root length

Root length of lettuce on 30^{th} day was highest in S_1M_1 -NFT with cocopeat media (12.50 cm) followed by (12.05 cm) S_2M_1 - dutch bucket with cocopeat media and minimum (9.40 cm) in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA media (Table 2). Similarly on 45^{th} day root length was found to be maximum in S_1M_1 -NFT with cocopeat media (17.05 cm) followed by S_2M_1 - dutch bucket (14.65 cm) with cocopeat media and minimum in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA media (10.55 cm).

Shoot length

Shoot length of lettuce on 30^{th} & 45^{th} day was highest in S_1M_1 -NFT with cocopeat media 23.85 cm & 43.35 cm respectively and minimum length was recorded in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA media for on 30^{th} (7.89 cm) (Fig.3) & 45^{th} (12.60 cm) day (Table 3 & Fig.4).

Number of leaves per plant

On the 30^{th} day, the number of lettuce leaves per plant was maximum in the S_1M_1 -NFT with cocopeat media (13) and lowest (5.25) in the S_2M_2 -dutch bucket system with LECA

medium (Table 3). Similarly on 45^{th} day number of leaves per plant recorded maximum in S_1M_1 -NFT with cocopeat media (18) followed by (16) S_2M_1 - dutch bucket with cocopeat media and minimum (11.25) in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA media. These outcomes were very similar to those of Amit (2007) who studied leafy vegetables (spinach, fenugreek, coriander, amaranthus). In a protected setting, more number of leaves per plant, was recorded than under field cultivation. Similar findings in palak, coriander, lettuce, red and green amaranthus other plants were made by Kotadia *et al.* (2012) ^[9] and Isaac (2015) ^[8].

Yield

The findings of Omokhua *et al.* (2015) ^[12] in *Terminalia ivorensis* showed the intensive contact that roots have with growing media and the media's ability to hold nutrients and water, survival and growth are typically increased. Statistical analysis of the yield parameter (Table 4 and Fig. 8) revealed a substantial difference between the treatments and systems. S_1M_1 NFT with cocopeat media recorded the highest yield (35.56 g/plant). The plant yield was recorded lowest in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA ball media (8.45 g/plant).



Fig 8: Influence of different systems and three media on Yield of lettuce

Leaf area index (LAI)

As a parameter in plant ecology, the leaf area index (LAI), which measures the photosynthetic active area, is crucial because it connects canopy structure and ecosystem performance. According to data on the leaf area index (Table 4), there is a substantial difference between the NXG effect and treatments. The S_1M_1 -NFT system with the cocopeat media showed highest value 4.2285 for LAI on 30th day. According to Hernandez and Soto (2012), an increase in the LAI is dependent on hydration, temperature, radiation absorption and nutrition. Lowest LAI (0.159) was observed in S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA ball media. On 45th day maximum LAI (17.0081) in S_1M_1 -NFT system with cocopeat

media and lowest LAI on 45^{th} day (0.3547) was observed in dutch bucket system S_2M_2 with LECA ball media.

Leaf area ratio (LAR)

Statistical analysis revealed that the treatments for leaf area ratio differed significantly, with a substantial difference (Table 5). The S_1M_1 NFT system with cocopeat media produced the highest leaf area ratio on 30^{th} day (29.0226 cm⁻² g⁻¹ day), while the S_2M_2 dutch bucket system with LECA ball media produced the lowest leaf area ratio (7.8774 cm⁻² g-1 day). The same pattern persisted on the 45^{th} day with S_1M_1 (59.9934 cm⁻² g⁻¹ day) and S_2M_2 (13.127 cm⁻² g⁻¹ day) recording the highest and lowest leaf area ratio respectively.

Table 2: Influence of different systems and three different media on Plant height (cm) and root length (cm)

| Treatments | | | Plant he | ight (cm) | | Root length (cm) | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-------|------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|--|--|
| Treatments | 30 DAYS | | | 45 DAYS | | | 30 DAYS | | | | 45 DAYS | | | |
| S_1M_1 | | 35.3 | | 47.3 | | | 12.505 | | | | 17.05 | | | |
| S_1M_2 | | 22.8 | | 34.85 | | | 9.85 | | | | 11.4 | | | |
| S_1M_3 | | 25.5 | | | 40.2 | | 11.45 | | | | 13.5 | | | |
| S_2M_1 | 29.3 | | | 45.5 | | | 12.05 | | | | 14.65 | | | |
| S_2M_2 | | 20.4 | | | 33.85 | | | 9.4 | | | | 10.55 | | |
| S_2M_3 | | 23.35 | | | 38.9 | | | 10.15 | | | | 12.95 | | |
| Mean | 26.10833 | | | 40.1 | | | 10.90083 | | | | 13.35 | | | |
| | P M PXM | | Р | М | PXM | Р | М | PXM | Р | Μ | PXM | | | |
| S. Ed | 0.186 | 0.228 | 0.322 | 0.067 | 0.082 | 0.116 | 0.034 | 0.042 | 0.059 | 0.06 | 0.074 | 0.105 | | |
| CD (0.05) | 0.075 | 0.091 | 0.129 | 0.167 | 0.24 | 0.289 | 0.085 | 0.104 | 0.147 | 0.151 | 0.185 | 0.261 | | |

P- Production systems, M-Media, PXM-Interaction effect, NS-Non significant Two systems *i.e.* Horizontal type (NFT)-S₁, Dutch bucket system $-S_2$ and with three media *viz.*, M₁-Cocopeat, M₂- LECA balls, M₃- Rockwool.

Table 3: Influence of different systems and three different media on shoot length (cm), number of leaves per plant (No.)

| Treatmonte | | | Shoot le | ength (cm) | | | Number of leaves per plant (No.) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|------------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--|--|
| 1 reatments | 30 DAYS | | | 45 DAYS | | | 30 DAYS | | | | 45 DAYS | | | |
| S_1M_1 | | 23.85 | | 43.35 | | | | 13 | | 18 | | | | |
| S_1M_2 | 12.95 | | | 18.45 | | | | 7.25 | | 12.25 | | | | |
| S_1M_3 | 8.45 | | | 22.05 | | | 9 | | | | 14 | | | |
| S_2M_1 | | 19.9 | | | 23.45 | | | 10 | | 16 | | | | |
| S_2M_2 | | 7.895 | | | 12.6 | | | 5.25 | | 11.25 | | | | |
| S_2M_3 | S ₂ M ₃ 13.2 | | | 19.2 | | | | 8 | | 13.25 | | | | |
| Mean | Mean 14.37417 | | 23.18333 | | | 8.75 | | | | 14.125 | | | | |
| | P M PXM P M PXM | | PXM | Р | М | PXM | Р | М | PXM | | | | | |
| S. Ed | 0.047 | 0.058 | 0.082 | 0.048 | 0.058 | 0.083 | 0.067 | 0.082 | 0.116 | 0.058 | 0.071 | 0.1 | | |
| CD (0.05) | 0.118 | 0.145 | 0.205 | 0.0119 | 0.146 | 0.206 | 0.167 | 0.204 | 0.289 | 0.145 | 0.177 | 0.251 | | |

P- Production systems, M-Media, PXM-Interaction effect, NS-Non significant Two systems *i.e.* Horizontal type (NFT)-S₁, Dutch bucket system $-S_2$ and with three media *viz.*, M₁-Cocopeat, M₂- LECA balls, M₃- Rockwool.

Table 4: Influence of different systems and three different media on Yield/plant (g), Leaf area Index (LAI)

| Treatments | Y | ield / plant (| g) | Leaf Area Index (LAI) | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-----------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Treatments | | 45 DAYS | | | 30 DAYS | 30 DAYS | | | | | | | |
| S_1M_1 | | 35.56 | | | 4.2285 | 17.0081 | | | | | | | |
| S_1M_2 | | 11.365 | | | 0.5875 | 1.7352 | | | | | | | |
| S_1M_3 | | 21.495 | | | 1.3098 | 4.5921 | | | | | | | |
| S_2M_1 | | 33.54 | | | 0.8979 | 5.7732 | | | | | | | |
| S_2M_2 | | 8.45 | | | 0.159 | 0.3547 | | | | | | | |
| S_2M_3 | | 15.115 | | | 0.3942 | 1.5524 | | | | | | | |
| Mean | | 20.92083 | | | 1.262817 | 5.169283 | | | | | | | |
| | P M PXM | | Р | P M | | Р | М | PXM | | | | | |
| S. Ed | 007 0.009 0.012 | | 0.00034 | 0.00034 0.00042 | | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | | | | | |
| CD (0.05) | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.03 | 0.00084 | 0.00102 | 0.00145 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.008 | | | | |

P- Production systems, M-Media, PXM-Interaction effect, NS-Non significant Two systems *i.e.* Horizontal type (NFT)-S₁, Dutch bucket system $-S_2$ and with three media *viz.*, M₁-Cocopeat, M₂- LECA balls, M₃- Rockwool.

Table 5: Influence of different systems and three different media on Leaf Area Ratio (LAR), Leaf chlorophyll content (LCC)

| Truestan | | L | eaf Area l | Ratio (LA | R) | Leaf Chlorophyll content (LCC) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|-------|----------|------|-------|--|
| 1 reatments | | 30 DAYS | | 45 DAYS | | | | 30 DAYS | | 45 DAYS | | | |
| S_1M_1 | | 29.0226 | | 59.9934 | | | | 39.2 | | 42.9 | | | |
| S_1M_2 | | 8.3423 | | 21.0082 | | | 34.4 | | | 39.7 | | | |
| S_1M_3 | | 12.6642 | | | 26.4446 | | 36.8 | | | 40.1 | | | |
| S_2M_1 | | 19.6599 | | 56.9304 | | | 39.1 | | | 41.7 | | | |
| S_2M_2 | | 7.8774 | | 13.127 | | | 34.3 | | | 37.2 | | | |
| S_2M_3 | | 12.1813 | | 24.1592 | | | 35.9 | | | 37.4 | | | |
| Mean | 14.95795 | | | 33.61047 | | | 36.61667 | | | 39.83333 | | | |
| | Р | М | PXM | Р | М | PXM | Р | М | PXM | Р | М | PXM | |
| S. Ed | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.081 | 0.1 | 0.141 | 0.082 | 0.1 | 0.142 | |
| CD (0.05) | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 0.203 | 0.249 | 0.352 | 0.204 | 0.25 | 0.354 | |

P- Production systems, M-Media, PXM-Interaction effect, NS-Non significant Two systems *i.e.* Horizontal type (NFT)-S₁, Dutch bucket system –S₂ and with three media *viz.*, M₁-Cocopeat, M₂- LECA balls, M₃- Rockwool.

4. Conclusion

It was determined that out of different systems and media studied, NFT system with cocopeat media, produced higher yield as well as higher levels of other growth parameters such as plant height, root length, shoot length, number of leaves per plant, LAI, and LAR. Therefore, it can be concluded from this experiment that the (S_1M_1) NFT system with cocopeat media is an alternative novel method for growing lettuce. This is because plants grown in soil expend a lot of energy searching for food whereas plants grown hydroponically are provided with everything they require allowing them to focus all of their energy on producing a higher yield.

5. Acknowledgement

For supplying the instruments needed for the experimental trial, we are grateful to the Department of Vegetable Science.

6. Reference

- 1. Dixit A. Performance of leafy vegetables under protected environment and open field condition. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2007;2(1):197-200.
- Chernick Michael R, González-Manteiga W, Crujeiras RM, Erniel B. Barrios. Bootstrap methods. In International encyclopedia of statistical science Springer; c2011. p. 169-174.
- Chhetri, Sadhana, Dulal S, Subba S, Gurung K. Effect of different growing media on growth and yield of leafy vegetables in nutrient film technique hydroponics system. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science. 2022;7(1):12-19.
- 4. Chu, Yu-Ting, Brown PB. Sustainable Marine Aquaponics: Effects of Shrimp to Plant Ratios and C/N Ratios. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021;8:771630.
- 5. Douglas JS. Hydroponics. Bombay Oxford 1975, 1-3.
- 6. El-Kazzaz KA, El-Kazzaz AA. Oilless agriculture a new and advanced method for agriculture development: An introduction. Agric. Res. Technol. Open Access J 3 2017;3:63-72.
- Naivy HC, Carreño FS. Influencia de tres fechas de siembra sobre el crecimiento y rendimiento de especies de cereales cultivados en condiciones tropicales. Parte I. Cultivo del maíz (*Zea mayz* L.). Cultivos Tropicales 33, no. 2012;2:44-49.
- 8. Isaac, Rebecca S. Performance Evaluation of Leafy Vegetables in Naturally Ventilated Polyhouses. International Journal of Research Studies in Agricultural Sciences (IJRSAS). 2015;1(3):1-4.
- Kotadia HR, Patil SJ, Bhalerao PP, Gaikwad SS, Mahant HD. Influence of different growing conditions on yield of leafy vegetables during summer season. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2012;7(2):300-302.
- Smital K, Abraham PS, Mohanty N, Kadam NN, Thakur M. Sustainable raft based hydroponic system for growing spinach and coriander. In Techno-Societal 2016: Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Technologies for Societal Applications, Springer International Publishing; c2018. p. 117-125.
- 11. LIN, Ye-chun, Yue-gao HU, Chang-zhong REN, Laichun GUO, Chun-long WANG, Jiang Y, et al. Effects of nitrogen application on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and leaf gas exchange in naked oat. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 2013;12(12):2164-2171.
- 12. Omokhua, Aitebiremen G, McGaw LJ, Finnie JF, Staden

JV. *Chromolaena odorata* (L.) RM King & H. Rob. (Asteraceae) in sub-Saharan Africa: A synthesis and review of its medicinal potential. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2016;183:112-122.

- 13. Raviv, Michael J, Lieth H, Asher Bar-Tal, eds. Soilless culture: Theory and practice: Theory and practice. Elsevier; c2019.
- 14. Richardson, Andrew D, Duigan SP, Berlyn GP. An evaluation of noninvasive methods to estimate foliar chlorophyll content. New phytologist. 2002;153(1):185-194.
- Rincón Castillo Á, Ligarreto GA. Relationship between leaf nitrogen and chlorophyll content in corn found in pastures in the Llanos Foothills of Colombia. Revista Corpoica-Ciencia y Tecnologia Agropecuarias. 2010;11(2):122-128.
- 16. Keith R. How-to Hydroponics; Futuregarden. Inc.: Lindenhurst, NY, USA; c2003.
- Rodriguez JC, Cantliffe DJ, Shaw NL, Karchi Z. Soilless Media and Containers for Greenhouse Production of Galia' Type Muskmelon. HortScience. 2006;41(5):1200-1205.
- 18. Ryder, Edward J. Lettuce, endive and chicory. Cab International; c1999.
- Wilmer T, Colombo R, Coronel I, Marín O. Water relations and photosynthetic capacity of two species of Calotropis in a tropical semi-arid ecosystem. Annals of botany. 2011;107(3):397-405.
- 20. Zekki H, Gauthier L, Gosselin A. Growth, productivity, and mineral composition of hydroponically cultivated greenhouse tomatoes, with or without nutrient solution recycling. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 1996;121(6):1082-1088.