www.ThePharmaJournal.com

## The Pharma Innovation



ISSN (E): 2277-7695 ISSN (P): 2349-8242 NAAS Rating: 5.23 TPI 2023; 12(9): 2892-2896 © 2023 TPI

www.thepharmajournal.com Received: 16-07-2023 Accepted: 20-08-2023

### E Padma

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

### K Uma Jyothi

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

### **G** Ramanandam

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

### P Subbaramamma

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh,

### K Umakrishna

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Corresponding Author:

E Padma

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India

# Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on yield and quality of dolichos bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) during offseason under coastal Andhra Pradesh conditions

### E Padma, K Uma Jyothi, G Ramanandam, P Subbaramamma and K Umakrishna

### Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on yield and quality of Dolichos Bean (Lablab purpureus L.) during offseason under coastal Andhra Pradesh conditions" was carried out during summer at Horticultural Research Station, Ambajipeta, East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment was laid out with 36 different treatment combinations in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) each replicated thrice in open field. The experiment was carried out with four varieties viz., Arka Jay, Arka Amog, Arka Sambhram and Arka Sowmya with three different dates of sowing viz., December 15th, January1st and January15th with foliar spray of growth regulators viz., NAA 25 ppm, Triacontanol 2 ppm and control. The data were recorded on yield and quality parameters and the data were statistically analyzed based on ANOVA. Arka Sowmya recorded significantly highest pod yield per plant (237.72 g) and Arka Jay recorded highest shelling percentage of fresh pod (35.63%). January 1st sown crop recorded significantly the highest pod yield (232.68 g) and highest shelling percentage of fresh pod (35.18%). Triacontanol @ 2 pm foliar spray recorded significantly the highest pod yield (226.30 g) and highest shelling percentage of fresh pod (36.44%). However highest protein and fibre content was observed with NAA @ 25 ppm spray 15.35% and 33.69% respectively. Arka Sowmya sown on January 1st in combination with Triacontanol 2 ppmspray (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest pod yield of 293.90 g. Arka Jay sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> without spray (V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>3</sub>) recorded the lowest green pod yield per plant (143.74 g).

Keywords: Dolichos bean, dates of sowing, growth regulators, offseason

### Introduction

In India, Lablab is a field crop mostly confined to the peninsular region and is cultivated to a large extent in Karnataka and adjoining districts of Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh and Maharashtra. Karnataka contributes a major share, accounting for nearly 90 per cent in terms of both area and production in the country. The normal growing seasons for field bean are Kharif and Rabi. The beans are not available in Andhra Pradesh after February month. By growing beans in off season i.e., summer, the produce will be made available to the consumers all throughout the year and also the farmers can fetch better price for their produce. Among the agronomic practices, optimum sowing time is considered as an important non-cash input, results in considerable increase in the yield and quality. Productivity in most of the vegetable crops depends on prevailing environmental conditions to which phenological stages of the crop are being exposed. The staggered dates of sowing may thus influence the crop growth, flowering and yield. The plant growth regulators are either natural or synthetic compounds applied to the target plants to modify either developmental or morphological structure or both by manipulating the hormonal levels in different plant organs at various growth stages of the plant in the life cycle so as to enhance its yield and quality (Setia et al., 1991) [9]. The information on suitable varieties, optimum sowing date and growth regulators on off season production of field bean is scanty. Hence, the present study is conducted to find out the field bean varieties suitable for growing in off season for coastal Andhra Pradesh with optimum date of sowing and suitable growth regulator.

### **Materials and Methods**

The investigation entitled "Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their

interaction on yield and quality of Dolichos Bean (Lablab purpureus L.) during offseason under coastal Andhra Pradesh conditions" was carried out during summer at Horticultural Research Station, Ambajipeta, East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh which is situated at 16.40 N latitude and 81.50 E longitudes with an altitude of 34 m above mean sea level. The experimental site receives an annual rainfall of 1186 mm. The pH of irrigated water was 7.3 and EC is of 0.7 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out with 36 different treatment combinations in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) each replicated thrice under shade net. The experiment was carried out with four varieties viz., Arka Jay (V<sub>1</sub>), Arka Amog (V<sub>2</sub>), Arka Sambhram (V<sub>3</sub>) and Arka Sowmya (V<sub>4</sub>) with three different dates of sowing viz., December 15th (S<sub>1</sub>) January 1st (S<sub>2</sub>) and January 15th (S<sub>3</sub>) with foliar spray of growth regulators viz., NAA 25 ppm (G<sub>1</sub>), Triacontanol 2 ppm  $(G_2)$ , and control  $(G_3)$ . Growth regulators were sprayed at 30 DAS and 60 DAS. The experimental area was thoroughly ploughed and brought into a fine tilth. Recommended dose of FYM and basal dose of fertilizers were incorporated into the soil before the final ploughing. The recommended dose of N, P and K (20:60:50 kg per ha) were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. Nitrogen was applied in 2 splits, half of the nitrogen (i.e. 10 kg) was applied as basal dose and the remaining half of the nitrogen (i.e. 10 kg) was applied as top dressing at 30 days after sowing. The entire dose of phosphorus and potash were applied at the time of sowing as basal dose. The various observations on growth and yield parameters were recorded on five plants which were tagged. Days to 50% flowering was calculated as number of days taken from the date of sowing to the day when 50 per cent of the plants in a plot were flowered. The two years data were recorded on yield and quality parameters and the pooled data were statistically analyzed based on ANOVA.

### **Results and Discussion**

The data regarding the effect of sowing time and growth regulators and their interaction on green pod yield per plant of field bean varieties under open field condition was presented in Table 1. Significant differences were noticed among the varieties for green pod yield per plant. Highest pod yield (355.25 g) was recorded with Arka Sowmya (V<sub>4</sub>) followed by Arka Sambhram  $(V_3)$  (332.61 g) whereas, Arka Jay  $(V_1)$ recorded the lowest pod yield of 260.39 g. The variation in pod yield per plant among the varieties was also due to positive expression of the genes related to the above characters in the prevailing environmental factors at the experimental site. The present findings are corroborate with the results obtained by Singh et al. (2011) [14], Lima et al. (2012) [2], Ravinaik et al. (2015) [7], Salim et al. (2013) [8], Verma et al. (2014) [16], Sharma et al. (2014) [11] and Ravinaik et al. (2015) [7] in dolichos bean.

Dates of sowing also showed significant influence on pod yield per plant. Highest pod yield per plant was recorded with January 1st sowing (S<sub>2</sub>) (367.99 g) followed by January 15th sowing (S<sub>3</sub>) (312.64 g). The lowest pod yield per plant was recorded by December 15th sowing (S<sub>1</sub>) (274.15 g). The climatic factors prevailed during January 1st sowing were favourable for better vegetative growth of plant and lead to the formation of higher photosynthates which ultimately translocated to the pods and results in higher fresh pod yield per plant in dolichos bean. The increase in pod yield per plant

could be attributed to better development of yield attributes, *i.e.*, number of pods per plant and pod weight which might be due to optimum prevailing temperatures during reproductive phase of the crop. Uddin (2005) [15], Moniruzzaman *et al.* (2007) [3] and Islam (2008) [1] reported the similar results in french bean.

Growth regulators also showed significant influence on pod yield. Highest pod yield per plant was recorded with Triacontanol 2 ppm foliar spray ( $G_2$ ) (342.19 g), followed by NAA 25ppm ( $G_1$ ) (318.0 g) and without growth regulator spray (control) ( $G_3$ ) (294.6 g). The increase in yield per plant by spraying of Triacontanol has been reported by Sharma (1994) [12] in capsicum, Nargis Jahan *et al.* (1997) [4] in okra and Tripti Shrivastava *et al.* (2001) [14] in chickpea.

The interaction of varieties and dates of sowing also recorded significant effect on pod yield. Arka Sowmya recorded the highest green pod yield per plant with 409.26 g when sown on January  $1^{st}$  ( $V_4S_2$ ), followed by Arka Sambhram with same date of sowing ( $V_3S_2$ ) (390.86 g). Arka Jay recorded the lowest pod yield per plant (236.76 g) when sown on December  $15^{th}$  ( $V_1S_1$ ).

The interaction of varieties and growth regulators as well as the interaction of dates of sowing and growth regulators showed non significant effect on pod yield per plant. The interaction of varieties, dates of sowing and growth regulators recorded significant effect on pod yield. Arka Sowmya recorded the highest pod yield with 471.83 g when sown on January 1st and the plants were sprayed with Triacontanol 2 PPM ( $V_4S_2G_2$ ) followed by same variety with January 15th and sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm ( $V_4S_3G_2$ ) (428.30 g). Arka Jay recorded the lowest green pod yield per plant (202.07 g) when sown on January 15th without growth regulator spray ( $V_1S_1G_3$ ).

The influence of varieties, dates of sowing and growth regulators on shelling per cent of fresh pod and are presented in Table 1.Among varieties, the highest shelling per cent of fresh pod (36.28%) was noticed with Arka Jay ( $V_1$ ) and it was on par with Arka Sambhram ( $V_3$ ) (35.44%) and Arka Amog ( $V_2$ ) (35.42%). The lowest shelling per cent of fresh pod was noticed with Arka Sowmya (34.56%). The variation in shelling percent of fresh pod among the varieties could be attributed to their genotypic variation and their interaction with the prevailing environmental factors.

Dates of sowing showed non-significant effect on shelling per cent of fresh pod, whereas the growth regulators showed significant influence on shelling per cent of fresh pod. The highest shelling per cent of fresh pod was recorded with Triacontanol 2 ppm foliar spray (G<sub>2</sub>) (38.5) followed by NAA 25 ppm foliar spray (G<sub>1</sub>) (35.31%). The lowest shelling per cent (32.46%) was recorded with control treatment (G<sub>3</sub>). The variation in shelling percentage of fresh pod among the growth regulator treatments could be attributed to the better partitioning of photoassimilates from source to sink organs at the time of pod filling stage.

The effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interactions on protein content of field bean are presented in Table 2. Though non-significant, Arka Sowmya recorded the highest protein content of 16.76 per cent followed by Arka Jay (16.69%) and Arka Sambhram (16.48%). The lowest protein content was noticed in Arka Amog (16.26%). Dates of sowing also showed non-significant effect on protein content, whereas the growth regulators showed significant effect on protein content. Spraying of

Triacontanol 2 ppm recorded the highest protein of 17.22 per cent and it was on par with spraying of NAA 25 ppm (16.60%). The lowest protein content was recorded in control (G<sub>3</sub>) (15.82%). It leads to an increase in protein content of field bean by spraying of Triacontanol as earlier reported by Bhatnagar *et al.* (1992) [1] and Radha Krishnan *et al.* (2004) [5] in french bean.The interaction of varieties and dates of sowing, varieties and growth regulators, sowing dates and growth regulators and the interaction of varieties, sowing dates and growth regulators were found non-significant for protein content.

The influence of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interactions on pod fibre content are depicted in Table 2. Significant differences were noticed among varieties for pod fibre content. The highest pod fibre content (35.17%) was noticed with Arka Amog (V2) and it was on par with Arka Sambhram  $(V_3)$  (34.91%) and Arka Jay (34.72%). The lowest pod fibre content (33.99%) was noticed with Arka Sowmya. The present results are in conformity with the findings of Sood et al. (2003) [13] and Ramana et al. (2011) [6] in french bean and Sharma et al. (2014) [11] in country bean (Dolichos lablab). Dates of sowing showed non-significant effect on pod fibre content. Growth regulators showed significant influence on pod fibre content. Highest pod fibre content (35.19%) was noticed in pods harvested from the plants sprayed with NAA 25 ppm spray (G<sub>1</sub>) and it was on par with fibre content of harvested from the plants sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm (G<sub>2</sub>) (35.02%). The lowest fibre content was recorded with control treatment (G<sub>3</sub>) (33.87%). The interaction of varieties and dates of sowing showed significant influence on pod fibre content. Arka Amog recorded the highest pod fibre content (36.10%) when the crop was sown

on December 15th (V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub>) and it was on par with other combinations except V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>1</sub> (33.25%). The interaction of varieties and growth regulators showed significant effect on pod fibre content. Arka Amog recorded the highest fibre content (36.15%) in pods harvested from the plants sprayed with NAA 25 ppm  $(V_2G_1)$  and it was on par with Arka Sambhram plants were sprayed with NAA 25 ppm  $(V_3G_1)$ (35.37%) and Arka Amog pods harvested from the plants sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm (V<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub>) (35.33%), Arka Jay and Arka Sambhram pods harvested from the plants sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm  $(V_1G_2)$  (35.16%)  $(V_3G_2)$  (35.01%), Arka Jay pods harvested from the plants sprayed with NAA 25 ppm (V<sub>1</sub>G<sub>1</sub>) (34.90%), Arka Sowmya pods harvested from the plants sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm  $(V_4G_2)$  (34.59%) and with NAA 25 ppm  $(V_4G_1)$  (34.35%). Arka Sowmya recorded the lowest fibre content (33.03%) in pods harvested from the plants without growth regulator spray  $(V_4G_3)$ . The interaction of dates of sowing and growth regulators showed significant effect on pod fibre content. January 1st sown crop recorded the highest fibre content (35.39%) in pods harvested from the plants sprayed with NAA 25 ppm  $(S_2G_1)$  and it was on par with other combinations except the fibre content of pods harvested from December 15th, January 1st and January  $15^{th}$  sown crops without growth regulator spray (S<sub>1</sub>G<sub>3</sub>) (33.96%),  $(S_2G_3)$  (33.96%) and  $(S_3G_3)$  (33.70%). The interaction of varieties dates of sowing and growth regulators showed significant effect on pod fibre content. The highest pod fibre content was noticed with Arka Sambhram sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> and the plants sprayed with NAA 25ppm (V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>1</sub>) (36.71%) and the lowest pod fibre content (32.6%) in Arka Sowmya sown on 15th December and the crop was not sprayed with growth regulator ( $V_4S_1G_3$ ).

**Table 1:** Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on green pod yield per plant and shelling percentage of fresh pod in field bean in open field.

Varieties (V)		Gre	een pod y	ield/plant	(g)	Shelling percentage of fresh pod(%)						
			Dates of s	owing (S)	)	Dates of sowing (S)						
		$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Varieties (V)		$S_1$	$S_2$	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
$V_1$		236.76	305.83	238.56	260.39	$V_1$		37.32	35.19	36.32	36.28	
$V_2$		279.07	366.01	329.29	324.79	$V_2$		35.83	37.13	33.28	35.42	
$V_3$		304.53	390.86	302.45	332.61	$V_3$		32.91	37.36	36.05	35.44	
$V_4$		276.23	409.26	380.26	355.25	$V_4$		34.34	34.18	35.16	34.56	
Mean		274.15	367.99	312.64	1	Mean		35.10	35.97	35.20		
Variation (	17)	Growth Regulators (G)				Vi-ti (V)		Growt				
Varieties (	(V)	$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Varieties (V)		$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
$V_1$		261.77	284.62	234.76	260.39	$V_1$		36.53	39.15	33.15	36.28	
$V_2$		327.03	344.83	302.51	324.79	$V_2$		34.79	37.94	33.52	35.42	
$V_3$		336.19	340.86	320.83	332.61	$V_3$		35.72	38.92	31.68	35.44	
$V_4$		346.98	398.43	320.34	355.25	$V_4$		34.20	38.01	31.47	34.56	
Mean		318.00	342.19	294.60		Mean		35.31	38.50	32.46		
Dates of sowing(S)		Growth Regulators (G)				Dates of sowing (S)		Growt				
Dates of sowi	ng(S)	$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Dates of sowing (3)		$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
S <sub>1</sub>		272.21	295.80	254.43	274.15	S	1	35.25	37.97	32.09	35.10	
$S_2$	$S_2$		390.20	345.63	367.99	$S_2$		35.92	38.71	33.26	35.97	
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>		313.65	340.55	283.73	312.64	$S_3$		34.76	38.84	32.02	35.20	
Mean		318.00	342.19	294.60	-	Mean		35.31	38.50	32.46		
Interestion (V	vCvC)	Growth Regulators (G)			Interaction (VyCyC)		Growth Regulators (G)					
Interaction (VxSxG)		$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>		Interaction	Interaction (VxSxG)		$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
	$S_1$	231.04	277.19	202.07		$V_1$	$S_1$	38.11	40.99	32.85		
$V_1$	$S_2$	303.98	313.51	300.00			$S_2$	35.00	36.45	34.11		
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	250.31	263.17	202.22			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	36.47	40.01	32.46		
	$S_1$	278.66	299.37	259.18			$S_1$	35.38	38.30	33.80		
$V_2$	$S_2$	374.10	376.95	347.00	-	17.	$S_2$	36.44	39.28	35.68		
<b>V</b> 2	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	328.35	358.18	301.35		$V_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	32.53	36.23	31.07		
	$S_1$	307.34	311.51	294.74			$S_1$	32.96	35.42	30.35		

$V_3$	$S_2$	407.64	398.53	366.	.41		$V_3$	$S_2$	38.68	41.94	31.44	
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	293.60	312.55	301.	.19			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	35.50	39.38	33.26	
	$S_1$	271.80	295.15	261.	.74			$S_1$	34.52	37.15	31.34	
$V_4$	$S_2$	386.83	471.83	369.	.12		$V_4$	$S_2$	36.55	37.17	31.80	
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	382.33	428.30	330.	.15			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	34.52	39.71	31.26	
Source	SE.m ±				C.D a	ıt 5%		SE.n	C.D at 5%			
V	4.41			12.	30		0.3	1.02				
S	3.81			10.	66		0.3		N	IS		
G	3.81			10.	66	0.31				0.88		
VxS	7.63			21.	32	0.63				1.77		
VxG	7.63			N	S	0.63			NS			
SxG	6.61				N	S	0.55					IS
VxSxG	13.23				36.	92	1.10					IS

Varieties (V)	Dates of sowing (S)	<b>Growth Regulators (G)</b>
V <sub>1</sub> - Arka Jay	S <sub>1</sub> - December 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>1</sub> - NAA 25 ppm
V <sub>2</sub> - ArkaAmog	S <sub>2</sub> January 1 <sup>st</sup>	G <sub>2</sub> - Triacontanol 2 ppm
V <sub>3</sub> - ArkaSambhram	S <sub>3</sub> January 15 <sup>th</sup>	$\mathbf{G}_3$ - Control
V <sub>4</sub> - ArkaSowmya		

**Table 2:** Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on protein content and fibre content in field bean in open field.

Varieties (V)		P	rotein co	ontent (	<mark>%)</mark>	Pod Fibre content (%)					
		Dates of sowing (S)				Dates of sowing (S)					
		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Varieti	ies (V)	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	S <sub>3</sub>	Mean
$V_1$		16.88	16.01	17.19	16.69	V	1	34.78	34.72	34.65	34.72
$V_2$		16.53	15.83	16.42	16.26	$V_2$		36.10	34.19	35.22	35.17
$V_3$		15.98	17.31	16.13	16.48	$V_3$		34.44	35.79	34.49	34.91
$V_4$		16.80	17.12	16.36	16.76	V	4	33.25	34.47	34.25	33.99
Mean		16.55	16.57	16.52		Me	an	34.64	34.79	34.65	
Varieties	(1/)	Growth Regulators (				Variati	M . (. (M)		Growth Regulators (G)		
varieties	(V)	$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Varieties (V)		$G_1$	$G_2$	$G_3$	Mean
$V_1$		16.57	17.16	16.35	16.69	$V_1$		34.90	35.16	34.08	34.72
$V_2$		16.48	17.30	15.00	16.26	V	2	36.15	35.33	34.04	35.17
$V_3$		16.52	17.37	15.54	16.48	V	3	35.37	35.01	34.34	34.91
$V_4$		16.82	17.06	16.41	16.76	V <sub>4</sub>		34.35	34.59	33.03	33.99
Mean		16.60	17.22	15.82		Me	an	35.19	35.02	33.87	
Dates of sow	ing(C)	Growth	Regulat	tors (G)		Dates of sowing (S)		Growth Regulators (G)			
Dates of sow	ing(3)	$G_1$	$G_2$	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Dates of se	owing (3)	$G_1$	$G_2$	$G_3$	Mean
$S_1$		16.66	17.32	15.67	16.35	S <sub>1</sub>		35.14	34.83	33.96	34.64
$S_2$		16.53	17.21	15.95	16.57	$S_2$		35.39	35.03	33.96	34.79
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>		16.60	17.13	15.85	16.52	S <sub>3</sub>		35.04	35.21	33.70	34.65
Mean		16.60	17.22	15.82		Mean		35.19 35.02 33.87			
Interaction (VxSxG)		Growth Regulators (G)				Interaction	Interaction (VxSxG)		n Regulat	ors (G)	
		$G_1$	$G_2$	$G_3$		interaction (VADAG)		$G_1$	$G_2$	$G_3$	Mean
$V_1$	$S_1$	16.78	17.37	16.49		$V_1$	$S_1$	35.99	34.36	33.98	
	$S_2$	16.01	16.17	15.85			$S_2$	34.45	33.37	33.32	
<b>V</b> 1	$S_3$	16.91	17.93	16.72			$S_3$	34.24	35.27	33.94	
	$S_1$	16.51	17.99	15.06			$S_1$	36.46	36.90	34.93	
$V_2$	$S_2$	16.27	16.65	14.54		$V_2$	$S_2$	33.60	33.87	33.08	
<b>V</b> 2	$S_3$	16.65	17.23	15.37			$S_3$	36.38	35.20	34.08	
	$S_1$	16.47	16.67	14.80			$S_1$	34.59	34.40	34.32	
$V_3$	$S_2$	16.91	18.83	16.18		$V_3$	$S_2$	36.71	35.38	35.27	
* 3	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	16.16	15.59	16.63		<b>V</b> 3	S <sub>3</sub>	34.79	35.25	33.43	
	$S_1$	16.85	17.23	16.31			$S_1$	33.49	33.64	32.60	
$V_4$	$S_2$	16.93	17.19	17.22		$V_4$	$S_2$	34.79	35.47	33.14	
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	16.66	16.73	15.67			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	34.75	34.63	33.34	
Source	SE.m ±		C			SE.m				at 5%	
V	0.39				NS 0.35						99
S	0.33					0.31			NS		
G	0.33			0.94						0.86	
VxS				NS	0.62				1.73		
VxG		0.67			NS	0.62			1.73		
SxG		0.58			NS	0.53			1.49		
VxSxG		1.17	1		NS	1.07		2.99			

Varieties (V)	Dates of sowing (S)	Growth Regulators (G)				
V <sub>1</sub> - Arka Jay	S <sub>1</sub> - December 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>1</sub> - NAA 25 ppm				
V <sub>2</sub> - ArkaAmog	S <sub>2</sub> - January 1 <sup>st</sup>	G <sub>2</sub> - Triacontanol 2 ppm				
V <sub>3</sub> - ArkaSambhram	S <sub>3</sub> - January 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>3</sub> - Control				
V <sub>4</sub> - ArkaSowmya						

### Conclusion

Arka Sowmya sown on January  $1^{st}$  in combination with Triacontanol 2 ppmspray (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest pod yield of 293.90 g.

### References

- Bhatnagar GS, Porwal MK, Nanwati GC. Effect of nitrogen and mixtalol on French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) during winter. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 1992;62(4):280-281.
- Islam MDA. Effect of sowing time and nitrogen on the growth and yield of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.).
  M.Sc. Thesis. Shere-E-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh; c2008.
- 3. Lima, MSde, Carneiro JE.deS. Carneiro PCS, Pereira CS, Vieira RF, Cecon PR. Characterization of genetic variability among common bean genotypes by morphological descriptors. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology. 2012;12(1):76-84.
- Moniruzzaman M, Rahman SML, Kibria MG, Rahman MA, Kaisar MO. Performance of vegetable French bean as influenced by varieties and sowing dates in *rabi* season. International Journal Sustainable Crop Production. 2007;2:69-73.
- Fattah, NJG.A, Mondal MRI. Lolitamahjab. Physiological and biochemical responses following mixatalol treatments in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 1997;26(2):107-113.
- Radha Krishnan C. Effect of NAA and Triacontanol on growth and yield of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)
  M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University; c2004.
- 7. Ramana V, Ramakrishna M, Purushotham K, Reddy KB..Effect of bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Vegetable Science. 2011;38(1):35-38.
- 8. Ravinaik K, Hanchinmani CN, Patil MG, Imamsaheb SJ. Evaluation of dolichos genotypes (*Dolichos lablab* L.) under north eastern dry zone of Karnataka. Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2015;10(1):49-52.
- Salim M, Hossain S, Alam S, Rashid JA, Islam S. Estimation of genetic divergence in lablab bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) genotypes. Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research. 2013;38(1):105-114.
- Setia RC, Setia N, Malik CP. Plant growth regulators: Overview and role in crop productivity. In: Recent Advances in Plant Biology, (Ed.) C.P.Malik and Y.P. Abrol. Narendra Publishing House, New Delhi; c1991. p. 417-476.
- 11. Sharma SK. Response of triacontanol application on certain morphological characters, fruit and seed yield and quality of tomato seed. Annals of Agricultural Research. 1995;16(1):128-130.
- 12. Sharma A, Sharma M, Sharma KC, Singh Y, Sharma RP, Sharma GD. Standardization of sowing date and cultivars for seed production of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* var. Hortense L.) under north western Himalayas. Legume Research. 2014;37(3):287-293.

- 13. Sharma SK. Response of triacontanol on morphological characters, fruit and seed yield of capsicum. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1994;51(3):299-302.
- 14. Singh PK, Rai N, Lal H, Bhardwaj DR, Singh R, Singh AP. Correlation, path and cluster analysis in hyacinth bean (*Lablab purpureus* L. Sweet). Journal of Agriculture and Technology. 2011;7(4):1117-1124.
- 15. Sood S, Awasthi CP, Singh N. Biochemical evaluation of promising Rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes of Himachal Pradesh. Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2003;29(1&2):65-69.
- 16. Triptishrivastava KN, Namdeo M, Manoj Kumar M, Dwivedi RK, Tiwari RK. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Crop Research. 2001;21(3):301-307.
- 17. Uddin ASMM. "Influence of sowing time and plant population on growth and yield of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)". M.Sc. Thesis. Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur, Bangladesh; c2005.
- 18. Verma AK, Jyothi KU, Rao DAVD, Singh RP. Performance of dolichos bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) genotypes in coastal Andhra Pradesh. JNKVV Research Journal. 2014;48(1):64-67.