



ISSN (E): 2277-7695
ISSN (P): 2349-8242
NAAS Rating: 5.23
TPI 2023; 12(8): 1830-1833
© 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com

Received: 07-05-2023

Accepted: 11-06-2023

Nikhil Parihar

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Fruit Science, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

PKS Gurjar

Scientist Horticulture, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Prachi Tamrakar

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Floriculture and land scaping, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Gaurav Gupta

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Fruit Science, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Avinash Sharma

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Vegetable Science, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Nikhil Parihar

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Fruit Science, RVSKVV, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

Effect of new generation PGR's and micronutrients in organoleptic parameter of guava cv. G-27

Nikhil Parihar, PKS Gurjar, Prachi Tamrakar, Gaurav Gupta and Avinash Sharma

Abstract

“The research program entitled Effect of new generation PGR's and micronutrients in organoleptic parameter of guava cv. G-27 was carried out in 2021-22 and 2022-23 in Horticulture research farm, College of Agriculture, Gwalior. This program comprised of 20 treatment combination of different PGR's and micronutrients. This experiment conclude that Maximum value of all the organoleptic parameters was reported in combination P₄M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) followed by P₃M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 500 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%).”

Keywords: Guava, New generation PGR's, Micronutrients, Organoleptic parameter

Introduction

Micronutrient insufficiency is a serious problem in soil and plants all over the world [1] although proper distinction of micronutrients is compulsory for better growth, and improved physical and qualitative aspects of fruit crops [2-5] whereas its deficiency leads to a reduction in the productivity [6]. Aside from the basic plant nutrients, there are eight important nutrients that plants require in extremely small amounts. Boron not straight forward connected to photosynthesis compound execution, yet it is connected to the plants' carbohydrate chemistry and reproductive system. A suitable level of micronutrients is required for better plant growth, which results in a larger yield because of improved growth, better flowering, and fruit set [2]. The catalytic effect of micronutrients, especially at greater concentrations, may be responsible for the improvement in fruit quality. Foliar treatments with micronutrients boosted macronutrient uptake in tissues and organs quickly, which in turn improved fruit crop quality [7]. At present, micronutrients are slowly but surely in advance impetus among the fruit growers for the reason of their valuable nutritional holdup and at the same time make sure improved yield and profits. The increased demand for fruit will necessitate a full understanding of the link between micronutrients and crop growth. Foliar application of oligo-elements is one of the implements to sustain or augment the nutritional position of the fruit plants for the duration of the growing season. To increase the yield of high-quality fruits and vegetables, contemporary crop husbandry practices have included the use of plant growth regulators. Foliar use of plant growth regulators improves fruit crop output and quality because fruits are a high-value crop. It is possible to maintain a good fruit setting with the use of exogenous plant growth regulators Anti-fruit drops agents like auxin and gibberellins are commonly utilized. There are several ways in which plant growth regulator sprays can be used for fruit size improvement, including directly encouraging cell division, or indirectly by reducing fruit number via treatment with plant growth regulators to facilitate inhibiting flower and fruit abscission, thereby increasing the size of the fruit. Fruit set, fruit retention, and yield are examples of physical attributes, while total soluble solids is an example of chemical properties. It has been demonstrated that plant growth regulators including Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA), Gibberellic Acid (GA₃), 6-Benzylaminopurine (BAP), 6-Benzyladenine (BA), and 2-chloro-4-pyridyl-N-phenylurea (CPN) increase total sugars, acidity, reducing sugar, etc (CPPU). It was found that the foliar application of GA₃ considerably improved the retention of mango fruits as well as other fruit species such as citrus, apples, guavas, and pomegranates [8].

Method and Materials

6 year old fruits were taken of guava variety Gwalior-27 and total 60 plants were taken for 3 replication and 20 treatment. Pre-harvest spray of new generation pgr and micronutrients are

done and mrig bahar guava was taken.

Leaf samples were collected from the middle of the current season's growth around the periphery of the tree. Samples were cleaned, dried, ground and stored according to the procedure laid down by Chapman (1964). The digestion of the leaf samples for various nutrient elements was done in diacid mixture (Nitric acid: Perchloric acid 4:1). For nitrogen estimation, a separate digestion was carried out using concentrated H₂SO₄ and digestion mixture as suggested by

Jackson (1967). Total nitrogen was estimated by micro-kjeldahl's method (Jackson, 1973). Phosphorus was determined by Vanado-molybido phosphoric yellow colour method (Jackson, 1973) estimated under spectrophotometer; potassium was estimated under flame photometer and zinc, iron, manganese was determined on Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer AAS4141. Macro and micro nutrients were expressed on dry weight basis as per cent and ppm, respectively.

S. No.	Notation	Treatment combination
1	P ₀ M ₀	Control
2	P ₀ M ₁	CaCl ₂ (1.5%)
3	P ₀ M ₂	ZnCl ₂ (0.4%)
4	P ₀ M ₃	Borax (0.4%)
5	P ₁ M ₀	Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm)
6	P ₁ M ₁	Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) + CaCl ₂ (1.5%)
7	P ₁ M ₂	Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) + ZnCl ₂ (0.4%)
8	P ₁ M ₃	Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) + Borax (0.4%)
9	P ₂ M ₀	Brassinosteroid (1.0 ppm)
10	P ₂ M ₁	Brassinosteroid (1.0 ppm) + CaCl ₂ (1.5%)
11	P ₂ M ₂	Brassinosteroid (1.0 ppm) + ZnCl ₂ (0.4%)
12	P ₂ M ₃	Brassinosteroid (1.0 ppm) + Borax (0.4%)
13	P ₃ M ₀	Salicylic acid (500 ppm)
14	P ₃ M ₁	Salicylic acid (500 ppm) + CaCl ₂ (1.5%)
15	P ₃ M ₂	Salicylic acid (500 ppm) + ZnCl ₂ (0.4%)
16	P ₃ M ₃	Salicylic acid (500 ppm) + Borax (0.4%)
17	P ₄ M ₀	Salicylic acid (600 ppm)
18	P ₄ M ₁	Salicylic acid (600 ppm) + CaCl ₂ (1.5%)
19	P ₄ M ₂	Salicylic acid (600 ppm) + ZnCl ₂ (0.4%)
20	P ₄ M ₃	Salicylic acid (600 ppm) + Borax (0.4%)

Result and Discussion

Appearance: Maximum value of score on appearance was reported in combination P₄M₁ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + CaCl₂ @ 1.5%) i.e. 8.04, 7.85 and 7.95 followed by P₃M₁

(Salicylic acid @ 500 ppm + CaCl₂ @ 1.5%) i.e. 7.84, 7.61 and 7.67 while minimum score on appearance was reported in P₀M₀ (control) i.e. 4.98, 4.32 and 4.65.

Table 1: Interaction effect of PGR and micronutrients on appearance

Micronutrients	Appearance				
	New generation PGR's				
	1 st year				
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	4.98	6.69	6.92	6.96	6.80
M ₁	7.40	7.23	7.39	7.84	8.04
M ₂	7.06	6.95	7.18	7.47	7.54
M ₃	6.93	6.72	7.00	7.14	7.14
	2 nd year				
M ₀	4.32	7.35	6.83	6.97	7.11
M ₁	7.04	7.33	7.45	7.61	7.85
M ₂	6.85	7.15	7.29	7.44	7.62
M ₃	6.65	6.86	7.11	7.22	7.39
	Pooled data				
M ₀	4.65	7.02	6.88	6.97	7.46
M ₁	7.22	7.28	7.42	7.67	7.95
M ₂	6.96	7.05	7.24	7.45	7.58
M ₃	6.79	6.79	7.05	7.18	7.26
	1 st year		2 nd year		Pooled
S.E(M) ±	0.304		0.346		0.279
CD (5%)	0.869		0.990		0.800

Taste

Maximum value of score on taste was reported in combination P₄M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) i.e. 8.86, 8.31 and 8.59 followed by P₄M₂ (Salicylic

acid @ 600 ppm + ZnCl₂ @ 0.4%) i.e. 7.62, 7.55 and 7.59 while minimum score on taste was reported in P₀M₀ (control) i.e. 5.13, 4.55 and 4.84.

Table 2: Interaction effect of PGR and micronutrients on taste of guava

Micronutrients	Taste				
	New generation PGR's				
	1 st year				
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	5.13	6.65	7.02	7.17	7.38
M ₁	6.38	6.89	7.19	7.39	7.56
M ₂	6.46	7.05	7.23	7.41	7.62
M ₃	6.54	7.13	7.30	7.51	8.86
2 nd year					
M ₀	4.55	6.84	7.01	7.14	7.34
M ₁	6.73	6.97	7.15	7.30	7.48
M ₂	6.76	7.02	7.19	7.36	7.55
M ₃	6.82	7.07	7.23	7.41	8.31
Pooled data					
M ₀	4.84	6.74	7.02	7.16	7.36
M ₁	6.55	6.93	7.17	7.34	7.52
M ₂	6.61	7.03	7.21	7.39	7.59
M ₃	6.68	7.10	7.27	7.46	8.59
1 st year		2 nd year			Pooled
S.E(M) ±	0.217		0.188		0.167
CD (5%)	0.621		0.537		0.478

Colour

Maximum value of score on colour was reported in combination P₄M₁ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + CaCl₂ @ 1.5%) i.e. 8.64, 8.76 and 8.70 followed by P₄M₁ (Salicylic

acid @ 600 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) i.e. 7.74, 7.72 and 7.73 while minimum score on colour was reported in P₀M₀ (control) i.e. 5.29, 5.47 and 5.38.

Table 3: Intraction effect of PGR and micronutrients in colour of guava

Micronutrients	Color				
	New generation PGR's				
	1 st year				
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	5.29	6.74	6.93	7.06	7.31
M ₁	6.66	7.04	7.26	7.39	8.64
M ₂	6.56	6.95	7.15	7.29	7.54
M ₃	6.79	7.17	7.39	7.52	7.74
2 nd year					
M ₀	5.47	6.78	6.97	7.11	7.39
M ₁	6.62	7.02	7.25	7.39	8.76
M ₂	6.55	6.96	7.16	7.31	7.59
M ₃	6.75	7.15	7.39	7.51	7.72
Pooled data					
M ₀	5.38	6.76	6.95	7.08	7.35
M ₁	6.64	7.03	7.25	7.39	8.70
M ₂	6.55	6.96	7.16	7.30	7.57
M ₃	6.77	7.16	7.39	7.52	7.73
1 st year		2 nd year			Pooled
SE(M) ±	0.185		0.189		0.184
CD (5%)	0.530		0.542		0.526

Aroma

Maximum value of score on aroma was reported in combination P₄M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) i.e. 8.22, 8.62 and 8.42 followed by P₄M₂ (Salicylic

acid @ 600 ppm + ZnCl₂ @ 0.4%) i.e. 7.32, 6.72 and 7.02 while minimum score on aroma was reported in P₀M₀ (control) i.e. 4.09, 4.42 and 4.26.

Table 4: Intraction effect of PGR and micronutrients in aroma of guava

Micronutrients	Aroma				
	New generation PGR's				
	1 st year				
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	4.09	7.05	6.53	6.67	6.81
M ₁	6.35	6.56	6.81	6.92	7.09
M ₂	6.55	6.85	6.99	7.14	7.32
M ₃	6.74	7.03	7.15	7.31	8.22

	2 nd year				
	M ₀	4.42	6.45	5.93	6.07
M ₁	5.75	5.96	6.21	6.32	6.49
M ₂	5.95	6.25	6.39	6.54	6.72
M ₃	6.14	6.43	6.55	6.71	8.62
Pooled data					
M ₀	4.26	6.75	6.23	6.37	6.65
M ₁	6.05	6.26	6.51	6.62	6.65
M ₂	6.25	6.55	6.69	6.84	7.02
M ₃	6.44	6.73	6.85	7.01	8.42
1 st year		2 nd year			Pooled
SE(M) ±	0.339		0.340		0.385
CD (5%)	0.972		0.975		1.101

Overall acceptability

Maximum value of score on overall acceptability was reported in combination P₄M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) i.e. 8.69, 8.13 and 8.41 followed by P₄M₂ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + ZnCl₂ @ 0.4%) i.e. 7.62, 7.69 and 7.66 while minimum score on overall acceptability was reported in P₀M₀ (control) i.e. 6.01, 5.12 and 5.57.

Table 4: Intraction effect of PGR and micronutrients in overall acceptability of guava

(A) PGR's	Overall acceptability		
	1 st year	2 nd year	Pooled
P ₀	6.51	6.55	6.41
P ₁	6.95	6.98	6.97
P ₂	7.17	7.18	7.18
P ₃	7.34	7.33	7.34
P ₄	7.81	7.71	7.76
SE(m) ±	0.086	0.079	0.072
CD (5%)	0.247	0.225	0.205
(B) Micronutrients			
M ₀	6.91	6.92	6.81
M ₁	7.10	7.11	7.11
M ₂	7.19	7.21	7.20
M ₃	7.43	7.36	7.39
SE(m) ±	0.077	0.070	0.064
CD (5%)	0.221	0.201	0.183

Conclusion

The interaction effect of the two factors i.e. PGR's and micronutrients was found to give significant effect on all the organoleptic parameters which are appearance, taste, colour, aroma and overall acceptability. Maximum value of all the organoleptic parameters was reported in combination P₄M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 600 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) followed by P₃M₃ (Salicylic acid @ 500 ppm + Borax @ 0.4%) while minimum scores was reported in P₀M₀ (control).

Reference

1. Imtiaz M, Rashid A, Khan P, Memon MY, Aslam M. The role of micronutrients in crop production and human health. Pak. J Bot. 2010;42:2565-2578.
2. Ram RA, Bose TK. Effect of foliar application of magnesium and micro-nutrients on growth, yield and fruit quality of mandarin orange (*Citrus reticulata Blanco*). Indian J Hort. 2000;57:215-220.
3. Raja ME. Importance of micronutrients in the changing horticultural scenario in India. Indian J Hort. 2009;4:1-27.
4. Sourour MM. Effect of foliar application of some micronutrient forms on growth, yield, fruit quality and

leaf mineral composition of Valencia orange trees grown in North-Sinai. Alex. J Agric. Res. 2000;45:269-285.

5. Shekhar C, Yadav AL, Singh HK, Singh MK. Influence of micronutrients on plant growth, yield and quality of papaya fruit (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Washington. Asian J Hort. 2010;5:326-329.
6. Zagade PM, Munde GR, Shirsath AH. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and quality of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Cv. Sardar. J Pharm. Biol. Sci. 2017;12:56-58.
7. Anees M, Tahir FM, Shahzad J, Mahmood N. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on the quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Dusehri fruit. Mycopath. 2011;9:25-28.
8. Iqbal M, Khan MQ, ud-Din J, Rehman K, Munir M. Effect of foliar application of NAA on fruit drop, yield and physico-chemical characteristics of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) red flesh cultivar. J Agric. Res. 2009;47:259-269.