



ISSN (E): 2277-7695  
ISSN (P): 2349-8242  
NAAS Rating: 5.23  
TPI 2023; SP-12(8): 1072-1075  
© 2023 TPI  
[www.thepharmajournal.com](http://www.thepharmajournal.com)  
Received: 13-06-2023  
Accepted: 22-07-2023

**Iyman Binti Fayaz**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Kashmir,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

**Syed Akram Hussain**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Kashmir,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

**Mohammad Mateen Zehgeer**  
Central Animal House Facility, Jamia  
Hamdard, New Delhi, Delhi, India

**Mudasir Ali Rather**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Kashmir,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

**Sarfraz Ahmad Wani**  
Division of Livestock Products  
Technology F.V.Sc & AH Shuhama,  
Alusteng, Skuast Kashmir, Jammu  
and Kashmir, India

**Hina Bhat**  
Division of Animal Bio Technology  
F.V.Sc & AH Shuhama, Alusteng,  
Skuast Kashmir, Jammu and  
Kashmir, India

**Najmana**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Kashmir,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

**Shabu Showkat**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Kashmir,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

**Peerzada Rouf Ahmad**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Jammu,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

**Qazi Shehriyar**  
Department of Animal Nutrition  
F.V.Sc & AH Shuhama, Alusteng,  
Skuast Kashmir, Jammu and  
Kashmir, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Iyman Binti Fayaz**  
Division of Veterinary Public Health,  
F.V.Sc. & AH, Skuast-Kashmir,  
Jammu and Kashmir, India

## Investigations on Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolation and identification from milk and environmental sources

**Iyman Binti Fayaz, Syed Akram Hussain, Mohammad Mateen Zehgeer, Mudasir Ali Rather, Sarfraz Ahmad Wani, Hina Bhat, Najmana, Shabu Showkat, Peerzada Rouf Ahmad and Qazi Shehriyar**

### Abstract

The objective of the current investigation was to determine the occurrence of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from Milk and Environmental sources during 2022-2023. 500 samples altogether were collected comprising raw milk, mastitic milk, pasteurised milk, animal nasal swabs, animal rectal swabs, teat skin swabs, animal farm environment, household environment, nosocomial infections and human clinical samples and were screened for the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus*. 233 samples turned out to be positive resulting in an overall occurrence of 46.6%. Of the 500 isolates, 37(74%), 38(76%), 09(18%), 33(66%), 12(24%), 28(56%), 24(48%), 23(46%), 14(28%), 17(34%) were isolated from raw milk, mastitic milk, pasteurised milk, animal nasal swabs, animal rectal swabs, teat skin swabs, animal farm environment, household environment, nosocomial infections and human clinical samples respectively. *S. aureus* isolates obtained were subjected to *in vitro* antibiotic sensitivity assay to ascertain the number of MRSA isolates resulting in 100% of the methicillin resistant *S. aureus* isolates. The above investigation revealed the presence of MRSA in our territory thus posing a serious threat to the public health safety.

**Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus*, occurrence, milk, public health, environment

### Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* recovered from milk and environment decipher an emergent hazard cornerstone policy in public health and food chain. Checkerboard contamination of raw and processed milk and dairy series with forbidden foodborne pathogens and their toxins and enzymes like biofilm producing and multidrug-resistant *S. aureus* represent risk policy in our food chain and so on proceeds to our lifestyle (FDA, 2020; CDCs, 2020) [15]. According to Chua *et al.* (2014) [5], *Staphylococcus aureus* is primarily pathogenic due to a combination of genetic factors mediating virulence, invasiveness, host immune system evasion, and antibiotic resistance. This bacterium has been linked to numerous diseases in both humans and animals. One of the most significant infectious mastitis pathogens in dairy cattle is *Staphylococcus aureus*. Controlling *S. aureus* is important for both public health and economic reasons in the dairy business because of its zoonotic potential (Kummel *et al.*, 2016) [23]. Milk and milk products, particularly those made from raw milk under unsanitary conditions, have the potential to spread foodborne pathogens such strains of *S. aureus* that are resistant to antibiotics (Kadariya *et al.*, 2014) [22]. When compared to methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA), methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) related bacteremia is linked to higher mortality, morbidity, and healthcare expenses. (Cosgrove, 2003, 2005, and Shurland, 2007) [6, 7, 35].

Gram-positive, catalase-positive, and bacitracin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is a prevalent colonizer of the human body. According to Shaw *et al.* (1951) [34] and Tong *et al.* (2015) [40], these bacteria are frequently found on mucosal surfaces (such as the nares, the throat, and the rectum) and moist skin areas like the axilla, groin, and perineum. Over 50 species of the *Staphylococcus* genus have been described until now (Pyorala, and Taponen, 2009) [31]. Pathogens like *Staphylococcus aureus* are linked to significant hospital- and community-acquired illnesses. It is abundant in nature and has minimal dietary needs (Wu *et al.*, 2018) [24]. This foodborne virus, which is responsible for a wide range of symptoms and illnesses, is regarded as one of the top global causes of disease outbreaks linked to food intake.

According to Scallan *et al.* (2011) [33] and Kadariya *et al.* (2014) [22], *S. aureus* is responsible for over 241,000 instances of food poisoning each year in the US. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) is clinically the most significant pathogen because of its frequent ability to resist antibiotics which makes *S. aureus* infections particularly difficult. MRSA infections had greater rates of hospitalization, mortality, and morbidity as compared to infections brought on by methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) (Ippolito *et al.*, 2010) [21]. According to Stefani *et al.* (2012) [37], methicillin resistance rates among clinical isolates vary substantially by nation, ranging from single-digit percentages in Scandinavian nations to over 50%, for instance, in the U.S. and China. The skin and subcutaneous tissues are the most frequently affected by MRSA-related infections, which are followed by invasive illnesses like osteomyelitis, meningitis, pneumonia, lung abscess and empyema. MRSA-induced infectious endocarditis is connected to intravenous drug misuse and has higher morbidity and fatality rates than endocarditis produced by any other bacterium.

Due to its abundant availability of important nutrients and high water content, milk offers a favourable environment for a variety of microorganisms. According to Viljoen (2001) [43], bacteria, yeast, and mold are frequently found as contaminants in milk. Some microbes can contribute to the spoiling and degradation of milk and dairy products (Eneroth *et al.*, 2000) [12]. As a result, bacteria in milk have a substantial impact on its quality and can be harmful to both the dairy industry and the general public's health (Li *et al.*, 2018) [24]. The primary paths for raw milk contamination are direct contact with contaminated materials in the dairy environment, such as dirt, excrement, feed, water, air, milking equipment, sick animals, as well as secretions from infected animals' udders (Mcauley *et al.*, 2014) [26]. The microbial ecology of dairy farms is quite complex, and there are many challenging situations there. As a result, the dairy environment is home to a variety of harmful bacteria (Oliver *et al.*, 2005). Pathogens are often rendered inactive during the pasteurization process. However, the post-processing of raw milk in dairy processing factories does not completely eradicate pathogen contamination of the milk or dairy products. According to Mcauley *et al.* (2014) [26], such contamination could make customers sick from eating. Considering the extreme importance of *S. aureus* as a potential public health threat, the current investigation was performed to assess the occurrence of *S. aureus* from milk and environment sources.

## Materials and Methods

### *S. aureus* sampling and isolation

A total of 500 samples were collectively taken from milk and environmental sources (50 samples from each source) including raw milk, mastitic milk, pasteurised milk, animal nasal swabs, animal rectal swabs, teat skin swabs, animal farm environment, household environment, nosocomial infections and human clinical samples (pus, burn and wound samples). Raw and mastitic milk samples, animal nasal swabs, animal rectal swabs, teat skin swabs and animal farm environment samples were collected from local farmers of Srinagar and Ganderbal and Mountain Livestock Research Institute, Mansbal, Ganderbal. Whereas the pasteurised milk samples were collected from different shops in different areas of Srinagar and Ganderbal between the years 2022-2023. Samples from Animal farm environment included milkers'

hands, water samples, cow shed area and paddock area. The samples were subsequently brought to the Division of Veterinary Public Health's laboratory in a refrigeration box filled with ice.

According to Yambise *et al.*, 2020 [44], the samples were treated right away for the isolation and identification of *S. aureus*. According to Palilu and Budiarto (2017) [30], each collected sample was cultured in 90 mL of BHI (Brain Heart Infusion) broth after being taken (10 ml) and incubated for 16-18 hours. The incubated sample (1 ml) was then diluted into a solution with a concentration ranging from 10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> using 9 ml of 0.1% peptone water, and it was then homogenized using a vortex. The surface of the BPA (Baird Parker Agar) medium was inoculated with a diluted sample (0.1 ml) from concentrations of 10<sup>-4</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup>, and 10<sup>-6</sup>, and then incubated for 48 hours at 37 °C. In BPA (Baird Parker Agar) medium, suspected *Staphylococcus* colonies were expressed as dark grey to shiny black coloured colonies. These putative colonies were purified by taking the individual colonies and utilizing the streak plate technique to inoculate them in BPA (Baird Parker Agar) medium to obtain the single colony. After streaking into MSA (Mannitol Salt Agar) media, this single colony of probable *Staphylococcus* was cultured for 24 hours. The single isolate was obtained by separating the colony expressed in yellow colour into a different BPA (Baird Parker Agar) medium. Finally, this isolate was cultured on Nutrient Agar and obtained as a possible *S. aureus* isolate on subjecting to Gram's staining the characteristic Gram-positive organisms were isolated with the characteristic cocci arranged in bunches (Plate 3). The isolates were further subjected to drug sensitivity testing against methicillin to determine the resistance pattern of all the isolates.

### Statistical analysis

The study's final results were analyzed using the Graph Pad Prism 5.0 program, and the Chi-square test was employed for the analytical evaluation.

## Results and Discussion

### Occurrence of *Staphylococcus aureus* from milk and environmental sources

A total of 500 samples were collected from milk and environmental sources in Srinagar and Ganderbal districts of J&K, India in which 233 samples turned out to be positive for *S. aureus* resulting in an overall occurrence of 46.60%. The samples included raw milk, mastitic milk, pasteurised milk, animal nasal swabs, animal rectal swabs, teat skin swabs, animal farm environment, household environment, nosocomial infections and human clinical samples. 37(74%), 38(76%), 09(18%), 33(66%), 11(22%), 27(54%), 24(48%), 23(46%), 14(28%) and 17(34%) isolates were recovered from the above samples respectively. The highest prevalence of *S. aureus* was recorded in mastitic milk (76%) whereas the contamination was comparatively least in pasteurized milk (24%). The highest percentage of *S. aureus* was found in swabs taken from milkers' hands (45.83%), where 11 isolates were found. These samples were followed by samples from the paddock and water, where 7 (29.16%) and 6 (25%) isolates were found, respectively.

The findings of the current research are in line with the conclusions of Taj *et al.*, (2012) [39], Abebe *et al.*, (2016) [11] Dweba *et al.*, (2019) [10] and El Faramaway *et al.*, (2019) [11] who reported an occurrence of 54.78%, 51.26%, 53.8% and 46.5% respectively. Islam *et al.*, 2016 reported a higher

prevalence of 75% of *Staphylococcus aureus* samples which indicates a potential threat of *Staphylococcus aureus* in the environment and milk samples. The increased presence of *S. aureus* in raw milk (74%) may pose a risk to the dairy industry and the dairy workers globally. It may subsequently act as a source of contamination of various dairy products, particularly unpasteurized milk. *S. aureus* is one of the primary causes of udder infection in dairy cows. It also has a key role in the emergence of intramammary infections (IMI) and the emergence of clinical and subclinical mastitis associated with an increase in somatic cell number (SCC). The highest prevalence of *S. aureus* in Mastitic milk (76%) may be due to Hygienic and management factors (including breeds, size of the farm, absence of teat disinfection before and after milking, lack of diagnostic facilities for the detection of subclinical and chronic mastitis, absence of dry cow therapy, and procedure followed during hand milking) in the studied dairy farms. Lastly, risk factors for MRSA infection and colonization include the overuse of antibiotics, extended hospital stays, particularly in ICUs, intravascular catheterization, and immune-compromised states (Enright, 2003; Hidron *et al.*, 2005) [13, 18].

### Conclusion

The present study has revealed that the public in Srinagar city of Kashmir valley are exposed to the potential hazard of the MRSA as their presence has been demonstrated in milk and the environment. Hence there is a need for several interventions to reduce the MRSA burden. This research also highlights the need of implementing strict hygiene and sanitation standards in all the household environments of Srinagar city followed by proper cleaning and disinfection. There is a need to strictly monitor the animal Farm environment to ensure proper sanitation among animal workers and milkers. The animals should be regularly monitored for any disease conditions. The farm premises should be kept clean and regular disinfection and sanitization should be followed. Many academics have focused on the financial damages that mastitis causes to the dairy sector, which justifies the continuous interest in this field.

### Acknowledgements

#### Ethics approval

Following approval from the Institutional Review Bureau, GMC Srinagar, this study was carried out in the faculty of F.V.Sc & A.H., SKUAST K.

#### Competing interests

There are no competing interests, according to the authors.

#### Authors' contributions

The first author completed this work. The study's analyses were overseen by the authors, who also provided direction for the research.

#### Funding information

For this study, the Division of Veterinary Public Health, F.V.Sc & A.H., SKUAST Kashmir provided the funding, research resources, and assistance.

#### Disclaimer

The author's views and opinions are those of the article, not necessarily the official viewpoint or policy of any organization to which the authors are affiliated.

### References

1. Abebe R, Hatiya H, Abera M, Megersa B, Asmare K. Bovine mastitis: Prevalence, risk factors and isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy herds at Hawassa milk shed, South Ethiopia. BMC Veterinary Research. 2016;12(1): 1-11.
2. Arciola CR, Campoccia D, Baldassarri L, Donati ME, Pirini V, Gamberini S, *et al.* Detection of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* from implant infections. Comparison of a PCR method that recognizes the presence of ICA genes with two classic phenotypic methods. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A. 2006;76(2):425-30.
3. Asker D, Awad TS, Raju D, Sanchez H, Lacdao I, Gilbert S, *et al.* Preventing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms on indwelling catheters by surface-bound enzymes. ACS Applied Bio Materials. 2021;4(12):8248-8258.
4. Bose S, Khodke M, Basak S, Mallick SK. Detection of biofilm producing staphylococci: Need of the hour. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2009;3(6):1915-1920.
5. Chua KY, Howden BP, Jiang JH, Stinear T, Peleg AY. Population genetics and the evolution of virulence in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Infection, Genetics and Evolution. 2014;21:554-562
6. Cosgrove SE, Sakoulas G, Perencevich EN, Schwaber MJ, Karchmer AW, Carmeli Y. Comparison of mortality associated with methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: A meta-analysis. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2003;36:53-9
7. Cosgrove SE, Qi Y, Kaye KS, Harbarth S, Karchmer AW, Carmeli Y. The impact of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia on patient outcomes mortality, length of stay, and hospital charges. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2005;26:166-74.
8. Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial biofilms: A common cause of persistent infections. Science. 1999;284: 1318.
9. Cucarella C, MA Tormo, MA Knecht E. Expression of the biofilm-associated protein interferes with host protein receptors of *Staphylococcus aureus* and alters the infective process. Infection and Immunity. 2002;70(6):3180-3186.
10. Dweba CC, Zishiri OT, El Zowalaty ME. Isolation and molecular identification of virulence, antimicrobial and heavy metal resistance genes in livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Pathogens. 2019;8(2):79.
11. El Faramawa Y, RT Abdeen, EE, Ashraf ATA, Mousa WS. Antibigram Profile and Molecular Characterization of Coa and spa Genes of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) from Clinical Mastitis. Alexandria Journal for Veterinary Sciences. 2019;61:1.
12. Eneroth A, Ahrne S, Molin G. Contamination routes of Gram-negative spoilage bacteria in the production of pasteurised milk, evaluated by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). International Dairy Journal. 2000;10:325-331.
13. Enright MC. The evolution of a resistant pathogen-the case of MRSA. Current Opinion in Pharmacology. 2003;3:474-9.
14. Fabres-Klein MH, Caizer Santo MJ, Contelli Klein R, Nunes de Souza G, De Oliveira Barros Ribon A. An association between milk and slime increases biofilm



- production by bovine *Staphylococcus aureus*. BMC Veterinary Research. 2015;11:3.
15. Food and Drug Administration; c2020. <https://www.fda.gov/home>.
  16. Fox LK, Zadoks RN, Gaskins CT. Biofilm production by *Staphylococcus aureus* associated with intramammary infection. Veterinary Microbiology. 2005;107:295-299.
  17. Grinholc M, Wegrzyn G, Kurlenda J. Evaluation of biofilm production and prevalence of the *icaD* gene in methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from patients with nosocomial infections and carriers. FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology. 2007;50(3):375-379.
  18. Hidron AL, Kourbatova EV, Halvosa JS, Terrell BJ, McDougal LK, Tenover FC. Risk factors for colonization with methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in patients admitted to Urban Hospital: Emergence of community-associated MRSA nasal carriage. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2005;41:159-66.
  19. Islam NN, Akter M, Farzana Z, Kader AJ, Inkeyas Uddin, Zonaed Siddiki AM, et al. Detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Frozen Chicken Rinse, through Bacteriological and *nuc* Gene Specific PCR Methods and their Drug Resistance Patterns in Southern Chittagong, Bangladesh. Research Journal of Microbiology. 2014;9:251-264.
  20. Inagaki K, Lucar J, Blackshear C, Hobbs CV. Methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: Nationwide estimates of 30-day readmission, in-hospital mortality, length of stay, and cost in the United States. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69:2112-2118.
  21. Ippolito G, Leone S, Lauria FN. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: The superbug. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2010;14(Suppl 4):S7-11.
  22. Kadariya J, Smith TC, Thapaliya D. *Staphylococcus aureus* and staphylococcal food-borne disease: An ongoing challenge in public health. BioMed Research International; c2014.
  23. Kummel J, Stessl B, Gonano M, Walcher G, Bereuter O, Fricker M, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* Entrance into the Dairy Chain: Tracking *S. aureus* from Dairy Cow to Cheese. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2016;7:1603.
  24. Li N, Wang Y, You C, Ren J, Chen W, Zheng H, et al. Variation in raw milk microbiota throughout 12 months and the impact of weather conditions. Scientific Reports. 2018;8:1-10.
  25. Mathur T, Singhal S, Khan S, Upadhyay DJ, Fatma T, Rattan A. Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of staphylococci: An evaluation of three different screening methods. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2006;24:25-29.
  26. McAuley CM, McMillan K, Moore SC, Fegan N, Fox EM. Prevalence and characterization of foodborne pathogens from Australian dairy farm environments. Journal of Dairy Science. 2014;97:7402-7412.
  27. Mirzaei B, Babaei R, Asiabar APD, Bameri Z. Detection of both *vanA* & *vanB* genes in *vanA* phenotypes of Enterococci by Taq Man RT-PCR. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology. 2015;46(1):161-5.
  28. Oliver SP, Jayarao BM, Almeida RA. Foodborne pathogens in milk and the dairy farm environment: Food safety and public health implications. Food Bourne Pathogens and Diseases. 2005;2:115-129.
  29. O'Toole, George A. Microtiter Dish Biofilm Formation Assay. Journal of Visualized Experiments. 2011;47:2437.
  30. Palilu PT, Budiarto TY. Isolation and identification of *Staphylococcus* sp. In powdered infant milk. AIP Conference Proceedings. 2017;1844:020016.
  31. Pyorala S, Taponen S. Coagulase-negative Staphylococci emerging mastitis pathogens. Veterinary Microbiology. 2009;134(1-2):3-8.
  32. Siddiqui AH, Koirala J. Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. [Updated 2023 Apr 2]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; c2023.
  33. Scallan E, Hoekstra RM, Angulo FJ, Robert VT, Marc-Alain W, Sharon LR. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States—major pathogens. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2011;17: 7-15.
  34. Shaw C, Stitt JM, Cowan ST. Staphylococci and their Classification. Journal of General Microbiology. 1951;5:1010-1023.
  35. Shurland S, Zhan M, Bradham DD, Roghmann MC. Comparison of mortality risk associated with bacteremia due to methicillin-resistant and methicillinsusceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2007;28:273-9.
  36. Seo YS, Lee DY, Rayamahji N, Kang ML, Yoo HS. Biofilm-forming associated genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of *Staphylococcus* spp. isolated from animals and air. Research in Veterinary Science. 2008;85(3):433-8.
  37. Stefani S, Chung DR, Lindsay JA. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): Global epidemiology and harmonisation of typing methods. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2012;39(4):273-282.
  38. Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A, Harbarth S, Mendelson M, Monnet DL, et al. Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: The WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2018;18(3):318-27.
  39. Taj Y, Essa F, Aziz F, Kazmi SU. Study on biofilm-forming properties of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries. 2012;5(6):403-409.
  40. Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 2015;28:603-661.
  41. Triveni AG, Suresh Kumar M, Manjunath C, Shivannavar CT, Gaddad SM. Biofilm formation by clinically isolated *Staphylococcus aureus* from India. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries. 2018;12(12):1062-1066.
  42. Vasudevan P, MK Nair, T Annamalai, KS Venkitanarayanan. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of bovine mastitis isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* for biofilm formation. Veterinary Microbiology. 2003;92:179-185.
  43. Viljoen BC. The interaction between yeasts and bacteria in dairy environments. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2001;69(1-2):37-44.
  44. Yambise D, Ariestanti CA, Budiarto TY. Isolation and Identification of Biofilm-Forming *Staphylococcus aureus* in Commercial Cow Milk Products. Sciscitatio. 2020;1(2):87-93.